DE GRUYTER

Nicola Reggiani DIGITAL PAPYROLOGY I METHODS, TOOLS AND TRENDS

Nicola Reggiani **Digital Papyrology I**

Nicola Reggiani Digital Papyrology I

Methods, Tools and Trends

DE GRUYTER

ISBN 978-3-11-053851-9 e-ISBN (PDF) 978-3-11-054747-4 e-ISBN (EPUB) 978-3-11-054760-3

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

Bibliographic information published by the Deutsche Nationalbibliothek

The Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliografie; detailed bibliographic data are available in the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2017 Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston Printing and binding: CPI books GmbH, Leck ☺ Printed on acid-free paper Printed in Germany

www.degruyter.com

Acknowledgments

I owe my first acquaintance with Digital Papyrology to Professor Isabella Andorlini, who admittedly has been a pioneer in the systematic application of electronic resources to papyrological scholarship, from the effective use of textual databases to virtual restoration of fragmentary documents¹, up to the digitization of the Greek medical papyri². I still remember her passion in describing functionalities and advantages of the digital tools, and her skilfulness in using them. My previous interests in information technologies did the rest³. I would like to dedicate this work to Her, in memory of all this.

My grateful thoughts then go to Fabian Reiter, who allowed me to hold a class of Digital Papyrology at the University of Trier during the Winter Semester 2016/17, which gave me really many useful and interesting suggestions about the topics discussed here. He also supported this publication, for which I wish to thank also Mirko Vonderstein and my other contact people at De Gruyter (Katrin Hofmann, Florian Ruppenstein), who have been so kind, patient and efficient in following all the publishing steps. I am grateful also to the students who actively took part into the Trier seminar (Julius Bergau, Spyridoula Bounta, Pia Geißel, Sara Marmai) for all the fruitful discussions we had together.

I would like to mention also the Digital Papyrology seminars conducted by Rodney Ast, James Cowey and Lajos Berkes at the Institute of Papyrology of the Heidelberg University directed by Prof. Andrea Jördens, the attendance of which has proven helpful for improving my experience in this rising field, as well as my participation in the digital classes of the "SunoikisisDC" programme (Leipzig University), coordinated by Monica Berti. I also thank my colleagues and friends Davide Astori, Francesca Bertonazzi and Isabella Bonati, with whom I shared several phases of my research.

I wish this thank-list were longer, because I would have loved to discuss all the topics and resources with more people. Unfortunately, unpredictable tragic circumstances slightly affected the conclusion of the ERC DIGMEDTEXT project, from

1 Cf. Andorlini 2008; Andorlini – Reggiani 2012.

The present volume is published in the framework of the Project "Online Humanities Scholarship: A Digital Medical Library Based on Ancient Texts" (DIGMEDTEXT, Principal Investigator Professor Isabella Andorlini), funded by the European Research Council (Advanced Grant no. 339828) at the University of Parma (see below, Appendix 2).

² Cf. ANDORLINI 2017a; see below, esp. §§ 8.6–7.

³ REGGIANI 2012 represents the first outcome of my experience in this field, being the product of my involvement in a Digital Humanities seminar coordinated by Professor Anna Maria Tammaro at the University of Parma and stemming from the Digital Papyrology introductory seminars I held for Professor Andorlini's classes.

which this work stems (see Appendix 2), and bureaucratic demands forced me to complete the publication in too short a time. I hope that my benevolent readers will take what follows for what it is – a preliminary survey and a tentative theoretical, methodological, and epistemological arrangement of a rising and ever-changing subject, probably presented in too an Italianized English, for which I express all my sorrowful apologies – and will kindly agree to send me back advice, suggestions, remarks, criticism, so that a sharper manual can be envisaged in the near future of Digital Papyrology⁴.

The present work is not exactly a handbook – most of the digital tools do already offer detailed instructions and help guides, to which I gladly refer –, nor just a list of tools. Recent detailed surveys of the digital papyrological scenario already exist⁵ and they do not deserve to be updated or replaced. My aim is to analyse the electronic papyrological resources in details in order to pinpoint common trends, to sketch methodological outlines and to envisage an epistemology of Digital Papyrology, in view of the possible future developments⁶.



Isabella Andorlini and Nicola Reggiani at the SoSOL Training Session, Duke University (Durham, NC), July 2010.

⁴ An update web page is prospected at http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/dp/update.html.

⁵ BABEU 2011, 141–57; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014; QUENOUILLE 2016 (more Leipzig-focused). RUFFING 2000 and CAPASSO 2005, 227–35 are slightly outdated but are nice snapshots of past situations.
6 I must also anticipate that I will deal mostly with Greek and Latin Papyrology. Demotic will not be forgotten, since it constitutes a fundamental linguistic and cultural source for Graeco-Roman Egypt. Conversely, egyptological digital resources, though very promising and Egypt-related as well, will not be taken into consideration; for a recent survey of them, see WOUTER – VAN KEER 2014.

Table of Contents

1	Tablets of the Mind (An Introduction) — 1
1.1 1.2	Issues in Digital Papyrology — 8
2	Digital Bibliographies and Bibliographical Standards — 14
2.1	Bibliographie Papyrologique — 14
2.2	Trismegistos Bibliographies—22
2.3	The Checklist of Editions—23
2.4	A Flock without a Shepherd (On Bibliographical Standards) — 29
2.5	Special Bibliographies — 32
3	Cataloguing Metadata — 37
3.1	The Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis — 39
3.2	The Literary Catalogues: <i>Mertens-Pack</i> ³ and the <i>Leuven Database of</i> Ancient Books — 47
3.3	Thrice Greatest <i>Trismegistos</i> — 56
3.4	Fifty Shades of Papyri, or: What Do We Catalogue?—74
3.5	Extant, Would-Be, and Passed Away Digital Thematic Catalogues — 79
3.6	Digital Catalogues of Papyrological Collections — 92
3.7	Envisaging Virtual <i>Corpora</i> of Papyri — 114
4	Indexing Words — 118
4.1	Wörterlisten — 119
4.2	General Dictionaries / Glossaries — 123
4.3	Thematic Dictionaries / Glossaries (Lexica) — 124
4.4	Prosopographies and Onomastica — 131
4.5	Indexes of Emendations — 134
5	Virtual Papyrology — 137
5.1	Imaging Papyri Digitally for Preserving and Reading — 138
5.2	Reading Invisible Ink — 141
5.3	Virtual Restorations and Reunifications — 146
5.4	Digital Palaeography — 151
5.5	How Virtual Papyrology Redesigns Papyrology — 160
6	Papyrological Mass Media — 162
6.1	Websites of Institutions (Associations, Research Centres,
	Collections) — 162
6.2	Papyrological P.R. — 166

VIII — Table of Contents

- 6.3 Thematic Highlights and Online Exhibitions 168
- 6.4 Staying Papyrologically Digitally Tuned 170
- 6.5 Good and Bad Digital Practices: Overcoming Cultural Boundaries and Purchasing Papyri Online **172**
- 6.6 Digital Publications 173

7 New Trends in Digital Papyrology — 178

- 7.1 Quantitative Analysis of Textual Data: Past and Future of Computational Linguistics Applied to Papyrology 178
- 7.2 Quantitative Analysis of Metadata: Social Network Analysis in Papyrology 189
- 7.3 Integrated Scholarly Workspaces 197

8 From Textual Databases to Digital Scholarship — 202

- 8.1 Digital Encoding of Papyrus Texts: Theory and Practice 202
- 8.2 The Earliest Textual Databases 207
- 8.3 The Thesaurus Linguae Graecae and the Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri 210
- 8.4 The Papyrological Navigator 222
- 8.5 The Papyrological Editor 232
- 8.6 From Digital Editions to Digital Scholarship 241
- 8.7 New Standards for Digital Literary Papyri 250
- 9 The Shape of Things to Come (Not A Conclusion) 255

Appendix 1. Clarysse's software — 271

Appendix 2. The DIGMEDTEXT Project — 273

Bibliography — 277

Indices — 303

- I. Individuals and Institutions 303
- II. Digital Resources 306
- III. Conspectus Siglorum 311
- IV. General Keywords 313

1 Tablets of the Mind (An Introduction)

Papyrology is known for its many resources and working tools.

Dorothy J. Thompson¹



Being a set of (electronic) ways of treating human information and knowledge, Digital Humanities can be considered as the direct heir of those cognitive 'technologies' that have been analysed – in relation with men's knowledge and memory – in Jocelyn P. Small's monograph² titled after the phrase with which the ancient Greeks metaphorically used to call memory, i.e. "tablets of the mind", $\varphi \rho \epsilon v \tilde{\omega} v \ \delta \epsilon \lambda \tau o t^3$. Small's essay shows that the problem of the relationship between creating information material ('data') and the ways of accessing it is definitely ancient, and that the transition from human 'memory' – the very first tool for storing and retrieving information! – to artificial intelligence is just a technological and chronological swerve, not a conceptual one – after all, it is not by chance if we still speak of electronic *memory* devices.

¹ THOMPSON 2007, 37.

² SMALL 1997 (cf. the review by THOMAS 2000).

³ Aeschyl. Prom. 789; cf. NIEDDU 1984.

Human memory – the Mother of the Muses – is the business of the humanist. The scholar works to preserve for the future an intimate connection between what Wordsworth called 'the noble living and the noble dead.' As with the renaissance sped forward by the printing revolution of the fifteenth century, digital technology is driving a radical shift in humanities scholar-ship and education⁴.

For this reasons, informatics is topical in the field of the Humanities.

Offering a complete overview of the issues entailed by Digital Humanities today is definitely arduous in this introductory place, and not strictly relevant here. Definitions are difficult too: saying that Digital Humanities is "concerned with the applications of computing to research and teaching within subjects that are loosely defined as 'the humanities'"⁵ would imply long discussion of what is 'the Humanities'; it is therefore better to refer to a significant selection of the very wide bibliography on the matter for further reference⁶. The same embarrassment arises when trying to introduce Digital Classics, that is "the use of digital technologies in any field related to the study of classical antiquity"⁷. Yet this is not a secondary issue. As it has already been noticed almost twenty years ago, "it would not be immediately apparent that the discipline of classics (in many people's minds, I suspect, the province of conservative, myopic, and nonprogressive minds), should be in the vanguard of academic innovations in cyberspace. Yet such is the case"⁸. Or, in Greg Crane's sharper and more recent words, "many non-classicists from academia and beyond still express surprise that classicists have been aggressively integrating computerized tools into their field for a generation"⁹.

1.1 Papyrology in the Digital Vanguard

Within this digital framework, Papyrology – "a discipline concerned with the recovery and exploitation of ancient [everyday] artifacts bearing writing and of the textual material preserved on such artifacts", with chronological boundaries usually placed between the Hellenistic and the Arab periods, especially – but not only – in Egypt¹⁰ – has always been in the vanguard. The first experiments to apply the newborn computational methods to papyrological research date back to the Sixties (see

⁴ McGann 2012, 1.

⁵ HOCKEY 2004, 3.

⁶ A good starting point is represented by SCHREIBMAN – SIEMENS – UNSWORTH 2004.

⁷ BABEU 2011, 1; cf. CRANE 2004. For historical sketches of digital classics one should primarily refer to BRUNNER 1993 and CRANE 2004; cf. also TERRAS 2010 and BABEU 2011, part. 1–7.

⁸ CHAMPION 1999, 133; cf. TERRAS 2010, 172-3.

⁹ CRANE 2004, 46; cf. also KRAMER 2004, 23.

¹⁰ For the issues raised by a concise definition of papyrology, with a particular attention for the more recent trends, see BAGNALL 2009a (quotation from p. xvii).

below, §§ 3.5, 7.1, 8.2), and as early as 1968 an entire session, with six papers!, on "Computer uses in Papyrology" was scheduled at the 12th International Congress at Ann Arbor, after the establishment by the Association Internationale de Papyrologues of a special study commission on the computerized work applied to Papyrology¹¹. Since 1965, there has been no international papyrological congress without at least one paper connected to digital matters. It is an ideal situation that does not elude the same scholars of the field¹². There are multiple reasons for this.

The first and foremost reason is epistemological. Papyrology is grounded on instances of *comparison* and *discussion*¹³: (a) of material fragments – constantly increasing in number and very often scattered among different, geographically distant collections – with the purpose of reconstructing the documents; (b) of texts, aimed at transcribing and interpreting their content, from both the palaeographical and the philological/textual points of view (identification of parallel passages, formulas, documentary typologies, handwriting, etc.); (c) of miscellaneous and complex data for contextualising the studied document – from the historical, cultural, social, economic, scientific, religious, technological... viewpoints¹⁴; (d) last but not least: among scholars, in the name of that fruitful international collaboration under the

¹¹ TOMSIN 1966, 205 n.1; cf. ZARRI 1967, 56. This commission was appointed on a proposal by Alan B. Samuel (Yale) after Alfred Tomsin presented the project of an automated prosopography at the 11th International Congress (Milan 1965; see below, § 3.5), and thus slightly antedates the Special Committee for Computer Problems officially charged by the American Philological Association in 1968 (cf. BRUNNER 1993, 12–13).

¹² Among the many possible references, see BAGNALL – JAKUBS – SOSIN 2007, 1 ("Among humanistic fields, papyrology is notably well provided with digital resources for access to primary texts, metadata, and images of the papyri, ostraca, and tablets preserved in Greek, Latin, Arabic, various forms of ancient Egyptian, and several other languages"), but also – to give space to other official languages of the discipline – e.g. CAPASSO 2005, 227 ("Tra le varie discipline che studiano l'antichità classica la papirologia è forse quella che prima e più di ogni altra ha compreso la grande utilità del ricorso alle risorse dell'informatica: il computer ormai fa parte degli strumenti del lavoro quotidiano del papirologo, che grazie ad esso può orientarsi con una certa facilità nell'immensa mole di confronti e di bibliografia con cui lo studio anche di un singolo papiro lo porta a doversi più o meno inevitabilmente misurare"), and QUENOUILLE 2016, 6 ("Dabei wurde das Fach Papyrologie in Bezug auf computergestützte Hilfsmittel zum Pionier unter den Geisteswissenschaften").

¹³ Cf. DEL CORSO 2007, 165: "La decifrazione di un papiro è innanzi tutto una ricerca di paralleli e *loci similes* e le informazioni ottenute grazie ad essa, spesso, si chiariscono a loro volta solo se è possibile inserirle in una serie di dati analoghi e riferirle a un contesto più ampio. Per questo, l'esigenza dell'inventariazione sistematica delle informazioni disponibili si è rivelata da subito, per la papirologia, ancor più pressante e vitale che per altre discipline antichistiche"; also QUENOUILLE 2016, 6.

¹⁴ On the connection of the papyrological data with a broader context see HOBSON 1988; KEENAN 1991; VAN MINNEN 1994, 36–7; BAGNALL 1995a, part. 95–7.

auspices of which Papyrology moves, and which is traditionally and effectively called *amicitia papyrologorum*¹⁵.

Comparing and discussing imply, on one hand, circulation of the relevant data, and, on the other hand, their availability, in terms of both sharing and accessibility:

the challenge facing the scholarly community as a whole will be to work together as much as possible, to avoid any expensive duplication of work, and to try to integrate data from as many different sources as possible, while keeping in mind that each resource has to survive its own creator, to meet the demands of its own public and to overcome specific difficulties¹⁶.

In both cases, the potential and the immediacy provided by the digital tools – namely those web-based – offer themselves as the best solution to support the work of the papyrologist as an "artificer of facts", discoverer and provider of data¹⁷, mastering a discipline that is always – in Ann Hanson's words – "in flux"¹⁸.

A second reason is methodological. Papyrological methodology is not easy to outline – there is traditionally a philological approach, which tends to define protocols for text editing and criticism¹⁹, and a historical one, concerning "the theory and method of using [...] the papyri in the writing of history"²⁰. Generally speaking,

Papyrology has tended to be one of the most resolutely technical and positivistic disciplines of antiquity. This characteristic has justifiable roots in the enormous investment of time and expertise, in palaeography and philology, that is necessary for reading and interpreting the texts, often preserved only fragmentarily and in difficult handwritings²¹.

¹⁵ On the history of the motto see KEENAN 2009, 69. "The meaning of the motto is usually assumed but rarely defined. It is an ideal that clearly implies that the field of papyrology is larger than individual papyrologists, no matter what their several contributions. It alludes to a code of courtesy even in cases of strong disagreement [...]. It acknowledges that the field is in a constant state of growth and revision in which all papyrologists are partners. It suggests that the friendship is personal as much as professional. It also points to the internationalism of the field" (*ibid.*). On digital networks like APIS as electronic exemplifications of this "commitment to friendly scholarly symbiosis and a loyal effort to share information continuously and globally" see BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 65. On the digital approach as a contemporary continuation of the "collaborative nature" underlying the papyrological motto, see BABEU 2011, 141–2.

¹⁶ DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 331.

¹⁷ YOUTHE 1963; cf. SCHUBERT 2009, 198: "it is now much easier for a papyrologist to sort through a vast number of papyri – tens of thousands of texts – at high speed, thus making it possible to look for parallels to a new item within a few minutes, where the same process would have required several hours not long ago"; see below, §§ 1.2 and 9.

¹⁸ HANSON 2002; see below, § 9.

¹⁹ Cf. TURNER 1973; YOUTIE 1974.

²⁰ Cf. especially BAGNALL 1995a (quotation from p. 1). For this methodological dichotomy, see KEENAN 2009, 73 ff.

²¹ BAGNALL 1995a, vii; cf. CALDERINI 1936, 354–5.

The epistemological foundations of the discipline depend on thorough methodology, and method needs to be organized²². It is intriguing to note that the very thirty years preceding the 'outbreak' of Digital Papyrology in the mid Sixties underwent a systematic insistence on organization issues ("problemi di organizzazione"), forwarded mainly by the outstanding Italian scholars Aristide Calderini and Orsolina Montevecchi.

It is not pedantic to resume their claims here because not only are they very topical still today, but also they actually seem a true methodological foundation for what would have become Digital Papyrology and its main resources, since it is in the advantages of the electronic tools that Papyrology finds the best way of dealing with its baseline issue, i.e. coping with an overwhelming amount of data²³. In Calderini's view, "la sistemazione generale e particolare del materiale con lo scopo di ottenere il massimo possibile rendimento" would have to comply with the following points²⁴:

- 1) a standard method of editing papyri (he praises the establishment of the Leiden editorial conventions²⁵) so that it is possible to reach a general uniformity and an ideal unity, which would prelude to
- 2) a unique and common *Corpus* of all the papyri;
- 3) as a preparation of the *Corpus*, a general directory or catalogue of documentary papyri, in the wake of the existing literary catalogues (e.g. Pack's)²⁶;
- a widespread and systematic presence of facsimile reproductions of published papyri, in order to identify dispersed fragments, to better preserve the artefacts, to easily check the texts²⁷;
- 5) a general standardization of the 'titles' of the editions, i.e. a definition of standard textual typologies, in order to categorize the texts in a common and uniform fashion;
- 6) a general standardization of papyrological abbreviations (he notes considerable inconsistencies in the *sigla* of text editions or *corpora*²⁸);

26 This is the main point of Calderini's subsequent interventions (CALDERINI 1951; 1956), and cf. MONTEVECCHI 1966, 47–8 as well. On Pack's catalogue see below, § 3.2.

²² Cf. RUPPRECHT 1994, 24–5.

²³ Cf. RUPPRECHT 1994, 25; SCHUBERT 2009.

²⁴ Cf. CALDERINI 1936, passim.

²⁵ This system was established at the 18th International Congress of Orientalists (Leiden, September 1932) as a set of shared conventional marks to transcribe ancient texts (cf. VAN GRONINGEN 1932; HUNT 1932; COLLART 1933, 443–5; TURNER 1980, 70).

²⁷ Cf. also MONTEVECCHI 1966, 47.

²⁸ As is known, and as every handbook of Papyrology explains, the editions of papyrus texts (usually those provided with word indices, indispensable to precisely record the complex vocabulary of the papyri), the *Sammelbuch* that collects and registers what is sparsely published on journals or miscellaneous volumes, the main collections of documents (among the others, Wilcken's and Mitteis' *Chrestomathie*), and some relevant series or single publications, are characterized by abbrevi-

- 7) an effective management of bibliographical information (on this point, Calderini was in fact confident in the forthcoming *Bibliographie papyrologique*, see below, § 2.1);
- 8) an effective management of corrections, revisions, and re-editions, by means of a centralized coordination²⁹;
- 9) an effective redaction of reference dictionaries, with a special focus on "una specie di dizionario perpetuo dei vocaboli dei papiri, come un *Thesaurus linguae papyrorum graecae*";
- 10) an effective dissemination of the papyrological science, among both scholars of different disciplines and the wider public;
- 11) an effective consideration of the broader historical, social, economic, etc. context³⁰.

We will see that the development of Digital Papyrology runs precisely along these visionary methodological points, which have never been completely fulfilled by paper instruments that are necessarily slow in collecting and providing the needed information (see below, § 9). It is not by chance, after all, that the aforementioned 1968 AIP special commission on the electronic techniques established some general recommendations for publishing papyri in a standard format, because "pour l'automatisation de l'information en papyrologie, de telles règles permettront un usage plus rationnel et beaucoup moins coûteux des moyens mis à notre disposition" and, apropos of index formatting, "[c]ette normalisation simplifiera certaines recherches et facilitera ultérieurement la constitution d'un dictionnaire automatique". Such recommendations are still available from the AIP website³¹.

Thirdly: in its peculiar interest in the written media and their transformation over time, Papyrology is always particularly sensitive to information technologies. Apart from fashionable conspiracy theories that stress the similarities between ancient representations of tablet codices and modern laptops³², "there are real parallels between the appearance of the codex form and computerized forms of literary

ations facilitating the citation of them. This is a consolidated habit, not only in Papyrology; and the importance of a uniform system which could be used and understood by all the scholars worldwide needs very few explanations.

²⁹ Cf. also MONTEVECCHI 1966, 43 ff.

³⁰ This point is dealt with by MONTEVECCHI 1966, 41 and 42.

³¹ http://www.ulb.ac.be/assoc/aip/recomman.htm.

³²This is the backstory of a recent funny article in the "Daily Mail": http://www.dailymail.co.uk/ sciencetech/article-3430610/Is-ancient-Greek-statue-proof-time-travel-Claims-sculpture-shows-lap top-USB-ports-point-tablet-just-one-wax.html (see also https://www.thecut.com/2016/02/ancientgreeks-inventors-of-laptop-holders.html). The sculpture in question is the one reproduced below (© J. Paul Getty Museum / Open Content Program).

texts", and since "cyberspace goes far beyond the codex in allowing for non-linear, not to say interactive, reading of texts"³³, the particular attention of papyrologists to the development of textual data cannot but be increased by and in the challenges raised by the new technological infrastructures.



As in ancient times, when papyrus rolls were used to contain not only lengthy pieces of literature but also extensive registers such as tax lists, modern-age computers facilitate similar objectives, enhanced by powerful and sophisticated search engines. The affinity in the concept of papyrus rolls and modern-age computers is so close that even today when materials are reviewed on the screen, the term 'scroll' is used. Although the two media differ radically in capacity and performance values, they do originate from the same concept and desire³⁴.

It is interesting to observe, from this viewpoint, the publication of a contribution by Willy Clarysse and Katelijn Vandorpe about ancient writing, book production, and literacy as "information technologies" in *The Oxford Handbook of Engineering and Technology in the Classical World*³⁵. On the other side, information technologists

³³ CHAMPION 1999, 135, summarizing O'DONNELL 1998, 40–63. The topic has been extensively developed by VANDENDORPE 1999, where we can follow the opposition between text linearity and text tabularity (i.e. the possibility for the reader to access visual data in a non-linear order) in more and more sophisticated information media, from the codex to the hypertext (see also below, § 9).

³⁴ GAGOS 1996, 13; cf. CAYLESS 2010, 147, who states that in terms of screen-fitting, "we may be back to something more scroll-like".

³⁵ CLARYSSE – VANDORPE 2008.

sometimes look at the papyri as the ancestors of digital data storage: it is striking that the proceedings of a conference held by *Microsoft* on occasion of the diffusion of the CD-ROM support were titled *The New Papyrus*³⁶.

From a parallel, but opposite perspective, 'papyrus' and its conceptual world do not fail to influence the laymen's collective unconscious, becoming a preferential brand for writing-related firms – especially stationery shops, photocopy shops, publishing houses, paper factories, and so on³⁷ –, and eventually for electronic writing-related products. Thus we find that with *papyrus* – limiting ourselves to the most outstanding hits of a research conducted *exempli gratia* – people identify a graphical modelling software, the icon of which is – *ça va sans dire* – a stylization of Thot, the ancient Egyptian scribe-god; a font; an application for hand-written annotations, the meaningful icon of which is a papyrus roll, with the motto "beyond paper" (see picture below); a "bibliography system and knowledge manager"; a communication platform; even a videogame developer, now closed³⁸.



1.2 Issues in Digital Papyrology

Digital Papyrology can be defined as the whole set of electronic resources and methodologies aimed at creating, storing, accessing, processing, and publishing information pertaining to research and study in the various fields of interest of the papyrological discipline. The information dealt with by Digital Papyrology is the papyrological data, and is composed by a complex interplay of the papyrus as a material artefact, the text is its linguistic and graphical features, and everything

³⁶ LAMBERT – ROPIEQUET 1986; cf. VANDENDORPE 1999, 189 ff. See also, e.g., the following quotation from a reference website, comparing ancient and modern writing supports: "Si usa spesso dire che oggi abbiamo ancora la possibilità di leggere i papiri di cinquemila anni fa, mentre non siamo più in grado di fare altrettanto con una lettera scritta dieci anni fa su un floppy disk" (GALANTI 2005).

³⁷ For one sample out of many, see https://www.papyrusonline.com.

³⁸ Respectively: http://www.eclipse.org/papyrus; http://www.papyruswatch.com; https://www. androidcentral.com/papyrus-note-taking-app-goes-10-pdf-import; http://www.researchsoftwarede sign.com; http://www.isis-papyrus.com; https://it.wikipedia.org/wiki/Papyrus_Design_Group. The reason for which papyrus has become also the name of a British charity for juvenile suicide prevention (https://www.papyrus-uk.org), featuring a schematic papyrus leaf as its logo, remains obscure to me.

making up the environment of speech, the so-called context: chronology, archaeology, culture, scholarly bibliography, and so on³⁹. A narrower concept of papyrological data may refer to the sole linguistic information, the very text written on the papyrus (after all, "[w]orking with papyri is above all a matter of texts"⁴⁰). Thus, we may distinguish between databases as platforms storing and processing papyrological textual information and catalogues as platforms storing and processing papyrological contextual (or descriptive) information, what is commonly called metadata, i.e. 'data describing data'⁴¹. A special place in this interplay is reserved to the materiality of the papyrus as an artefact, physical container of data. The digital treatment of the papyrus as a material product is twofold. On one hand, it encompasses all the electronic technologies aimed at extracting and processing information from the material papyrus itself (imaging, analysis, etc.). On the other hand, it involves the creation of a virtual *avatar* of the artefact, i.e. its digital picture, which allows further developments such as virtual palaeography and virtual restorations.

A great deal of theoretical and practical tools has been accumulated, improved, and fine-tuned in more than fifty years of experimentation and use, and Digital Papyrology has now come to a turning point. On one hand, enhancements and developments are so quick and extensive that they make it necessary to trace a state of the art, as complete as possible⁴². This, in turn, could provide a strong methodological and epistemological foundation to the new trends that are standing out closer and closer on the horizon, eventually flowing into the great utopia of the digital edition of the papyri⁴³. The increasing debate, interest, and discussion about digital

³⁹ The interplay between text and context is fundamental in Papyrology: see YOUTIE 1966. This is the conceptual background underlying Youtie's abovementioned definition of the papyrologist as "artificer of fact", where the fact is the papyrological data, i.e. the published texts and their reconstructed contexts.

⁴⁰ BAGNALL 1998, 544.

⁴¹ Cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 71; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 314. Technically speaking, catalogues are of course databases themselves. This justifies why I will be referring to metadata catalogues as databases from time to time. On the concept and the mechanics of databases see RAMSAY 2004.

⁴² VAN MINNEN 2009, 652 points out how desperate is the need for what he calls "'diplomatic' handbooks for the various 'papyrologies' in existence", and it seems to me that now the same is needed for the digital resources too, since it is getting more and more difficult to reach a skilful mastery in managing the available electronic information. The *Oxford Handbook of Papyrology* makes constant reference to electronic research tools (cf. BAGNALL 2009a, xx).

⁴³ That the ultimate vocation of Papyrology is publishing the data, i.e. the texts and their contexts, is made clear by TURNER 1973, 7 ("Our first task is to set out precisely what the papyrus says, and to distinguish between what is certain or given by the text and what is a matter of inference. This is the prime responsibility of the papyrologist") and 14 ("meticulous attention to exact setting out of what the papyrus contains, step-by-step testing of the hypotheses on which even simple restoration is undertaken. This I take to be the meaning of editing, and this is the proper task of a papyrologist"; cf. also ANDORLINI – REGGIANI 2012, 131). We can therefore easily assume that the ultimate purpose of

tools makes one think that the 21st century may really be regarded as the 'century of Digital Papyrology'⁴⁴. Of course, this poses several methodological and epistemological questions, mainly related to the possible configuration of Digital Papyrology as a special discipline itself, not just a *Hilfsmittel* aimed only at speeding up and facilitating papyrological research. I will verify this assumption in the following chapters, and will offer some final thoughts in the conclusions.

Since its origins, Digital Papyrology has followed an overall trend, which can be perceived very well everywhere, in the past as well as in the currently existing instruments and platforms. It is the transition – encouraged by the parallel developments in hardware and software technologies – of the main reference tools from paper to electronic supports, "von der Lochkarte zur CD-ROM"⁴⁵, and thence to the Internet⁴⁶. Despite some secondary issues, embedded in the very mechanics of the

45 KRAMER 2004, 24. The 'electronic phase' is further divided into several steps: for example, there is an irregular but constant transition from 'early' types of digital sources (plainly scanned pictures or texts) to 'enhanced' ones (OCRed PDFs, for example) before the bigger step to the Internet hypertext.

46 It is possible to perceive this trend already in the Nineties: cf. OTRANTO 2007, 467–8. It must be stressed that several long-term projects, originally announced on CD-ROM, have then been directly published online: this can make one perceive the rapidity and fluidity of the ever-evolving technological scenario. Not by chance does QUENOUILLE 2016 divide the matter in a pre- and a post-Internet era: "Mit Aufkommen des Internets mußten sich auch die papyrologischen Tools wandeln, was ihnen mit Leichtigkeit gelang. Auch neue Möglichkeiten und neue Hilfsmittel entwickelten sich, so daß heutige PapyrologInnen auf eine Reihe von nützlichen Tools zur Entzifferung und Publikation neuer Dokumente zurückgreifen können. Die entsprechenden Tools sind alle auf Open Access Basis

Digital Papyrology is publishing the digital papyrological data, i.e. the digital edition of papyrological texts (see below, § 9).

⁴⁴ The well-known definition of the 20th century as the "century of papyrology" stems from a famous prediction by Mitteis and Mommsen (cf. MARTIN 2000 and GONIS 2006) as it has been proved by VAN MINNEN 1993, who afterwards projected it towards the future, speaking of the "millennium of papyrology" (VAN MINNEN 2007). A stronger and stronger reliance on the digital tools shines through the pages dealing with the future of the discipline (VAN MINNEN 2009, but see also Willy Clarysse's personal experience as recounted in his opening lecture Papyrology in the 21st Century, conference "Papyri & Social Networks", Leiden, October 29, 2015 – abstract online at http://media.leidenuniv. nl/legacy/abstracts-papyri-%26-social-networks-2015.pdf), as a validation of the provoking assessment advanced here, which follows a sharp remark made by Fabian Reiter at the beginning of his speech at the International Conference "Medical Papyri in a Digital World", held at the University of Parma on September 7–8, 2015. It can be noted that the most recent International Congresses of Papyrology are devoting more and more room to entirely digital sessions: while previously a single thematic session at most was devoted to computer matters, it was in 2001 (Wien) that two sessions were reserved to "Instrumenta Studiorum" (mostly digital instrumenta) for the first time. Then four panels on "Digital Technology in Papyrology, Epigraphy and Palimpsest Manuscripts" in Helsinki (2004), two on "Technology" in Ann Arbor (2007), two on "Technologie digitale et outils de travail" in Geneva (2010), two on "Papyrological Tools and Projects in Progress" in Warsaw (2013), one on "Experimental Sciences" and two on "New Technologies" in Barcelona (2016). It is the last decade of the 20th century that sees the main turning point of Digital Papyrology, as it can be perceived through the review by OTRANTO 2007, 467-8 (and see below).

Web (server functionalities, multi-user support, bandwidth limits, etc.), this has invaluably increased the potentials of open access and collaborative participation that were already envisaged by James O'Donnell as

the increased capabilities for collective scholarly advance as collaborative, cumulative dialectic, as work-in-progress susceptible of ongoing sophistication and improvement. He argues that with this will come the end of the fixity of the monologic, authoritative published word [...], and perhaps also of the book and the author as we know them⁴⁷.

We will come back to these points later on: for now, it seems just worth commenting that this looks like the most perfect fulfilment of the ideal of *amicitia papyrologorum* as sketched above, in that it significantly improves the fundamental practices of international communication and collaboration, in terms of sharing and accessibility⁴⁸. We can consider the 20th International Congress of Papyrology, held in Copenhagen in 1992 (Internet was born just the year before) as the main turning point: the second entirely computer-focused panel scheduled since the Ann Arbor 1968 congress outlined a new generation of papyrological digital resources (TLG and DDbDP on CD-ROM, HGV, APIS, Willy Clarysse's software, and not only) that would provide the very basis for all subsequent web-based developments of the discipline⁴⁹.

Integration is a further, powerful outcome of this digital shift. The transfer of paper and offline digital resources to the Web makes it possible to access several different tools at the same time; to have them available in the same place is its unavoidable consequence. As we will see later on, *Integrating Digital Papyrology* (IDP) has been the major digital papyrological project in the latest years succeeding in aggregating the main papyrological resources (textual databases, metadata catalogues, and digital pictures) in one, powerful platform⁵⁰. The interrelation of the digital practices has produced another important opportunity, not yet exploited in

frei und kostenlos zugänglich" (*ibid.*, 11). See also below, §§ 3.6, 6.4, 8.1, 8.3, 8.6. It is impressive, even from browsing a panoramic overview like DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, to note that basically all digital resources available today are accessible online in some way. On the relationship between Internet and the Humanities cf. HOCKEY 2004, 13 ff.

⁴⁷ CHAMPION 1999, 134, quoting O'DONNELL 1998, 41: "the notion that discourse must be fixed to be valid will fade".

⁴⁸ "Virtual collaboration between papyrologists at different institutions will result in better work because many archives and dossiers and certainly many types of texts add up to too many items for one papyrologist to handle alone" (VAN MINNEN 2009, 658).

⁴⁹ See below, *passim*. Short after that congress, KRAFT 1992 noted: "large on the Copenhagen papyrological horizon, along with bibliographies, hyperstacks, graphical representations, and versatility of access, was the consciousness of the value of connecting with the electronic communications networks. I would argue that this is at present the single most important electronic challenge for the inhabitants of academe".

⁵⁰ The history, purposes and outcome of this project are recounted by SOSIN 2010 (see below, § 8.3); cf. SCHUBERT 2009, 199.

its entirety but nonetheless full of valuable promises: standardization. The application of computational logic, with its formalized languages, regular routines, and inescapable rules, to papyrological data, brings an outstanding potential for putting order into the chaos of the virtually infinite idiosyncrasies that populate the papyrological world, and that were already deprecated by Calderini, as well as by Eric Turner⁵¹. Individual or traditional practices, as well as more or less voluntary ambiguities could be strongly reduced, if not completely avoided, by adopting universal formal standards for managing and processing data. This, unfortunately, is often not the case even with Digital Papyrology, as we will point out later on. Nevertheless, the possibility does exist⁵².

⁵¹ The abovementioned passage about the prime responsibility of the papyrologist (TURNER 1973, 7) is closed by the sharp statement that "[i]t is often not fulfilled with the scrupulousness and unambiguity desired".

⁵² Cf. BAGNALL 1998, 551–2. Both integration and standardization are supported by the widespread adoption of XML as the digital standard for representing texts and metadata. XML (Extensible Markup Language) is a markup language, i.e. a set of rules for annotating a document with machinereadable instructions (called 'tags', i.e. labels attached to strings, words, phrases) describing information about the text (layout, wording, semantics, critical references, etc.). It is not bound to any particular software or platform and is optimized for compatibility, interchange, and durability, so that it can be customized and further developed in subsets (schemas) oriented to specific text categories (cf. RENEAR 2004, 230-1). For instance, the XML-based papyrological resources rely on the EpiDoc guidelines, developed by Tom Elliott and adopted as from 1999 to encode scholarly editions of ancient documents (initially epigraphs) according to the Text Encoding Initiative (TEI), an international project launched in 1987 to develop guidelines for the preparation and interchange of electronic texts for scholarly research (http://www.tei-c.org; cf. Hockey 2004, 12–13; RENEAR 2004, 232– 5). TEI provides a general XML schema (or DTD, Document Type Definition) for the digital representation (transcription and description) of texts. It is important to stress that adopting an XML encoding architecture allows databanks to dialogue with each other and to maintain an overall common standard. Moreover, being a structured and semantic markup, it can be processed, queried, translated into another markup or database system, and eventually transformed in a human-readable output by means of a set of stylesheets called XSLT (cf. BODARD 2010; TUPMAN 2010; BABEU 2011, 96-101; BAGNALL 2012a, 4; see also below, § 8.5). Another significant outcome of digital standardization is the development of Unicode, a universal standard for text encoding based on 16-bit numerical codes, as from 1987–1991. Essentially Unicode assigns a unique numerical identifier to alphanumerical characters and other symbols so that they can be universally encoded independently from languages, typefaces and platforms. This has been a great enhancement of digital typewriting, previously based on ASCII 8-bit codes that allowed only a limited set of characters to be managed. In particular, the previous situation made it necessary to encode Greek texts with specific transcoding expedients, which actually encoded the text in ASCII and used specific font sets to display it in Greek characters (Alpha and Beta Code were the earliest: see below, §§ 8.1 and 8.3), so that the users had to run the very same font set used to transcode the text in order to display it correctly. Now Unicode contains a subset entirely devoted to ancient Greek, so that anyone disposing of Unicode-compatible fonts (e.g. *Times New Roman* itself) can display the same characters correctly (cf. MAGNANI 2008, 131-2; see below, § 8.1).

I will not take into consideration the multifaceted impact that electronic resources produce on their final users, papyrologists, and papyrological research. This is indeed the main subject of the PhD project *Digital Humanities in Papyrology* that is being conducted by Lucia Vannini at the Institute of Classical Studies, School of Advanced Studies, London, under the supervision of Gabriel Bodard and Nikolaos Gonis⁵³. In investigating the application of digital methods to Papyrology, and how digital approaches have influenced papyrological research practices, it is a very interesting complement to my own perspective, and I certainly refer to it as concerns this topic. However, a key concern, which has deep implications in the methodological and epistemological considerations about Digital Papyrology, deserves a particular mention. There is apparently a sort of technological (traditional?) divide between who tends to underestimate the contribution of the digital resources, thus relegating them to the rank of secondary, even optional tools, if not refusing to rely on and trust in them at all, and who, on the contrary, tends to overestimate it, regarding Digital Papyrology as the ultimate source of information. We will address this issue more precisely further on (below, § 9), but it is important to claim an effective piece of advice immediately: in medio – not in 'media'! – stat virtus!



A modern version of ancient tablets (from http://ats.ancientlyre.com/img/lap.jpg).

For a general overview of the research habits of the 'digital humanists' see BABEU 2011, 177 ff.

⁵³ Cf. https://wiki.digitalclassicist.org/Digital_Humanities_in_Papyrology_(Vannini). I am grateful to Lucia for providing me with some preliminary information about her project. See now also her discussion about "The Role of Digital Humanities in Papyrology: Practices and User Needs in Papyrological Research", presented at the Digital Classicist London seminar on June 30, 2017 – presentation and livecast at http://www.digitalclassicist.org/wip/wip2017-05lv.html.

2 Digital Bibliographies and Bibliographical Standards

Without tradition, art is a flock of sheep without a shepherd. Without innovation, it is a corpse.

Winston Churchill

Bibliographies, i.e. lists of publications, contain references to primary or secondary literature, which falls into the digital category of metadata described above (§ 1.2). Bibliographies are in fact metadata catalogues themselves. In the papyrological field we may distinguish between general bibliographies (basically, the *Bibliographie Papyrologique*), special bibliographies (bibliographical repertories devoted to particular themes), individual bibliographies (bibliographic references to single papyri), and checklists (catalogues of reference works and edition volumes, of which they usually provide the 'official' abbreviations). As we will see, standardization is the real sore point for bibliographical resources, due to different traditions and needs – the lowest common denominator can indeed be provided just by Digital Papyrology.

2.1 Bibliographie Papyrologique

The most complete and comprehensive bibliographical resource in Papyrology is the *Bibliographie Papyrologique* (BP), "une bibliographie générale de la papyrologie grecque depuis ses origines", following the definition provided by its own founder, Marcel Hombert, in 1932. The scientific range and the practical effort of such a project are easily imaginable, and the same Hombert presented their outlines during a talk delivered at the second International Congress of Papyrology (Leiden 1931), then published on *Chronique d'Egypte* one year later¹. Its details, though being of huge interest from the point of view of both the history of the discipline and the bibliographical sciences, fall outside the purposes of the present pages. I just recall that the project was designed into two different stages: the production of a retrospective bibliographical inventory of what had been published until then and the redaction of a current bibliographical resource, on cardboard sheets, aimed at keeping a constantly updated overview of Papyrology-related publications. For financial reasons, only the latter task was launched, supported by the Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth at Bruxelles and edited by the same Hombert, until 1992,

¹ HOMBERT 1932; text also online on the BP website (http://www.aere-egke.be/projet.pdf).

later flanked and then substituted by Georges Nachtergael, from 1974 to 2009, and then Alain Martin, since 1984.

At a later time, a paper presented by Nachtergael and Roger S. Bagnall at the 15th International Congress of Papyrology (Bruxelles 1977; then published in the *Proceedings*²) represented a milestone in the history of this bibliographical resource, in that it marked the meeting point with the electronic tools. The increasing number of papyrological publications was making it increasingly complicated to manage the bulk of information, while the need for a good retrospective bibliography was still felt: in this situation, turning to the new information technologies was not avoidable any more³. Thus, the cardboards were digitized, in several different stages, at the Columbia University, by means of the bibliographical software *ProCite*⁴: by 1992, 8,529 files were created, covering the publications of the years 1976–1989, collected in a series of floppy disks published by Scholars Press. In the meantime, the updates to the current bibliography continued to be circulated to subscribers, on the traditional cardboards and, as of 1995, in both A4 paper prints and a digital card-like *FileMaker Pro* database – first on floppy disks, then as electronic mail attachments.

In 2000, the Fondation Reine Élisabeth produced a CD-ROM called *Subsidia Papyrologica 1.0*, which contained 24,215 bibliographical records covering the years 1960–1999 (= "BP 60–99"), organized in a *FileMaker Pro* database and including both the files created at Columbia, converted in the new format, and more recent records. This first issue was followed by versions 2.0 (years 1932–2004 = "BP 32–04", total 37,506 records), 3.0 (years 1932–2007 = "BP 32–07", total 41,620 records), and 4.0, the most recent one at present, released in 2010 and edited by Alain Martin with the cooperation of Roger Bagnall, Alexandre Buchet, Annie Deknudt, Alain Delattre, Paul Heilporn, and Henri Melaerts. It contains roughly 44,000 records, covering the years 1932–2010⁵. The CD-ROM includes also a database of "concord-ances", listing the index numbers used to categorize the bibliographical citations, the abbreviations of papyrological⁶ and epigraphical texts, the abbreviations of periodicals and journals. The query function is very simple and compliant with *FileMaker* database search options. It is possible to search for words in all the fields in which the records are arranged: indexed subject; mentioned documents, if appli-

² NACHTERGAEL – BAGNALL 1979; text online at http://www.aere-egke.be/historique.pdf. Cf. also BAGNALL 1998, 544–5.

³ The same need was felt by the Italian scholars who took care of the two bibliographies ("Bibliografia metodica" and "Testi recentemente pubblicati") on the journal *Aegyptus*, which closely parallel the two prospected sections of BP. The project of digitizing such bibliographies was announced by TIBILETTI 1988 but, as far as I know, never accomplished.

⁴ Cf. Dell'Orso 1999.

⁵ http://www.aere-egke.be/BP.htm. For the history of BP digitization see also NACHTERGAEL – MAR-TIN 2008 and MARTIN 2010, 509–12.

⁶ See below (§ 2.4) for the issues related to the abbreviating system used by the BP.

cable; author and title; publication details; summary of the content; possible reference to *Sammelbuch* or *Supplementum Epigraphicum Graecum*; possible critical reviews; unique numeral identifier of the record; surnames of the authors; publication year⁷. These digital cards represent therefore an enhanced version of the information collected in the cardboards.

WINTER J.G. and H.C. YOUTIE, Cotton in Graeco-Roman Egypt.

American Journal of Philology 65 (1944) pp. 249-258.

Texte, commentaire et traduction de deux lettres privées du II°s. ap. J.C. (P. Mich. Inv. 3630 et 1648) qui mentionnent expressément l'usage du coton en Egypte.

141.4 364 Epistulae 757

141.4 P. Mich. Inv. 1648; 3630

Winter John G. and Herbert C. Youtie, Cotton in Graeco-Roman Egypt.

AJA 65 (1944) pp. 249-258.

Deux lettres privées du lle s. p.C. qui mentionnent expressément l'usage du coton en Égypte.

S.B. VI, 9025-9026

44/0155 Winter Youtie

C.R. par Marcel Hombert, ChrEg 23 (1948) Nos 45-46, pp. 204-206.

1944

Comparison between paper (top) and digital (bottom) BP record (from MARTIN 2010)

⁷ Cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 6.

As of 2011, BP took advantage of the technological progress accomplished with the project *Integrating Digital Papyrology* (see above and below, §§ 1.2 and 8.3–4) and became part of the *Papyri.info* portal: the data from its digital records were converted in XML format and are now available and searchable through the page http://papyri.info/bibliosearch⁸. Simple text searches are possible; in the following picture, the quick guidelines provided on the page itself are visible:

Papyri.info	<u>sign in</u>
Browse: DDbDP HGV APIS TM Number or Search: Data Bibliography	
Search Bibliography	Search
To search anywhere within a record enter the word or words in the search box, e.g.: Bowman or Be land (space between words = 'and'). To restrict searches to specific fields enter, e.g.: author:Hombert title:bibliographie d A search title: "land lease" finds all records with the phrase "land lease" or "land-lease" in search for title: (land lease) finds all records with the the word "land" and the word "lease	owman iate:1932. . the title. A " in the title.
Such search constraints work with the following fields: author:, title:, date:, note:, inde editor:, pubPlace:, original: (original = the entire original BP record).	x:,
Accents are ignored, so that etudes finds études. Wildcard searches are possible, so that ptolem* Ptolemy, Ptolemies, Ptolémées vel sim.	will find

The fields indicated come from the original BP *FileMaker Pro* records. The results are listed in a series of links that point to each related record.

The following picture shows the same bibliographical entry as in the samples above. The record is divided into three main sections: "Original BP record", containing indeed the information from the original BP record, according to the usual field arrangement but based on the new XML structure; "Provisional Papyri.info output" is a provisional HTML compact display of the record; "Mentioned Texts" lists possible references to papyri cited in the bibliographical entry, linked to the corresponding record(s) in the textual database (see below, § 8.4).

In the next pages, I reproduce the current record of the mentioned sample as it appears on the BP via *Papyri.info*, followed by its underlying TEI-compliant XML source.

⁸ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 297–8.



```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<br/>sibl xmlns="http://www.tei-c.org/ns/1.0" xml:id="b38003" type="article" sub-
type="journal">
   <title level="a" type="main">Cotton in Graeco-Roman Egypt.</title>
   <author n="1">
      <surname>Winter</surname>
      <forename>John G.</forename>
   </author>
   <author n="2">
      <forename>Herbert C.</forename>
      <surname>Youtie</surname>
   </author>
   <date>1944</date>
   <biblScope type="pp" from="249" to="258">249-258</biblScope>
   <note resp="#BP">Deux lettres privées du IIe s. p.C. qui mentionnent expressé-
ment l'usage du coton en Égypte.</note>
   <relatedItem type="appearsIn">
      <bibl>
         <ptr target="http://papyri.info/biblio/37"/>
         <!--ignore - start, i.e. SoSOL users may not edit this-->
         <title level="j" type="short-BP">AJA</title>
         <!--ignore - stop-->
      </bibl>
   </relatedItem>
   <biblScope type="issue">65</biblScope>
   <relatedItem type="mentions" n="1">
      <bibl>
```

```
<title level="s" type="short">SB</title>
         <biblScope type="vol">VI</biblScope>
         <biblScope type="num">9025</biblScope>
         <idno type="ddb">sb;6;9025</idno>
      </hihl>
   </relatedItem>
   <relatedItem type="mentions" n="2">
      <bibl>
         <title level="s" type="short">SB</title>
         <biblScope type="vol">VI</biblScope>
         <biblScope type="num">9026</biblScope>
         <idno type="ddb">sb;6;9026</idno>
      </bibl>
   </relatedItem>
   <idno type="pi">38003</idno>
   <idno type="bp">1944-0155</idno>
   <seg type="original" subtype="index" resp="#BP">141.4 364 Epistulae 757</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="indexBis" resp="#BP">141.4 P. Mich. Inv. 1648;
3630 </seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="titre" resp="#BP">Winter John G. and Herbert C.
Youtie, Cotton in Graeco-Roman Egypt.</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="publication" resp="#BP">AJA 65 (1944) pp. 249-
258.</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="resume" resp="#BP">Deux lettres privées du IIe s.
p.C. qui mentionnent expressément l'usage du coton en Égypte.</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="sbSeg" resp="#BP">S.B. VI, 9025-9026.</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="cr" resp="#BP">C.R. par Marcel Hombert, ChrEg 23
(1948) Nos 45-46, pp. 204-206.</seg>
   <seg type="original" subtype="nom" resp="#BP">Winter Youtie</seg>
</bibl>
```

The most striking feature of the new online version of the BP is the possibility to create new entries or edit the existing ones for any registered user of *Papyri.info*. This goes in the same collaborative direction as the whole *Papyri.info* platform (see below, § 8.5). To create a new entry, one needs to sign in the *Papyrological Editor* and then go to the "Advanced Create" page and click the button "Create New Biblio". XML encoding is facilitated by a form-like interface, full of detailed fields that must be carefully filled in according to the bibliographical information to be encoded (picture in the next page).

Each bibliographical record is given a unique 'publication' identifier ('Biblio 2017–0003' in the example), which is not related to the record itself but to the editing instance, according to the *Papyrological Editor* custom (see below, § 8.5). It is of course possible, through the same form, to edit existing bibliographical records: once the desired record is opened on the *Papyri.info* platform, a click on the "edit" link, to be found in the "provisional output" section, will lead to the editing interface. The results, in both cases, must be saved and submitted to the editorial board.

20 — 2 Digital Bibliographies and Bibliographical Standards

Inter Information ype Subtype yPe BP old SBN SD SBN SD SBN SD SBN SD SBN SD SBN SD Checklist SCategory with SD Checklist concal Style concal Style save entire file Style cock Short Title save entire file Style cock Stort Title save entire file Last Name kathorian Iasue save entire file Style cock Stort Title save entire file <th></th>	
ype Subtype Subtype yP BP old IDP save entire file Checklist seve entire file ournal Short Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add Save entire file Stries ite Pape Total Style Stries ite Stries ite Stries ite Stries ite Stries ite Stries Stries ite Stries ite Stries Stries ite Stries <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
BP BP old IDP b2017-0003 SBN SD Checklist Save entire file Sork Short Title Style Sook Short Short Title Style Sook Short Short Title Short Title Style Sook Short Short Title Style Short Title Style Short Title Short Title </td <td></td>	
SBN SD Checklist save entire file icincral uticle ournal Sbott Short Title Style Sty	
save entire file ieneral iricle ournal ournal Short Short Title Bibliographie Papyrologique add book book book book book book book bo	
incice infice in	
ricle rice rice rice rice rice rice rice ric	
sumal Short Title Style book book bibliographie Papyrologique v add bibliographie	
Joint me Style ook Bibliographie Papyrologique ook Short Style ook Short Bibliographie Papyrologique ook Short First Name Last Name Name add add save entire file ditorial ate istributor istributor Gilors First Name Last Name Name add istributor	
ook Short Title Style ook Short Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add as ave entire file ditorial add ate Edition Its ue istributor ditors First Name Last Name Name add add add add add add add add add ad	
cock Short Short Title Style iiibliographie Papyrologique ~ add uthors First Name Last Name aave entire file ditorial ate iffits Name istributor	
Bibliographic Papyrologique vadd uthors First Name Last Name Name add ate Edition istributor istributor istributor Inmame Value Name Name add ate Edition istributor Issue ate Edition istributor Type Value Name add save entire file other apyrological Series: itle other save entire file volume agprological Series: itle age from page Total age from Page Total age from Page Total age from asold uthore itle uthore itle itle	
uniors Prist Name Last Name Name save entire file ditorial ate ate ate ate Edition lisue Sitibutor ditorial ate Edition lisue Sitibutor ditorial ate Edition lisue Edition ditorial ate Edition lisue ditorial ate Edition lisue Mame Name Last Name Name Name Name Name Value Name add Strint Tore olumn Link Striations Innotation Responsibility Annotation	
save entire file ditorial ate ate ditorial ate ate ate ate ate ate ate a	
alter fulle save entire file stributor difors ate istributor Type Value Name Value Name Value add add add add add add add ad	
ate Edition Issue istributor Type Value Annotation add asve entire file rrics te Short Title Style Volume ave entire file rrics Tra secicle U	
ane conton insue i	
rditors First Name Last Name Name vublisher Type Value add save entire file output Volume rapyrological Series: Volume Volume ieries Short Short Title Style save entire file Bibliographie Papyrologique vald save entire file Image From Page Total usage from Page Total Image From ustrations Tome Image From inotation Link Image From	
Type Value Name add save entire file volume volume <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Type Value Name add save entire file title Volume apyrological Series: Volume itele Short Title Style itelesis Short Short Title Style add Bibliographie Papyrologique add save entire file Style Pages Total Pages Total lage From Pages Total lage From Dage To Jumber Tome Justrations Annotation	
Name add save entire file Volume itle Volume 'apyrological Series: Volume itle Short Title Volume 'apyrological Series: Bibliographie Papyrologique ``add save entire file Bibliographie Papyrologique ``add 'save entire file Bibliographie Papyrologique ``add 'save entire file Itle 'save entire file	
save entire file	
itie Volume apyrological Series: tile Volume apyrological Series: tile Volume eries Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add save entire file Stra save entire file txtra treface Total Page To Link age From Page To Link ascicle ustrations nnotation Responsibility Annotation add	
itile Volume Pagyrological Series: itile Volume itile Vo	
Papyrological Series: Itile Volume Volume Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add Save entire file Pages Total Page To Page To Page To Link Saccide Usarations Column Link Saccide Save Series Style Sa	
ite Volume Volume erles Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add save entire file Strta reface Total Page Too Page To umber Tome ascicle Ustrations nnotation Responsibility Annotation add	
leties Short Title Style Bibliographie Papyrologique add save entire file Stra Teface Total Page Total age From Page To Jumber Tome Solumn Llink ascicle Justrations Innotation Responsibility Annotation add	
Save entire file Sktra Stra Stra Stra	
save entire file	
Innotation Responsibility Annotation add	
reface Total Pages Total lage From Page To lage From Come Column Link lustrations unnotation Responsibility Annotation add	
age From Page To Page	
Initial Column Link ascicle Initial Column C	
ascicle Justrations Innotation Responsibility Annotation add	
Instrations Annotation Responsibility Annotation add	
unotation Responsibility Annotation add	
add	
all and the second s	
save entire file	
leference	
teedition	
ppears in add	
eviewee List add	
entres volume Number DDB IM INV. No.	
save entire me	
dit summary (Briefly describe the changes you have made):	

It must be noted that for technical issues BP records available via *Papyri.info* are covered up to 2012. For a more recent and versatile online resource, one should refer to the *Bibliographie Papyrologique en ligne*, a new bibliographic databank developed by the Association Reine Élisabeth itself (http://www.aere-egke.be/BP_enligne.htm). The tool, officially announced at the International Congress of Barcelona in 2016, covers the "retrospective BP" from 1932 up to one year before the current date (53,000 records up to 2016). The records of the current year, i.e. the "current BP", are temporarily reserved for the subscribers, who also receive five yearly updates of the offline digital BP. The search interface reproduces the *FileMaker* fields; the re-

sults are displayed in rectangles that simulate the cardboard format, and there is the possibility to print them all, or individually. The home page provides links to the abovementioned concordances, in PDF format⁹.



The two tools only partially overlap, in terms of both coverage and functionality. For now, the BP *en ligne* is by far the most up to date, but the possibility for the users of adding new records to the BP via *Papyri.info* creates an imbalance also for the years before 2012. On the other hand, the output of the former looks more charming than the latter, and the possibility to easily print single 'cards' or the whole of the results is not to underestimate. However, the XML background of the latter grants great compatibility to other papyrological tools, and complies with the beneficial requirements of integration and standardization: consider the possibility to link the papyrus documents from the bibliographical record, and also to create direct links to single bibliographical records, through stable URLs like http://papyri.info/biblio/ 38003, which is not possible with the Bruxelles platform. An interconnection between the two tools would be highly recommended, also in view of the multiple functions of this bibliographical resource: archive of past publications, quick reference for bibliographical inquiries, statistical base for general reflections on papyro-

⁹ Index codes: http://www.aere-egke.be/codes.pdf; papyrological abbreviations: http://www.aere-egke.be/sigles_pap.pdf; epigraphical abbreviations: http://www.aere-egke.be/sigles_ep.pdf; abbreviations of periodicals: http://www.aere-egke.be/periodiques.pdf.

logical studies. The latter goal, usually neglected¹⁰, is now much favoured by the recent integration of BP data in *Trismegistos*, which allows further quantitative considerations (see below, §§ 3.3 and 7.2).



Bibliographical records in the new BP en ligne.

2.2 Trismegistos Bibliographies

Powerful bibliographical tools are indeed offered also by the *Trismegistos* platform (see below, §§ 3.3). *TM Bibliography* (*TM Bib*, http://www.trismegistos.org/genbib) is an online, searchable bibliography aimed at facilitating querying in the *TM Texts* database. The main purpose of this resource is "to find more information about a specific publication or to find out which texts were published together in a particular book"¹¹; this means that the resource is far from being a complete and exhausting bibliography of Papyrology and related studies, as the editors themselves warn, though it covers a wide range of texts anyway, and is enriched by the recent inclusion of BP data in the *TM Editors* section¹². Queries can be launched by author/editor name, title, year, journal/series of publication; the parameters can be combined with one another in the TM fashion.

Conversely, the *Demotistische Literaturübersicht* (*DemLü* or DL, http://www.tris megistos.org/dl) aims at completeness: it is an ongoing comprehensive bibliography of all types of publications related to the field of Demotic studies, and appears also in regular printed instalments in the journal *Enchoria* since 1971. It started from a

¹⁰ But see how BP data are exploited in MARTIN 2009 to trace appraisals and future perspectives of some papyrological studies.

¹¹ http://www.trismegistos.org/index_bib.php.

¹² See below, § 3.3; cf. http://www.trismegistos.org/edit.

bibliographical database collected by H.-J. Thissen (Köln University, see below, § 3.3) and is now fully searchable, by number of notice or by text strings in the fields (author/editor, title, year, journal/series, summary). Unlike *TM Bib*, DL offers also a detailed summary of the contents of each publication. Both bibliographies are linked to TM texts, and vice versa, in the worthy ideal integration of resources traditionally sought by the Leuven platform (see below, § 3.3).



Sample record of *Demotistische Literaturübersicht*.

2.3 The Checklist of Editions

When Georges Nachtergael, one of the fathers of BP, reviewed the fifth edition of the *Checklist of Editions*, he noted:

On se gardera naturellement de confondre la *Checklist* avec une liste d'abréviations ! Il s'agit d'abord et avant tout [...] d'une bibliographie raisonnée de toutes les monographies, récentes et anciennes, relatives aux documents sur papyrus, parchemin, ostraca et tablettes¹³.

Few lines before, he had praised Hombert's abbreviating methodology, founded on the principles of coherence and clarity also for non-specialists (see below, § 2.4): no surprise that he tended to attribute a wider bibliographical mission to the *Checklist*.

¹³ NACHTERGAEL 2002, 335.

But it was indeed the increasingly pressing need to dispose of universally standardized, comprehensible, and recognizable references that had led to the redaction of the first *Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca*, edited by John F. Oates, Roger S. Bagnall, and William H. Willis, and published in the first issue of number 11 of the *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists* (1974).

In fact, as one can read in its introduction, the first attempt "grew out of the efforts of Oates to assemble a working collection of papyrological material in the Papyrology and Palaeography Room in the Rare Book and Manuscript Department of the Duke University Library" in order to avoid that the papyrological volumes be catalogued on the basis of the different publishing series of each, "burying the standard papyrological system of reference under a serial classification or even deeper in a subseries (One must admit that many an edition of papyri presents a bibliographical nightmare)"¹⁴. However, there existed a wider goal:

Another, and equally important, purpose of this list is to establish a standard list of papyrological abbreviations. Such a standard list may be an ideal incapable of fulfillment, and it may seem a presumptuous undertaking on the part of a few persons to impose their standards on everyone else. Nonetheless, standardization is a highly desirable goal, and the decision to attempt it was established at a conference held at Marburg on the occasion of the XIIIth International Congress of Papyrology on August 6, 1971. Present at the meeting were Professors Eric G. Turner (London), President of the Association Internationale de Papyrologues, Jean Bingen (Brussels, Secretary-Treasurer of the international organization and editor of *Chronique d'Egypte*, Alan E. Samuel (Toronto), then Secretary of the American Society of Papyrologists and editor of the *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists*, Willis, then President of the American Society of Papyrologists, and Oates. Oates had at that time already prepared a checklist of editions, and it was agreed that he should proceed to modify that list with a view to producing what would become a standard list of abbreviations and references. Shortly thereafter Bagnall joined the project. Willis, too, subsequently became an active participant¹⁵.

Inconsistent abbreviations were indeed a long-standing pain for Papyrology, as Calderini's early methodological outlines show¹⁶. It is interesting to continue the reading of the introductory presentation, in order to understand the founding parameters of the *Checklist*, still at the basis of its more recent digital version; it may be noted that such a description is not that far from Hombert's concerns for BP.

The principles that have governed our choices do not aim at a rigid consistency. Brevity and clarity have been the chief goals. Usages long sanctioned, particularly by Wilcken, have been maintained. Our preference has been to use abbreviations based on the location of the collection (*P.Mich.*), on the site where found (*P.Oxy.*) or on the name of the person whose papers constitute an archive (*P.Petaus*) wherever possible. We have arranged our list in four categories: editions of papyrological texts (e.g. *P.Teb.*), editions of ostraca (e.g. *O.Mich.*), corpora of texts of

¹⁴ OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS 1974, 1.

¹⁵ OATES – BAGNALL – WILLIS 1974, 1–2; cf. BABEU 2011, 10.

¹⁶ CALDERINI 1936, 355; see above, § 1.1.

related nature (e.g. *C.Ord.Ptol.*), and series (e.g. *Pap.Lugd.Bat.*). We have made no systematic attempt to include all publications of literary papyri since they are conveniently located through R.A. Pack, *The Greek and Latin Literary Texts from Greco-Roman Egypt* (2nd ed., Ann Arbor 1965). In general, we have not listed documentary material which should find its way to publication in *SB*, although important and continuing publications (*P.Panop.* and *P.Stras.*) have been noted. We have provided some cross-references to variant abbreviations but have not attempted to scour the sources for all such. We have also noted reprinted editions as far as they are known to us¹⁷.

Subsequently, the notable increase in papyrus editions made it necessary to produce a second edition of the list after just four years. It was printed as BASP Supplement 1 (Missoula, Montana 1978)¹⁸, edited by Oates, Bagnall and Willis as well, with corrections, updates and supplementary information, among which a list of editions arranged by year of publication, drawn up by Klaas A. Worp¹⁹. Six years later, a third edition (BASP Suppl. 4, Missoula, Montana 1984), edited by Oates, Bagnall, Willis, and Worp, extended the purposes of the *Checklist* to include, for the first time, the digital resources. In the meantime, indeed, the project of the *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri*, aimed at digitizing the whole *corpus* of the Greek documentary papyri, had launched (see below, § 8.3), and the *Checklist* immediately seemed a privileged way to have "accurate bibliographical records for the project", and therefore it was updated and adapted "to enter it into computer-readable form"²⁰.

The projection towards digitization is even clearer in the *Preface* to the fourth edition of the *Checklist* (BASP Suppl. 7, Atlanta, Georgia, 1992), edited by the same Oates, Bagnall, Willis, and Worp. Now,

the third function of the *Checklist* is to provide a canon of the volumes containing documentary texts which have been or will be entered in the Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, in or der that a complete corpus of all published Greek and Latin documentary papyri, ostraca and tablets in machine readable form may easily be accessed, searched and concordanced by computer. Each volume already entered in the Data Bank is starred with an asterisk. Of the 440 volumes published to date, 375 are available on PHI CD ROM no. 6 issued by the Packard Humanities Institute, including all texts entered into the Data Bank up to 5 April 1991. Data entry continues, and additional volumes are being entered continuously in inverse order of their date of publication, with priority given to those published most recently. Volumes entered since 5 April 1991 are also asterisked in the *Checklist*²¹.

Joining the *Checklist* to the textual database facilitated also its updates, without relying on new paper issues:

19 OATES – BAGNALL – WILLIS 1978.

¹⁷ OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS 1974, 2.

¹⁸ Cf. LECLERCQ 1980.

²⁰ OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS - WORP 1984, vii.

²¹ OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS - WORP 1992, viii. For PHI CD-ROMs see below, § 8.3.

The basic data for the *Checklist* are maintained in a continually updated version at the Duke Data Bank. PHI CD ROM no. 6 contains Electronic Edition B as it stood in 5 April 1991. Electronic Edition A, revised as of 8 September 1988, was included on PHI CD ROM no. 2²².

The last paper edition, the fifth one, was published in 2001 (BASP Suppl. 9), edited by Oates, Bagnall, and Worp together with Sarah J. Clackson, Alexandra A. O'Brien, Joshua D. Sosin, and Terry G. Wilfong. The most notable innovation is the inclusion of the editions of Coptic and Demotic papyri, to which the usual standard abbreviations (with *P*. and *O*. indicating, respectively, editions of papyri and *ostraka*) were applied²³. Meanwhile, the birth (in 1991) and development of the World Wide Web hypertext architecture (see above and below, §§ 1.1 and 9) had provided an even more dynamic and quick tool for updating and accessing data. Thus, the latest electronic *Checklist* edition on physical support (PHI CD-ROM no. 7), updated to June 30, 1996, was replaced by an online version hosted by the servers of the Duke University (Durham, NC) at the URL http://scriptorium.lib.duke.edu/papyrus/texts/clist.html, serving also as the bibliographical canon of the *Duke Databank*, equally moved to the Web²⁴.

CHECKLIST OF EDITIONS OF GREEK, LATIN, DEMOTIC AND COPTIC PAPYRI, OSTRACA AND TABLETS				
PREFACE	I. PAPYRI			
INTELIDUARIA I BATZI DUARIA I LAN LA MARINA I DITENDIALA I DITENDIALI I LA MARINA I DITENDIALI I DITENDIALI	 Actensticke Berlin, London, Pariz, ed. U. Wilcken, Berlin Bill (JubBerlin 1856, Ashang, Abh. 1: pr. 10. Wilcken, Berlin and Andemizologing are stime for the state of payrinshinder [Leipzig 1970] 39–104). Nos. 1–12. Republished in UP2 II 205–207, 214–215, 217–221, 226–228. [MF 1.10; pr. DCA] BOU Actensticke Urbanide and an Königlichen (later Staatlichen) Museen zu Berlin, Griechtsche Urbaniden. Berlin, I, 1895. Nos. 136–406. [MF 1.1; pr. CG] II, 1990. Nos. 103–406. [MF 1.2; pr. CG] II, 1990. Nos. 103–406. [MF 1.3; pr. CG] V. Der Commen der Jahn Login. Erster Teil: Der Faz, ed. W. Schubart, 1919. Zweiter Teil: Der Kommentar, by W. CG, Darin Marka, M. Schubart, 1919. Sweiter Teil: Der Kommentar, by W. CG, Darin Marka, M. Schubart, 1919. Sweiter Teil: Der Kommentar, by W. CG, Darin Marka, D. Schubart, 1910. Nos. 101–100, 190. [MF 1.5; pr. CG] V. Der Commen der Jahn Login. Erster Teil: Der Faz, ed. W. Schubart and E. Kuhn. 1922. Nos. 1211–1303 are payri; nos. 1304–1309 outers. [MF 1.6; pr. CG] V. Der Commen der Jahn Login. 1504. [MF 1.6; pr. CG] V. Der Commen der Jahn Login. 1504. [MF 1.7; pr. CG] V. Der Commen der Jahn Login. 150–1552, 1677–1272 outers, non. 1509–1690 (order tablets. [MF 1.7; pr. CG] V. Berlow and Ornshade and Flockangfort and Philadelphia ner Faylon, ed. P. Viereck and F. Zacker, 1926. Nos. 1330–1300. [MF 1.9; pr. CG] V. Pagnyen durcher Payrer and anticher Barrie der Wallet. 1970. Nos. 1301–2101. [MF 1.9; pr. CG] X. Paynara and Hermapholit. 200. [MK 1.8]; pr. CG] X. Paynara and Hermapholit. 200. [MK 1.8]; pr. CG] X. Paynarana antherma Parker, 201. Nos. 1301–21			

²² OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS - WORP 1992, viii.

24 OATES - BAGNALL - CLACKSON - O'BRIEN - SOSIN - WILFONG - WORP 2001, x; see below, § 8.3.

²³ OATES – BAGNALL – CLACKSON – O'BRIEN – SOSIN – WILFONG – WORP 2001, ix. A *Checklist of Arabic Documents*, edited by P.M. Sijpesteijn, J.F. Oates, A. Kaplony, E.M. Youssef-Grob, and D. Potthast, is kept separated at http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/isapchecklist, but it followed more or less the same changes as the other Checklist, of which it emulates the structure: a "Beta Version" was published on BASP in 2005 with an introduction about Arabic Papyrology and general observations (SIJPESTEIJN – OATES – KAPLONY 2005), then it moved to the Internet with two versions (2011 and 2016) downloadable in PDF format from the website of the International Society of Arabic Papyrology (ISAP, see below, § 6.1), where a digital version of the original article is also available.

CHECKLIST OF EDITIONS OF GREEK, LATIN, DEMOTIC, AND COPTIC PAPYRI, OSTRACA AND TABLETS [Last Updated 1 June 2011]

	Editors: Joshua D. Sosin, Roger S. Bagnall,				
	James Cowey, Mark Depauw,				
	Terry O. williong, and Klaas A. worp				
Founding Editors: John F. Oates and William H. Willis					
FREFACE	PREFACE				
NOTE TO LIBERS 1 RAPTED DROP WATER (CITE) RTETO DITUTT KILL MATING RTETO REAL AND TABLETS IL CORFORA N. INSTRUMENTA V. SERIES	The primary purpose of the Checklist of Greek, Latin, Demotic and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca and Tablets is to provide for and librarians a ready bibliography of all monographic volumes, both current and out-of-print, of Greek, Latin, Demotic Coptic documentary texts on papyrus, parchament, ostraca or wood tablets. Tests published in periodicals as journal articl mainly excluded, but with a number of exceptions based on the extent of the edition or the presence of full indexes. Gree published separately are regularly republished (but without translation or commentary) in successive volumes of Samme greichicher Urkanden aus Agprent (38), the volumes of which are included here. A separate Sammelbuch has now beg republication of Coptic texts (58 Kopt.). Many volumes containing documentary texts publish literary and ubliterary text and such volumes are of course included, together with volumes of the same series that are exclusively literary. No syste attempt to include all exclusively literary and subliterary volumes has been made. Supplementary material-Corpora, Inta Series, etchas been added as seemed appropriate. We are aware that we have not achieved complete internal consistent matters, where we were in doubt, we have chosen to follow what appeared to us the most useful path rather than to aim a				
VI. PERIODICALS VII. EXPERIMITION ON PUBLISHERS VIII. LIST OF EDITIONS OF GREIX AND COPTIC DOCUMENTARY PAPTINE BY VIEW OF FUBLICATION DC. PROCEEDINGS OF INTERNATIONAL CONGRESSES	standardization. The Demotic material included in this edition of the Checklist has called for fuller treatment, and we have included more information about reprints and readitions than is the case for texts in the other languages. Our treatment of the Demotic rowes a good deal to the work of S.P. Vleeming and A.A. den Brinker in their Checklist of Demotic Text Editions and Rei (ChecklistDem.) (Leiden 1993). A second objective of the original Checklist was to establish a standard list of abbreviations for editions of Greek texts. It is objective has been largely achieved, it is our hope that this expanded version will provide the same service for the C.D. enotion material. We particularly achieved, it use of P. and O. to signify works with texts on payrus and ostrace. We have the provide the same service for the C.D.				
APPENDIX OTHER CITATIONS SOMETIMES USED FOR EDITIONS OF PAPTRI	keep as closely as possible to traditional usage in the abbreviations we have proposed. The fifth printed edition of the <i>Checklist</i> was closed on 15 March 2001. The fourth edition of the <i>Checklist</i> (Bulletin of th American Society of Papyrologists Supplement 7, 1992) was revised and corrected as of 20 March 1992. Preceding it in form were the third edition, closed in November 1984 (BASP Supplement 4, 1985); the second edition, closed on 30 Jun (BASP Supplement 1, 1978); and the first edition, published as <i>BASP</i> 11 no. 1 (1974). For an account of the origin and development of the <i>Checklist</i> , normalet or June 30, 1996, can be found on Packard Humanities Institute CDROM n This World Wide Web version of the <i>Checklist</i> is continually undated: cite as:				
	John F. Oates, Roger S. Bagnall, Sarah J. Clackson, Alexandra A. O'Brien, Joshua D. Sosin, Terry G. Wilfong, and Klaas A. Worp, Checklist of Greek, Latin, Demotic and Copite Papyri, Ostroca and Tablets, http://scriptorium.lib.duke.edu/papyrus/texts/clist.html, Month, 2009.				
	The Orientian are served as use cannot on the Due Data Data to the Outer data and the ODBDP are in general those established in the Checklist. Papyrological journal titles are listed in Section \underline{V}_1 along with the abbreviations we used in referring to them. Other journ when not given in full, are abbreviated according to the list of the American Journal of Archaeology, which can be found current form at "cwww.ajaonline.org/index.php?type=pageRpdide $\mathbb{S} > \mathbb{N}$				

The possibilities offered by the web pages made it useless to update both the electronic CD-ROM edition and the paper edition of the *Checklist*: the new *Checklist of Editions of Greek, Latin, Demotic, and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca and Tablets*, edited by Sosin, Bagnall, Wilfong, Worp, along with James Cowey and Mark Depauw, was kept up to date according to the traditional arrangement (nine sections dealing with: papyri; *ostraka* and tablets; *corpora*; instruments; series; periodicals; information on publishers; chronological list of the editions; proceedings of the international congresses; an appendix on other non-standard abbreviations), while the new hypertextual structure facilitated its use: each section corresponded to a single web page, hyperlinked through a side-bar menu on the left, with the first, longer section – the one dealing with the papyrus editions – was further articulated alphabetically (see pictures above). The hypertextual edition of the *Checklist* (now at the address http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus/texts/clist.html; the older URL still works but redirects the users to the new one) is updated to June 1, 2011: since then, it has been joined to the *Papyri.info* platform as a result of the pro-
ject *Integrating Digital Papyrology* (see above and below, §§ 1.2 and 8.4). It has therefore followed the fate of the textual database to which it had been connected for many years, and is now available at the URL http://papyri.info/docs/checklist, edited by Sosin, Bagnall, Cowey, Depauw, together with Rodney Ast, Alain Delattre, Robert Maxwell, and Paul Heilporn (picture below). As the new short introduction explains, the choice of the abbreviations is now open to discussion of the papyrological community, according to the collaborative trend that we already sketched in the *Introduction* and to which we will come back later on (§§ 8.5 and 9). The structural arrangement is the very same as before, though, as to now, a hypertextual arrangement that facilitate navigation as in the old Duke website is missing.

While updating seems to be a still painful issue – for example: to May 31, 2017, no reference to the *Proceedings of the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Warsaw 2013)*, published in summer 2016, has been added yet –, the most striking fruit of the integration of the *Checklist* to the *Papyri.info* platform is the addition to each item of a hyperlink (see detail in picture below), which brings the users to the digital texts of the papyri contained in each listed edition and, when applicable, to a digital online copy of the volume itself, as in the case of the *Proceedings* of the 25th International Congress of Ann Arbor illustrated below. Both the *Checklist* and the *Bibliographie Papyrologique en ligne* (i.e. the Belgian online search engine, not the one available via *Papyri.info*) are indeed fully aware of the increasingly widespread presence of electronic publications (see below, § 6.6), and kindly provide useful links when the recorded items are freely available online.

Papyri.info

Browse: DDbDP HGV APIS TM Number or Search: Data Bibliography

Checklist of Editions of Greek, Latin, Demotic, and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca, and Tablets

sign in

Founding Editors: John F. Oates and William H. Willis

This new instance of the Checklist is a work in progress. Most of the data already supersede those of the <u>previous site</u>. Previous print and online editions were curated by a board of Editors, founded by the late Professors John F. Oates and William H. Willis. This latest carries forward the spirit of openness and collaboration that they championed. Abbreviations are arrived at after discussion by a variety of stakeholders, including Joshua D. Sosin (Duke), Rodney Ast (Heidelberg), Roger S. Bagnall (NYU), James M.S. Cowey (Heidelberg), Mark Depauw (Leuven), Alain Delattre (Brussels), Robert Maxwell (BYU), Paul Heilporn (Strasbourg), volume editors, and others, sometimes including the wider papyrological community (via <u>papylist</u>). The quickest way to initiate discussion of updates and abbreviations is to write the papylist, or any one of the individuals named above. Editors are strongly urged to let us know when new volumes are published.

Papyri

Actenstücke

- Actenstücke aus der Königlichen Bank zu Theben in den Museen zu Berlin, London, Paris, ed. U. Wilcken. Berlin 1887. (AbhBerlin 1886, Anhang, Abh.1; rp. in U. Wilcken, Berliner Akademischriften zur Alten Geschichte und Papyruskunde I [Leipzig 1970] 39–104). Nos. 1–12. Republished in UPZ II 205–207, 214–215, 217–221. 226–228. [MF 1-10; rp. DZA]

BGU

= Aegyptische Urkunden aus den Königlichen (later Staatlichen) Museen zu Berlin, Griechische Urkunden. Berlin. bgu

- I, 1895. Nos. 1-361. [MF 1.1; rp. CG] bgu;1
- II, 1898. Nos. 362-696. [MF 1.2; rp. CG] bgu;2
- III, 1903. Nos. 697-1012. [MF 1.3; rp. CG] bgu;3
- IV, 1912. Nos. 1013-1209. [MF 1.4; rp. CG] bgu;4

- XXI, Akten des 21. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses, Berlin, 13.—19. 8. 1995. 2 vols. ed. B. Kramer, W. Luppe, H. Maehler and G. Poethke. (Archiv Beiheft 3, Stuttgart and Leipzig 1997).
- XXII, Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Firenze, 23–29 agosto 1998. 2 vols. Separate vol. of plates. Ed. I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi and G. Menci. (Istituto Papirologico "G. Vitelli", Florence 2001).
- XXIII, Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses, Wien, 22.—28. Juli 2001, ed. by B. Palme (Pap.Vind. 1, Vienna 2007).
- XXIV, Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology, Helsinki, 1—7 August, 2004, 2 vols, ed. J. Frösén, T. Purola and E. Salmenkivi. (Societas Scientiarum Fennica, Commentationes Humanarum Litterarum 122). Helsinki 2007.
- XXV, Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology. Ann Arbor, July 29—August 4, 2007, ed. T. Gagos and A. Hyatt. (American Studies in Papyrology. Special Edition). Ann Arbor 2010. Online: Mich.Publ.
- XXVI, Actes du 26e Congrès international de papyrologie. Genève 16-21 août 2010, ed. P. Schubert. Geneva, 2012.

CoptCongr

- I, The Future of Coptic Studies. First International Congress of Coptology, Cairo, December 1976, ed. R.McL. Wilson. (Coptic Studies 1). Leiden 1978.
- II, Acts of the Second International Congress of Coptic Studies, Roma, 22–26 September 1980, ed. T. Orlandi and F. Wisse. Rome 1985.
- III, Coptic Studies. Acts of the Third International Congress of Coptic Studies, ed. W. Godlewski. Warsaw 1990.
- IV, Actes du IVe Congrès copte, Louvain-la-Neuve 5–10 septembre 1988, vol. 1 Art et archéologie; vol. 2 De la linguistique au gnosticisme, ed. M. Rassart-Debergh and J. Ries. (Publications de l'Institut Orientaliste de Louvain 40–41). Louvain 1992.

2.4 A Flock without a Shepherd (On Bibliographical Standards)

A strong claim to uniform bibliographical standards underlay the "plan" of BP as presented in 1977 by Georges Nachtergael, beside the announcement of the digital treatment of the bibliographical records (see above, § 2.1). That plan, elaborated by Hombert, Nachtergael and Bagnall, consisted basically in the definition of numbered subjects, in order to index and categorize the relevant publications recorded. It took the *Bibliografia Metodica* ("methodical, systematic bibliography") introduced by Aristide Calderini in the journal *Aegyptus* since 1920 as a model, with significant improvements that were subsequently adopted by Orsolina Montevecchi for the Italian journal as from 1978²⁵. In Hombert's and Nachtergael's words, "cette précieuse adhésion permet d'espérer que désormais un système bibliographique unique sera universellement accepté dans le domaine de la papyrologie"²⁶.

The thorniest issue was faced under category 140, "Éditions" (i.e. of papyri, *ostraka*, and tablets). The editors of the *Bibliographie* subdivided the group alphabetically, according to the abbreviations of the reference editions or *corpora*. "Dans le choix des abréviations, de grands efforts ont été faits pour répondre à des conditions parfois difficiles à réunir: adopter les abréviations le plus souvent usitées, présenter

²⁵ Cf. TIBILETTI 1988, 21–2.

²⁶ HOMBERT – NACHTERGAEL 1977, 156. The complete plan was published *ibid.*, 157–61. For Calderini see also above, § 1.1.

un système cohérent, concilier la brièveté et la clarté"²⁷. Though the *Checklist of Editions* had been launched three years earlier, the bibliographers decided to follow it only partially, with the intention to establish "une liste standard, s'imposant à tous, des abréviations", admittedly inspired by sir Eric Turner's recommendations²⁸.

The same standardizing wish was expressed also by the founders of the *Checklist*:

The references established here have been adopted by the American Society of Papyrologists as official for their publications, the *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists* and the monograph series, *American Studies in Papyrology*, and by the journal *Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies*. In addition, the journal *Phoenix* follows the practices of the *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists* in matters of papyrological citation. We hope that adoption of the list will spread further; we also hope that the list will alert papyrologists to the confusing and anomalous practices of the past and lead to greater consistency and clarity in the future²⁹.

Unfortunately, those pleas have never been fulfilled. The most striking piece of evidence for this lies in the digital version of the *Bibliographie Papyrologique* hosted on *Papyri.info*, which retains the BP abbreviating system, while the links point to the *Papyri.info* URLs based on the *Checklist* (highlighted in the picture beneath; see below, §§ 8.3–4).



The increasing adoption of the *Checklist* as a standard reference for papyrological abbreviations pushed the editors of BP, unable to correct tens of thousands of past bibliographical cards, to issue a concordance between the two systems (http://www.aere-egke.be/sigles_pap.pdf), where the BP abbreviations are explicated with those of the *Checklist*. On the other hand, the editors of the *Berichtigungsliste* have very

²⁷ HOMBERT-NACHTERGAEL 1977, 162; cf. also NACHTERGAEL 2002, 334.

²⁸ TURNER 1980, 159-78; cf. http://www.aere-egke.be/sigles_pap.pdf.

²⁹ OATES - BAGNALL - WILLIS 1974, 2.

recently announced that the renowned collection of emendations to published papyri will make use of the *Checklist* abbreviations from planned volume XIV onwards, "with a view to the integration of BL material into the existing databases"³⁰. The strong integrating and standardizing afflatus of digital papyrological resources, as already sketched in the *Introduction* (§ 1.2), would be the perfect ground for realizing the desirable ideal of a common, uniform system of abbreviations, which surely every papyrologist shares. Unfortunately, this is not (yet) the case, if we note that the other two biggest papyrological platforms, the *Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis* and *Trismegistos* (see below, §§ 3.1 and 3.3), adopt each own idiosyncratic system, to which add those used by the current *Berichtigungsliste* and Hagedorn's *WörterListen* (see below, § 4.1), partially or totally different – *ça va sans dire* – from BP and *Checklist*³¹. At least, a complete concordance, like that once appended to the early Duke checklists³², and possibly searchable, would be most helpful.

"Without tradition, art is a flock of sheep without a shepherd. Without innovation, it is a corpse", said Winston Churchill. A very recent and masterful contribution, delivered by Peter Arzt-Grabner at the International Congress of Barcelona (2016), resumes the traditional principles of papyrological abbreviations and points out the inconsistencies between the different systems in fashion, also providing a very useful and complete concordance table. "It is a good opportunity now", Arzt-Grabner says, "after an extensive relaunch of the *Checklist* in 2014 and regular updates since then, to collect such inconsistencies, and to try to find practical solutions wherever necessary or reasonable". He obviously refers to the migration of the *Checklist* to the *Papyri.info* platform, and his claim is a wonderful example of how digital innovation might offer a starting point for reviving a traditional flock without a shepherd³³. Though "incon-

³⁰ http://hum.leidenuniv.nl/papyrologisch-instituut/project-berichtungsliste/berichtigungsliste-dergriechischen-papyrusurkunden-aus-agypten-bl.html, see the text of the report presented at the general assembly of the Association Internationale de Papyrologues at Barcelona on August 6, 2016 by F.A.J. Hoogendijk. See also below, § 4.5.

³¹ See e.g. the case recently pinpointed by CASANOVA 2015, 62.

³² Still available at http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus/texts/clist_appendix.html, but lacking some items.

³³ Arzt-Grabner's paper will probably appear in the proceedings of the Barcelona Congress, but the author very kindly and wisely has left a digital PDF copy of it at everyone's disposal (https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20und%20Kirchengeschich te/Griechisch/CongressPap2016_Arzt-Grabner.pdf; Appendix with concordance tables:

 $te/Griechisch/CongressPap2016_Arzt-Grabner.pdf; Appendix with concordance tables: https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20und%20Kirchengeschi https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20kirchengeschi https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20kirchengeschi https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20kirchengeschi https://www.uni-salzburg.at/fileadmin/multimedia/Bibelwissenschaft%20kirchengeschi https://www.uni-salzburg.at/$

chte/Griechisch/Arzt-Grabner_Concordance_coloured.pdf). To the list of cases recorded by him, we shall probably add "P.Fay.Coles" (or "P.Fayum Coles"), which seems to be an unofficial abbreviation used by some papyrologists to refer to COLES 1970. I myself experienced a great waste of time trying to find out its exact bibliographical reference. Also GMP for *Greek Medical Papyri* could definitely be added.

sistency is too common to be criminal"³⁴, I strongly wish that his suggestions will be seriously taken into consideration for the next developments of Digital Papyrology.

2.5 Special Bibliographies

It goes without saying that papyrological bibliographical information can be extracted also from more general electronic bibliographies dealing with classics or ancient studies, like L'Année Philologique³⁵, but of course this is far beyond the purposes of the present book. Some attempts to provide introductory bibliographical surveys of the matter come in plain HTML web pages, like the papyrological section of the Bibliotheca Classica Selecta (BCS) implemented by the Université Catholique de Louvain (http://bcs.fltr.ucl.ac.be/Papy.html). After a general overview of the discipline, this website provides some descriptive pages listing reference works and handbooks, examples of text editions, work tools (anthologies, dictionaries, grammars, onomastic repertories, palaeography, periodicals...), books dealing with historical studies based on papyrological evidence, and a selection of electronic tools. Equally basic and introductory bibliographies to papyrological studies are provided e.g. by the *Duke Papyrus Archive*, with the addition of a section devoted to literature on papyri, or by the Papyrus Collection of the University of Michigan, in DOC format³⁶. All of these are intended to provide a first acquaintance to the discipline, and by no means aim at completeness.

A useful "evolving" bibliography on *Ancient Libraries* is offered by W.A. Johnson (http://people.duke.edu/~wj25/uc_web_site/libraries/library_biblio.html), while web pages like *Ptolemaica*. *Une bibliographie sur l'Egypte lagide* (by Chr. Hugot, Bibliothèque des Sciences de l'Antiquité – Université Lille 3, http://bsa.biblio.univ-lille3.fr/ptolemaica) and *A Hellenistic Bibliography* (by M. Cuypers, Trinity College Dublin, https://sites.google.com/site/hellenisticbibliography) provide a good historical, cultural, and literary background to papyrological studies for the Hellenistic period, but are not papyrological resources *stricto sensu*.

Other special bibliographies are intended to support specific projects. This is the case with the *Ancient Alexandria* project conducted by P. Van Minnen at the University of Cincinnati, hosting an introductory papyrological bibliography along with

³⁴ G.L. Prestige, "a renowned expert in dogmatics" quoted by VAN MINNEN 2007, 714.

³⁵ Cf. BABEU 2011, 9–10; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 310–1. See also BABEU 2011, 9–12 for further general bibliographical resources.

³⁶ Duke: http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus/texts/bibliography.html; http:// library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus/texts/greek/literary-bibliography.html (for the *Duke Papyrus Archive* see below, § 3.6). Michigan: http://www.lib.umich.edu/files/collections/papyrus/ tools/Papy_Bibliography.doc.

special repertories about Alexandria, in particular its Roman phase³⁷. It is worth mentioning also *The Books of Herculaneum*, "a guide to editions and translations of the principal works discovered at Herculaneum and related texts" maintained by The Friends of Herculaneum Society (http://www.herculaneum.ox.ac.uk/?q=books, see below, § 7.1).

When a thematic bibliography tends to be particularly complex, static online pages are not enough any longer: therefore, for example, the bibliography about the Herculaneum papyri embedded in the online catalogue *Chartes* is wisely provided with a search engine, though a plain general bibliographical list is added anyway³⁸. Also the bibliography on mummy labels (alphabetic both by author and by country) on the *Death on the Nile* project website (see below, § 3.5) is provided with an internal search tool. For other thematic bibliographies connected to specific projects, as well as for the bibliographical information included in the metadata catalogues, see the next chapter 3.

A strong digital bibliographical tradition is embodied by Belgian papyrologists. Apart from the complete bibliography of Claire Préaux, provided by the Université Libre de Bruxelles as a digital version of a print book by M.-Th. Lenger, on occasion of the 100th anniversary of the famous papyrologist's birth³⁹, it is in particular the Centre de Documentation de Papyrologie Littéraire (CEDOPAL) at the University of Liège that holds the biggest number of special bibliographies dealing with papyrological matters⁴⁰. These bibliographies present two interesting features. Firstly, most of them are connected to the development of the *Mertens-Pack3* catalogue⁴¹, probably the main achievement of the CEDOPAL, of which we will discuss further on (§ 3.2): they are basically the product of data extraction from the catalogue cards of the literary papyri recorded in the M-P³ base. Secondly, they do not belong to the trend of transition from paper to digital supports: unlike the Bibliographie Papyrologique and the Checklist (and Préaux's bibliography), they were born as digital resources, later included in printed publications. A third interesting aspect is that they were first published as HTML web pages, while now they appear as PDF files embedded in the web pages themselves, which gives them a sort of borderline format between paper and digital supports.

³⁷ http://classics.uc.edu/~vanminnen/Alexandria/Papyrology_Bibliography.html; http://classics.uc.edu/~vanminnen/Alexandria_Bibliography.html; http://classics.uc.edu/~vanminnen/Alexandria_Bibliography.html; http://classics.uc.edu/~vanminnen/Alexandria_Bibliography.html.

³⁸ http://www.chartes.it/index.php?r=bibliografy/search; http://www.chartes.it/index.php?r=bibliografyGeneral [sic!]; for *Chartes* see below, § 3.6.

³⁹ http://www.ulb.ac.be/philo/cpeg/preauxbiblio.html. The booklet (LENGER 1980) was published soon after Préaux's death. Similarly, a memorial bibliography of P.W. Pestman is published in PDF format at http://media.leidenuniv.nl/legacy/bibliography-pwp.pdf.

⁴⁰ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 311.

⁴¹ Cf. MARGANNE 2007d, 430.

Directly depending on the M-P³ catalogue are the extensive bibliographies on literary papyri by ancient authors and by sub-genres⁴²: both of them exhibit a dropdown menu, from which one can choose the author or the genre on which he wants to consult the bibliography, each one in a single PDF file. The bibliography for subgenre "Medicine and surgery" has been published at the beginning of two preliminary accounts of the M-P³ catalogue records dealing with medical papyri provided by Marie-Hélène Marganne and Paul Mertens⁴³.

Other extensive bibliographies stem from the traditional fields of interest and research of CEDOPAL. The cultural and bibliological studies are represented by Alexandria Docta, a general bibliography on the intellectual and scientific life centred on ancient Alexandria (by Nathaël Istasse, 2003 ff., updated for 2008–2016 by Marganne), and Liber Antiquus, a general bibliography on books in the Graeco-Roman world (by Jean-Christophe Didderen, 2004 ff., updated for 2005-2016 by Marganne)⁴⁴. Both have been published in 2004 as appendixes of the first two "Cahiers du CeDoPaL"⁴⁵. Further bibliographies dealing with medical papyri and ancient medical culture are to be found in the section Médecine dans l'Égypte grécoromaine⁴⁶ and are devoted to pharmacology (Pharmacopoea Aegyptia et Graeco-Aegyptia, by Marganne and Pierre Koemoth), literary medical papyri (Medici et *medica*, which expands a bit the aforementioned medical bibliography), iatromagical papyri (with a short introduction by Magali De Haro Sanchez), medical petitions and reports (by Antonio Ricciardetto), private letters with medical content (by Ricciardetto as well)⁴⁷. All of them, except the first two, flank a catalogue of the relevant texts, extracted from the main M-P³ base (see below, § 3.2). Another section is devoted to Robert Cavenaile's *Corpus Papyrorum Latinarum* and the project of its update⁴⁸, and collects special bibliographies about Latin papyri (Papyri Latinae, mainly fo-

⁴² http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/bibliographies-by-author; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/bibliographies-by-sous-genre/.

⁴³ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/medicine-and-surgery; MARGANNE – MERTENS 1986 = 1988; 1996 = 1997 (see below, § 3.2).

⁴⁴ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/alexandria-docta-anglais; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/liber-antiquus-anglais/; cf. MARGANNE 2007b, 76–8. The latter serves also as general reference for the M-P3 catalogue (cf. MARGANNE 2012, 483).

⁴⁵ CANFORA 2004, 33-82; BOUQUIAUX-SIMON 2004, 51-108.

⁴⁶ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/medecine-dans-legypte-greco-romaine.

⁴⁷ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/pharmacopoea-aegyptia-et-graeco-aegyptia (cf. MARGANNE 2007b, 77: a reference database of ancient medical substances has been announced as connected to this bibliography); http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-litteraires-medicaux-bibliographie; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-iatromagiques-presentation-et-bibliographie (this is printed in DE HARO SANCHEZ 2014, 40–4; cf. MARGANNE 2007b, 76); http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/petitions-et-rapports-medicaux-bibliographie; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/iettres-privees-a-caractere-medical-bibliographie.

⁴⁸ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/corpus-papyrorum-latinarum; cf. MARGANNE 2013.

cused on literary texts and their writings), Latin papyri of Herculaneum (by Gabriel Nocchi Macedo), Jew and Christian Latin papyri (by Nocchi Macedo), bilingualism Greek / Latin and trilingualism Greek / Latin / Coptic in Egypt (by Nathan Carlig and Bruno Rochette)⁴⁹. Finally, *Judaica et Christiana*⁵⁰ offers bibliographies about Jew and Christian authors of the I and II centuries AD (with introduction, by Carlig), Didymus Caecus (with introduction, again by Carlig), Greek Christian letters of literary character (by Carlig as well), Greek and Latin Christian school texts on papyrus (with introduction, by the same Carlig)⁵¹. Most of them stem from recent additions made to the M-P³ catalogue (see below, § 3.2).

If we are allowed to extract some general conclusion from the CEDOPAL online bibliographies, then we can certainly stress that they are a clear example of how digital resources are not to be regarded as a mere replacement, or a conflicting double, of more traditional paper resources, but can produce a wise scholarly interplay where the electronic outcome is not the ultimate achievement, nor an optional tool, but a robust ground for further scholarship that may even come back to more traditional ways of expression (see also below, § 3.2).



A peculiar bibliographical tool developed on the *Aristarchus* portal for classical studies of the University of Genua⁵² was an index to the papyri mentioned in the

49 http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyri-latinae-bibliographie-generale;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/les-papyrus-latins-dherculanum;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-latins-juifs-et-chretiens-bibliographie-generale;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/bilinguisme-grec-latin-et-le-trilinguisme-grec-latin-copte-energypte-bibliographie

⁵⁰ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/judaica-et-christiana.

⁵¹ http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/auteurs-juifs-et-chretiens-ier-iiie-siecles-bibliographie; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/didymus-caecus-bibliographie;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/lettres-chretiennes-grecques-a-caractere-litteraire-bibliographie; http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-scolaires-grecs-et-latins-chretiens-bibliographie.

⁵² On Aristarchus see BABEU 2011, 160. The platform has been recently refurbished.

Année Philologique (the famous bibliographical resource for ancient studies), edited by Franco Montanari and Laura Moisello in the framework of the Centro Italiano dell'Année Philologique (CIAPh)⁵³. This database contained the reference to all the papyri, *ostraka* and tablets mentioned in the APh as of volume LXXI (2000). When possible, the edition *sigla* were uniformed according to the *Checklist* standards. The archive was searchable either/both by papyrus edition or/and by APh reference, but it seems lacking from the renovated version of the portal (http://www.aristarchus.unige.net/CIAPh/it-IT/Home).

Università degli Studi di Genova	CIAPh Centro Italiano dell'A	nnée Philolo	gique	ភាគារ	diretto da Franco Mo	ntanari
Menu principale	Indice dei papiri	citati in AP	h			
Home Page [A] Libri ricevuti [8] Schedatura del CIAPh Schedatura del CIAPh Sittiste [C] Strumenti per APh Indice manoscitti [E] Indice pagin [F] Siglano, Rivisic APn [H]	(a cura di Franco Mon L'archivio contiene i Per le schede di qu ostraca editi, le sigi and Tabilets, web e Terry G. Wilfong, conservate le sigle riferimento.	anari e Laura M dati di papiri, asto archivio si e sono quelle fition by John and Klaas A. indicate in AP	oisello) lavolette e ostra è proceduto a indicate nella (F. Oates, Roge Worp (<u>http://s</u> h o quelle com	aca citati nell' una normaliz Checklist of E r S. Bagnall, criptorium.lib unemente in	Année Philologique, a p zazione e omologazion ditions of Greek, Latin, Sarah J. Clackson, Al. duke.edu/papyrus/text uso. I dati sono quelli f	e delle citazioni: per papiri, tavolette e Demotic and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca xxandra A. O'Brien, Joshua D. Sosin, <u>s∕clist.htm</u>); negli altri casi si sono forniti nelle schede di APh, a cui si fa
Bibliografie [I] Links [J]	Sigla:	tutte le sigl	e		•	STOR NE
Scrivici [S]	Volume/inventario:					AN IS AS
Aristarchus [T] Login [L]	Numero papiro:					A CAR
Cogar (c)	Rubrica APh:					K PERMISEL
 Sito accessibile [M] 	Scheda APh:					P. Paquio Proculo e la moglie, con stilo,
English intro [Z]	Volume APh:	Dal	al	•		tavolette e rotolo, Pompei, ca. 55-79 d.C. Napoli, Muneo Archeologico
	Ricerca in:	@ AND	OR			Nazionale
Area riservata	Help					

⁵³ http://www.aristarchus.unige.net/CIAPh/it-IT/Home. APh: http://www.annee-philologique.com.

3 Cataloguing Metadata

It seems clear that the future of the Internet does not lie in increased uniformity and central management. Instead, it lies in devising clever tools for collecting and presenting data from multiple sources. Papyrology will undoubtedly do best to go with that technological and sociological trend.

Traianos Gagos¹

P ds:	26 .	Nº 1
Fapy	pris. H. 23 cm. Br. 20 cm. Taijûm. 11 Jahrhun Chr.	Nicht publiziert.
	Δικανικού όμοίως τών αύτών πλοίων 53. και ύ[π]ίρ ζυτηράς Σοκνοπαίου Νήσου και είς [τ]ειμήν δουνίων βυσσίνων στολισμώ(ν) τριών τών δεών μηγε Νέφ Σιβαστώ ζρ.	
3	unic [+] survives 9 SP.	5 Mars
	where E werd is	14 Juli
	LEINING RUP [Ew]S RAI allow daravier Sq.	
	теци[1] кор[с] из Арлокунсов беоб 545.	
	YEVERE LOUS TWO LOUDS ZEPARTED IS JURIAS RAL	
10	[mijvoulan (see) s] Site	
	and the start strated strated and and and the strate of the start of the strate of the	ξ.
	y for more of the short with the state of the short with t	1 /
	lefinali disuluara (ul	The Lacy of J.
15	Afil sa Jual resolution in the engineer have been	5/-X.
-	Stradia [0] The astronoportion in prime.	111-
	hat is ifai Jacyfely Kat itos tois avoiover (sie) is reven	the state -
	godias Zonveraiou deau nevadou Exacting Shutpes	avaton 2 for Eli
	KRI Spolw[5 1] OIS A[E] TOIS ispever ZOKVORALOU DIOU	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
20	TR[IS] Kw[madi]ais The Apokumivar [0:] by ay	VIOUGL
	uni Siprotion a quipor & Avia to J	-1- [e Kn]. 19 Aud.
	Rai til is too autor popos Equators quepor & dva .	to 8 +o[k]n. 16 int
	trange is xai [] utois quepar of and to d	-1 = 2 B. 13 Oct
	μηνί Νέω Σεβαστώ 3 γινεδίοις Σοκνοπαίου θεού μεγ	άλου 3 Nov.
-5	quepour [10 in]à to b	7.05.
	Xoiak & papious Elector Nielg[eps] your o[e]as periou	15 que valo d'ivà to d'fo [10].45
	KS quoins rou victor villing il metares it you to g	/ a 1/3. 22 Die
	Tope T n[n] dedpuseus vaou dioù Zonvoratou quepo	(v) & niva - to J - [- [n] 1. 3 Jan
	· ·	
	111-5- 010xx411 12 - 0 = 11/2 Obol - 13 3 = ralavior ; 0 = 1	12 OBal 14 / ~ 1 Obel. 20 sile 10.
	1 mas aprover a aprovat i - 1811. Ze - nupoù apeaby.	
		WILCKEN

As we have seen (§ 1.1), the term 'metadata' defines information about data, i.e. – in our case – about papyri and papyrus texts. Apart from bibliographies, the need for equipping the critical transcriptions of papyrus texts with more or less detailed contextual information is as old as the dawn of Papyrology. Even in the editions limited

¹ BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 74.

to the transcription of the texts only, like the first volumes of the *Berliner Griechische Urkunden* (BGU: picture above), we do find at least indications about inventory, material, size, geographic provenance, date, publication status. These are basic metadata, and we certainly remember Calderini's concern for a standard format and layout in papyrus editions (see above, § 1.1), i.e. for the indication of metadata.

Metadata contextualize the text, and collecting metadata helps having precise and quick comparative overview of a group, or more groups, of texts, be it a collection, an archive, a homogeneous thematic set, a textual genre, and so on. Comparison and contextualization, as we noticed in the Introduction (§ 1.1), are main pillars of papyrological research, and Digital Papyrology is the best ground to develop them, possibly in a standardized way to favour integration. Such collections of metadata are what I call 'catalogues', though terminology is not always clear². It is understood that electronic tools offer great improvements in the cataloguing of a large amount of information, in terms of speed, completeness, ease of managing, in both the processing and the publishing phases. In the following pages, I will analyse and discuss the existing papyrological digital catalogues, both general and specialized, whether static or equipped with a search engine.

² For example, many papyrus editions are titled *Catalogue* though containing also transcriptions of the texts: BKT IX (Catalogue of Greek and Latin Literary Papyri in Berlin); P.Aberd. (Catalogue of Greek and Latin Papyri and Ostraca in the Possession of the University of Aberdeen); P.Ashm. (Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the Ashmolean Museum); P.Brit.Mus. (Catalogue of the Demotic Papyri in the British Museum); P.Brookl.Dem. (Catalog of Demotic Texts in the Brooklyn Museum); the various P.Cair. pointing to the Catalogue Général des Antiquités égyptiennes du Musée du Caire; P.Lond.Copt. (Catalogue of the Coptic Manuscripts in the British Museum); P.Lond.Lit. (Catalogue of the Literary Papyri in the British Museum); P.Mert. (A Descriptive Catalogue of the Greek Papyri in the Collection of Wilfred Merton); P.Michael. (Papyri Michaelidae, being a Catalogue of Greek and Latin Papyri, Tablets and Ostraca in the Library of Mr G.A. Michailidis of Cairo); P.Petersb. (Catalogue des manuscrits grecs de la Bibliothèque Impériale Publique); P.Ryl. (Catalogue of the Greek and Latin Papyri in the John Rylands Library, Manchester – and so P.Ryl.Dem. and P.Ryl.Copt. for the Demotic and the Coptic papyri of the same collection). For this reason the Checklist is forced to specify, e.g., that P.MorganLib., Catalogue of Coptic Manuscripts in the Pierpont Morgan Library (ed. L. Depuydt, Leuven 1993), "is not an edition but a catalogue, listing in volume 1 the 421 Coptic items in the Morgan Library". The same annotation, e.g., for P.Schreibertrad. (Die Ägyptische Schreibertradition in Aufbau, Sprache und Schrift der demotischen Kaufverträge aus ptolemäischer Zeit, ed. K.-Th. Zauzich, Wiesbaden 1968), makes it clear that 'catalogue' is generally understood to be a list of descriptive metadata rather than to proper editions dealing with texts, i.e. data: "A catalogue of sales (nos. 1-95) and associated cession documents (nos. 96-159), not an edition of texts. In some cases, however, a transcript and translation of a text are given". After all, catalogues of libraries usually do not contain the full text of the books preserved there, but only the relevant descriptive details, i.e. the metadata. Therefore, we do find that the Catalogue des papyrus iatromagiques grecs (DE HARO SANCHEZ 2004) rightly records only the metadata of this peculiar thematic group of texts; the same happens with the Catalogo dei papiri ercolanesi edited by M. Gigante. An analogous meaning can be borne by the word 'inventory' (see e.g. M.-H. Marganne, Inventaire analytique des papyrus grecs de *médécine*, Genève 1981), though it usually recalls the archival identifying of a specific collection.

3.1 The Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis

As James Cowey himself explained, presenting the project at the 20th International Congress of Papyrology, in Copenhagen (1992)³, the idea of creating a comprehensive catalogue of all published papyri (a work, the research outcome of which is apparent) was at first of purely palaeographical sort. It was Richard Seider, with his strong interest in palaeography, who thought of a complete "list of all published papyri, which contained a definite date and of which there was also a published image. This was then to have been processed as a series of photographic volumes, the intention being to provide a tool to help in the dating of papyri"⁴. Then, when Dieter Hagedorn resumed that project, in 1988, with appropriate funding, thought it better to broad the original idea to encompass all published papyri tout court, whether with or without published pictures, and to concentrate on documentary texts – hence the name: Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens, abbreviated in HGV. For this task, "a computer data-base seemed an obvious choice, in as much as this is able to make available a lot of information in its most accessible form". It was chosen to store the information in a *FileMaker* database⁵, which allowed to easily create fields for each type of information, to fill in them, and to publish the resulting databank in both an offline format (a FileMaker dataset originally released in the Subsidia Papyrologica CD-ROMs⁶) and an online version (now http://aquila.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de, formerly http:// www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/~gv0). Thanks to the *FileMaker* format, it is also possible to use the HGV metadata as a base for the creation of further databanks, selecting the relevant fields and adding new ones, according to the needs.

Thus, HGV itself follows the overall trend of the constitution of the digital tools, from paper resources to electronic ones, with the only difference that the starting paper resource was a would-be one, and it took full reality only thanks to informatics. This is remarkable too⁷. Two aspects of the original project were retained, and are still pivotal in HGV: the attention to the dating of each papyrus, very precise, carefully checked and, if necessary, corrected (HGV remains the ultimate resource

³ COWEY 1994. The following quotations are all from this article, p. 609.

⁴ Seider presented this project in an unpublished talk delivered at the 13th International Congress of Papyrology (Marburg 1971). The idea itself, in fact, goes back to Montevecchi's methodological outlines (see Introduction, § 1.1), where she explicitly speaks of the need for "una lista generale di documenti di ogni genere, ordinati cronologicamente" and for "una serie ben nutrita di fac-simili di papiri sicuramente datati ordinati cronologicamente" (MONTEVECCHI 1966, 47). Seider's well-known palaeographical volumes (SEIDER 1967–90; 1972–81) are of course a spin-off of this extensive project. **5** Cf. HAGEDORN 1994, 229.

⁶ See above, § 2.1; cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 10.

⁷ Noteworthy is the explicit statement by HAGEDORN 1994, 230, that no paper version of HGV has ever been envisaged.

for reliable dating of published papyri⁸), and to the existence of published pictures, a complete record of which is provided when available.

andard 👻	(>Lim) (>	Liste (surz) (Sushe) (Sorterung (shronol.)) (Sorterung (hash "Ort)	
ET .	Publikation	BGU (ALLE Datensätze aufwien)	
++	Bend	1 Furmer 2 Seite Jusätzlich	
	Meter ist	Papyrus	
1	Publikation (lang)	BGU 12	
tensalze:	Jahr	209 Jahr2	
0.36	Monet	1 Norw12	
sortiert	Teg	23 Teg2	
	Jh	Jnc	
	Detierung	23. Jan. 209	
	Detierung2	209, 23. Jan.	
	Ort	Soknopalu Nesos (Arsinoites)	
	Originettitet	keiner	
	Andere Publikation	M.Chr. 113	
	ineit	Eingabe, Erieus an den Strategen Apollophanes alias Sarapammon, ein schon eingesätes Feld wurde umgegraben	
	Semeriungen		

The HGV CD-ROM version (Gesamtverzeichnis 5.0, 2000; from QUENOUILLE 2016, 10).

The current welcome page displays a list of all the records in a table ("Tabelle", see picture below). This table is arranged in four columns ("Publikation", "Datierung", "Ort", "Originaltitel") and it is possible to reorder it in several ways, by clicking on the title of each column (first click: ascending order; second click: descending order). "Publikation" refers to what the *Berichtigungsliste* (see below, § 4.5) considers as the main publication of the text; other possible editions are accounted in the "Andere Publikationen" field, available from the record complete view ("Formu-

⁸ "Ihre ursprüngliche Zielsetzung war, einen schnellen Überblick darüber zu ermöglichen, welche Papyri usw. aus einem bestimmten Zeitraum als Quellen zur Verfügung stehen" (from the *Einführung* at http://aquila.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/introduction). Cf. HAGEDORN 1994, 227.

lar"). "Ort" is the place where the papyrus was written, when it is possible to state⁹. "Originaltitel" refers to the original title of the main publication, in whichever language it was published. The other pieces of information (metadata) to be found in the HGV records are: material of the fragment ("Material"); full list of published pictures ("Abbildung"); possible corrections, after the BL Concordance ("BL-Einträge"); miscellaneous annotations or bibliographical references ("Bemerkungen"); internal reference to different dates ("Erwähnte Daten"); reference to existing translations in modern languages ("Übersetzungen"); one or more keywords that describe the content of the text ("Inhalt")¹⁰. These keywords (in German) are not based on a standard word list, so that the categorization of the texts is not always uniform¹¹. The fields are all searchable through a query mask ("Suche" from the top menu), with a special attention to dates (it is possible to combine various criteria: year, month, day, century, and chronological ranges¹²); a further section of the website ("Texte") allows browsing through hyperlinked lists of papyrus editions.

12 Because of the strong chronological focus of the HGV, the search options for dates and periods are particularly complex and effective. It is perhaps worth citing the relevant section from the help page (http://aquila.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/help/search/en): "Here are a few concrete examples of searches that might be carried out (preliminary remarks: Arabic numerals only are to be used in the fields 'Band', 'Nummer', 'J', 'M', 'T', 'J2', 'Jh' und 'Jh2'):

- 1) A search for all entries dating from the years AD 275–285. One enters '275...285' in the field 'J' (= Jahr).
- 2) A search for all entries dating from the years 80–50 BC, which come from Arsinoites. One enters '-80...-50' in the field 'J' and 'Arsinoites' in the field 'Ort' (or 'Arsin' or 'Arsi').
- 3) A search for all entries of papyri dating from the years AD 450 and 490, which come from Herakleopolites and of which there are published photographs. One enters '450...490' in the field 'J', 'Herakl.' in the field 'Ort' and 'keine' in the field 'Abbildung'. In the case of the last entry the option 'Enthält nicht' must be used. Information concerning published photographs of any given document are only available in 'Formular' layout.
- 4) Anyone searching for all documents which may have been written in AD 602 must enter '602' in 'J' and then in 'J2' as well as clicking on the option 'ODER'. The field 'J2' (= 'Jahr 2') makes sure that papyri dated to AD 601–602 are found.
- 5) Anyone searching for all documents which may have been written in the second century BC must carry out two separate searches: a) one enters '-199...-100' in field 'J' and then (with the option 'ODER') '-2' in field 'Jh' (= 'Jahrhundert'). b) '-2' in field 'Jh2' (in order to find documents dated 'III–II v.Chr.').
- 6) The use of the formula fields 'ChronMinimum' and 'ChronMaximum', which were added at a later date (November 2003), ought to be explained at greater length, as they open up further possibilities whenever a detailed chronological search is desirable.

The fields are self explanatory if the date in question is e.g. 98–117 or 231–232: in these cases the starting and end dates of any given period appear in the fields ChronMinimum and ChronMaximum.

⁹ Cf. COWEY 1994, 610–1.

¹⁰ Detailed descriptions of these fields can be found in COWEY 1994, 609–11, and HAGEDORN 1994, 227–8; cf. also BABEU 2011, 145.

¹¹ This was already noted by R.S. Bagnall in an early review of the HGV, published in 1998 on the *Bryn Mawr Electronic Resources Review* (http://csanet.org/bmerr/1998/BagnaHeideAug.html). For recent attempts to automatically categorize papyri on the basis of standard topics see below, § 7.1.

		NIL NO			
Tat	pelle Formul	ar Suche Al	le Texte Abk	türzungen zitierter Bücher	Info
Date	nsätze 1-100 von	61425 Suchtreffern (Gesamt: 61425)		2
	Publikation	Datierung	Ort	Originaltitel	Erwähnte Daten
1	SB XIV 11942	331 v.Chr. (?)	Sakkâra (Memphites)	Zutrittsverbot	- Andrew Statement
2	P.Eleph. 1	310 v.Chr., 17. Juli - 15. Aug.	Elephantine	Ehevertrag	an and the first of the
3	SB XVIII 13190	IV - I v.Chr.	Theben	Getreidesteuerquittung	
4	SB XVIII 13191	IV - I v.Chr.	Theben	Getreidesteuerquittung	and the second second
5	SB XVIII 13212	IV - I v.Chr.	Theben	Briefliche Anweisung	Server Conceptioner
<u>6</u>	SB XVIII 13214	IV - I v.Chr.	Theben	Speicherabrechnung	
Z	SB XVIII 13215	IV - I v.Chr.	Arsinoites	Abrechnung	
8	SB XVIII 13669	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	and the second
<u>9</u>	SB XVIII 13670	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	The second s
10	SB XVIII 13671	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Name (?)	A CONTRACTOR OF
11	SB XVIII 13672	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	A LAND AND A
12	SB XVIII 13673	IV - I v.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Name	The second second
13	SB XVIII 13679	IV - I v.Chr. (?)	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Name	
14	SB XVIII 13681	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Name	- Contractor
15	SB XVIII 13684	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	and the second s
16	SB XVIII 13686	IV - I v.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	and the state of the state of the
17	SB XVIII 13687	IV - I v.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	1.013 1.4
18	SB XVIII 13688	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	Amathia and
19	SB XVIII 13689	IV - I v.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	
20	SB XVIII 13690	IV v.Chr IV n.Chr.	Diospolis Magna (The	ben) Proskynema	Sectorary Transition

Dates which were assigned to centuries are converted with the use of a formula. For 'III' for example, the value accorded to the fields are: ChronMinimum = 201 and ChronMaximum = 300; for 'Mitte IV' ChronMinimum = 326 and ChronMaximum = 375; for 'Ende III – Anfang II v.Chr.' ChronMinimum = -225 and ChronMaximum = -176; etc.

Up to now if one wanted to find texts belonging to the reign of Trajan, it was possible to enter 98...117 into the field J(ahr). This, however, resulted in a list of texts which were dated to precisely this span of 20 years or to a period of which the starting year fell in this span (*e.g.* 110–120). Dates such as '95–100' were not found. With the help of the new fields it is now possible to find all records, which might potentially belong to any given time period.

Thus if one wants to find all documents, which may potentially come from the reign of Trajan, '50...117' should be entered in ChronMinimum and '98...150' should be entered in ChronMaximum in the same search. In this way texts are found which are dated 'ca. 70–130' as in P.Hib. II 215, '81–138' as in O.Elkab 28 or 'Ende I – Anfang II' as in P.Oxy. LXVI 4533.

As can be seen, it is necessary to create an overlap with the time period in question (i.e. 98–117) when making an entry in the search fields. The outermost date limits of the search, '50' and '150', represent the tolerance and can of course be altered as one pleases. In the example above all texts will be found with a date of '1. Hälfte II' (because of ChronMaximum ...150), those dated to 'Mitte II' or simply 'II' will not, however, be found. If one wanted to find these as well, one would have to increase the tolerance and search for '98...175' or '98...200'. Likewise the tolerance could be increased in ChronMinimum to '1...117', to include texts dated to 'I–II'.

Searches with the criteria ChronMinimum \leq 200 and ChronMaximum \geq 101 or with \leq 115 for ChronMinimum and \geq 115 for ChronMaximum represent an extreme expansion of the tolerance. They produce all records, which are possibly (or definitely) dated to the second century AD or all time periods in which the year 115 is included, that is even to texts dated 'I–VIII' or completely undated texts".

0						
 Entsprechung m 	it allen Wör	tern auf der S	Seite (UND) OE	ntsprechung mit belie	bigem Wort au	f der Seite (ODER
Publikation	Enthält	-		Jahr	Ist aleich	-
Band	Ist gleich	~	Alar	Monat	Ist gleich	0
Nummer	Ist gleich	~	La Same -	Tag	Ist gleich	~
Andere Publikation	Splittersuche		a sand of the sand	Jahr (2)	Ist gleich	
Carlos (Carlos Carlos C		Fue gran	The second second	Jahrhundert	Ist gleich	
Ort	Splittersuche		the distance in the second	Jahrhundert (2)	Ist gleich	
Originaltitel	Splittersuche			Chron-Minimum	Ist gleich	U
Material	Enthält	~	1	Chron-Maximum	Ist gleich	
Inhalt	Splittersuche		10	Chron-Global	Ist gleich	~
Bemerkungen	Splittersuche			1	ALC L	
Übersetzungen	Enthält	~		Nur in Hauntd	atenbank such	ien.
				Chui III Hauptu	accine and such	1 4 7 7 7 7 7
			ALL CALLER	O Bei Suche erwä	ähnten Daten	mit einbeziehen.
Abbildung	Splittersuche		Star Starter	O Bei Suche erwähn	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung .ink	Splittersuche Enthält		10/S4	O Bei Suche erwähn	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link	Splittersuche Enthält		A SALE	OBei Suche erwähn	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link FM Nr.	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich			O Bei Suche erwähn	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link TM Nr.	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	× ×		⊖Bei Suche erwi ONur in erwähn	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link TM Nr. Sortieren ?	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	×		Blättern	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link TM Nr. Sortieren ? 1. Suchfeld	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	v v	a aufsteicend	Blättern	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link TM Nr. Sortieren? 1. Suchfeld	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	Suchrichtun	g aufsteigend ×	Blättern	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link IM Nr. Sortieren [?] 1. Suchfeld 2. Suchfeld	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	Suchrichtun Suchrichtun	g aufsteigend × g aufsteigend × g aufsteigend ×	Blättern Anzahl Suchtreffer	ähnten Daten ten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.
Abbildung Link IM Nr. Sortieren? 1. Suchfeld 2. Suchfeld 3. Suchfeld	Splittersuche Enthält Ist gleich	Suchrichtun Suchrichtun Suchrichtun	g aufsteigend ~ g aufsteigend ~ g aufsteigend ~ g aufsteigend ~	Blättern Anzahl Suchtreffer Suche starten	nten Daten suc	mit einbeziehen. hen.

Tabelle	Formular Suche	Alle Texte Abkürzung	en zitierter Büch	er Info	
Bände im HGV:	6 BGU I	ō		P.Louvre I.4	TM Nr. 11853
BGU II BGU III BGU III BGU IV BGU VI BGU VIII BGU VIII BGU XII BGU XII BGU XIII BGU XIII BGU XIII BGU XIV	BGUII=PLEANNE BGUII3 BGUII3 BGUII5 BGUII5 BGUII5 BGUII0 BGUII0 BGUII0 BGUII12 BGUII2 BGUII2 BGUII15 KGLI	La Hai Soknopaiu Nesos (Ar Andere Publikationer Material Abbildung Bemerkungen Übersetzungen verbergen	sinaitsbuch des 1 sinoites) n Kol. 1: BGU Papyrus Tafel 4 - 7; Erweiterte h 43f. vgl. Act Deutsch: Erman + (Auszüge Englisch: Johnson, Ereanäleise	Soknopaios - Heiligtums (mit Fest) I 337; Kol. II: BGU I 1; W.Chr. 92 (Ki ZPE 165, 2008, S. 152 (Kol. III - IV) leuedition von Kol. III und IV: ZPE 16 es du IXe congrès international des é irebs, Aus den Papyrus der königlicher). Roman Egypt (1936), S. 658-659, Nr. ht	vor 166 bl. I + II) 5, 2008, S. 150-156. Zu Z. tudes démotiques, S. 184. n Museen (1899), S. 179-180 398.
Kataloge Griechische Pa Arabische Pa Demotische Fa Koptische Pa	Awes 2aoyi Paoyi 2aoyi Elayi 2aoyi Elbliqo 2aoyi Elbliqo	ome Stuff Institu Info Prof. Dr. Ipsics aachie Pasyrologique MebPublishing Beddelber NedPublishing	i tsdirektion Andrea Jördens ariat gleilizaw.uni: g.de	Institut für Papyrologie © 2012 ISQ/ Marstaliktr, 6 D-69117 Heidelberg Tal: +049-66221-542396 Fax: +49-06221-543679	



As from 2015, a new interface has been implemented, and now it completely replaces the older version of HGV. The system, based on a newer *FileMaker* technology, is now faster and more stable than before. Improvement is not only technological. In the e-mail announcing the changes to the papyrological discussion list (*Papy-list*, see below

 \S 6.4), James Cowey noted: "We have presented the information from the database fields in manner which is close to aspects of a printed edition". This notably refers e.g. to the indication of publication, date and provenance of the papyrus, which are not displayed as fields as in the previous versions, but in a title-like fashion (see pictures below). The explicit statement is very meaningful as to a still unavoidable uneasiness of relationship between printed and digital scholarship (see further on, §§ 8.4 and 9). Moreover, in the name of integration and interconnection among papyrological databases, now one finds not only the link to the appropriate *Trismegistos* record (via the TM number: see below, § 3.3), which had already been implemented in the past years, but also the full text of the document, if available, directly updated from *Papyri.info* (see below, § 8.4). The previous HGV formats used to exhibit a simple link to the textual databank (DDbDP, then *Papyri.info*); the insertion of the full text is a remarkable innovation, if we think that the HGV had always avoided to deal directly with texts¹³. It is true that no search functions are implemented for the text itself (one is referred to Papyri.info as always), but the new concern is quite clear. Further noteworthy innovations are the embedded images (a tile on the bottom right, displaying external cataloguing resources if available) and the translations¹⁴.

Despite these latest big efforts towards integration and compatibility, standardization is still a sore spot: as HGV itself makes clear, "die Abkürzungen [*sc.* of papyrus editions] entsprechen im Wesentlichen der 'Checklist of Editions of Greek and Latin Papyri, Ostraka and Tablets' [...]. Gelegentliche Abweichungen sind aus sich selbst heraus verständlich"¹⁵. Most of these "deviations" are paralleled by the *Berichtigungsliste*, at which HGV originally looked¹⁶ (see e.g. P.Sta.Xyla for P.Athen.Xyla, or P.Ben.Mus. for P.Benaki – an effective complete overview can be found in Arzt-Grabner's table mentioned above, § 2.4); others are uniquely idiosyncratic and due to traditional customs (e.g. VBP, i.e. *Veröffentlichungen aus den badischen Papyrus-Sammlungen*, for P.Bad. *Checklist* = P.Baden BL¹⁷). Fortunately, integration is going to overcome most of these issues and it is now possible to jump from a resource to another one (see below, §§ 3.3 and 8.4) almost without sinking in the quicksand of papyrological conventions, though inconsistencies are always in ambush.

¹³ Cf. Cowey 1994, 609.

¹⁴ Both wishes were expressed by Roger Bagnall in his early review mentioned above: "[a]s papyrological tools continue to develop, we will look for direct links between the HGV and the Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, which contains the Greek texts of the papyri listed in the HGV, as well as digitized images and other resources" (see also below). A two-year project was launched to prepare German and English translations for a selection of texts from the first four BGU volumes as an enhancement to HGV; its results were presented by James Cowey at the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, 2007; paper not included in the *Proceedings*); cf. http://www.papy.uni-hd.de/Erweiterung.htm; also QUENOUILLE 2016, 13. Other translations are taken from *Papyri.info*.

¹⁵ http://aquila.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/publicationList.

¹⁶ Cf. COWEY 1994, 609.

¹⁷ Cf. already CALDERINI 1936, 355.

Tabelle	Formular	Suche	7 🔺		
The second second	Heidelberger Gesa Datensatz I von I	amtverzeichnis der griec	hischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens	Anna an ann an an ann an an an an an an a	
Datensatz: 1-1 Datensätze gesamt:	Publikation: P.Fan Andere Publikatio Datierung: 114 - 1	n. Tebt. 15 9n: Z. 110 - 130: SB IV 7: 15	378, Sel. Pap. II 422		Erte.
Sortieren Alle aufrufen Unsortiert	Ort: Arsinoites Originaltitel: Copy	y of Report with Annexed	Documents		
Abkürzungen zitierter Bücher	Material: Papyrus Abbildung: keine	に表示		市市市市	
	BL-Einträge nach Text der DDBDP:	BL-Konkordanz: VIII,	S. 198	- Britten	
a do a do	Bemerkungen: Vg Übersetzungen: Er	al. Kruse, Der Königliche nglisch: Johnson, Roman	Schreiber, S. 784-786 und 805f. Egypt (1936), S. 638, Nr. 389		
	Inhalt: Schreiben (Aufbewahrung, Ur	(amtlich), Akten, Verwaltı kunden, Theater	ing, Grundbuchamt, Erbschaft, Archiv,	A second for an and the second s	
	Erwähnte Daten: . 75: Abschrift eines Dokuments vom 15 Z. 105 - 109: Abscl eines Dokuments v 16. Juni 109 ; Z. 14 72	Z. 4 - 41: Abschrift eines Dokuments von vor 17. C 5. Mai 98; Z. 98 - 105: Ab hrift eines Dokuments vor om 19. Mai 103; Z. 131 - 47 - 156: Abschrift eines I	Dokuments vom 17. Okt. 108; Z. 42 - Okt. 108; 75 - 97: Abschrift eines schrift eines Dokuments vom 9. Juli 83 n 21. Juni 98; Z. 110 - 130: Abschrift 146: Abschrift eines Dokuments vom Jokuments vom 16. Juni 109; Z. 46: 71		EN P
400	TM_Nr.: 10732	- Andrewski -	A second second		
	Anfang			Carle Distantia	

Tabelle	Formular	Suche	? 🔺		
	Datanhank: hau	atroniston			120
- June	Datensatz 1 von 501	95		The second second	
Weiter Letzter	Publikation BGU12				
Datensatz: 1-1 Datensätze	Datierung 209, 23. Jan.				
gesamt: 50195 Aufgerufen: 50195 Unsortiert	Ort Soknopaiu Neso	s (Arsinoites)		TRAN	
Sortieren	Originaltitel keiner				
D Stell	Material Papyrus			A CONTRACTOR OF	1_
	Abbildung keine				
ertras a	Text der DDBD	P: Server in Somerville, Se	erver in Berlin	And the second second	
	Andere Publika M.Chr. 113	tion			
	Bemerkungen	and the second	and higher	and any house	
	Inhalt Eingabe, Erieus ein schon einges	an den Strategen Apolloph ätes Feld wurde umgegrab	anes alias Sarapammon. en		1.1
el	Anfang Weiter			The California States To States	
and the second s	4-4	The second second	the second s	The second second	

Sample HGV records: 2000 (top), 2010 (bottom), and now (next page).



3.2 The Literary Catalogues: *Mertens-Pack³* and the *Leuven Database of Ancient Books*

While HGV is devoted to documentary papyri, the scholars who deal with literary genres can rely on a couple of similar resources, which move from the very same concept (i.e. to provide the basic context information for each document) but focus on the 'other side' of the discipline (see below, § 3.4). Both move from the example of paper tools – above all R.A. Pack's catalogue of literary papyri¹⁸ – developing them in two slightly different and complementary directions. While it has always been impossible to produce a printed comprehensive catalogue of the documentary papyri, literary catalogues did appear, though it is apparent that such tools – just as bibliographies and related reference resources – need a continuous and constant update, which is uneasily handled with paper means: it is by now simply insufficient to consult a book from the Sixties when several hundreds of new pieces have appeared in the meantime¹⁹. At this point, such a continuous and constant update in terms of both adding new information and correcting old entries when necessary, as well as a direct and full access to information, can be granted by electronic tools only.

In fact, when Belgian papyrologist Paul Mertens, who collaborated to the second edition of Pack's catalogue²⁰, started planning a documentation centre about ancient books and literary works (the future CEDOPAL), as early as 1961²¹, he could immediately rely on the technological support offered by the Laboratoire d'Analyse Statistique des Langues Anciennes (LASLA), founded the very same year at the University of Liège by Louis Delatte as the first research centre that applied automatic information treatment to the study of ancient languages²². Thus he produced a volume of concordances to Pack² and a series of statistical appraisals, announcing (at the 14th International Congress of Papyrology, Oxford 1974) a third edition of the Pack catalogue²³. The electronic constitution of the new catalogue, soon named *Mertens-Pack*³, also known with the acronym M-P³, was based on records arranged as follows²⁴:

¹⁸ PACK 1965² [1952¹]; cf. RENNER 2009, 292; SCHUBERT 2009, 199.

¹⁹ Cf. Otranto 2007, 449.

²⁰ Cf. PACK 1965, vii.

²¹ MERTENS 1964.

²² Cf. http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/lasla (see below, §§ 3.5, 7.1, and 8.2).

²³ MERTENS 1968a; 1968b; 1970; 1975; cf. STRAUS 1983.

²⁴ The picture, as well as the historical and technical information about the constitution of M-P³, are taken from MARGANNE 2007b, 64–75 = 2007d.

	FICHE MP ³	
a	n° MP ³	
b	AUTEUR (+ attribution/citation)	
с	œuvre	
d	référence	
е	édition de base	
f	lieu de conservation	
g	institution	
h	n° d'inventaire	
i	date	
j	provenance	
k	forme	
1	matériau	
m	nombre de fragments, dimensions du plus grand $\leftrightarrow \times \downarrow$	
m	description (nombre de colonnes, marges)	
0	main	
p	reproduction	
q	bibliographie	

Several outcomes of M-P³ have been published as printed volumes²⁵, but the huge amount of information to manage required a more systematic and quicker resource. Thus the CEDOPAL (Centre de Documentation de Papyrologie Littéraire), in collaboration with the Centre Informatique de Philosophie et Lettres (CIPR, in particular with Denis Renard), developed an experimental platform ("Base de donnée experimentale"²⁶) to manage the cataloguing records assembled by Mertens for M-P³. The electronic catalogue, available online since December 2005²⁷ (http://cipl93.philo.ulg.ac.be/Cedopal/MP3/dbsearch.aspx), is based on Mertens' development of Pack's cataloguing system, and is constantly updated and extended to contiguous topics formerly excluded by Pack: namely magical texts, Herculaneum papyri, Jew and Christian papyri²⁸, documentary texts²⁹.

²⁵ MERTENS 1981; 1985; 1987; MARGANNE – MERTENS 1988 (19972); LENAERTS – MERTENS 1989; MAR-COTTE – MERTENS 1990; 1994; BOUQUIAUX-SIMON – MERTENS 1991; 1992; MERTENS 1992; MERTENS – STRAUS 1992; MERTENS 1996; MARGANNE 2000.

²⁶ Cf. RENARD 2000; for details about the electronic records see also MARGANNE 2007d, 430-2 = 2007b, 69-72.

²⁷ Cf. OTRANTO 2007, 449-50; MARGANNE 2007b, 75 ff.; 2012, 483-4.

²⁸ Cf. MARGANNE 2012. Pack referred to already existing special catalogues as to magical papyri (Preisendanz, PGM I–II), horoscopes (NEUGEBAUER – VAN HOESEN 1957), biblical, Jew and Christian papyri (Aland and VAN HAELST 1976). On the extension to the *Corpus Papyrorum Latinarum* see also MARGANNE 2013.

²⁹ Cf. MARGANNE 2016.

Great attention is devoted to the texts themselves: ancient writers are recorded and can be searched for either as the authors of the texts, or as citations or attributions, through drop-down menus listing the names. It is possible to search for text strings in the titles of the ancient works or in the descriptions of the contents, and the query can be further narrowed according to language, date, literary genre, subgenre. The material support is considered too: bibliological features (autograph, colophon, label, palimpsest, etc.), material, provenance, location, collection, inventory numbers are all search criteria accessible via drop-down menus. A box can be selected if one wants to narrow his/her interest to texts coming from cartonnage. It is also possible to call up a document directly via its M-P³, TM or LDAB numerical identifiers, and to extend the query to the *delenda*, i.e. former M-P³ numbers that have been suppressed or replaced for some reason.

de données expérimentale Mertens-Pack 3 en ligne		
1. Rechercher une seule Notice Pack par son numéro unique		Rechercher par numéro
2. Rechercher une ou plusieurs Notices Pack		Effacer les critières de rech
Langue	Date	
grec v)	Entre 401 -	
Anteur (Auteur du Inde), 230 auteurs		
Citations	(v)	
Attributions		
Recherche globale sur un auteur (Textes, Citations, Attributions)		
Titre de l'oeuvre contient	Genre	Sous-genre
	🔲 [nos précisé] 🖂]	(Identifié par l'auteur)
Description du contenu contient	Commentaire codicologique contient	
	🗹 [autographe 🗸 🗸	
Objet papyrologique		
Li Matirias	L. Cartonnage	
		4
Bellingers (an usin d'une		
Collection)		
towastaire ((dm P.Roberts)	- Blandro d'Investaire	
Company and the Decider	222	
Etendre la recherche aux delendum (par défaut, les delendum ne sont pas incli Bechercher	los)	
AUCKECKE		
Selectionner tout Deselectionner tout		
Allicher les détails des notices sélectionnées		

The resulting records are listed beneath the search mask, and it is necessary to select one or more to display. They are displayed in rectangular cards, arranged by M-P³ number. A typical record (see picture below) shows author and title of the work, or the content of the papyrus, followed by references to the editions; provenance and date; palaeographical or bibliological remarks; a very detailed bibliography (a list of the abbreviations used is provided as a separate PDF file at http://web.philo. ulg.ac.be/cedopal/liste-des-abreviations); list of available reproductions of the papyrus (sometimes, links to other online resources are given); TM and LDAB numbers (but not linked to the appropriate record)³⁰.

)0052.000	
Aesopus, Fabula 264, avec traduction latine	
2817.848	
zd. post. : Lowe in CLA 3.291 ed. post. : J. Kramer in C. Gloss. Bil. 2.10	
Medinet el-Fayoum (acquis.) IV (éd.; III/IV Pack2)	
\rightarrow grec (\downarrow latin)	
Bibl.: G. Goetz, Corpus Glossariorum Latinorum, 3.45-46; Cavenaile, CPL 39; F. Della Corte, Atti XI C.I.P. (Milan, 1966) 542-5 Cavallo, Scrittura 171; S. Ammirati, Sul libro latino antico (Pise-Rome, 2015) 49, 50, 51, 53, 66 Reprod.: Lowe, CLA 3.291; CD PLBML: PSI online	50;
DAR: 138: Trismeristos: 59043	

While a printed third edition of the whole catalogue is announced³¹, new select outcomes of the cataloguing work are being published online, on the CEDOPAL website, as embedded PDF files, flanked by the bibliographical resources that we have already described: Jew and Christian papyri; Jew and Christian authors of the I–III centuries; Didymus Caecus; Greek Christian letters with literary character (by N. Carlig); Greek and Latin Christian school papyri; Herculaneum Latin papyri (by G. Nocchi Macedo, 2010); medical petitions and reports (by A. Ricciardetto, 2010); private letters with medical character (by A. Ricciardetto, 2010)³². As already mentioned, the iatromagical papyri have been catalogued by Magali de Haro Sanchez

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/didymus-caecus-2;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/lettres-chretiennes-grecques-a-caractere-litteraire;

```
http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-scolaires-grecs-et-latins-chretiens;
```

³⁰ Cf. MARGANNE 2012, 484.

³¹ MARGANNE 2007b, 75–6; nice case of a paper resource stemming from a digital one, and not vice versa, in the wake of the CEDOPAL's tradition (see above, § 2.5).

³² http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-latins-juifs-et-chretiens;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/auteurs-juifs-et-chretiens-ier-iiie-siecles;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-latins-dherculanum;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/petitions-et-rapports-medicaux;

http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/lettres-privees-a-caractere-medical.

both online (2004: http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrus-iatromagiques) and in print (2014)³³.

The most remarkable outcome of this huge project is therefore, as we already noted apropos of the CEDOPAL bibliographies, the rich interplay between digital resources and printed products. Stemming, in most cases, from earlier paper tools, digital repositories are not always to be restricted within virtual boundaries, but can prove fundamental in the production of a more traditional (and, for someone, more reassuring...) paper scholarship (see above, § 2.5). As Marie-Hélène Marganne herself put it,

si l'informatique a révolutionné le stockage, l'édition et les échanges d'informations, nous restons les héritiers d'une tradition livresque qui puise ses racines en Égypte, il y a 5000 ans ..., et l'on n'a pas fini de s'interroger sur les interactions entre la pensée, son expression, et la forme du support qui accueille sa trace écrite, ainsi qu'aux conséquences inévitables qu'entraîne toute modification de ces paramètres sur la formulation des connaissances, leur transmission et leur conservation³⁴.

The other comprehensive literary catalogue is the Leuven Database of Ancient Books (LDAB)³⁵. The main difference with M-P³, which is older as concept but more recent as development³⁶, is that LDAB was not conceived to be an updated/extended digital substitute of Pack² and other similar catalogues (e.g. Van Haelst's Catalogue des papyrus littéraires juifs et chrétiens³⁷), but – in its creator Willy Clarysse's words – "rather an attempt to collect the basic information on all remains of Greek and Latin books dating before 800 AD"³⁸. The main focus is overtly not on literature but on books as material and cultural products: therefore, e.g., literary quotations in documents are excluded, and anthologies are considered under a single entry³⁹. Moreover, bibliography is basic, not as detailed as M-P³. Conversely, the information fields are more detailed as regards physical, material, palaeographical and cultural aspects: material, book form, recto/verso, reuse, columns, pagination, language/ script, culture (i.e. cultural category, e.g. science), genre, religion, palaeographical studies are carefully recorded. Of course, the literary/philological side is not neglected: we do find information about author name, book, quotations, other attested authors, linked to *TM Authors* (see below, § 3.3). Date is provided as both century and year, or year span. Provenance is linked to TM Places (see below, § 3.3). Bibliog-

³³ DE HARO SANCHEZ 2014. Cf. MARGANNE 2007b, 76; 2012, 482.

³⁴ MARGANNE 2007b, 79.

³⁵ Cf. BABEU 2011, 10–11.

³⁶ Cf. GONIS 2001, 422, who greets LDAB while waiting for the 3rd edition of Pack's catalogue.

³⁷ VAN HAELST 1976.

³⁸ CLARYSSE 2001, 237; cf. RENNER 2009, 291.

³⁹ Cf. CLARYSSE 2001, 237, and the introduction to the online database at http://www.trismegistos. org/ldab/about.php.

raphy, literature, and reference to published or online pictures are always given. All editions are listed, and reference to the other catalogues is given: TM, M-P³, Nestle-Aland, HGV, but also the *Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri* (see below, § 8.6). All fields are searchable, even in combination⁴⁰.

ID	LDAB 1998.1116					
catalogues	Pack 1756.0	AND PROPAGATION OF				
authorname	Hermesianax					
book	Elegy: tatoo poem					
quotation		and an				
provenance	Egypt, Hibch?					
dato	BC2					
material	papyrus cartonnage		columns 3			
verso	on the recto; on the back to	aces of a document	pagination			
booktorm	roll					
tudies paleogr. codicol.						
studies literature	J.M. Bremer - M. Huys, Z	PE 92 (1992), p. 118-12	20;S.R. Slings, ZPE 98 (1993), p.			
repartories						
text type						
culture	literature					
genro	poetry, lyric, elegy					
religion	classical	Janguage Gr	eek			
Inventory	Paris, Sorbonne 2254 + Bruxelles, Musées Royaux E. 8934					
edition	M.Papathomopoulos, Rec 22 (1991); Suppl. Hell. 97	cherches Pap. 2 (1962), 0	p. 99-111; M.Huys, P. Brux. Gr. 2			
plates						
archive						

LDAB was released in 1998 on a CD-ROM⁴¹ (picture above), which was equipped with an interesting program able to draw charts and graphs from the recorded data⁴². The pathbreaking nature of such a tool was immediately recognized: it was the very first sample of a digital catalogue of literary texts, with obvious benefits in terms of rapidity and usability⁴³. Shortly later on, in 2001, the *FileMaker* database was published online on the servers of the Leuven University alongside an updated CD version, and since 2006 is fully integrated in the *Trismegistos* platform (http://www. trismegistos.org/ldab, see below, § 3.3). The fields are somehow more articulated than the original version (see comparison in the pictures below) and the graph function can still be found, from the left menu ("Create graphs"⁴⁴). This maintains the strong interest in ancient readership, giving useful overviews of "book" trends and diffusion, of course on the ground of the known data⁴⁵. Just as M-P³, LDAB gives

⁴⁰ For a description of these fields see CLARYSSE 2001, 238–42.

⁴¹ Presented at the 22nd International Congress of Papyrology, Florence 1998 (CLARYSSE 2001).

⁴² Cf. CLARYSSE 2001, 243–9.

⁴³ Cf. Huys 1999.

⁴⁴ "The graphs it allows one to create are very useful [...], for pedagogical purposes, to show which authors were most read in Antiquity, or the development of the use of the *codex* form and of the parchment, at the expense of, respectively, the *volumen* and the papyrus" (DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 319–20).

⁴⁵ Cf. Gonis 2001, 421.

unique numbers as identifiers of the records⁴⁶; a document can thus be called – instead of a long edition name like P.Brux. II 22 plus re-editions and so on – just as M-P³ 0461.11 or LDAB 1116. After this number, it is possible to perform a direct search in the LDAB, calling up the desired record; otherwise, it is necessary to perform an "Advanced search". The results will be listed in one or more pages, and it must be noted the possibility to sort them in various different ways (ascending or descending by any field) with appropriate commands in the search mask. Each item points to the appropriate LDAB record.



⁴⁶ LDAB numbers were originally assigned by a sorting programme, first by author name, then by date, then by inventory number. From number 7097 onwards the entries were simply added to the list one after another. TM numbers (see below, § 3.3) show the same feature.

T							-
LDAB Leuven Datab	ase o	f Ancient Bo	ooks				Google" Cutton 5
LDAB TM Hon	Abi	out Contact		_	_	_	
QUICKSEARCH LDAB number Search the	LDAI	В					
Fill in one or combined by t	more se he boole	arch criteria; for e an 'OR' or 'AND':	very search	field, you can sp	ecify up to two requests,		
Home	imit: 🗌	Limit to Egypt on	ılγ				
List all Publica Create graphs	tion: E.g	Instant J. P. Lond. Lit. 238; P. I ven looking for a specif	AND Kô Gr. 8 331; I	owe, CLA 8 1113;] tookup 	ot	
Help.	to l dat	look for a publication i abase.	n the online II	bibliography which	is fully linked with the LDAB		
Inven	E.g E.g Lor Wh Eng you	 E.g. Ann Arbor, Mich don, British Museum ien looking for a speci glish name of the city u can search for a collo 	AND v igan University EA 33127; fic text, the qu with one for the sction here.	P. 1202; Baltimore, ckest way to find it is number, e.g. 'Lond	Walters Art Gallery 517; is to combine a search for the Ion AND 33127'. Alternatively,		
E	litor:		AND				
Contraction of the second s	E.g	j. Milne; West; Martin	Litchfield;	r	3	-	
Catalo	E.g	Mertens-Pack 0237:	Gigante 0176:	Van Haelst 0649			
Ancient_au	thor:		AND Y			1	
	Lat	in forms: e.g. Herodol a kind of common aut	tus, Euclides; t hors names.	ut Old Testament ar	id New Testament are also used	đ	
	ook:] [AND		2		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Lat	in titles for classical a	uthors: e.g. Dy	scolus; but English I	for christian texts: e.g. 'Romans	5	
Cen	tury:	. BC03: AD06	AND	1			
	ate: be	tween	and	Strict			
	E.g the ent inc ran rec but	between -30 and 25 same number twice. tirely fall within the se- fude records for which use, provided the rang ords with a range -50 t not those with a range	. For BC dates The standard ' arch range. Wi only one of the of the record to -20 will be ge -332 to -30.	use negative numbe strict' search only invi- ien 'strict' is unched e terms of the recon- is not broader than included amongst th	rs. To look for exact dates fill or cludes records whose ranges eed, the computer will also d's range falls in the search that of the search range. Thus e results of the example search	ut 1,	
Provena	ince:		AND 💟	[1		
	Bol Gel	th modern and ancient belein,	t place names	an be used for sean	ching: e.g. France, Pathyris,		
Nome/re	pion: E.g (fo	1. U09 (for the 9th Upp r the Fayum). Only co	AND er Egyptian no des can be use	me), L01 (for the 1- d in this field; to ent	lookup st Lower Egyptian nome), or 00 er them, click 'lookup' above to	ŝ	
Mat	cho erial:	ose a nome from the	AND	ordered list.	1		
	E.g	j. Papyrus, parchment,	, pottery, ostra	con	10		
Bookt	orm:] [AND		1		
	On	ly codex, roll, or sheet			-	-	
Language/s	E e	, Greek, Latin, Demot	ic. Coptic (Free	(mic),			
Script_	ype:		AND Y				
	E.g). biblical majuscule, s	evere style,			_	
1	ype:	school text.	AND 😒				
R	use:	1	AND 👻				
	E.g). palimpsest old, reus mulated, consult our p	e of blank spac	e etc. For all catego for reuse specifically	ries and how they are		
0	ther: U	RL	contains	a reas granned			
0	ther: UF	a.	contains		1		
0	ther: Us	a. D	contains		1		
> Specify which	h fields t	to sort on:			2	l i	
Main	Sort: do	in't sort 🔄 ascend	Sing 🔛				
Sub	Sort: do	in't sort 💿 ascend	Sing 🔍			1	
A wildcard cha searching.	racter is	added automatica	Search Clear Ily before an	d after your sear	ch string, to facilitate		
If you want to for that field.	search f	or records with a f	ield not emp	sty , type an und	erscore '_' as search-value		

The current LDAB search mask.

	Select one of more fields in the list below (windows users : hold down the Control key while clicking, Macintosh Users : hold down the command key)
	catalogues inventory provenance date material verso (make sure that you select the fields you want to use for searching and ordering t)
1 11	where [catalogues ~] is like
	and catalogues - is like
	order by catalogues ~] don't soit ~]
	Invia richiesta

HOME ABOUT CONTACT			LDA	B texts	collections	archives	searc
1 text		Quick sea	rch for LD	AB numt	er: LDAB nun	nber	0
» List all » Search text	Search the LDA	в					
» Search text » Create Graphs » Helo	Fill in one or more search criteria; for every search field, you can specify up to two requests, combined by the boolean 'OR' or 'AND':						
	Catalogues:	1		OR ~	1		
	Publication:			OR ~			
	Authorname:			OR ~			
	Book:			OR ~	1		
	Inventory:			OR ~			
	Century:			OR ~			
	Provenance:			OR ~			
	Materiai:			OR ~			
	Bookform:			OR ~			
	Language:			OR ~		1.5	
	other :	URL ~	contains	_			
	other :	URL ~	contains			_	
	other :	URL ~	contains				
	» Specify which fields to sort on:						
	Main Sort:	don't sort 🛛 🗸	ascendi	ing ~			
	Sub Sort:	don't sort 🗠	ascendi	ing ~			
	Search Clear	all					
	A wildcard character facilitate searching If you want to sear	er is added autom	atically be	efore and	after your sea	rch string, to lerscore '_' a	s
	search-value for th	at held.					

The 2001–2005 (top) and 2005–2006 LDAB interfaces.

As in the case of M-P³, the collected data is not destined to stay statically restricted to the catalogue, and used only for comparison and reference, to find and cite a text or to perform background statistical researches. It can be active part of scholarship. In 2003, for example, Teresa Morgan published on *Chronique d'Égypte* an article about *Tragedy in the Papyri*, with the significant subtitle of *An Experiment in Extracting Cultural History from the Leuven Database*⁴⁷. The author describes how she used LDAB data to draw patterns of reading and literary culture in ancient Egypt. The attempt is admittedly a "tentative picture"⁴⁸, because statistical reconstructions based on dispersed fragments are always dangerous (see below, §§ 8.2 and 9), and this is certainly a limit to the use of such tools as databases and catalogues to reach comprehensive overviews. It seems to be more focused an attempt like that made the same year by Amphilochios Papathomas, who extracted from LDAB data about papyri with scholia (producing a catalogue of scholiographic papyri, in a sense) and analysed cases and typologies⁴⁹.

3.3 Thrice Greatest Trismegistos

Trismegistos (TM, http://www.trismegistos.org), named after the famous late epithet of Hermes/Thoth, the Graeco-Egyptian god of wisdom and writing, is defined as "an interdisciplinary platform for ancient world texts and related information"⁵⁰. When it was created, in 2005 (launched in November 2006), it was essentially a catalogue of information (metadata) about published papyrological texts from Graeco-Roman Egypt. It was designed to overcome the intrinsic limits of HGV, LDAB, and similar resources, which are oriented to a schematic division between 'documents' and 'literature' (see below, § 3.4) and focused exclusively on Greek and Latin texts. In fact, TM was the major outcome of the project "Multilingualism and Multiculturalism in Graeco-Roman Egypt", conducted by Mark Depauw at the University of Köln with the aim of investigating language shifts in relation to cultural identity. Indeed, it was originally conceived as an online database of Graeco-Roman papyrological material in Egyptian scripts, parallel to and in close cooperation with HGV and LDAB. On the basis of this new platform, factors influencing language preferences would then be analysed. Thus the digital catalogues called *Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Texts* (DAHT) and *Hieroglyphic and Hieratic Papyri* (HHP) where built up, stemming from databases of Demotic papyri and literature already compiled by H.-J.

⁴⁷ MORGAN 2003a. The author did the same with a general overview of literary culture in late antique Egypt (MORGAN 2003b).

⁴⁸ MORGAN 2003a, 201.

⁴⁹ PAPATHOMAS 2003.

⁵⁰ Depauw – Gheldof 2014; cf. Babeu 2011, 144–5; Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 314–5.

Thissen at the University of Köln (see above, § 2.2) and based on the technical infrastructure of the *Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online* hosted at Leuven⁵¹. The subsequent phase is best described in the TM historical page:

Building on these databases also raised a number of questions: Why include only papyrological texts? The evidence of inscriptions is equally valuable for the study of shifting language preferences, and in Egyptology there is no strict disciplinary boundary between epigraphy and papyrology. Why separate Egyptian language and scripts from Greek and Latin? They were spoken in the same region at the same time, and occur together on a sizeable amount of texts. Mapping this overlap would be easier if everything was in a single database. Why limit the database to the Graeco-Roman period? Demotic starts in the 7th cent. BC and the hiatus in the documentation of the 3rd Intermediate Period, around 800 BC, seemed a better terminus post quem. Greek also continues to [be] used in the Byzantine period, and AD 800 seemed more suitable here. In view of these considerations, we decided to set up partnerships with HGV and LDAB, and merge everything into a single database. We mapped the overlap between Greek papyrological and egyptological databases, gave everything a unique numeric id (the TM number), and established criteria for what made out a record in the database and was given a separate number. Doing this obliged us to set strict standards for standardization of metadata, often through the use of numbers establishing links with related databases for different types of information⁵².

This unique numerical identifier is perhaps the utmost relevance of TM in the scenario of Digital Papyrology. By assigning a 'TM number'⁵³ to each document recorded, it easily overcomes the bibliographical inconsistencies that we highlighted in the previous chapter (esp. § 2.4), and fosters cross-platform compatibility and integration between different digital representations of ancient texts, settling a universal, uniform and truly (etymologically) 'digital' standard⁵⁴. This is obviously not as user-friendly as papyrological abbreviations, since it is somehow easier and more straightforward to remember, cite, and retrieve 'O.Stras. I 764' than 'TM 76345'⁵⁵, but much of the issues described in the previous part are solved by providing one single call number to each document stored in HGV, LDAB, M-P³, etc., regardless for traditional or idiosyncratic conventions – to which, nonetheless, TM brings a significant contribution, since its papyrological abbreviations are almost completely different than those of the other resources⁵⁶. The TM ID acts also as a stable URL identifier and can be used to point directly to a record, e.g. www.trismegistos.org/text/12345

⁵¹ Cf. DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 41. For the Prosopographia Ptolemaica see below in this same § 3.3.

⁵² http://www.trismegistos.org/about_history.php (cf. also DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 42).

⁵³ Cf. DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 43; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 314.

⁵⁴ Cf. GHELDOF 2016.

⁵⁵ "Although the TM number is increasingly used as an identifier, its use in a nondigital or humanreadable environment is not yet very widespread" (DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 45).

⁵⁶ See Arzt-Grabner's table cited above, 2.4. A lookup tool for the TM abbreviations is kindly provided at http://www.trismegistos.org/tm/publication_lookup.php.

for TM ID 12345 (which is used by HGV itself for its own URLs as well: http://aquila. zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/hgv/12345).

Therefore, this is the first and foremost reason for which TM is 'greatest'. The second reason lies in the integrated network of so many earlier or new databases. TM has become a big aggregator of metadata⁵⁷, absorbing and adapting to its framework many different resources and metadata, either already existing or freshly developed. The first are the two catalogues of Egyptian texts that we just mentioned (DAHT, launched in 2006 at http://www.trismegistos.org/daht, to which metadata of Demotic literary texts were added for LDAB, and HHP, launched in 2007 at http://www.trismegistos.org/hhp). Then (in 2006) came the integration with HGV and LDAB, as well as with a database of Coptic documentary texts which had been created by Alain Delattre (Université Libre de Bruxelles) on the model of HGV: the *Brussels Coptic Database* (BCD⁵⁸, a.k.a. *Banque de données des textes coptes documentaires*). One year later also the *Arabic Papyrology Database* (APD⁵⁹) was integrated (along with Coptic metadata for LDAB). APIS is also providing metadata to TM. While BCD and APD live independent lives also outside TM, to which they provide regular updates⁶⁰, DAHT, HHP, and LDAB are part of the very same platform.

Also embedded is *TM Magic* (http://www.trismegistos.org/magic), the first thematic metadata database hosted by *Trismegistos* as of 2007 and edited by Franziska Naether (Leipzig) and Mark Depauw with the aim of filling a gap between projects like LDAB, HGV, and BCD, for a textual category of difficult and uneasy definition: reli-

⁵⁷ Cf. GHELDOF 2016.

⁵⁸ http://dev.ulb.ac.be/philo/bad/copte/baseuk.php?page=accueiluk.php. BCD started in 2000 and was published online in 2005. It collects all published Coptic documentary texts; metadata comprise edition ID, TM number, inventory number, material, dialect, provenance, date, content (brief title and keywords), bibliography, and miscellaneous remarks. There is also a TM-independent search engine (http://dev.ulb.ac.be/philo/bad/copte/baseuk.php?page=rechercheruk.php) that allows querying those fields. See below, § 8.4, and cf. BABEU 2011, 145.

⁵⁹ http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/apd. APD collects metadata of more than 150,000 Arabic papyri from the 7th up to the 16th century AD, and in some cases provides also the full texts (not implemented in TM). The encounter between Arabic papyrology and the digital resources dates back to 2002/03, when an online *Arabic Papyrology School* was launched as an interactive introductory school for the understanding of Arabic documents (http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/aps; cf. DELAT-TRE – HEILPORN 2014, 325). The database started in 2004, modelled on the extant Greek papyrological tools (above all, HGV) with some adaptations due to the peculiarities of the discipline. "Both simple and advanced searching options are available, and the APD supports lemmatized searching of the papyri text and a full search of the metadata. The collection of papyri can also be browsed by name, metadata, or references. Each papyrus record includes full publication metadata, the full Arabic text (including variant readings and apparatus), a transcription, and relevant lexicon entries for words" (BABEU 2011, 145). Both resources (online school and database) are supported by the International Society for Arabic Papyrology (http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/isap).

⁶⁰ On the issues raised by a possible integration of such disparate resources, in terms of languages and cultures considered, time spans covered, etc., see DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 316–8.

gious, ritual, magical and divinatory texts⁶¹. Another embedded thematic catalogue is ATE (*Aramaic Texts from Egypt*, http://www.trismegistos.org/ate), developed by A. Schütze (München) as of 2007. The integration with a forthcoming metadata catalogue of the Greek and Latin inscriptions of Egypt, called IGLE (*Inscriptions Greeques et Latines d'Egypte*), edited by Paul Heilporn and Alain Martin, is announced⁶².

The items catalogued in these thematic databases do show up in TM too (i.e. *TM Texts*, the main core database⁶³), with links to the partner resources, which usually offer a more detailed set of information, focused on the particular category of texts they deal with. Look for example at the following case: a bilingual magical text catalogued in TM, but also in LDAB, DAHT and *TM Magic*. Each database offers somewhat different information, but links are provided to each other, and the numerical ID is unique: 55954.

Autorest Texts	Texts TN Home Dudheidkund an Oudheder Graec. Mag.(2, P. Leiden Gr Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / He mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	About Contact About
QUICKSLARCH Trismegistos nr * Advanced Search (Initig) * INFO Introduction Current Coverage Patters Languages and scripts Stable Identifiers Database structure History Helg ust Context Coverage Lieden, National Museum Lieden, National Museum ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical library	Texts TH Hame Dudheidkund an Oudheder Graec. Mag.(2; P. Leiden Gr Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Hu mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	About Contact About Contact dige Mededelingen uit het Rijksmuseum in te Leiden (OMRO) 56 (1975), p. 29-64 (Johnson, Janet H.) + Pap. 2) 21 2(XII) (Preisendanz, Karl) r. 2 no. V (p. 57-76) (Leemans, Conrad) e Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1 (Heitsch, Ernst) o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) salchinistes grees L no. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
OUTICKSEARCH Trismegistos nr * Advanced Search (help) Tel TNFO Tel TNFO Current Covenage Puthers Languages and scripts Stable Identifiers Data Standards Data Standards Data Standards Data Standards Edentifiers Data Standards Data Standards Edentifiers COLLECTIONS INFO Ledon, National Museum of Antigatical Theban magical library	Dudheidkund an Oudheden Graec. Mag.(2 P. Leiden Gr Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	stable urf (with 14 number): www.trismegistos.org/text/55054 dige Mededelingen uit het Rijksmuseum in te Leiden (OMRO) 56 (1975), p. 29-64 (Johnson, Janet H.) + Pap. 2) 21 2 (XII) (Preisendanz, Karl) r. 2 no. V (p. 57-76) (Leemans, Conrad) e Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1 (Heitsch, Ernst) o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L n 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
TH INFO C Introduction = Current Coverage = Ruthers = Languages and scripts = Stable Identifiers M Stable Identifiers H History H Help ust = COLLECTIONS THFO = Indekses HINCURE H ARCHIVES THFO = Theban magical Ibrary =	Graec. Mag.(2) P. Leiden Gr Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	2) 2 12 (XII) (Preisendanz, Karl) r. 2 no. V (p. 57-76) (Leemans, Conrad) e Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1 (Heitsch, Ernst) o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L n. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Rohert)
Introduction Current Coverage Partners Languages and scripts Stable Identifiers Databases and scripts Stable Identifiers Databases structure History Hidp ust COLLECTIONS HUMO LokAntiguaties ARCHIVES (HIFO Theban magical library	P. Leiden Gr Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr lubert Jr. / He mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	r. 2 no. V (p. 57-76) (Leemans, Conrad) e Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1 (Heitsch, Ernst) o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L n. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Current Coverage Pathens Earguages and scripts Stable Identifiers Data Standards	Heitsch, Die Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	e Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1 (Heitsch, Ernst) o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L. n. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Control Contrologie = Partners = Languages and scripts W Stable Identifiers = Data Standards = Database structure H History S Help ust = COLLCTIONS INFO = Leiden, National Museum of Antiguities = ARCHIVES INFO = Theban magical library =	Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. I Halleux, Les	o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchinistes grees L n. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Languages and scripts Stable Identifiers Database structure History Hidp ust COLLECTIONS THEO CARLiguities ARCHIVES (HEO Theban magical library	Daniel, Two Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Morton Pap. Graec. ! Halleux, Les	o Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L. p. 163-166 fr. 4 (Hallouy, Robert)
Canguages and Scripts View Stable Identifiers Detailed Standards = Database structure H Help ust = COLLECTIONS THEO Ladeo, National Museum of ARCUTIVES (MICO Theban magical library	Valter) Betz, The Gr Iubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L. p. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Stable Identifiers Data Standards Database structure History History S History COLLECTIONS INFO Ledden, National Museum of Antiguities AttCITIVES INFO Theban magical library	Betz, The Gr lubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	reek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistes grees L n. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Data Standards Database structure H History S Help ust COLLECTIONS INTO C Lodden, Dischard Museum C ARCITIVES INFO Theban magical library	lubert Jr. / He mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. N Halleux, Les	lock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) schemistes grees L. p. 163.166 fr. 4 (Hallouy, Robert)
Database structure H History S Help us! = COLLECTIONS INFO Leiden, National Museum of Artigutites ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical Ibrary	lubert Jr. / Ho mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. I Halleux, Les	iock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C. / Kotansky, Roy D. / Scarborough, John / n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s Jchinistes grees L. p. 163-166 fr. 4 (Hallouy, Robert)
History S Help ust = COLLECTIONS INFO Leiden, National Museum of Antiguities ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical library	mith, Mortor Pap. Graec. I Halleux, Les	n / Hershbell, J. P. / Betz, Hans Dieter / Johnson, Janet H.) Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl) s alchimistos grees I. p. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert)
Help ust COLLECTIONS INFO Leiden, National Museum of Artigatios ARCHIVES INFO Thebon magical library	Pap. Graec. M Halleux, Les	Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237 (Preisendanz, Karl)
COLLECTIONS INFO	Halleux, Les	s alchimistos groce I n 163-166 fr 4 (Halloux Robert)
Leiden, National Museum of Antiquillies ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical library	ddi	a menumines grees i p. 105-100 II. I (Huneux, Robert)
of Antiquities ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical library		
ARCHIVES INFO Theban magical library	Trismegistos nr:	s 35954
Theban magical library	Publication:	 Usamelokundige rededelingen uit net köjksmisseum van uudnieden te Leiden (UPIKU) 36 (1973), p. 29-66 (Demotic only; Johnson, Jan H.; 1975)
Theban magical library	100000	Pap. Graes. Mag. [2]. 2 12 [XII] (only Greek; Preisendanz, Karl; 1974)
	Other inventory ors:	Leiden, National Museum of Antiguities dem. I. 384 Vo (dem. 1.384 or 1.384 (as in Daniel)?)
DI ACEE INCO	Related inv, inf .:	
PLACES INTO	Material:	papyrus
Places mentioned in Outbailding	Material form:	
Mededelingen uit het	Reuse type:	: reuse of blank side of:
Rijksmuseum van	Reuse detail:	Spiegeberg, Mythus vom Sonnenauge p. 12-55
Oudheden te Leiden	Keuse note:	
(OMRO) 56 (1975), p.	Language/script:	Disingual: Greek / Demotic / Uid Coptic / Heradic continuities (are scheme to Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) Old Constitute Annual Constitute (are scheme to Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) of the Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) of the Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) of the Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) of the Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme) of the Constitute Annual Market Constitute) and Constitute (are scheme)
Mag (2) 2 12 (XII)	Dreamatica:	 continuation: nour columns in behavior, two with our behavior, and onese, and onese, and onese, several our coput glosses, some control (b) - (b) -
roup(c) a se (rou)	Provincia	- bytys, Sorth - bios rolls (Lincols and) football
	Date:	AD 200 - 299 (cf. Johnson in Betz, Greek Pacyri, Alternative date (Pack): AD 200 - 399; Van Haelst: AD 300 - 399)
	Seal:	10
	Note:	: old LDAB 5671
	Attested Authors:	
	Related resources:	
		The shares before the fear to fear at the and an excitation models. All the fear to be an an in the fear at the

TM Texts record.

⁶¹ Cf. http://www.trismegistos.org/magic/about.php; Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 320–1.

⁶² Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 319.

⁶³ For a detailed description of its fields see DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 45–9, and the picture below. Very recently, a search function for text reuse has been introduced (http://www.trismegistos.org/tm/search_reuse.php).



The same record as above, in LDAB.



The same record as above, in DAHT.

T			
TM Magic			Search Trismegisto
Recorded and	The second descent		Coche canten ser
2 V	TM-Magic TM Home	About Contact	
QUICKSEARCH			
Trismegistos nr	Leiden, Natio	nal Museum of Antiquities dem. I. 384 Vo	
TM-MAGIC	= P. Leiden G	r. 2 no. V (p. 57-76)	
Home	= Heitsch, Die	Griechischen Dichterfragmente 1 p. 179 no. LIX 1	
Search	= Daniel, Two	Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29	
List all	= Betz, The Gi	eek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12	
Help	= Pap. Graec.	Mag.(2) 2 Hymnen 1 p. 237	
COLLECTIONS INFO	= Halleux, Les	alchimistes grecs I p. 163-166 fr. 4	
Leiden, National Museum	Trismedistos nr:	\$5954	
ARCHIVES INFO	Publication:	Outheidkundige Mededelingen uit het Rijksmuseum van Oudheden te Leiden (OMRO) 56 (1975), p. 29-64 (Johnson, Jar transcription, translation, Demotic only)	set H.; 1975;
Theban magical library		Pap. Graec. Mag. (2) 2 12 (XII) (Preisendanz, Karl; 1974; transcription, translation, only Greek)	
PLACES INFO	Other publications:	Betz, The Greek magical papyri in translation p. 153-172 no. PGM 12 (Martin, Hubert Jr. / Hock, Ronald F. / Grese, W. C D. / Scarborough, John / Smith, ; 1992; translation, Demotic and Greek translation)	. / Kotansky, Roy
Places mentioned in Orabaidhamhna		Daniel, Two Greek magical papyri (Pap. Colon. 19) p. 2-29 (Daniel, Robert Walter; 1991;)	
Mededelingen ult het		Halleux, Les alchimistes grecs 1 p. 163-166 fr. 4 (Halleux, Robert; 1981;)	
Oudheden te Leiden		Pap. Graec. Mag.(2).2 Hymnen 1 p. 232 (Preisendanz, Kari; 1974; transcription, extract of PGM 12, 244-252)	
(OMRO) 56 (1975), p. 29-64 + Pap. Graec.		P Leiden Gr. 2 on. V (n. 57-76) (Leemans, Concad: 1885;)	
Mag.(2) 2 12 (XII)	Inventory	Leiden National Museum of Antiouthies dam 1, 194 Vin	
	Balatad Inc. Inf :	(dem. I 384 or J 384 (as in Daniel)?)	
	Type:	magical: handbook; spells and vignettes	
	Genre: Material:	poetry, lyric, hymn, magic papyrus	
	Material form:		
	Back (related):		
	Reuse:	reuse of blank side of: 55946	
	Lannuane/script:	Splegelberg, Mythus vom Sonnenauge p. 12-55 hillingunt: Greek / Demotic / Old Contic / Hieratic	
	Language details:	continuation: four columns in Demotic, two with both Demotic and Greek, and thirteen in Greek. Several Old Coptic glor	ases, some
	Provenance: Date:	Egypt, U04b - Dios Polis (Thebes east) [found & written] AD 200 - 299 (cf. Johnson in Betz, Greek Papyri. Alternative date (Pack): AD 200 - 399; Van Haelst: AD 300 - 399)	
	Author:		
	Catalogues:	Mertens-Pack 1869 = Van Haelst 1079	
	Nr of columns: Pagination:	0	
	Nr of lines:	and .	
	Religion:	christian	
	Condition:	Incomplete old LDAB 5671	
		Details of this record can be found in related projects: [288] 8481 4499	
	Bibliograph	y:	
	Johnson, in: Se	rapis 3 (1975/1976), esp. , p. 9-15 (description)	
	Leemans, Monu	ments égyptiens II (1856), esp. Pls. CCXXVI-CCXXVII (hand-copy of the demotic)	
	Johnson, in: En	choria 7 (1977), esp. p. 55-102, pls. 10-17 (comparison with other magical texts)	
	Tait, Theban Ma	gic, esp. p. 169-182 (provenance and date)	
	Roccati, La man	ia in Egitto, 1987, esp. p. 313-329 (demotic magical texts in generally)	
	Dieleman, Pries	ts. Tonques and Rites. 2005. esp. (study of social and cultural context of the text)	

The same record as above, in TM Magic.

Links to the embedded catalogues are displayed in red buttons also in the list of the search results; links to external resources are displayed in different colours. See below a case of a bilingual documentary papyrus: alongside DAHT, external links to HGV and *Papyri.info* are provided, and a text transcription borrowed from the latter is appended below. Such transcriptions are not constantly updated, so they must be used as preliminary references only: the most up-to-date texts are always to be found on *Papyri.info*⁶⁴.



There are some more databases hosted by *Trismegistos* that do not deal with 'primary' metadata of texts. They stem partly from earlier projects at Leuven, partly from the data management supported by TM. They are all accessible from the main portal, which indeed bears a very attractive and elegant interface split in as many slices as the available tools.

⁶⁴ TM introductory guide makes this clear: http://www.trismegistos.org/guide.php.




TM home page now (top) and then (bottom: just one year ago).

TM Archives (http://www.trismegistos.org/arch) is built on the earlier *Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Archives* (LHPA) created by Willy Clarysse and Katelijn Vandorpe to collect references to ancient collections of texts, as part of their own research interests in the topic⁶⁵. For many archives it is available a downloadable PDF with further details and bibliography, and for all of them a list of the pertaining documents is given.

TM People (http://www.trismegistos.org/ref) is a database of personal references in the papyri⁶⁶, based on the earlier *Prosopographia Ptolemaica* (*ProsPtol*) Online. The latter was the electronic version of the well-known prosopographical series of the same name launched by Willy Peremans and Edmond Van 't Dack as of 1950, being a collection of information on all individuals with a title living in Ptolemaic Egypt and neighbouring regions attested in Greek, Egyptian and Latin literary and documentary (papyrological, epigraphical) sources⁶⁷. The digitisation of these prosopographical inventories started in 1982 and led to two relational FileMaker databases, one (PER) for individuals and one (REF) for all references to these individuals: so, e.g., the numerous attestations of Zenon in REF could be reduced to a single person in PER⁶⁸. The database used to include also search engines for family relations (FAM), functions (FU), texts (TEX), places (GEO & GEOREF)⁶⁹. It was indeed this relational architecture (see below), together with its reliance on sources of different genres and in different languages, that provided the technical and conceptual framework for the entire Trismegistos project. ProsPtol, edited by W. Clarysse, M. Depauw, H. Hauben, L. Mooren, and K. Vandorpe, and hosted at http://prosptol. arts.kuleuven.ac.be since 2002, flowed into TM People in 2005. Subsequently, a process called Named Entity Recognition (NER), a computer-aided method of tracing personal names and genealogical connections, was applied by Bart Van Beek and Mark Depauw to the *Papyri.info* textual database (through the selection of capitalized words, appropriate word endings, etc.), in order to extract the relevant information and thus expanding the prosopographical database to include all papyrus texts⁷⁰.

⁶⁵ Cf. VANDORPE – WAEBENS 2010 on the Ptolemaic papyrus archives of Pathyris (with a PDF survey and bibliography at http://www.trismegistos.org/arch/pathyris.php) and VANDORPE – CLARYSSE – VERRETH 2015 on papyrus archives from Graeco-Roman Fayum (PDF list at http://www.trismegistos.org/arch/fayum.php). A general bibliography on ancient archives is offered at http://www.trismegistos.org/arch/help.php, and special section is devoted to the theme of *tomoi synkollesimoi* (cf. CLARYSSE 2003), with PDF resources at http://www.trismegistos.org/arch/tomos.php. On the theoretical foundations of the archives database project see VAN BEEK 2007.

⁶⁶ For details and technical issues see DEPAUW - VAN BEEK 2009; cf. also BABEU 2011, 173.

⁶⁷ Cf. PEREMANS 1946; VAN'T DACK 1992.

⁶⁸ Cf. Depauw – van Beek 2009, 32–3.

⁶⁹ Cf. MOOREN 2001.

⁷⁰ Cf. DEPAUW – VAN BEEK 2009, 34–40; BROUX – DEPAUW 2015b, 305–6; see also BABEU 2011, 172–3. NER is combined with Social Network Analysis (SNA: see below, § 7.2) to pinpoint prosopographical relations and further define the results. For other projects involving SNA for prosopographies see

66 — 3 Cataloguing Metadata

TM People is now a threefold databank. In the *Names* section (NAM) one can search for a Greek, Demotic, Coptic, or Latin name (or part of a name), or for sets of names according to specific criteria (language/script, divine element, grammatical type). In the *Persons* section (PER) it is possible to look up specific individuals by entering a combination of name, patronymic and metronymic (and century), or directly the numerical IDs assigned by the *ProsPtol*. The *References* section (REF) is a database of people attestations where it is possible to search for a set of personal attestations in the papyri, i.e. all attestations of Demotic renderings of Apollonios or all attestations of Greek names in Demotic. For instance, let us search for the female Egyptian name Taphorsais. In NAM we first learn that it is a transliteration variant of Tnephersais, original T₃-Nfr-š₃y, rendered in Greek as Tvɛφɛpơɑu;:



If we click on the '+' on the left, we learn more information about the original name (meaning, origin, bibliography...), its attestations in the documents, chronological and geographical attestation graphs, its position in the onomastic network (see below, § 7.2), a list of all the attested spelling variants:

BABEU 2011, 167. Earlier attempts to automatically extract prosopographical information from papyrological texts were made by Alfred Tomsin in the Sixties: see below, § 3.5



The attestations of both the original form and the variants are hyperlinked to detailed tables of attestations, which can be sorted (e.g. by date) and are further connected to the texts where the name occurs:

Trismegist						Coogle" Cutom Search	Sear
_	Peop	e TM Home About Conta					
QUICKSEARCH be info (text) b_ID (number) _ID (number) dvanced Search (NAM)	Tr > Er Pag	nephersais gyptian name, Female (<u>More informa</u> ge 1 of 4 🔯 📆	tion)				
ced Search (PER)	Th	is name is attested 174 time(s) a	s main, double or tr	iple name (Sort orde	r: chronological)	ì	
Search (REF)	100	Text [A]	Name	Person ID [A]	Date [A]	Place	Full
	m	P. Count. 53, 63	T3-nfr-p3-T3y	37134	BC 170 - 140	Egypt, U11 - Hypsele (Shutb), Rifa [found] Egypt, U13 - Lykopolites [written]	iexi
	R	SB 20 14478, 11	Τνεφεροΐτος	162464	BC 159 Aug 28	Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum) [found & written]	75
	用	SB 20 14477, 25	Τνεφεροάιτι	303356	BC 159 Aug	Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum)	28
10	×	Studi classici e orientali (SCO) 21 (1972), p. 370 no. 23,	T3-nfr-Š3y	57585	BC 146 - 132?	Egypt, Western desert - Oasis Parva (Bahariya) (?) [found & written]	
of Egyptian	Ŧ	P. Giss. Univ. 1 9, 6	Τνεφεροώις	32760	BC 131 Mar 14 shortly after	Egypt, 00b - Euhemeria (Qasr el-Banat) [written] Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum) [found]	X
5	(H)	UPZ 2 180 a, col. 45, 10	Τναφεροἂις	192841	BC 113 Jul 25	Egypt, U04b - Memnoneia - Djeme (Thebes west) (?) [found] Egypt, U04a - Hermonthis (Armant) [written]	28
	NO.	Short Texts 2 427, 6 - 8	T3-Nfr-13y	77688	BC 99 Aug 18	Egypt, U04a - Hermonthites [written] Egypt, U04a - Hermonthis (Armant) (found)	
	38	SB 14 11933, 7	Τεφεροῶτι	130400	BC 27 Nov 19	Egypt, 00c - Tebtynis (Umm el-Baragat) [found & written]	
	10	P. Erl. 117, 4	Τεφεροάιτι	271891	AD 1 - 99	Egypt [found & written]	10
	16	P. Louvre 1 85 descr., col. 1, 8	Τεφερσάιτος	321239	AD 1 - 299	Egypt, 00a - Soknopalou Nesos (Dimeh) [found & written]	10
	*	P. Mil, 1.1 5, 5	Τεφεροάις	250631	AD 8 Aug 29 - 9 Aug 28	Egypt, 00b - Theadelpheia (Batn el-Harit) [written] Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum) [found]	P
	×	P. Merton 1 9, 3	Τεφορσάιτος	128226	AD 12 Jan 14 - 26	Egypt, 00b - Theadelpheia (Batn el-Harit) [written] Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum) [found]	PN.
	1FT	P. Lond. 2 p. 146-148 no. 181, col. 2, 10	Τεφερσάιτος	310341	AD 63 Dec 8	Egypt, 00b - Kerkosis [written] Egypt, 00 - Arsinoites (Fayum) [found]	PA
	Ŧ	P. L. Bat. 13 23, 7	Τνεφεροόξτος	141923	AD 75 + 99	Egypt, U19 - Oxyrynchos (Bahnasa) [found & written]	R
	10	P. Oxy. 47 3333, 34	Τνεφερσόιτος	365163	AD 92 Feb 1	Egypt, U19 - Oxyrynchites [written] Egypt, U19 - Oxyrynchos	78

A very recent (2014) implementation of this onomastical database is *TM Ghostnames* (http://www.trismegistos.org/ghostnames), where Chris Eyckmans and Willy Clarysse collected all personal names (or name variants) that have been incorrectly spelled by scribes, erroneously read by editors of papyri or wrongly copied by compilers of indexes, and are thus in fact non-existent. The names (both Greek and Demotic) are classed in several typologies according to the kind of error and can be browsed or searched by fields (ghostname, correct name, responsible for the error, corrector, typology). Of each name is also given the reference to the papyrus edition where it occurs, and bibliographical information on the correction. This database is a particularly interesting tool because it admittedly serves as a complementary update for the existing printed onomastical lexica (namely Preisigke's 1922 *Namenbuch* and

Foraboschi's 1967 *Onomasticon Alterum Papyrologicum*⁷¹), which very often record the not yet corrected form⁷². This catalogue appears to be the online evolution of a *FileMaker* database, developed by Willy Clarysse and Jeroen Clarysse and called *Ghostbuster*, which was released as a stand-alone shareware software several years ago (it was presented at the 20th International Congress of Papyrology at Copenhagen, in 1992⁷³). For other prosopographical databases see below, § 4.4.

TM Places (http://www.trismegistos.org/geo) expands the geographical database of the Fayum Project, a former research project (1998-2002) by W. Clarysse and K. Vandorpe, being a topographical information database about ancient Arsinoites (Fayum)⁷⁴. This earlier database is still working at http://www.trismegistos.org/ fayum: alongside summary information and bibliography about each village, it offers detailed descriptions of most villages, with links to TM (formerly, it was interconnected with ProsPtol, LDAB, and LHPA). When the ancient location is known, a link to *Google Maps* is given; some villages are also plotted on a map specifically drawn for the project itself. TM Places, on another hand, contains the attestations of toponyms of whichever kind in texts from all Egypt (GEOREF, inherited from *ProsPtol*), but is also linked to the provenance field in *TM Texts* (GEO), and is being extending to all ancient places, even outside Egypt⁷⁵. Several search methods are possible, and detailed guidelines explain how to use this powerful tool at best. Places are plotted on *Google Maps* here too, in a georeferencing system that partially recalls the suggestions advanced in 2005 by Katja Mueller, who praised the advantages of using *Geographic Information System* (GIS) software as a cartographic and analytical tool in Papyrology, for representing and understanding spatial patterns from data⁷⁶. She evoked even a *Geographical Advanced Papyrological Infor*mation System (GAPIS) that could integrate data from HGV, APIS and ProsPtol (on the date, TM was still in embryo), though aware of the problems in relating the different papyrological databases to each other, and of the time-consuming effort requested by such a task. Based on the powerful relational architecture of TM, TM *Places* seems a suitable response to that claim.

TM Collections (http://www.trismegistos.org/coll) is a catalogue of all modern collections of papyrological and epigraphical documents, searchable in many ways and providing useful information on where documents are preserved (a recent refurbishment has restyled the database with maps, graphs, and better search op-

⁷¹ PREISIGKE 1922; FORABOSCHI 1967–1971.

⁷² Cf. https://www.academia.edu/10835341/Ghostbuster-helpfile.

⁷³ Cf. KRAFT 1992 and the documentation appended as Appendix 1, below.

⁷⁴ Cf. CLARYSSE 2005, 19–20 (and ff. for a case study based on the collected data); BROUX 2016a, 296–7.

⁷⁵ Several issues were addressed by Herbert Verreth in a communication delivered at the 26th International Congress of Papyrology (Geneva 2010), titled *Topography of Egypt Online*, of which just an abstract was published in the *Proceedings* (VERRETH 2012).

⁷⁶ MUELLER 2005b (see below, § 7.2).

tions). It was formerly known as the *Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Collections* (LHPC), a project by W. Clarysse and H. Verreth stemming from a conference on "Papyrus Collections World Wide", held at Bruxelles and Leuven in 2000⁷⁷. Tracing papyrus collections is rather important in order to reconstruct the modern history of fragments: it is not rare, for example, that fragments coming from the same findspot and later dispersed among different places lead to important reunifications. This database is a key tool for locating places where papyrus fragments are preserved, and to perform quantitative studies⁷⁸; for further information, one must refer to the collections catalogues, for which see below (§ 3.6).

More recent are the sections *TM Authors* (http://www.trismegistos.org/authors), still in Beta Version, a database of ancient writers and works related to LDAB metadata about ancient authors (attestations, quotations, etc., see above), and *TM Editors* (http://www.trismegistos.org/edit), an ongoing catalogue of modern scholars taken from the information about editors of TM texts and from the bibliographical records of BP and DL. This was a particularly painstaking tool to build, because of some initial issues in recording the responsibility of papyrus editions (e.g. papyri published by different authors in collective volumes or *Festschriften* were usually recorded under the volume's editor's name), but the information provided by the entire papyrological community via a shared *Google* spreadsheet helped fixing the problem, and now *TM Editors* is a powerful database for any possible study on papyrological editorial practices and trends⁷⁹.

Of *TM Text Irregularities*, *TM Networks*, and *TM Calendar* we will discuss further on, in the part devoted to the newest trends in Digital Papyrology (§ 7). We shall conclude our survey of the TM-embedded metadata catalogues with some general remarks. Following the TM fashion, each archive, collection, name, person, personal attestation, place, collection, ancient author, modern editor, etc., bears a unique numerical identifier, randomly assigned. This is a significant extension of the standardizing method applied originally to the texts⁸⁰. Moreover, it must be stressed that all these catalogues are deeply interrelated with each other, in a huge and ever-

⁷⁷ Cf. CLARYSSE - VERRETH 2000; VAN BEEK 2007, 1041-2 ("why collections do matter").

⁷⁸ Cf. VAN MINNEN 2007, 708–11.

⁷⁹ History of the tool and research samples in DEPAUW – BROUX 2016, where the provision of information by the papyrological community is referred to as "an overwhelming illustration of the *amicitia papyrologorum*" (p. 202). Of *digital amicitia papyrologorum*, we may gloss.

⁸⁰ DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 327–8, note about *TM People*: "As this database assigns a unique number to each individual attested, we hope the time will come (once everything has been cleaned up and checked, we suppose) where people will start to refer, when they edit a new document about someone already known, to even Zenon son of Agreophon not only as 'PP 80 + add. = 666 = 1044 + add. = 7982 = 9749', but '*TM* PER 1757''.

growing set of relational databases⁸¹ that makes TM an interactive network in which it is possible to literally navigate amongst ancient documents as digital objects (see below, § 9). A typical text entry, for example, offers access to the references of the collection(s) holding the document, of the archive(s) to which the document may belong, of the places and names occurring in the document. Moreover, bibliographical entries are all linked to *TM Bib* and DL. Other external resources (mainly *Papyri.info* and HGV, but not only) are linked in a specific metadata section ("Related resources").

The whole *Trismegistos* platform is therefore a powerful source of data for quantitative analysis: see e.g. the graphs automatically provided in *TM Names*, mentioned above. The TM team itself intensively exploits the information stored there in order to produce extensive and comprehensive studies based on the analysis of TM metadata. "[U]sing descriptive statistics to chart the reflection of social and religious changes in name giving in Greco-Roman Egypt"⁸² is one consolidated direction. Other case-studies such as chronological, geographical, typological, prosopographical surveys are well represented by the "Trismegistos Online Publications" that I will discuss below (§ 6.6) apropos of digital publications⁸³. A collective volume is expected on the chronological evolution of language preferences⁸⁴. Further steps move towards the network analysis of onomastic information (see below, § 7.2). All of this goes far beyond being a simple reference database for supporting research: in this case too, there is a complex and active interplay with actual scholarship.

⁸¹ A relational database is a dataset organized according to a relational model of data, i.e. tables arranged in rows and columns containing lists of entities and their respective value. Rows bear unique numerical identifiers and can be linked to rows in other tables by adding a column for the unique key of the linked row. Tables are also given unique keys, and relationships can be constructed among them. Relational databases use Structured Query Language (SQL) for querying and managing data (*Trismegistos* uses Oracle MySQL). Such an architecture is particularly adequate to manage the complex interrelations among resources and data, in a very wide network that well represents the complicated universe of papyrological metadata. Cf. RAMSAY 2004, 178 ff.

⁸² BROUX – DEPAUW 2015b, 307: cf. JENNES – DEPAUW 2012; DEPAUW – CLARYSSE 2013; BROUX 2015a; COUSSEMENT 2016.

⁸³ DEPAUW – ARLT – ELEBAUT et al. 2008: A Chronological Survey of Precisely Dated Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Sources; VERRETH 2013: A Survey of Toponyms in Egypt in the Graeco-Roman Period; VERRETH 2009: The Provenance of Egyptian Documents from the 8th Century BC till the 8th Century AD; BENAISSA 2012: Rural Settlements of the Oxyrhynchite Nome. A Papyrological Survey; VERRETH 2011: Toponyms in Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Texts from the 8th Century BC till the 5th Century AD; WORP 2012: A New Survey of Greek, Coptic, Demotic and Latin Tabulae Preserved from Classical Antiquity; BROUX 2015b: Double Names in Roman Egypt: A Prosopography; VERRETH 2006: The Northern Sinai from the 7th Century BC till the 7th Century AD. A Guide to the Sources.

⁸⁴ DEPAUW fth. (announced in VERRETH 2009, 7).



(from http://www.trismegistos.org/about_databasestructure.php).

The third (but not least) reason for which *Trismegistos* is 'greatest' is its inclination to expand beyond Papyrology. TM was born as an essentially demoticist (and egyptological) resource, turning immediately to comprising Greek and Latin Papyrology, thus filling a traditional but deplorable rift in the discipline. Coptic, Arabic, and Aramaic papyrologies were considered soon after, and TM became an invaluable and unavoidable tool of Papyrology in its widest meaning, not excluding even inscriptions from Graeco-Roman Egypt. The more recent trends are to extend the documentary ground to other ancient regions (Macedonia, Regio X: Venetia et Histria, Britain...)⁸⁵ and languages (Meroitic, Messapic, Etruscan, Italic...), and thus to create a global network in ancient studies, with a strong focus on the written document and its linguistic appearance⁸⁶, which certainly will have a significant place in a desirable, forthcoming (r)evolution in these research fields⁸⁷.



Trismegistos before Trismegistos: the old portal of the Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online.

⁸⁵ Cf. DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 50.

⁸⁶ See e.g. *TM Latin Abbreviations* (http://www.trismegistos.org/abb), an appended database of Latin epigraphic abbreviations based on the Clauss-Slaby epigraphic database (EDCS: http://www.manfredclauss.de).

⁸⁷ As wished by BOWMAN – DEEGAN 1997, 152 ("Distances between disciplines, institutions, and continents can be bridged by grasping the prospects held out to us by the new technologies") and BAGNALL 2012a, 14 ("the future of papyrological projects lies in transcending the limited scale of the discipline and its separateness"). See also DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 330–1. On interdisciplinarity as a main feature of Digital Classics cf. TERRAS 2010, part. 175–80. For further, prospective developments of TM see DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 50–1: models for Open Data environments, ontologies, links to other external resources like *Pleiades* (https://pleiades.stoa.org) for ancient places (on *Pleiades* see TUPMAN 2010, 85–6, and BABEU 2011, 90–2).

3.4 Fifty Shades of Papyri, or: What Do We Catalogue?

Cataloguing metadata poses a vital, starting issue: what do we choose as basic data, to which relate all information? As we have seen, data is represented by the papyrological text. And indeed papyri are the basic entry units of all the catalogues surveyed so far: any possible context information stored by HGV, M-P³, LDAB, TM points to a single text, or to a set of single texts. Since the textual state of ancient documents is often complex and articulated (think only of the possible cases of reuse or of composite documents), the problem is therefore apparent: what do we mean with 'base text unit'?

TM editors clearly felt this problem ("What gets a number?" is the title of a section of the introductory web page) and published a sharp 'disclaimer', which is worth quoting extensively.

In principle a Trismegistos number (TM_id) that identifies records in the database corresponds to a single document or book. In the majority of cases no distinction has to be made between a document or book (which is identified by the number), the physical object (e.g. a papyrus) and the text (e.g. a Demotic letter). Frequently, however, several (sub)texts are found together on a single writing surface and then it must be decided whether these all should become individual records with their own TM_id or not.

To determine what constitutes a document or book or inscription (and thus should become a separate record), we have given priority to material aspects: in principle all texts written on what was in antiquity a single writing surface belong together and form one document receiving a single Trismegistos number, unless there are good reasons to believe that the only (and unintended) relation between the two texts is the writing surface itself.

This means that related texts on the same surface are considered a single document, even if the relation is merely that they were written by the same scribe consecutively, but also that related texts which were in antiquity written on separate surfaces are considered separate documents. Even if a single text written by the same scribe and in a single action does not fit on a single papyrus sheet or ostracon but is continued on another for pure material reasons, two writing surfaces which were physically separate in antiquity cannot be considered a single document. Exceptions to this rule are rare and have explicitly been marked as such in the Ro/Vo comment field.

In other words the burden of proof rests with the scholar who wants to argue that two texts on the same writing surface belong to different documents because in the scribe's intention they have nothing to do with each other⁸⁸.

The issue at stake is not secondary, especially with reference to resource integration. Quite fortunately, TM and HGV largely share the same concept of 'text', so that mapping both metadata sets to each other implied just technical problems due to their different software architectures. However, it is not rarely the case that a textual item in the *Duke Databank* coincides to more than one HGV/TM record. For exam-

⁸⁸ http://www.trismegistos.org/about_identifiers.php. The disclaimer goes on with interesting practical examples and has been proposed again in DEPAUW – GHELDOF 2014, 43–5.

ple, P.Bad. IV 83, a letter (probably official) from Ankyron in the Herakleopolites and dated around AD 200, is divided in two columns written by two different hands. Accordingly, TM and HGV keep the two scribal products distinct: the former assigns a different TM number to each column (19336 and 19337 = P. Baden IV 83 col. 1 and 2 respectively), the latter records VBP IV 83 Kol. I and II separately. Nevertheless, *Papyri.info* stores one single text (http://papyri.info/ddbdp/p.bad;4;83), merging both sets of metadata together. Conversely, P.Bagnall 70, a fragment of a register of official letters (three currently extant) likely issued by the joint strategos of the merides of Polemon and Themistos in the Arsinoites in May, AD 232, is recorded by TM as a single item (TM 219331), likely because everything was written by the same person. The same does APIS: of course, catalogues of collections are more interested in the text as material object, rather than on the content it bears (for collections catalogues see below, § 3.6). Nonetheless, HGV splits the papyrus in three sections corresponding to the three surviving text portions (ll. 1–9, 10–17, and 18–23), since they have three different dates; and three separated texts are encoded in *Papyri.info*. It is apparent how much the integrated databanks are able to overcome scientific uncertainties in defining and categorizing complex papyrological materials (in the following pages, the screenshots of the two cases discussed here).

76 — 3 Cataloguing Metadata

Papyri.	info			The Ar	signin
Browne: DDDDC 1	HOV APIS 120	had 4 92 - L	E Mai Biolography	Kal II - Trismos	victor 10226 - Tricmonistor 10227
Research Research	teet Turscriptori	open in editor	Genomical URL http://papyr.info/ddbdp/b.bad.6.0		listos <u>12330</u> = Trismegistos <u>12337</u>
HGV: VBP 4 83 Ko	ol. I [source] [<u>xml</u>]			
Publications		VBP 4 83 Kol. I	[More in series VBP] [More in series VBP, vol. 4]		
Support/Dimension	ns	Papyrus Ankyron (Mara	(developing) Directions to been (the differentiated		
Provenance		Ankyron Herak	leopolites Ägypten	Ψ.	
Material		Papyrus	from the particle between 2000 CF and 2000 CF1		
Commentary		Vgl. Kruse, Der	Königliche Schreiber, S. 809£, Ann. 128.		
Subjects		Brief (amtlich?	ner uni beidelbare de (mm /Pareni (7/P.P. IV)	InRo At	
License		()	leidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechise	hen Papyrusurkunden Ä	gyptens. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3 o License.
HOV: VED 4 83 K	al II Irouro	al fymll			
Title	A. II (BOULC	Briefsammlung			
Publications		VBP 4 83 Kol. 1	[[More in series.VBP] [More in series.VBP, vol. 4]		
Support/Dimension Origin	0.5	Papyrus Ankyron (Hera	kleopolites) (More from Ankyron (Herakleopolites	<i>.</i>	
Provenance		Ankyron Herak	leopolites Ägypten		
Material Date		ca. 200 More	from the period between 200 CE and 201 CE		
Commentary		Vgl. Kruse, Der	Königliche Schreiber, S. 809f., Anm. 128.		
Subjects Images		Brief (amtlich? http://www.rzt) iser.uni-heidelberg.de/~gv0/Papyri/VBP_IV	/081/V_	
License		(e) +	leidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechisc	hen Papyrusurkunden Ä	gyptens. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.
Trismegistos: 193	336 [source	1			
Publications P	Baden 4 83 col	1			
Inv. no. E Reuse Type b	leidelberg, Instit dank space reuse	ut für Papyrologie d. new text is: 102	P. G 23 col. 1 [old]		
Date A	D 200 about		pa.		
Language G Provenance E	ireek irvot U20 - Ank	vropolis (El-Hiba)	[found & written]		
People n	nentioned people	i	Locale of History		
Trismedistor: 10	377 [eource]	1			
Publications P	Baden 4 83 col	2			
Inv. no.	leidelberg, Instit	ut für Papyrologie	P. G 23 col. 2 [old]		
Date A	euse of blank spi LD 200 about	ice, old text is: 193	30		
Language G	ireek	and the OWN DESIGN	formed to maintain 1		
Provenance E	gypt, 020 - Ank	yropous (Ea+Initia)	[lound & writern]		
1 (-a.7-μ)(r/b 1 (-a.7-μ)(r/b mohl/ky g(dapro- miner nai roog(2) indda hala Bay 3 öenis, 6.3 (x)(b) 7 (bab)(a) 8 3) (bab)(a) 8 3) (bab)(a) 7 (bab)(a) 8 3) (bab)(a) 7 (bab)(a)	() δέδωσειν έμο) ή) σύν αύτιζο φιλοι αάμα κε Κανότη ((ξίγος γ. Διουκο π. ² .) ου Υτουθυνί όνόματα άκριβό ([ού) πραγματί όο[υ τόυ] πύριόυ μ 	ρ[γυρί]ου δηγάρια η(2) Υάκτά / γτιοι Ασαυσίτος άμωο(4) δ (2)ατρό του α, δ Καβατός α δς ούκ (χω δηλάσι η2) συν [_], ας τα συ -τα.?-άν-]	disorg Myuskay? (sal adredig) (s. n. gelas		
 ής (ζ)στερον σ ίχων παρά σοί το διάθλοματος διάθλοματος διάθλοματος καθώς και αυτός σχάμπος δεί ών σχάμπος δεί ών ται λίσταλας δη διά τών (ρχομιάς ίσται γάρ σοι δι 	cije, τάν σσι [φαίν το λογάρ[του -ca. ά το[ῦ -ca.?- διά] (*) πί[μουας (?) -ca η([μουας (?) -ca μο[τ-ca.?-] λῶν πρί] [-ca.?-] φορ[μή -ca.?-]	ηται «κ.?-]]-]]?-ύπο-]]			
[-ca.?-],a,n,[-	ca.?-] 				
(hand 3) [-ca.?-]	3]άαιγικ <u>ο</u> ι λbaht	arț[d-ca.?-]			
2 r.r.g. L. vols 2 r.r.g. L. vols 2 r.r.g. L. epitopo pr 2 r.r. 8. L. morrow 2 r.r. 1. 4 morrow 2 r.r. 1. Himorrow Editorial History	apyrus oreia opapyrus shabiou ; All History	; (detailed)			
B-21-1	Databank of Do	cumentary Papyri.	This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Comm</u>	ons Attribution 3.0 Lice	nse-
EDE/2014, 1 Turtle 1 N-Tr	ioles 250N Gra	ph Visualization			
flome Holp B			f fploe		The Data Collaboration for Character and the A time Data Collaboration for Character Strength A time Database for the 30-bit of Annual Work Regulation page page

Papyri.	info	美位 法型自由的基本	Bight and the second
p.bagnall.70lin11	7V APIS TM N 3_23 = HGV th cases m and 2	P.Bagnall 70 Z. 10 - 17 = P.Bagnall 70 Z. 1 terestrong magnific and m	8 - 23 = P.Bagnall 70 Z. 1 - 9 = Trismegistos <u>219331</u> = columbia.apis.p425
The second of th	Z. 1 - 9 [203 Z. 1 - 9 [203 Z. 10 - 17 [2 Z. 18 - 23 [2	Tempool and Program And the filter (and the filter of the filter	gyd Bia Apollouion (Egitreret), Anfrag, die beigefligten Monatoberichte illor Steuerangelegenheiten zu beurbeiten mähig: Opt C (Egitreret), Anfrag, die beigefligten Teise den Polifekten zu beurbeiten und über den Volling zu beschlen. Bin Papyrumtanden Agytens. This work is lierened under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Lienene 2014
Provenance Material Date Commentary Print Illustrations Subjects Images		Arsinolites Appytein Papyrus moch 22, Mai 222 Districtions the seried after 2025 CKI Richastete: Landregister. 8, 254 Anschrift eines Schreibens (anstlich); N.N. (Stratege?) an N.2 Mirg.//www.upp.or.columbia.ordu.10gd/apisi.item?mode.ites	K. (Tphreef7); Auftrag, die beigefligten Monatoberichte über Steuerangelegenheiten zu bearbeiten Matyrs
License Trismegistos: 219: Publications P. Inv. no. Se Date a: Language Gr Provenance Eg Places Int Catalog Proved	331 [source] Bagsall 70 te York, Columbit UD 232 May 6 aft reft reft, 00 - Arsineit nitioned places Aumbic and	Heilinnin & Heidelberger Gesantverzeichnis der griechnet a. Lafwenzip, P. 33. Bo er; h. AD 323. Mey als after; e: AD 323 May 25 after tes (Diyam) [Josen Al.veritten] ad 25. [vem]]	en Paprenurkanden Agyptens. This work is licensed ender a Creative Connexes.Attribution 3.0 License.
Catalog Record: cc Title Summary Citations Inv. Id Support/Dimensions Provesance Language Date Mote (general) Note (general)	lumbia.apis.	p425 (Smill) → Smill (Composition of Disputs Alexander) Distance (Composition of Disputs Alexander) Dataset and Alexander Col. Int: 31 pretts 2 approver Represents (5 ± 32 ± 0 m. 3.4 ± 3.5 ± 0 m. Particular UP Collimbia Claiments) from M. Nalman throng The Coll - 32 C m. 20 C m 32 C m. 20 C m. 2	seniates Desison of the Actionste. Concerns a petition. In the second entry reference is made to persons who from 10th and Pleusi lat. A subscription in a second hand contains part of a regal date, that of flevena is 111. Bell, in Johy 1923, no. V.C. q* in Bell's inventory II
Note (general) Note (general) Note (local/note) Subjects License		Some text obscured by a layer of dirt First hand is a large clear hand, the work of an experienced : Reserved to UV Yilhach, Y/1/06 Papyri This work is licensed under a Creative Common	scribe; the second hand is small, less fluid but legible Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Lienne.
DDbDP transcripti Oned 3 (hi one) make a bit one of the second second second (A) responses of the second (a, a, a) as (Bits Mayr(70)); (a, a, a) (a, b) (a, a, a) (b) (a, a, a) (b) (a, a, a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c)	n: p.bagnall cycloudro urwa Mapor Aupt200 odormójel 7: s módane 6, baro mortrou flytue 	L.700014.8_232 [zml] dish diplata wai Bragolo angliji, stjal havnirany, u ditangi wai na Zanaglova Akdjidagova Editorgaliji, stjal Enorgaliji, Zhavradiji, [- di (Diplata marganistam stagar -) straji (Diranatizani, stagar -) straji (Diranatizani, stagar -) deng (Diranatizani, stagar -) (detalled) mentary Papyrt. This work is literated under a Gradiec	DODDP transcription: phagnall.201in10_17 [tml] 1 (r.e.4: intripute) (Building thread magnetized) to (Daugnetized) (Byrocha, thi) also well to enably applications: (m.g. (m.g. 12) (r.g. m.g. (m.g. 12))(m.g. 12)) 1 (r.e.4: intripute) thread magnetized magnetized to thread (r.g. 12) (r.g. m.g. (m.g. 12)) 1 (r.m. 12) 1 <td< td=""></td<>
DODDOP transcripti (en: p.bagnall mohawku timre tra urotjola phj Maperor Aupol(10 r, okonskih dpol) in j J	L700in1.9 (2001) werd Thalwards profiles (a) Zandajdoh Akideson Sinderstörrig jat (Franc) traj bagison (a) Zandajdoh Akideson Sinderstörrig jat (Franc) traj (a) Zandajdoh Akideson Sinderstörrig (a) Zandajdoh (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) ursey sud setti fra ladarney (Sinnegder (2)) (a) etter (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	
BOE20HL L'Earthe I NUTION	en 1.350% i Graef	Visualization Feedback Alexat Epiller	Findewickly The Cole Collamentary for Theorem Comparison of the standard Coll and the Standard Coll and the Standard Coll Standard (1998) - Filmer (1998) - Fi

Another problem lies in the traditional but rather artificial categorizations of text typologies. The big, canonical separation between documentary texts and literary texts has left a large twilight zone⁸⁹ – sometimes called 'paraliterary' (one may wonder why not 'paradocumentary'), 'subliterary' (with an implicit, out-of-place evaluation), or 'semi-literary' (again, (un)consciously pejorative?) – which is uneasily treated by those catalogues and databases that imposed themselves some sort of genre boundary:

[e]ppure l'apporto testuale e culturale di questa letteratura è prezioso e originale, in quanto ci è pervenuta solo grazie ai ritrovamenti egiziani che hanno salvato letteratura effimera o contingente, come opere di studio e di scuola (pensiamo ai dizionari), letteratura tecnica di tradizione fluida, dalla geografia alla medicina, all'astronomia, alla magia. Testo e lessico di queste opere altrimenti perdute, sebbene studiati nelle specializzazioni della disciplina, non sono mai stati ricompresi nei *Thesauri* e soffrono di un'assoluta non ricercabilità⁹⁰.

It is remarkable, for instance, that HGV does record some medical prescriptions (e.g. SB XXVI 16458, TM 64564), which are to be found in LDAB as well because considered 'paraliterary', but not other texts of the same type (e.g. O.Bodl. II 2183, TM 64502) that are recorded in LDAB only. Of course, this is not a primary shortcoming of digital platforms themselves, but is inherited from editorial tradition: typically, HGV includes all what has been republished in the Sammelbuch, so that the selection comes upstream. Look at the significant case of O.Bodl. II 2182, medical prescription, republished by Louise C. Youtie in 1977 and then flowed into Sammelbuch XIV as no. 11708 (TM 63912). It does appear both in HGV and LDAB. Nevertheless, O.Bodl. II 2183, of the very same type and immediately successive, re-edited by Claire Préaux in 1956, was not taken into consideration for SB, and thus was not included in HGV (TM 64502). Some special databases, like the mentioned TM Magic, or the Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri and the Digital Corpus of the Greek Medical *Papyri* (see below, §§ 8.6–7 and 9), have been specifically conceived because of the difficult categorization of some text typologies. Nevertheless, it is apparent how an all-inclusive catalogue like Trismegistos is truly fundamental in overcoming any possible artificial displacement. Standardization and integration are more and more unavoidable for a complete mastery of a fragmentary and multifaceted discipline like Papyrology.

In both cases (definition of the 'papyrological unit' and categorization of texts), digital tools prove essential in founding universal and balanced standards. Thus, once more, it must be stressed that their role is not that of pure supports to traditional research: they contribute to a methodological and epistemological reconsideration of the whole discipline. They are an essential part of the current scholarship.

⁸⁹ Cf. MONTEVECCHI 1998, 9.

⁹⁰ ANDORLINI – REGGIANI 2012, 138–9.

3.5 Extant, Would-Be, and Passed Away Digital Thematic Catalogues

Alongside the 'general' catalogues described above, one can find plenty of thematic or special metadata databases around the Web, consecrated to many different topics of papyrological interest. Usually, such resources stem from specific research projects, or from the academic concerns of one or more scholars. We have already encountered all the special catalogues embedded into or appended to the *Trismegistos* platform (DAHT, HHP, ATE, *TM Archives, TM People, TM Places, TM Collections, TM Authors, TM Editors*), as well as some connected ones (BCD, APD, *Fayum Project*), but several more resources are available online. Some of them offer the full text of all or part of the documents recorded, but their general shape is that of the catalogue, focused on the context information.

The spread of the use of digital databanks to manage huge amounts of papyrological metadata for the sake of research projects, or specific investigations on the documentary or literary sources, or even the construction of proper corpora of texts, is apparent⁹¹. Few more than ten years separate, e.g., Giovanna Menci's description of the advantages of electronic cataloguing of tachygraphic papyri – a project soon abandoned for economic and technological shortage - from M.G. Lancellotti's planned computerized corpus of the magical gems, C.D. De Luca's announced electronic catalogue of Greek and Latin books from the Fayum, and Willy Clarysse's and Mario Paganini's accurate elaboration of onomastical information from a special databank⁹² – and these are just few examples of quasi-endless perspectives still open and available, in particular thanks to the powerful means of the Trismegistos network⁹³. I myself had plenty of fruitful experience in easily managing high quantities of metadata with the help of *Microsoft Access* sheets during my collaboration to the Synopsis project at the Heidelberg Institute of Papyrology⁹⁴. The ease of use of such software as FileMaker Pro or Access and the possibility to make information and research results available to everyone by publishing the database online are other important factors to be highlighted.

⁹¹ For a general and external point of view, one may refer to CALLAGHAN 2014 on collection, management, and exploitation of datasets in scientific research.

⁹² MENCI 1994; LANCELLOTTI 2000, 161–3; DE LUCA 2007; CLARYSSE – PAGANINI 2009. I am grateful to Giovanna Menci for telling me the fate of her past project. It is very meaningful of the technological gap between now and then.

⁹³ Cf. e.g. DEPAUW - VAN BEEK 2009, passim.

⁹⁴ Project conducted by Andrea Jördens and Uri Yiftach (http://www.uni-heidelberg.de/md/zaw/papy/forschung/abstract.pdf), see e.g. REGGIANI 2016.

Juristic Papyrology, a particularly tough branch, requiring specific skills in ancient law and a deep knowledge of the relevant documents, takes great advantage of digital cataloguing tools. His own academic interests and his strong synoptic planning have led Uri Yiftach (now at Tel Aviv) to develop an invaluable tool cataloguing all the papyrological documents with a specific legal relevance: Synallagma – Greek *Contracts in Context* (formerly known as *Greek Law in Roman Times* = GLRT)⁹⁵. The database, hosted on an Artlid collection management platform⁹⁶, collects metadata from Greek documents with legal relevance from the Ptolemaic, Roman, and Byzantine periods, with a particular focus on the historical and social development of law and legal practices. Originally focused on six types of documents – lease, sale, loan, marriage, labour contracts, and wills –, the databank has extended to include also other document typologies, such as divisions of family estates, petitions, applications, court proceedings. It offers a browsing list of text typologies (lease contracts, acts of sale, credit-related transactions i.e. loans and deposits, testamentary dispositions, marriage contracts, laws and decrees, contracts of labour, *diairesis* i.e. divisions of joint property, debt settlements, petitions and applications, court proceedings, varia) and search functions in the cataloguing fields. After a basic contextualization (edition reference, links to HGV and *Papyri.info*, type of document, date, provenance, language) and some physical data (number of hands, letters per line, direction of writing, status, back), the indication of diplomatic category (i.e. the format or textual scheme, e.g. a letter-like *cheirographon*) introduces the more legally oriented features of each record. The more or less formulaic clauses that constitute the document are enumerated, and then a detailed account of the legal case is given: the parties involved (gender, age, name, patronymic, legal role, legal status, etc.), the objects involved (legal category, type of asset, value, etc.), the duration of the obligation, the remuneration ("consideration"). A side window offers a preview of the text, taken from the papyrological textual databank⁹⁷ (see picture in the next page).

⁹⁵ Open access at http://synallagma.tau.ac.il/?project=glrt&username=guest&password=guest. The project was initially developed in collaboration with Laura Boffo and Michele Faraguna, University of Trieste.

⁹⁶ Cf. http://www.artlid.com.

⁹⁷ See the full presentation of the database by U. Yiftach himself at https://www.academia.edu/ 1695380/Presentation_of_Greek_Law_in_Roman_Times_Vienna. Some samples of data extraction and elaboration are given by the same author at https://telaviv.academia.edu/UriYiftach/projects. Cf. also, e.g., YIFTACH 2015. The GLRT database was presented at the 25th International Papyrological Congress (Ann Arbor 2007), unpublished paper by U. Yiftach as well.



Marriage & *Divorce Papyri of the Ancient Greek, Roman and Jewish World* are collected also by D. Instone-Brewer (Tyndale House, Cambridge) in a web page (http://www. tyndalearchive.com//Brewer/MarriagePapyri) with the purpose "to study the background of the New Testament teaching on divorce and remarriage". After an introduction to the subject and before a thematic bibliography, a list of links (sorted by language and date of the documents) point to HTML tables, where the items are identified with very peculiar *sigla* (e.g., GM-267 stands for "Greek Marriage, 267 BC"). Metadata regard date, provenance, edition(s), reference to any English translation, link to on-site text (separated web pages, non-Unicode Greek encoding) and to the old version (*Perseus*: see below, § 8.3) of the *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri*. A link to any existing online picture is given too (see picture in the next page).



The Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri (CPP), compiled by Marc Huys and collaborators at K.U. Leuven as of 2003 (http://cpp.arts.kuleuven.be), is an expansion of the *Catalogue* of Mythographic Papyri (CMP), launched in 2001 by the same Huys and Thomas Schmidt. The latter aimed at collecting information about all papyrus fragments with mythographic contents, even if such an element is only secondary (e.g. school texts with lists of names partially mythological), and it was quite essential in the information provided: it lacked, for example, a detailed comment on the texts⁹⁸. Its former link (http://cmp.arts.kuleuven.be) now redirects to CPP. CPP has been one of the first attempts to fill in the said gap between literary and documentary texts (see above, § 3.4) by providing also the full text (both in Beta Code and Unicode: see above and below, §§ 1.2, 8.3, and 8.6) of (some of) the papyri recorded. The 'paraliterary' texts collected by this *FileMaker* catalogue, seemingly not updated any more since 2007⁹⁹, are mostly lists and catalogues, rhetorical treatises, grammatical papyri, commentaries on literary prose, school exercises, marginal annotations, citations related to literary works, mythographic texts - we will not find here, for example, typical 'subliterary' texts like scientific handbooks, according to a particularly restrictive interpretation of the 'paraliterary' typology¹⁰⁰. The metadata are very detailed: apart from edition and inventory, a full reference to digital and paper catalogues (LDAB, TM, Pack, Van Haelst, Cribiore Teachers and Students, Van Rossum-Steenbeek Greek Readers' Digests, Sutton Homer and the Papyri, Gigante Catalogo dei papiri ercolanesi) is given. Provenance, date, bibliography precede the full text. Then a literary section: author (original or quoted), genre, type (category), detailed contents, proper names mentioned, mythological characters mentioned. A bibliological and palaeographical section comes after, describing material, book form, size, script, sides, preservation state, column number, column width, and characters per line. To be stressed are a detailed comment on lectional signs and one on the scribe(s)'s hand. All fields, including full text, can be searched via drop-down menus or text string search, or one can browse through the records¹⁰¹. CPP is regularly linked from TM when applicable (see above, § 3.3). A sample record is reproduced in the next page.

⁹⁸ Cf. Huys – Schmidt 2007.

⁹⁹ Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 324: "the question arises of the survival and later evolution of such projects, where one individual is primordial".

¹⁰⁰ Cf. Renner 2009, 283.

¹⁰¹ For further details, cf. HUYS - NODAR 2007.

Cat	alogue of Paraliterary Marc Huys	Papyri - Collaborators
KULERY	en, Besearch Unit Greek Studies	Search Holp Browse Links About Contact
Record 01	192	
Editor	Un fivre d'écolier du life siècle avant JC., Cairo, 1938 (O. G	uéraud - P. Jouguet)
Inventory	Cairo, Egyptian Museum, inv. 65445	
Trismegistos:	59942	
Pack	2642	
Van Haelst Cribiore	279	
VRS	-	
ASW:	-	
CRP.	0192	
Provenance.	Egypt, Fayum ?	
Dute:	3 8G	
Bibliography: Plates	(none relevant). ed princ of LX Roberts GLH of 5a (8, 71-103) K Gaiser	Menandiers Hudris 1977 p. Mi. O. Montevenchi: La panimipela 1988? ni. 12 (il 71-126). B Lavras
	Line en Egypte d'Alexandre à Raiam, 2002, p.96.	
Greek text		
	base editor: ed.pr.	
	Greek wat in Greeke	
	01 m	
	02 xa ya	01 lon
	04 xh yh	02 xla wa
	05 xi yi 06 xo yo	03]χε ψε 04 yin wn
	07 xu yu	os xh w
	100 Poluneikhs 101 Eteokihs	102 'Iπ : πο : μ£ : δων 103 'Av : πi : λο [:] χος :
	102 Ippomedwn	104]o : [
	103 Antiloxos 104 a	105 ' A : να :] ξi : βου : λ[oc]
	105 Anaciboulos	106 Α. ρις 116 Υ κας : 107 'Αν :] α : ξα : γό : ρας :
	106 Anstonikos 107 Anacagoras	108 ['A :] πολ : λο : φα : νης : 109 'A : γα : θό : δω : ρος :
	108 Apollofanhs	110 Πεττε:ο:κάμπτης 111 'Αρ:κκ:σ[:λα:ος:
	110 Pituokam pths	112 'Ορ:γα:νο:ποι:ός: 113 'Δο:μα:το πο:νός:
	111 Arkesilaos	114 At: ov: to: µt: vŋç:
	113 Armatophgos	
	114 Leontomenhs	
Author:	arion.	
Quoted Author	in Tats Antimachus, Catlimachus, Anaxagoras, etxewtxera Euripides.Homerus,Straton	
Genre:	list of gods, list of monosyllables, list of months, list of names	, list of rivers.
Туре:	school text, mythology	
Contents	school manual consisting of syllabory, probably preceded originally by an alphabet (8, 1-1	(8); remains of list of the Macedonian months - only two of them partially preserved - (8, 19-20); list of
	numbers, partially preserved up to 25 (0, 21-26); list of mon- three (0, 84-92), four (0, 93-103) and five syllables (0, 104-	onyllables (il. 27-37); list of gods (il. 38-47); list of nivers (il. 527-66); list of names; of two (il. 67-83); 114); poetic anthology: Euripides, Phoenissae 529-534 (il. 115-125); lino it: 420 Nauck (il. 126-129);
	Pomer, Coyasey 5, 114-124 (J. 124-139); epigram on a log haginerits in elegiac disticts; the first two (J. 162-169 and 17 Strategiac Distribute: DOV 5, 1, 4, 50 (J. 185-155); and text	aman (ii: 140-164), epigram on a monument decision to remer by Principalor (ii: 160-161), three 70-184, respectively) are monologues of cooks, probably from new comedy; the third is a fragment of includ contexture, an one of frequently used insurtance (ii: 240-240), and fail of sink assessmention. The
_	submultiples of the drachma (II. 235-242).	men contra. Append o respondy and remove (r. 1 o 104) and in o age represently in
Proper names:	Ist of gods Hira, Hermits, Poseidon, Demitish, Avis, Albitna, Ist of names (mythological) Kastór. Phobos: Hektór, Arktos	Héphaislos, Aphrodate Apolion, Adamis, s, Néreus, Néleus, Thoas, Gouneus, Akmón, Zéthos, Alas, Teukros, Orpheus, Odysseus, Phókylos,
	Akinous [Akinoos]: Pelias lastn: Télephos: Achilleus: Agan Hippomedon Antilochos: Pityokampitis: Arkesilaos: Leontom	termón (?); Menelaos (?); Amphimachos, Askalaphos, Elephéndr,Antimachos; Polyneixés,Eteokiës; enés.
	Ist of names (non-mythological) Thrasson; Leon; Denon; N matta Ismenos (?, R); Erymanitios (?, R); Sitymön (1 + ?)	ilios, Therbr, Kalimachos, Anaxiboulos, Anatonicos, Anaxagoras, Apolophanes, Agathodoros, <u>[1], g</u> R], Saggarios, Pigrys [Tigrés?, Tigris?, Pigrés?], Pénelos, Indos, Hebros, Arachitos, Harakmón
	[Halashnon] Meller, Phymdakos, Skamandros, Endanos, S. (Q18, 140-154 Nymphia (Q); Philemaios (Q); Paros (7, Q) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)	mos (Simons) Praktos <u>ii, IS-139</u> Kalypio (Q), Orion (Q), Origne (Origne) (Q), Afema E Hymetros (Q), Ananoli (Q), Krehades (Q), <u>IS5-161</u> Mousai (Q), Posemaios (Q), Hometros (Q) Historica (Q), Ananoli (Q), Krehades (Q), <u>IS5-161</u> Mousai (Q), Posemaios (Q), Hometros (Q),
	(Q) Hombros (Q)	te executor (ut revenues (utions)mon (cut caus (ut) os (ut) menas (mienas) (ut) meno
Mythological	Int. of gods Hera Hermes Poseidon Démétér Arles Athéna He Int. of names (mythological) Kastór Phoibos Hektór Arkon	phaistos: Aphrod Mr. Apollón: Artemis; s: Méreus: Néleus: Thoas: Gouneus: Akmón: Zélthos: Alas: Teukros: Orpheus: Odysseus: Phókylos: Alkinous
	[Alkinoos] Pelias Jasón; Télephos, Achilleus, Agamemnón (7): Amphimachos, Askalaphos, Diephénór, Antimachos, Polyne	(7),Menelaos sileta, Eleokida, Hippomedón, Antiliochos, Pityokampités, Arkeailaos, Leontomenés.
	<u>Ist of mensi</u> lamenos (?, R); Strymön (1 + ?, R); Saggarios: Eridanos; Simois [Simoeis]	Pigrys [Tigrts7; Tigris7; Pigrés7]; Péneios Hanakmón [Halakmón]; Melés; Rhyndakos; Skamandros
Material.	papyrus	
Bookform:	FOR	
s-ze. Soript	240.5 (n) x 11 (# 20 R) along the flores	
Front / Back:	blank	
State Preservation	almost complete mediocre quality papyrus roll consisting of Rem c. 5.5 cm loos: The maximum researcest handle is 15.5	two long portions of the lower part (66 cm and 176 cm long, respectively, with a lacuna separating
	The unbilicus of the roll, the sheets forming the roll, not wry appears in tables of various sizes delivited by onsamented text appears in columns, which are finished with a horizontal columns -inside and outside the frames.	carefully attached to each other, are nether uniform in length. Up to 1.25 and after 1.25, the link harnes; sometimes, simple vertical lines mark a subdivision visible a harner. from 1.126 to 1.25, the line under the last line. The total of lines is thus 242, with very different lengths, like those for the
Number of	(not applicable)	
Column	uariatúr	
width:		
Letters per line:	variable	
Lectional signs:	list of gods: coronis all end; list of monosyllables: vertical is double dots, but both devices ran be overlable or function	he separating the two columns; list of names; ekthesis of title; syllabification by blank spaces and mistiken, but 72 accessing, embably seconds, - in the stars, of 2 last of insert constants, and the second sec
- 5.25	possible ble?; names separated by blank spaces in line; co balance into account the leader of the off.	coris at end, itacistic mistake: ? for ??. ? In the place of ??. Very few phonetic mistakes in general
Hand.	teacher's or professional scribe's: informal, mixed round, of	the "clothine" type, upright, clear and legible, , with sents and roundels on many letters, very few
	Igatures at the beginning (in the lists), then showing many lin more rapid, influenced by the Alexandrian chancery hand of	sting strokes. Letter size is large, up to the anthology, where it becomes medium-sized and the scrip 3 BC, it is not developed horizontally as much, and resembles well-written documentary hands.
Last update:	2003/03/28 Alberto Nodar: 2003/09/14 Marc Huys.2003/12/2	0 Marc Huys, Valerie Van Elst
id:	0192	
	Last	Modified 16/08/2007 15:30:12

Period Managar		Last update: 10 / 01 / 2006		
32222 - Comment		CDD	в	rowse the
(900 B	CATALOGI	IE OF PARALITERAR		CPP by:
	CARLOU	DE OF FARAEITERAR	nur	nber
and the second second		Marc Huys Collaborators	dat	8
L. Sandarana	2	ACCOUNTS OF	ger	ire
		SEARCH PAGE	writ	ing material
2-2-2-2-	About the CPP	Search Help	Selected Links	
General Searches				
Search all Greek texts in beta co	de:	v alphabelic characters and search opera	(crs)	
Search all Greek texts in unicode	tolek e	in the appropriate keys)		Submit / Search
αβγδεζη	θικλμν	ξοπρσς	τ υ φ χ	Description of the second seco
ψw	de la secto de la competencia		S V. KI KAS W	Reset / Clear
AND OR '(exact phrase)	\$ (wildcard character) backsp	ace		
Search all other fields:				
Specific Searches				
Identification			Searc	h Operators
Editio princeps:			space = boolean AND	school text = school AND text
Location + Inventory	0		'xxx' = exact phrase	'school text' = only this sequence of
number:	, ,	52	>xxx< = word boundary	characters >hera = Héra, Héraklés,
number:	~			hera = Hēra, Hēraklēs, Thera, Pheral,
Bibliography:			s = any single character	Schmi\$t = Schmidt, Schmitt,
Date: not earlier	than 4 BC 👻 not later th	an AD 9 👻		
Provenance: (unspecified	i)		Disp	lay Options
Contents			Sort by	date ~
Contents (general description):				sort ascending ~
Text type:	(unspecified) ~		By default, the sort	ted field is shown on the results page
Genre:	(unspecified) ~			
(Quoted) ancient author:			Complex Sea	arches
any name:			Combine any of the fir search options:	elds above with the additional
Proper name mythological name:			First additional search	field: editio princeps ~
Proper name mythological name:				
Material description	inor h	<i>R</i>		caretanan
Material description State of preservation (general de Writing material:	(unspecified)		Second additional sea	irch field:
Proper name mythological name: Material description State of preservation (general de Writing material: Book form:	(unspecified)		Second additional sea editio princeps	rch field:
Proper name mythological name: Material description State of preservation (general de Writing material: Book form: Script:	(unspecified) (unspecified) (unspecified)		Second additional sea editio princeps	rch field:
Material description State of preservation (general de Writing material: Book form: Script: Hand:	(unspecified) (unspecified) (unspecified) (unspecified)		Second additional sea editio princeps	rch field:
Material description Material description State of preservation (general de Writing material: Book form: Script: Hand: Lectional signs:	scr.): (unspecified) (unspecified) (unspecified) (unspecified)	 <td>Second additional see editio princeps Su</td><td>rch field: </td>	Second additional see editio princeps Su	rch field:

The old CPP interface.

Homer & the Papyri was originally created and edited by Dana S. Sutton (University of California, Irvine) on a couple of diskettes (*Homer and the Papyri 1.0*, Scholars Press, 1992)¹⁰², and later it was published on the Web (2000). This website consisted of lists of published papyri and related items containing Homeric texts, along with a repertoire of the textual variants presented by this body of material, hypertextually linked to the lists of papyri. In 2001 the project was transferred to the Center for Hellenic Studies, with a view to its continuation and incorporation into the publications of the Center, including the multitext edition of Homer¹⁰³. A new edition of this catalogue (http://www.stoa.org/homer/homer.pl), now edited by Gregory Nagy, expands its

¹⁰² Cf. VAN MINNEN 1994, 40 n. 20.

¹⁰³ Cf. DUÉ 2010 and see below, § 9.

utility: it is built on a fully searchable relational database (created by Michael Jones, with the cooperation and supervision of the Stoa Consortium, http://www.stoa.org). This database allows the users to search in one of six fields, such as title (*Iliad* or *Odyssey*), book number, and line number. There are also fields for variants, witnesses, and a more general description field, in which the users may search for special features (such as material, location, or editor). All features of the previous edition of *Homer & the Papyri* continue to be available in this second edition. For example, one can obtain a list of all *Iliad* papyri by simply choosing the title ("Iliad") in the search form, and leaving the other options blank. Such a list may be further restricted by specifying a book number, or a range of books. Each field of the search form further specifies the search, and a list is then dynamically generated. Newly published Homeric papyri are added to the database, which is regularly updated¹⁰⁴.

Scholia minora in Homerum, on the *Aristarchus* portal (University of Genua, http://www.aristarchus.unige.net/Scholia/it-IT/Home), edited by F. Montanari and D. Muratore, offers a list, with description, edition, and photographic reproduction, of the papyri containing glosses to Homeric words (Homeric glossaries). The catalogue, refurbished as of April 2017 (http://www.aristarchus.unige.net/Scholia/it-IT/Database; see picture below), is arranged by Homeric passage; the papyri are referred to with the edition or inventory number, followed by a short title of the content. The list can be filtered by collection or Homeric book. Each record contains the location of the fragment, a complete bibliography, a detailed description, and a link to a digital picture and to an edition of the text, in PDF format (when available). The editions are based on new revisions by the editors of the catalogue. A parallel resource has been offered by the late John Lundon, but his *Scholia Minora in Homerum: An Alphabetical List* is rather a word index and it will be presented in the appropriate section (§ 4.1)¹⁰⁵.

holia	a [W]	Aristarchus Papiri Bibliografia Accessibilit Mi IN 51 D	a 1		De: 22 42
oila Alio e 1. Del	ora in Hom ahase				Oveno da Franco Montara Ha colladorete: Pacía Asch
Pap	4				
	Thi				
	Collegie	ne Selecena Contenuta Sel			
1	8.001	P.Berd, and 5014	Schulia Mexea ad	K.1 K.1, 140,0-12	
1	R.002	P.Meb. iw, 1588	Scholas Minora a	8.1 (0.8.1.10	۲
1	8.000	P.Achmins 2 (Pasis, Brill, Suppl. gr. 10593)	Hypothesan a Scholin Mercea ad	8.1 8.1.102	۰
1	8.000 8.004	P.Astonin 2 (Pani, Bell, Suppl, gr. 1090.3) P.Day, 44 3207	Hypotress a Schola Mecca at	8.1 8.1, 142 8.1 8.1, 448	•
4	8.000 8.004 8.005	P. Adminis 2 (None, Bolf, Buggl, gr. 1000.3) P. Ong, 44 3207 P. Onlis 2 12 (Inc. 300)	Hyporbusis e Schola Mirona ad Bohola Mirona a Parahasi a Denus e Schola Mirona ad	8.1 8.1.101 8.1 8.1.410 8.1 8.1.508	•

¹⁰⁴ Cf. http://chs.harvard.edu/CHS/article/display/1563.

¹⁰⁵ On the differences between the two lists see MONTANARI 2012, 3–4.

Università degli Studi di Genova	SCHOLIA Minora in Homerum A cura di <u>Franco Montanati</u> e <u>Davide Muratore</u> Ha collaborato Paola Ascheri
Menu principale	PAPIRI IN ARCHIVIO
Home Page [A] Papiri [B] Bibliografia [C] Scrivici [S] Aristanchus [] Registrati [G]	Filtra per collezione:
Sito accessibile [H]	II. 002 . P.Mich. inv. 1588 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 1-9
English intro [Z]	II. 003 P.Achmim 2 (Paris, BnF, Suppl. gr. 1099,3) - Hypothesis e Scholia Minora ad II. 1, 1-21 II. 004 P.Oxy. 44.3207 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 4-18
GetFirefox	II. 005 P.Osfo 2.12 (inv. 308) - Parafrasi a Omero e Scholia Minora al II. 1, 5-24 II. 006 P.IFAO inv. 105 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 10-12
	II. 007 POxy. Inv. 44 58.61/G(4-6)a - Schola Minora ad II. 23-40(7)
	II 008 POyv inv 46 58 51/E(4.5)a - Scholla Minora at II 1 53-75/2)
	II. 009 POxy. 24.2405 - Scholia Minora ad II. 1. 58-128
	II. 009.1
	II. 010 P.Narm. inv. 69.43 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 73-74, 80-81
	II. 011 P.Turner 13 (P.Strasb. inv. gr. 39+40+41) + P.Strasb. inv. gr. 33 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 81-361
	II. 011.1
	II. 012
	II. 012.1 P.Yale 2.126 (inv 1544) - Scholia Minora ad II. 1, 189-223
	II. 013
	II. 014 P.Schubart 2 (P.Berol. inv. 7501) - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 266-272
	II. 015 P.Oxy. 45.3237 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 279-323
	II. 016 P.Colon. (P.Köln) Inv. 2281 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 318-416; 512-610
	II. 016.1 P.Oxy. 71.4818 - Scholia Minora a II. 1, 326-336; 340-353

The previous interface of Scholia Minora in Homerum.

The *Database of New Literary Texts* is an interesting tool provided by the project "Reception of Greek Literature 300 BC-AD 800: Traditions of the Fragment" at Oxford (http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/Fragments). From the left-hand bar of the project homepage, it is possible to browse this catalogue of "a selection of lost Greco-Roman Literary works rediscovered in modern or early modern times". The items can be displayed by publication date (ascending or descending) or alphabetically by ancient author; each one provides the title of the ancient work, the edition of the papyrus, bibliography (if applicable), and the year of publication.

Within the framework of the prospective publication of the *corpus* of the *Papyri from the Rise of Christianity in Egypt* (PCE), conceived as a printed volume collecting all papyrological texts documenting the rise of Christian religion in Egypt up to Constantine, A.M. Nobbs (Macquarie University) has produced a PDF *Conspectus* of the forthcoming work¹⁰⁶, which is in fact a catalogue of such texts. The items are arranged by several typologies, both documentary and literary; each one is called up by edition, and such basic information as date, provenance, and a brief description of the content are given.

¹⁰⁶ http://areopage.net/PDF/PapyriFromTheRiseOfChristianityInEgypt.pdf.



Turning to purely 'documentary' Papyrology, the *Seals and Stamps* database, created by Katelijn Vandorpe and accessible via *Trismegistos* (http://www.trisme gistos.org/seals; see picture above), provides detailed information on seals and stamps found on papyri and on other objects from Greco-Roman and Byzantine Egypt. It is divided into an extensive bibliography on the subject, a set of lists of occurrences, an overview article¹⁰⁷, and the proper database, where it is possible to

¹⁰⁷ = VANDORPE 1997, with additions; cf. also VANDORPE – VAN BEEK 2012.

search either for seals and stamping objects or for red stamps on papyrus. For each item are given its publication reference, collection, inventory, indication of picture, detailed description, material, type of document sealed, date and provenance (for red stamps, shape and issuing office); the fields are all searchable from the home mask. This database is linked from *TM Texts* records.

chrellsuche - nach Namen: Umschrift: suchen >> chreltert weiterte Suche (Demo) >> chreltert >> Codetabelle	Benutzername: Paßwort: >> anmelde angemeldet als: Gast (nicht angemeldet)
griechische Belege	demotische Belege
Implement [<< < [4 / 3971] >> >>]	(m) Drucken << <[13 / 3038] >> >>
Prospographie Verwandtschaft Besitz Uttaratur Beleg-Informationen Di Identifis gr- 4 >> Kinkos Identifisieren >> Daterung Beleg / Typ: enste Daterung / Typ: 41 Publikationanr.: Testgattung: BGU XIII 2221BGU 190 BGU XIII 2221BGU 190 Zelie: Kolume: reclo/verso: Ikutenschrift: Aktion / Vorgang: Bibliographie: Autore(n); Kanse, Vorname: (mehrere Autorem mit Zelienumbruch tremon)	Prosopographic Verwandtschaft Benitz Literatur Beleg-Informationen Iteratur Stadus (den 13) Ide Identifizieren >> Stadus (den 13) Identifizieren >> Deiderung Beleg: ente Datierung / Typ: Dieterung Beleg: ente Datierung / Typ: römisch (den 15) Nahndrä (den 15) Identifizieren >> Datierung (den 16) Dieterung Beleg: ente Datierung / Typ: Publikationsmr: inventiermr.; DOI 1 3 offerin 20107 Tetggettung: inventiermr.; Doi: in Unterschrift: 3 in Unterschrift: 3 Aktion / Vorgang:
Jahr erschienen in: Herausgeber: Ort: Seite(n): << [0/0] >> Kommentar: << [0/0] >>	Namensangaben: Name (Standerd/kurz): Sottovetis Cf8.05=wc4r-wby Persone-magaben: Geschlicht: Signalement: Antsbezeichnung: Manicks (2000) Rerufsbezeichnung: Mitglied der zweiten Phy Rerufsbezeichnung: Kommentar: <

Dime Online is a database project conducted by Maren Schentuleit (Heidelberg) as a prosopography of ancient Soknopaiou Nesos (Dime) in the Fayum (http://www.dime-online.de)¹⁰⁸. The concept originated in 2003, after a cross-disciplinary egyptological-papyrological-archaeological workshop¹⁰⁹. The relational databank, launched in 2006 (last update 2016), collects references to persons and personal information from Greek and Demotic sources, including the topographical data that

¹⁰⁸ Bibliography on the project at http://www.dime-online.de/literatur.php.

¹⁰⁹ LIPPERT – SCHENTULEIT 2005.

can be obtained from the documents. The individual records, distinguished between Greeks and Egyptians, are further divided into four cards (see picture above): "Prosopographie", with personal information: name, date, source, gender, ethnicity, personal marks, etc.; "Verwandtschaft", with family information; "Besitz", with information about the person's holdings: object description, buyer/seller, location, etc.; "Literatur", with bibliographical information¹¹⁰. Registered users can add information to the database, in the growing spirit of collaboration that is raising among digital resources (see Introduction, § 1.2, and below, §§ 8.4–5).



The project *Death on the Nile*, established in 2007 by Sofia Torallas Tovar (CSIC, Madrid) and François Gaudard (Oriental Institute, Chicago), has now extended to comprise all the aspects of death in Graeco Roman Egypt (http://deathonthenile. upf.edu; formerly http://www.lineas.cchs.csic.es/death), but was originally conceived to produce a database of Greek and Demotic mummy labels, which is still under completion (*Mummy Label Database*, http://deathonthenile.upf.edu/database). The records are stored with a huge set of metadata (publication and conservation information, TM number, date and provenance, detailed physical and material description, script information, commentary, bibliography) and provide also the full text, taken from *Papyri.info*, and its English translation. Any field, full text included, is searchable; a virtual keyboard allows for entering searching terms in Greek or Demotic. For now, ca. 300 Greek labels have been entered, and Demotic texts are expected soon. In addition, one can refer to a static PDF produced by Klaas A. Worp on the basis of TM data¹¹¹, where mummy labels are listed by edition and provided

¹¹⁰ For further details, cf. SCHENTULEIT 2006 and SCHENTULEIT – LIEDTKE 2008; see also BABEU 2011, 173.

¹¹¹ WORP 2017 (recently updated from 2013 Version 1.0).

with very basic metadata (TM number, publication, inventory); an alphabetic list of locations of collections possessing mummy labels is appended at the end. The PDF is downloadable from the project website.

Death on the Nile	Project home
Search by filters Column name Acquisition Like 1 SEARCH For example: Column name: Institution Like: Agyptisches Museum OR	If you prefer Greek/Demotic text search, use this keyboard GreeVDemotic text Ø P B C N Ø S K A U V E O N P S O V U Ø X V O S I C C Y W A B P f m a r I b B B B B K G K B S d I S d C Becopere Greef Speccher
Column name: Material Like: Wood	SEARCH

Chartae Latinae Antiquiores Online is a web page developed by the publishing house Urs Graf Verlag that allows searching a metadata database of the famous printed repertoire of the most ancient Latin documentary manuscripts (http://www.ursgraf-verlag.com/index.php?funktion=chla_suche). The search mask is made by drop-down menus and text string boxes, and the results are shown in a table listing material, document type, reference to ChLA edition, date, place of production, scribe, current location of the various items.



Agriculture in Graeco-Roman Egypt (AGRE, http://www.agre.uni-tuebingen.de)¹¹², edited by Christian Leitz and Sandra Lippert (Tübingen), is a thematic database devoted to the history of agriculture and land use in ancient Egypt. Hundreds of Demotic documents related to the subject topics have been collected with basic metadata (identification, dating and provenance of the source), full text in transliteration (the appropriate font *Transliteration* is required to display the transcriptions), and English & German translation, and relevant information concerning e.g.

¹¹² Cf. WINKLER 2008; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 316.

agricultural products, specification and location of agricultural areas, tax or lease payments, prosopography, bibliography. The entry of Greek, Coptic, and Arabic texts was planned, but unfortunately the website currently seems not to work properly due to seeming technical issues.

The quick perishability of digital resources is indeed a main issue. They are undoubtedly more volatile than printed paper, though paper has its own issues too. Such valuable resources as *Christian Papyri*. *A Supplement to van Haelst's Catalogue*, published by Cornelia Römer in 2003 as a bibliographical update to Van Haelst's catalogue in the form of a hypertext page, has disappeared from the Web¹¹³. Digital tools have improved the preservation of cultural heritage very much, but the preservation of digital scholarly heritage should be taken care of too¹¹⁴. Fortunately, such repositories as the *Internet Archive* store 'screenshots' of past web pages (*WayBack Machine*: https://archive.org/web), though the work is not always really systematic, and does not consider offline material or software. Since more and more resources are produced as electronic only, the history of the discipline would take advantage very much from a global memory of its computerized past.

3.6 Digital Catalogues of Papyrological Collections

Very few introductory words are to be said on the importance of cataloguing a papyrological collection: knowing exactly which pieces can be found in a certain place, what they are like, and what they can contain, and making this information available to the whole papyrological community, is a fundamental step in the progress of a research that is ultimately based on scattered fragments. Even though not all the fragments preserved are transcribed and published, it is important to know what

¹¹³ Former URL: http://www.ucl.ac.uk/GrandLat/research/christianpapyri.htm (cf. CAPASSO 2005, 232; OTRANTO 2007, 457). The page is fortunately preserved by the Internet Archive (latest capture, June 2008: http://web.archive.org/web/20080601042728/http://www.ucl.ac.uk/GrandLat/research/christianpapyri.htm). Other online resources related to Christian papyri are the *Complete List of Greek NT Papyri* by W. Willker (http://www.willker.de/wie/texte/Papyri-list.html) a plain table containing references to edition, date, location of New Testament papyri, and the indication of the passages preserved (a link points also to available online images), and the much similar *Catalogue of New Testament Papyri & Codices* (from the II to the X century), edited by K.C. Hanson (http:// www.kchanson.com/papyri.html). Not limited to the papyri is the *New Testament Virtual Manuscript Room* (NTVMR) of the Münster University (http://ntvmr.uni-muenster.de), a workspace allowing to browse and search for New Testament manuscripts (including papyri), of which pictures and transcriptions are displayed in parallel windows, when available. For another case of disappeared resource see the website of the Department of Papyrology of the Warsaw University (below, §§ 6.1 and 6.4). **114** Cf. SMITH 2004.

exists, in view of future studies, joins, discoveries¹¹⁵. The good practice of cataloguing papyrological collections is not new, but the rise of the digital technologies – as in other aspects of the discipline – have brought an invaluable advantage to the work of storing information about published and unpublished pieces, that is their metadata, and of making it accessible to the entire community¹¹⁶. They are therefore core projects not only for the single collections themselves, but also for the entire discipline, in the usual terms of sharing, accessibility, comparison, and eventually collaboration. To this respect, digital imaging is the natural *pendant* of digital cataloguing¹¹⁷, so that not only metadata are made available but also digital reproductions of the pieces themselves. Just for ease of discussion, I will deal with the progress in digital imaging further on (§ 5, part. 5.1).

The following inventory, collecting the existing online catalogues of papyrus collections worldwide, is perhaps not 100% complete, but at least helps sketching an overview that is comprehensive enough to trace some significant trends¹¹⁸. The pioneer of digital catalogues – as of other aspects of Digital Papyrology (see above and below, §§ 2.3 and 8.3) – has been the Duke Papyrus Collection, which started conserving, studying, cataloguing and imaging its largely unpublished papyri, with a grant of the National Endowment for the Humanities, as early as 1992¹¹⁹.



¹¹⁵ Cf. SCHOLL 2008, 32–3. Many examples might be produced to illustrate the benefits of cataloguing a papyrus collection. To limit us to few remarkable cases of digital cataloguing, during the indexing of the Würzburg collection for the *Papyrus Projekt* (see below, § 3.6) some new archives have been discovered and known archives were extended by new texts (namely, the archives of Eutychides Sarapion's son and of Arsinoe's soldiers: see at https://papyri-collection.dl.uni-leipzig.de/content/archiv.xml). Also, and more deeply to the core of papyrological research, cataloguing very often leads to improvements in the understanding of the documents themselves: cf. GAGOS 2001, 527. This is a valuable outcome also of the more general catalogues: suffice it to recall the improvements in the dating of many fragments reconsidered while recorded in HGV (see above, § 3.1), and the results obtained during the cataloguing work for M-P³ (cf. MARGANNE 2007d, 432–3 = 2007b, 72–5).

118 Not all collections have an online catalogue. For a 'traditional' survey of papyrus collections see CLARYSSE – VERRETH 2000, also for the history of the collections themselves. Of course, see also the database *TM Collections* (see above, § 3.3). Some new digital catalogues are expected in the near future, such as that of the Greco-Roman Museum of Alexandria announced by EMPEREUR 2000, 617–8.

119 Cf. GAGOS 1997, 155; in fact, experimentations had been conducted at the University of Michigan since 1990/91 (see also GAGOS 2001, 516).

¹¹⁶ Cf. TAIT 2002.

¹¹⁷ Cf. e.g. GAGOS 1997 and BAGNALL 1998, 547 ff., on the very early connection between digital cataloguing and digital imaging. See also VAN MINNEN 2009, 649.

Conceived by John Oates (co-founder of the *Checklist* and of the *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri*) and called *Duke Papyrus Archive*,

[t]he project introduced some novel ideas about accessing information about papyri. The catalogue records would be presented in a standard format and become part of local and international databases, where they could be accessed by a wide variety of users, not just professional papyrologists for whom the printed catalogue was intended. The standard format, derived from the second edition of the Anglo-American Cataloguing Rules, would make searches for certain subjects more predictable or at least less idiosyncratic. The databases would provide their own search engines, which would not require the use of special or system-dependent software on the part of the users. Since there was open access to the online catalogue quickly evaporated. More and more papyrologists were becoming computer literate and they could also be expected to use the online databases to get at the information they wanted. In addition, experiments at The University of Michigan had shown that scanning papyri rather than photography was the way of the future¹²⁰.

As noticed above, the relationship between online cataloguing and imaging is strict:

[o]nly the Internet allows links between large sets of descriptive data and large sets of images. The Duke papyrus project could make a smooth transition to the Internet, because it had been producing just such a large set of descriptive data – the catalogue records describing the papyri – and was in the process of producing just such a large set of images. This is an essential point: the production of large sets of images for the Internet has to go hand in hand with the production of large sets of descriptive data. Without the catalogue records the images of the Duke papyri would not only be meaningless, but also inaccessible. Of course, one could call them up one by one and try to make sense of what one sees, but this would be impractical¹²¹.

The possibilities offered by the Internet were immediately and clearly understood, and since – as we saw – making such catalogues available is a priority not only for the single institutions but for the entire community as well, a larger project was launched soon after, with the innovative purpose of creating one single network of the catalogues of the major papyrus collections in the United States. This joint enterprise, planned in 1995, was named *Advanced Papyrological Information System* (APIS) and led by Oates together with Roger Bagnall (Columbia University)¹²² and Traianos Gagos (University of Michigan)¹²³. Berkeley, Princeton, and Yale joined the effort soon after, and the project was launched in 1996/7 with the even wider goal of creating "a collections-based repository of information about and images of papyrological materials [...]

¹²⁰ VAN MINNEN 1995. Noteworthy is the stress posed on standards.

¹²¹ VAN MINNEN 1995.

¹²² Bagnall, then President of the American Society of Papyrologists, outlined the project at the International Congress of Copenhagen in 1992: cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 59 and 63–5.

¹²³ On the rise of digital cataloguing in Michigan see GAGOS 1997 and 2001, 525–7.

located in collections around the world; it was envisaged as a first stage in creating a comprehensive papyrological working environment online"¹²⁴. APIS has grown through the years, encompassing many American and non-American (e.g. Oslo, St. Petersburg, Lund, Gothenburg) papyrus collections¹²⁵, and in 2013 it was merged into the *Papyri.info* platform, and systematically linked to the other resources hosted there, completely fulfilling the idea of access, collaboration, standardization, integration and universalism that Digital Papyrology is constantly pursuing¹²⁶. Since the very beginnings, collaboration and standardization have been a major concern too: earlier participating institutions were required to send their metadata to Columbia following the common standards¹²⁷, while now the collaborative architecture of *Papyri.info* allows everyone to directly contribute the metadata to the system¹²⁸, always following strict standards. An XML structure automatically generated from the metadata editor grants, as usual, full compatibility with other databases, thus also integration and universalism.

¹²⁴ http://papyri.info/docs/apis.

¹²⁵ Historical and technical information is still available at http://www.columbia.edu/cu/libraries/ inside/projects/apis, though the latest update is to 2005. For the inclusion of St. Petersburg cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 66–7. On the digital cataloguing of the Lund papyri and their integration into APIS cf. KULNEFF-ERIKSSON 2005.

¹²⁶ See above and below, §§ 1.2 and 8.4. As was written in the first application (1995) to the NEH for funding the APIS project: "What is distinctive about this project is exactly the reason that it is **not** a batch of unrelated applications for these preservation purposes: the institutions involved will adopt collectively a set of standards for imaging, for the formats of the electronic data generated, and for the linking of the various sets of electronic data. The entire project will thus be carried out with a view to the creation of an integrated information system, available over the Internet. The cooperative aspect of the proposal is thus central to its existence, for it will replace the prospect of a world of incompatible, separate systems, each with its own standards, with that of a single, seamless system that will be readily usable not only by papyrologists but by scholars and students in other fields. By beginning APIS now, we will be able to lead to the adoption of these standards not only in North America but worldwide and set an example to other disciplines of what is possible" (http://www.columbia.edu/cu/libraries/inside/projects/apis/admin/grants/neh.app.95.html). Cf. also BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 67–8; BABEU 2011, 142.

¹²⁷ "Guidelines for APIS Metadata Contributors" were provided at http://www.columbia.edu/cu/libraries/inside/projects/apis/guidelines.html.

¹²⁸ "The collections module, with a metadata record editor, of papyri.info is now open to all institutions, whether or not they are APIS members. Collections of any size may contribute catalog records, images, texts, translations, and metadata to papyri.info directly, once they establish an authorized editorial structure" (http://papyri.info/docs/apis). See below, § 8.4.



apis	berenike berkeley britmus chicago columbia duke fordham	gothenburg hermitage leidenpapinst lund michigan morgan nyu	oslo oxford-ipap perkins petra princeton psr pts	pullman sacramento stanford toronto trimithis upenn uts	wisconsin yale	
------	---	---	--	---	-------------------	--

ew APIS (be History C XML Pr	a) comment rrises	4
Editing ber	enike.apis.2017-0001 from publication <u>berenike.apis.201</u>	7-0001
Identifier pap	yri.info/apis/berenike.apis.2017-0001 (<u>View in PN</u>)	
 Expand all + Co Comercal 	illapse all	
Title	Description of document	
Author Inventory No.	unknown Inventory #	
APIS ID TM No.	duke v apisTEMP	
HGV ID DDbDP ID		
Control No. • save entire file		
Object		•
Support / Dimensions	measurements	
Condition		4
General Notes	concel note	
Contrast review	4	
Local Note		
Text Languages Main Language	(m	
Additional Languages		
Lines		
Hends		4
Related Material		
• save entire file		-A
Context		· ·
Exact Date		
Year	Month Day	
Year	Month Day	
Year	Month Day	
Place of Origin Custodial Note	unkrown	
Associated	xo	
Keywords	v xo	
Genre/Form	Documentary x o	
	Papytus xo	
 save entire file Facsimile Ima 	005	
Object ID		xo
Side 1 Image URL		
Side 2 Image URL		
add + save entire file		
Images		•
Image Label Description		xo
Image URL		
add • save entire file		
Bibliography		•
Citation Note	olation	x0
DDbDP?		
add Source		
• save entire file		
Translation Translation		
• save entire file		- A
Edd summary (Br	lefty describe the changes you have made):	
East dominary (Dr		
Euro auriandi y (da		
Save		

Collaboration and networking, with their necessary background in terms of standardization, are quickly developing in the world of the digital catalogues of papyrus collections. In the wake of APIS, regional partners often join to produce comprehensive cross-collection resources. Amongst the most outstanding cases, suffice it to recall the Italian *PSIonline*, directed by Guido Bastianini, Lucio Del Corso and Rosario Pintaudi, which records not only Florentine material, from the Istituto Papirologico "Vitelli" and the Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana, but also the Padua collection, and is expected to include also the Prague papyri¹²⁹, and of *Ductus*, which gathers all Spanish papyrus funds, under the direction of Alberto Nodar and Sofia Torallas Tovar¹³⁰.



¹²⁹ Cf. http://www.psi-online.it/about. On goals and technical details of the project see, in general, DEL CORSO 2007. A partial catalogue of the "Istituto Vitelli" collection was included in a CD-ROM (ANDORLINI – BASTIANINI – MANFREDI – MENCI 2003; cf. CAPASSO 2005, 232), which is, in turn, the digital re-edition of a printed booklet (AA.VV. 1992; see below, § 6.1). A recent communication by Lucio Del Corso (July 1, 2017) announced an update: images and descriptions of P.Flor. I-III have been made available as well.

¹³⁰ Cf. http://dvctvs.upf.edu/project; BABEU 2011, 144.



Although such efforts effectively contribute to the fight against fragmentation of the papyri, scattered and dispersed among numerous collections worldwide, fragmentation of the catalogues is still the rule – most of them use their own standards, their own selection of metadata, their own software. Nevertheless,

[g]li archivi elettronici per le discipline umanistiche, già punto di riferimento obbligato per gli studiosi, sono destinati a diventare una sorta di 'genere editoriale' a sé stante, che dovrà sviluppare sempre meglio regole, standard, formati condivisi¹³¹.

APIS has been pathbreaking in dealing with the issue of standards, initially adopting SGML¹³² and then moving to XML. A significant, positive, further step towards this direction has been recently made by the German *Papyrus Portal*, a project led by Reinhold Scholl and aimed at connecting all catalogues of German papyrus collec-

¹³¹ DEL CORSO 2007, 172.

¹³² Cf. BAGNALL 1998, 546–7. On SGML cf. Hockey 2004, 12 ff.; McGann 2004, 202; Renear 2004, 221 ff.; see below, § 8.3.
tions in one single search engine. Its goal is to give the opportunity of both an efficient and effective search and a unified, homogeneous, standard presentation of the search results with the most important information on each item, and links to the full original data in the local catalogues¹³³. The *Portal*, funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, uses the open-source database software *MyCoRe*¹³⁴, is compatible with APIS and other similar metadata catalogues, and stems from the experience of the *Papyrus Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig*, already directed to the integration of some German papyrus collections in a single platform¹³⁵. Many collections accessible via the *Portal* had already joined in the various branches of the so-called *Papyrus Projekt* (Bremen, Erlangen, Giessen, Halle, Jena, Köln, Leipzig, Marburg, Würzburg)¹³⁶, some hold independent catalogues (Berlin, Bonn, Heidelberg, Trier), and therefore the outcome is impressive. Of course, due to the original differences, the search is to be made in all fields, and there is no way to further narrow the results.

	new Fachwörterbuch Papyrus collections 🖛 Papyrus-Portal
Berlin Bonn	Bremen Erlangen Giessen Halle Heidelberg Jena Cologne Leipzig Marburg Trier Würzbu
	The 'Papyrus Portal'
linitized bee besiticit	project that enables the user with both the opportunity of an efficient and effective search of all
digitized and electronicall the most important inform The 'Papyrus Portal' equ results in a standard form the Advanced Papyrolog MyCoRe, and incorpor established with funds of	project mat enables the user with both the opportunity of an efficient and effective search of all catalogued payrous collections in Germany and an unified presentiation of the search results with attion on the particular payrous. This includes links to the local databases with more detailed data. Likes the different information technologies of the original databateses and presents the search at A standard to record the metadata has been established. The "Payrus Portal" is compatible to cal Information System (APIS) and other meta-databases. Using the Open Source Software ing the experience from the Payrus Project Halle-Jena-Leipzig , the "Payrus Portal" was he Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

¹³³ http://www.organapapyrologica.net/content/papportal_start.xed. As we read from the detailed presentation of the project, "differences between the local databases have been standardised [...] or equalized with concordances. In this way a standard for future cataloguing in papyrus collection was created" (http://www.organapapyrologica.net/content/papportal_general.xml). The project was announced by R. Scholl and M. Gerhardt at the International Congress of Ann Arbor in 2007 (paper not published, abstract at pp. 41–2 of the *Proceedings*). For a full description of the project and its technical details see FREITAG – GERHARDT – KUPFERSCHMIDT – SCHOLL 2009, 2016a, and 2016b; BABEU 2011, 143; QUENOUILLE 2016, 16–18.

¹³⁴ http://www.mycore.de; cf. SCHOLL 2008, 31; KUPFERSCHMIDT 2016.

¹³⁵ The *Papyrus Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig* was first announced at the 23rd International Congress of Papyrology, Vienna 2001 (HAMMERSTAEDT – SCHOLL 2007; SCHOLL 2008; BABEU 2011, 143–4); on its outcome see now BLASCHEK – QUENOUILLE 2016.

¹³⁶ On the German *Papyrus Projekt* see description and technical details in SCHOLL – KUPFERSCHMIDT – WERMKE – KÖRNER – ZIMMERMANN 2017.



Integration is therefore, undoubtedly, the final challenge of digital papyrological catalogues¹³⁷. The *Papyrus Portal* is said to be APIS-compatible, but will this compatibility be implemented into effective integration? The integration of APIS in Papy*ri.info* proves invaluably helpful, as the cataloguing metadata are displayed alongside the other information, and since a long time the former has ceased to be a purely North-American resource. More and more collection catalogues are implementing various sorts of links to the appropriate records in the textual databank and/or in general catalogues like TM, HGV, LDAB, M-P³ (see details below), and sometimes integrate the text itself in their fields (this is the remarkable, but not the only, case with the Berlin catalogue). An interesting implementation comes from the newish version of the online catalogue of the Kölner Papyrussammlung (see below): permanent URLs based on the TM unique numerical identifiers (see above, § 3.3) allow for an easy embedding of the catalogue records directly in HGV¹³⁸. The dream of a global and, in a sense, "democratized"¹³⁹ papyrological network – almost indispensable in an increasingly "globalized world of learning"¹⁴⁰ – appears closer and closer every day that goes by¹⁴¹.

¹³⁷ This was already the main concern of BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 72 for the future of APIS and related resources, as well as the bottom line of the conclusions reached at a meeting about digital information in Papyrology, held in June 2004 at the Papyrological Institute of the University of Leiden (cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 72–4: "Generally, the Leiden meeting found itself working toward a sense that an integrative tool was likely to be a flexible information gatherer capable of working with different data structures and presenting its results to the user in a perhaps deceptively coherent format, rather than a single, rigid database structure into which everything would have to fit", p. 74).

¹³⁸ Cf. e.g. http://aquila.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/hgv/3207, one of the first sample "Easter Eggs" of that kind, to quote the term employed by James Cowey in the message announcing this effective implementation to the papyrological mailing list (March 30, 2017).

¹³⁹ GAGOS 2001, 516.

¹⁴⁰ BAGNALL 2012b (see below, § 9).

¹⁴¹ It seems relevant to report the "'Ten commandments' for constructing websites of papyrus collections" as outlined by VAN MINNEN 2007, 713: "1. Include the (unique) inventory number in the electronic addresses of records about, and images of, papyri; 2. Include the dpi scale in the electronic address of images; 3. Use 72 dpi images to record what a papyrus looks like on a 72 lpi screen; use 150 dpi images to provide over 95% legibility; use 600 dpi images as archival copies and for over 99% legibility; 4. Do not use a fancy background against which text is displayed; 5. Provide both searching and browsing options; 6. Make sure every page is self-contained and provides the user with an idea of where he is; 7. Websites should be digestible as a self-contained entity and as part of a larger whole; 8. Provide as many acquisition data as possible; 9. Provide information about fair use and publication policy on each record; 10. Provide a lasting contact address".

Collection: Aberystwyth, National Library of Wales

Name: The Oxyrhynchus Papyri

https://www.llgc.org.uk/en/discover/digital-gallery/manuscripts/the-early-ages/the-oxyrhynchuspapyri. The section is dedicated to the only three papyrus fragments preserved at the National Library of Wales (= P.Oxy. XII 1521, 1572, 1590), with a picture of them. The catalogue record is at https://archives.library.wales/index.php/papyri-from-oxyrhynchus.

Search options: none; Metadata: Inventory, provenance, date, location, title; Images: Yes

Collection: Allentown (PA), Muhlenberg College

Name: Muhlenberg College: Robert C. Horn Papyri Collection

http://www.sscommons.org/openlibrary/#3|collections|7730556||Muhlenberg20College3A20 Robert20C2E20Horn20Papyri20Collection|||. The collection, not part of APIS, can be browsed from this page or searched for from the more general search mask of the *Shared Shelf Commons* platform ("Advanced Search").

Search options: (internal engine), hypertext navigation; Metadata: Title, publication, date, size, description (with palaeography and content), inventory, location, language; Images: Yes

Collection: Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, Köln, Marburg, Würzburg

Name: Papyrus Projekt

https://papyri-collection.dl.uni-leipzig.de. A joint catalogue belonging to the *Papyrus Projekt* (see above).

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, acquisition info, publication info, material, colour, size, preservation status, completeness, format, description, text type, language, script, content, provenance, date, ink colour, line number, writing direction, side; Images: Yes

Collection: Berenike ostraka (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Berkeley (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: APIS Berkeley Database

http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/libraries/bancroft-library/tebtunis-papyri/berkeley-apis-searchform. Though part of the APIS system (see above), the Center for the Tebtunis Papyri still maintains its local version, where it is possible to perform either simple (http://www.lib. berkeley.edu/libraries/bancroft-library/tebtunis-papyri) or advanced searches among the papyri kept at the Bancroft Library of the University of California, Berkeley, and regional partners.

- Search options: internal engine; Metadata: APIS ID, inventory, location, text type / title, side, connections, material, size, lines, physical description, palaeography, publication status, date, provenance, language, genre, content, publications, bibliography / corrections; Images: Yes
- **Collection:** Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, Duke, Fordham, Gothenburg, St. Petersburg (Hermitage), Leiden, Lund, Michigan, Morgan, New York (University and Union Theological Seminar), Oslo, Cairo (Oxford / IPAP), Perkins, Helsinki (Petra papyri), Princeton, Pullman, Sacramento, Stanford, Toronto, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Yale, Berenike *ostraka*, Trimithis *ostraka*

Name: Advanced Papyrological Information System (APIS)

http://papyri.info/browse/apis. On the collective catalogue APIS see above. It is fully integrated into the *Papyri.info* platform (see below, § 8.4): the browsing page, arranged by institution, leads to the search results in the *Papyrological Navigator*. Full search into its metadata is also provided via the PN masks. Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: APIS number, inventory, title, publication, material, size, description, provenance, acquisition, language, date; Further information: notes; Images: Yes, when available

Collection: Berlin

Name: Berliner Papyrusdatenbank (= BerlPap)

http://ww2.smb.museum/berlpap. Ongoing catalogue of the Berliner Papyrussammlung, belonging to a big project funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. It is provided with side information (introduction to papyrology, bibliographical references, the Berlin collection), with a search engine for the fields ("Erweiterte Suche"), and with the possibility of browsing the records by publication volumes (BGU, BKT, other Berlin editions, as well as different editions containing papyri from Berlin) and numbers.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, material, acquisition, provenance, format, location, description, side, writing direction, language, text type, date, links to other catalogues (TM, HGV) and to *Papyri.info*; Further information: detailed description of the content; full bibliography; text from *Papyri.info*; Images: Yes

Collection: Bologna

Name: Papyri Bononienses

http://amshistorica.unibo.it/papiribon#. Browsing catalogue arranged by publication number. It provides only the digital pictures, but the inventory number, a short description of the content and the date are recorded by hand on labels placed on the glass frames and visible in the photos. Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: none; Images: Yes

Collection: Bonn

Name: Bonner Papyrus-sammlung

http://131.220.91.160/fmi/iwp/cgi?-db=Papyrus&-loadframes. This catalogue currently seems not to work properly; for the search options it refers to the *Papyrus Portal* (see above).

Search options: internal engine; Metadata, further information:?; Images:?

Collection: Bremen (see also: Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, *et al.*)

Name: Bremer Papyri

http://brema.suub.uni-bremen.de/papyri. Independent catalogue of the Bremen papyri, which are also available through the *Papyrus Projekt* (see above). Both searchable and browsable.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, provenance, date, material, size, language, collection; Further information: short description of the content and of the discovery; Images: Yes

Collection: British collections

Name: Gazetteer of Papyri in British Collections (GPBC)

http://gpbc.csad.ox.ac.uk. The catalogue was intended to record source, content and location of papyrus collections in libraries, museums, universities and private ownership in Britain, describing holdings, provenance, circumstances of acquisition and archival elements (cf. VAN BEEK 2007, 1042). Unfortunately, it is temporarily suspended.

Collection: Cairo (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Photographic Archive of Papyri in the Cairo Museum

http://ipap.csad.ox.ac.uk. This catalogue stems from the AIP/UNESCO International Photographic Mission that in the 70s and 80s made slides and photographs of the 6000 published Greek papyri held in the Cairo Museum, constituting the International Photographic Archive of Papyri (IPAP: see below, § 5). These photos have been catalogued and digitized by the Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents at Oxford (CSAD, http://www.csad.ox.ac.uk), drawn partly from B/W negatives taken by Adam Bülow-Jacobsen, partly from colour slides and B/W negatives held in the Papyrology Room at Oxford. The catalogue is arranged by publication numbers (plus a section of "Apocripha et Pseudepigrapha" = P.Cairo 10759 codex) and can be browsed with the help of a drop-down menu.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Publication, size, title, date, *Papyri.info* link, HGV link; Further information: description of content; Images: Yes

Collection: Chicago (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Copenhagen

Name: The Papyrus Carlsberg Collection

http://pcarlsberg.ku.dk. This is an inventory of all published Carlsberg papyri, completed by a bibliography and a concordance of joins with fragments in other collections. There is a browsing list arranged by script (Arabic, Coptic, Demotic, Greek, Hieratic, Hieroglyphic, Latin, Miscellaneous) and then by inventory number, and another full list by inventory number only.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, publication, title / content, joins; Images: No

Collection: Copenhagen

- Name: The Papyrus-Collection at The Department of Greek and Latin, SAXO Institute (P.Haun.) http://www.igl.ku.dk/bulow/PHaun.html. A page created by Adam Bülow-Jacobsen, which describes the papyri kept at the Department of Greek and Latin of the Saxo Institute, with links to available pictures.
- Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: inventory numbers, publication; Images: Yes, when available
- **Collection:** Dallas, SMU, Bridwell Library, Perkins School of Theology (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, *et al.*)
- Name: Papyri at Bridwell Library

https://sites.smu.edu/bridwell/specialcollections/bridwellpapyri/brpapyri.htm. This institution participates in APIS (see above). Here, the papyri are listed by inventory number; a different page ("Transcriptions/Translations") gives a scan of the edition of the papyrus and its translation. "Contents" opens two browsing lists, arranged by inventory number and by date.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, language, provenance, date, physical description (with material and size), conservation status, palaeography, acquisition, bibliography; Images: Yes

Collection: Dublin, Chester Beatty

Name: Center for the Study of New Testament Manuscripts

http://www.csntm.com/Manuscript. The Chester Beatty papyri are catalogued together with other manuscripts, but there are several options for narrowing the search results. The descriptions of the documents can be downloaded in PDF format.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Rahlfs number, material, date, location, content, physical description; Images: Yes

Collection: Durham (NC), Duke University (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Duke Papyrus Archive (DPA)

http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus. Though part of the APIS system (see above), the Duke papyrus collection still maintains its pioneering page, where the papyri can be browsed by selected topics (Archives; Cultural aspects; Forms of documents; Geographical names – with a map; Material aspects; No text – pictures only; Religious aspects; Script; Slaves; Women and children) or by language (Hieratic, Demotic, Coptic, Greek, Latin, Arabic). Topics and languages are commented. A *Google Search* applet is implemented. For description of the project and technical details see VAN MINNEN 1995.

Search options: (internal engine), hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, subject (keywords and topics), material, physical description, size, publications; Further information: comments; Images: Yes

Collection: Erlangen (see: Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, et al.)

Collection: Florence (BML)

Name: Papiri letterari della Biblioteca Laurenziana

http://www.accademiafiorentina.it/paplett. This catalogue, edited by the Accademia Fiorentina di Papirologia, partially overlaps *PSI Online* (see above and below) but is focused on the literary papyri kept at the Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana in Florence, with a strong interest in palaeography and bibliology. The resource, stemming from a CD-ROM application (cf. CAPASSO 2005, 231–2; CRISCI 2007), offers a full search mask in all fields ("Ricerca") and a browsing facility by categories ("Categorie": date, provenance, material, format, literary genre).

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Publication, title, material, language, genre, provenance, date, format, side, size, physical details, bibliography, catalogue references; Further information: detailed physical description, bibliological reconstruction, commentary (mainly palaeographical); Images: Yes

Collection: Florence (Istituto Vitelli & BML), Padua

Name: PSIonline / PLAURonline / PPadonline

http://www.psi-online.it. A joint catalogue of the Florentine (Istituto Papirologico "Vitelli" and Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana) and Padua collections for indexing the papyri published in the PSI, P.Laur., P.Flor., and P.Pad. series (P.Prag. and Florentine papyri published in different series are announced as forthcoming). On goals and technical details of the project, cf. DEL CORSO 2007; see also above.

Search options: internal engine; Metadata: Publication number, text type, location, provenance, material, format, side, date, size, content, *Papyri.info* link, TM link, LDAB link; Further information: notes (also on acquisition and bibliography); Images: Yes

Collection: Genève

Name: Papyrus de la bibliothèque de Genève

http://www.ville-ge.ch/musinfo/bd/bge/papyrus. It is possible to perform simple (all fields) or advanced searches; the results can be saved and exported in PDF.

Search options: internal engine; Metadata: Inventory, size, publication, BL, title, content, opistograph, side, fibres, material, palaeography, date, provenance, genre, language, acquisition, LDAB; Further information: notes; Images: Yes

Collection: Giessen

Name: Giessener Papyri- und Ostrakadatenbank

http://digibib.ub.uni-giessen.de/cgi-bin/populo/pap.pl. Earlier catalogue of the Giessen collection, later flowed into the *Papyrus Projekt* (see above). Both searchable and browsable according to the catalogue fields. On the Giessener Papyrussammlungen see http://www.unigiessen.de/ub/ueber-uns/sam/papyri-ostraka-keilschrifttafeln and on the digital cataloguing project (with technical details) cf. DREYLING – KALOK 2001; see also LANDFESTER 2003, passim.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Title, inventory, publication, material, size, side, provenance, acquisition info, bibliography, language, date; Further information: annotations; Images: Yes

Collection: Giessen

Name: Papyrus Projekt

https://papyri-giessen.dl.uni-leipzig.de. See above.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, acquisition info, publication info, material, colour, size, preservation status, completeness, format, description, text type, language, script, content, provenance, date, ink colour, line number, writing direction, side; Images: Yes

Collection: Glasgow

Name: Greek Papyrus Fragments from Oxyrhynchus in Glasgow University Library's Special Collections Department (MS Gen 1026)

http://special.lib.gla.ac.uk/teach/papyrus/oxyrhynchus.html.

Search options: none; Metadata: Inventory, date, content, publication reference; Images: Yes

Collection: Gothenburg (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Graz

Name: Papyri

http://sosa2.uni-graz.at/sosa/katalog/index_papyri.php. The Graz papyri are indexed by inventory number and can be browsed via a drop-down menu.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, material, format, size, date, provenance, publication, title / content; Images: Yes

Collection: Groningen

Name: Digital Collections: Papyri

http://facsimile.ub.rug.nl/cdm/landingpage/collection/papyri. This catalogue displays a list of all the papyri; this can be narrowed through a menu arranged by title, document type, date, and TM number. There are also a simple and an advanced search tools.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Publication, inventory, title, content, date, size, notes (BL), provenance, literature, *Papyri.info* link, TM number; **Images:** Yes

Collection: Halle, Jena, Leipzig

Name: Papyrus und Ostraka Projekt

http://papyri.uni-leipzig.de. A joint catalogue belonging to the *Papyrus Projekt* (see above). The search functions ("Retrieval") are very articulated, and divided by "General", "Written object" (material information), "Text" (content information), "Documents" (with reference to the documents catalogued: texts or 3D objects). Each field is searchable, with various possible combinations and a powerful chronological search tool. A browsing section ("Index") is also

108 — 3 Cataloguing Metadata

available. Each record has a static URL, an XML structure, which can be displayed, and can be printed (i.e. exported) in PDF format. For further details on the project see HAMMERSTAEDT – SCHOLL 2007 and BLASCHEK – QUENOUILLE 2016.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, acquisition info, publication info, material, colour, size, preservation status, completeness, format, description, text type, language, script, content, provenance, date, ink colour, line number, writing direction, side; Images: Yes

Collection: Harvard

Name: Digital Papyri at Houghton Library

http://hcl.harvard.edu/libraries/houghton/collections/papyrus. This institution does not participate in APIS. From this page, a list of the papyri, listed by inventory number, is available for browsing; searches can be performed from the *Hollis* platform of the Harvard Library (http:// hollis.harvard.edu).

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, physical description, size, language, notes (with language and publication), genre, format, bibliography (link); Images: Yes

Collection: Heidelberg

- Name: Griechische Papyri der Heidelberger Papyrussammlung
 - A basic catalogue (http://www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/~gv0/Papyri/P.Heid._Uebersicht. html) allows browsing the collection by publication numbers; a more detailed and recent one (http://zaw-papy.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/fmi/xsl/Griechisch/home.xsl) allows both searching in the fields and browsing by inventory number. Similar catalogues also for Demotic (http://www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/%7Egv0/Papyri/P.Heid.Dem._Uebersicht.html & http:// zaw-papy.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/fmi/xsl/Demotisch/home.xsl), Coptic (http://www.rzuser. uni-heidelberg.de/~gv0/Papyri/P.Heid.Kopt._Uebersicht.html & http://zaw-papy.zaw.uniheidelberg.de/fmi/xsl/Koptisch/home.xsl), and Arabic papyri (http://zaw-papy.zaw.uni-heidel berg.de/fmi/xsl/Arabisch/home.xsl).
- Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, material, size, provenance, date, text type, language, content (keywords), publication status and references, reference to other catalogues (Pack2, LDAB) if applicable, BL reference if applicable; Further information: bibliography, annotations; Images: Yes

Collection: Heidelberg, Sammlung Gradenwitz

Name: Papyri aus der Sammlung Gradenwitz

http://www.rzuser.uni-heidelberg.de/~gv0/Papyri/Grad.html. The page offers a list of pictures, under the inventory numbers, and of scans from the 1935 catalogue redacted by Frieda Gossmann (cf. HAGEDORN – WORP 2001. It is probably the only catalogue obtained by means of scanning a paper source.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, date, size; Further information: short history of the collection; Images: Yes

Collection: Helsinki (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Jena (see: Halle, Jena, Leipzig)

Collection: Köln (see also: Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, et al.)

Name: Kölner Papyrus-Sammlung

https://papyri.uni-koeln.de. Independent catalogue (new version released in April 2017) of the Köln papyri, which are also available through the *Papyrus Projekt* (see above). The previous version of this catalogue (http://www.uni-koeln.de/phil-fak/ifa/NRWakademie/papyrologie) only allowed for browsing the published papyri arranged by papyrus editions, whether in the *Papyrologica Coloniensia* series or in the proper *Kölner Papyri* (P.Köln). Each record has a permanent link based on the TM number (see above).

Search options: internal engine; Metadata: Inventory, title, TM number, object type, material, text description, bibliography, text typology, dating, provenance, HGV/DDB number, language, script, direction of writing, ink colour, hand description, publication, symbols keywords; Images: Yes

Collection: Lecce

Name: Museo Papirologico – La Collezione

http://www.museopapirologico.eu/mus_coll.htm#. This is not a catalogue, but just a description of the collection. It is said that there exists a digital picture of each object, but they are not available online.

Search options: none; Metadata, further information: none; Images: No

Collection: Leiden (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Leipzig (see: Halle, Jena, Leipzig)

Collection: London, British Library

Name: British Library Collections

There is no specific catalogue for the British Library papyri. An introductory page (https://www. bl.uk/collection-guides/papyri#) points to the main library catalogue (http://searcharchives.bl. uk) and informs that a small number of papyri has been digitized and can be viewed on https://www.bl.uk/manuscripts. The *ostraka* have their own introductory page (https://www.bl. uk/collection-guides/ostraca) but are not yet included in the online catalogue. On some issues about the British Library papyrus collection cf. VAN MINNEN 2007, 708.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, size, short description; Images: Yes, when available

Collection: London, UCL (Hawara papyri)

Name: The Hawara Papyri (P.Hawara)

http://www.ucl.ac.uk/GrandLatMisc/hawara. The papyri can be browsed by SB number, P.Haw. inventory number, date, and content. The Hawara papyri preserved elsewhere (e.g. Yale) are linked to the appropriate institution. The links to the text point to the old *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri*.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Section/side, material, size, lines, mounting status, conservation status, palaeographic description, publication status, date, provenance, language, text type, title, publication, editor; Further information: Bibliography, link to text; Images: Yes, when available

Collection: Lund (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Madison, University of Wisconsin (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Madrid, Montserrat, Palau-Ribes, Fundación Pastor

Name: Ductus

http://dvctvs.upf.edu/collections. A collective catalogue of Spanish papyrus collections. It is interesting to note that beside cataloguing and digital imaging, the platform offers the digital text of the published documents, with a search function for it (see above and below, § 8.6).

Search options: internal engine; Metadata: Inventory, publication, title, editor, location, side, associated texts, provenance, period, date, author, ancient work, text type, language, genre, subject, citations, alphabet, illustrations, attestations, medieval transmission, format, material, *kollesis*, size, columns, line length, line number, line spacing, letter height, margins, surface, fibres, features, idiosyncratic traits, bilinearity, marginal annotations, corrections, punctuation, scribe, findspot, date of finding, conservation state, bibliography, link to other resources; Images: Yes

Collection: Manchester (Rylands papyri)

Name: Rylands Papyri Collection

http://luna.manchester.ac.uk/luna/servlet/ManchesterDev~93~3. From the home page it is possible to browse all the documents ("Browse All") and then refine the search by certain categories (content, place, personal name, date), or to browse by those categories ("Category Pages"). From the results page it is also possible to select and perform an "Advanced Search". The collection itself is presented at http://www.library.manchester.ac.uk/about/support-us/jrri/priority-collections/papyrus-collection.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory reference, side, image title, date, size, material; Further information: Bibliography, legal and technical information on the image; Images: Yes

Collection: Marburg (see Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, et al.)

Collection: Michigan (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Advanced Papyrological Information System, UM (APIS UM)

http://quod.lib.umich.edu/a/apis. Though part of the APIS system (see above), the University of Michigan Papyrus Collection (https://www.lib.umich.edu/papyrology-collection) still maintains its local version. Here it is possible to browse sample records, to see the newest additions and updates, to browse by topics (alphabetically ordered), and to perform either simple (all the fields) or advanced (specific fields) searches.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, side, material, size, lines, conservation status, publication status, location, date, provenance, language, genre, text type / title, content, persons, Perseus link, publication info; Further information: translation; Images: Yes

Collection: Montserrat (see: Madrid, Montserrat, Palau-Ribes, Fundación Pastor)

Collection: Morgan State University, Baltimore MY (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Munich

Name: Bayerische Staatsbibliothek – Sammlungen: Papyri

https://www.bsb-muenchen.de/sammlungen/altertum/bestaende/papyri. A survey of the papyri, with their inventory number and some photos. Few information also at https://www.bsb-muenchen.de/sammlungen/handschriften/epochen/antike/#c2797.

Search options: none; Metadata, further information: none; Images: Yes, when available

Collection: Naples (Herculaneum papyri)

Name: Chartes

http://www.chartes.it. It is the online development of a former CD-ROM catalogue (Catalogo Multimediale dei Papiri Ercolanesi), based on an Access database, published in 2005 by the Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri Ercolanesi "Marcello Gigante" (CISPE) and edited by Gianluca Del Mastro (cf. LEONE 2009, 223; LONGO AURICCHIO 2010, 445). Searches can be performed for the main catalogue fields (http://www.chartes.it/index.php?r=document/search) and also for "pieces", i.e. for size ranges (http://www.chartes.it/index.php?r=piece/search). A separate search the available engine for photos is at http://www. chartes.it/index.php?r=image/index.

Search options: internal engine; Metadata: Subject, author, language, conservation status, size; Further information: Notes, bibliography, links to other resources (e.g. LDAB); Images: Yes, when available

Collection: New York, Columbia University (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: New York, Fordham University (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: New York, NYU (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: New York, Union Theological Seminar (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Oslo (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Oslo Papyri Electronic System (OPES)

http://ub-fmserver.uio.no. The catalogue is divided into a browsing table ("Record List"), which can be arranged according to each of the columns (Title or type, Genre, Date, Publication or Inventory ID, Origin i.e. geographical origin), and a mask ("Find"), which allows searching for a selection of fields (Type of text, Inventory number, Origin i.e. provenance, Person, Subject / Keyword, Geographic). The Oslo papyri are catalogued via APIS too (see above).

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, material, connections, size, lines, side, palaeography, date, origin, provenance, acquisition, language, genre, author, text type, title, content, subject keywords, persons, geographica, translation, Papyri.info link, publication, editor, SB, corrections, republication, bibliography; **Images:** Yes

Collection: Oxford

Name: Pinax (The Imaging Papyri Project)

http://163.1.169.40/cgi-bin/library. Indexed catalogue of the papyri of the Oxford collections, namely the Oxyrhynchus papyri (*Oxyrhynchus Online*), the Antinoupolis Papyri (*P.Ant.*), the facsimiles of the Herculaneum papyri preserved at the Bodleian Library (*P.Herc.*), and the *Supplementum Magicum* (*Suppl.Mag.*). It is possible to search in any of the catalogue fields (but no combined search is allowed) or to browse each collection by authors, titles, genres, dates, or publication numbers (hypertext structure). Another portal allows entering the *Oxyrhynchus Online* project (http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy), with many more links and special features (highlights on particular papyri, developments of imaging technologies, etc.). There is a separate Location List (http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy/lists/lists.html) telling where the Oxford papyri (not only from Oxyrhynchus) are physically preserved. A list of published photos (http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy/lists/photlist.html) may prove also useful. An apparently earlier table of contents of the P.Oxy. volumes is still available at http://www.csad.ox.ac.uk/POxy/papyri/tocframe.htm. As to the Herculaneum papyri, a list of

112 — 3 Cataloguing Metadata

them and of their editions is available at http://www.herculaneum.ox.ac.uk/?q=editions. P.Herc. 118 is preserved at the Bodleian Library and its picture is available here: http://image.ox.ac.uk/show?collection=bodleian&manuscript=msgrclassb1p112.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Title, editor, publication date, author, date, provenance, location, genre (content), format, material; Images: Yes, but only those owned by Oxford

Collection: Padua (see: Florence, Padua)

Collection: Palau-Ribes (see: Madrid, Montserrat, Palau-Ribes, Fundación Pastor)

Collection: Paris: Sorbonne & P.Euphrate

Name: Institut de Papyrologie: Les Collections de Papyrus

http://www.papyrologie.paris-sorbonne.fr/menu1/collections.htm. A static catalogue (still in progress) of the papyrus collections of the Institute of Papyrology of the Sorbonne, plus the private collection of P.Euphrate (see below, § 3.7). The former are divided by language (Demotic, Greek, Latin, Coptic, Arabic) and then by publication (series, then number – P.Bour., P.Enteux., P.Count, P.Lille, P.Rein., P.Sorb., SB, and various other editions) or by textual genre (literary/subliterary and documentary). Literary papyri can be browsed by inventory number, author, adespota, M-P³ number, Van Haelst number. The documentary papyri comprise records not indexed anywhere else in the catalogue (basically, several *Sammelbuch* pieces). Special sections are devoted to illustrated papyri and virtual reconstructions (see below, § 5.3).

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, content, size, publication; Images: Yes

Collection: Parma

- Name: Materiali papirologici al Museo Archeologico di Parma
 - http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/parma. Alongside three hieroglyphic papyri and a hieratic one, the Archaeological Museum holds two *ostraka*: a Coptic and a Greek (illegible) one. The online catalogue, published thanks to the kind permission of the keeper of the Egyptian collection, Dr. Roberta Conversi, reproduces the entries of Giuseppe Botti's printed catalogue (BOTTI 1964).
- Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, edition; Further information: Translation, commentary, bibliography; Images: Yes (low resolution)

Collection: Philadelphia (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Papyri and Related Materials at the University of Pennsylvania

http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/ppenn.html. The University of Pennsylvania papyrus collections flowed into APIS but their old catalogues (1998–2009) are still available, including Kraft's catalogue of eBay-sold papyri (see below, § 6.5).

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory; Further information: physical description, palaeographical description; Betacode transcription (only for the Penn Library Collection); Images: Yes (when available)

Collection: Princeton (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, *et al.*) **Name:** Princeton Papyri Collections

http://pudl.princeton.edu/collections/papyri. Though part of the APIS system (see above), the Princeton Papyri Collections maintain a local catalogue where it is possible to browse the records in the given order, or to perform simple searches. The records refer to the Princeton University Library Papyrus Home Page, at http://www.princeton.edu/papyrus, for a Descriptive Inventory, but the access seems to be forbidden.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, lines, size, publication, bibliography, physical description, location; Images: Yes

Collection: Provo (UT), Brigham Young University

Name: Didymus Papyrus

https://lib.byu.edu/collections/didymus-papyrus.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Title, author, date, physical description, size, subject, genre, publications, language, provenance, acquisition; Images: Yes

Collection: Pullman (WA), Washington State University (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Sacramento (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Schøyen Collection

Name: The Schøyen Collection: Papyri & Ostraca

http://www.schoyencollection.com/special-collections-introduction/papyri-ostraca. The browsing catalogue can be searched by script (Hieroglyphic, Hieratic, Demotic, Greek, Coptic, Syriac), or via a simple search field.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, content, physical description, acquisition, publication, provenance, dates, related documents; Further information: commentary; Images: Yes

Collection: St. Petersburg, Hermitage (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Stanford University (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Toronto (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Trier

Name: Trierer Papyrussammlung

http://digipap.uni-trier.de. The page points to a PDF listing all published Trier papyri, arranged by inventory number, and to the proper catalogue (build with *FileMaker Pro*). This is automatically displayed as a browsing list arranged by inventory number ("Übersicht"), but it is possible to perform searches ("Suche") for content, place, and/or language.

Search options: internal engine, hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, title, material, text type, side, size, provenance, date, language, content (keywords), publication info, catalogues (LDAB, Pack²) and corrections (BL), bibliography, publication status; Further information: Annotations; link to *Duke Databank* (old site); Images: Yes

Collection: Trimithis ostraka (see: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Collection: Utah, J. Willard Marriott Library

Name: Arabic Papyrus, Parchment, and Paper

https://collections.lib.utah.edu/search?facet_setname_s=uum_appp.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Title, subject, description (with physical and palaeographical details), date, type, digitization specifications, inventory, provenance, language, name of cataloguer; Images: Yes

Collection: Vienna

Name: Papyrussammlung

https://www.onb.ac.at/bibliothek/sammlungen/papyri. The catalogue (at http://aleph.onb.ac. at/F?func=file&file_name=login&local_base=ONB08) is part of the website of the Austrian National Library. It is possible a simple search in the fields or an advanced search for the combination of queries, while a browsing utility allows selecting the preferred order.

Search options: internal engine, (hypertext navigation); Metadata: Text type, inventory, publication status, title, provenance, date, size, language, material, side, content, links (LDAB, TM), bibliography, LDAB number, TM number; Further information: annotations; Images: Yes, when available

Collection: Warsaw

Name: Papyri in the Department of Papyrology

http://www.papyrology.uw.edu.pl/papyri.htm. The collection (presented at the parent home page) can be browsed (via drop-down menus) by inventory number or by edition. On the digital cataloguing project see WIPSZYCKA – DERDA – MARKIEWICZ – URBANIK 2000.

Search options: hypertext navigation; Metadata: Inventory, edition; Images: Yes

Collection: Würzburg (see: Basel, Bremen, Erlangen, *et al.*)

Collection: Yale (New Haven, CT) (see also: Berkeley, Chicago, Columbia, et al.)

Name: Papyrus Collection Database

http://beinecke.library.yale.edu/collections/highlights/papyrus-collection-database. Though part of the APIS system (see above), the papyrus collection of the Beinecke Library at Yale maintains its own catalogue (the cataloguing criteria are explained at http://beinecke.library.yale.edu/ research/library-catalogs-databases/guide-yale-papyrus-collection in details). The page refers to the catalogue at http://beinecke.library.yale.edu/papyrus, then redirects at http://brbllegacy.library.yale.edu/papyrus; I am temporarily unable to access it (so to March 9, 2017).

3.7 Envisaging Virtual Corpora of Papyri

The legacy of digital papyrological catalogues goes far beyond diffuse access to metadata. As Traianos Gagos put it,

[o]n the pragmatic/practical level the electronic media offer several opportunities for research development which cannot be achieved easily through traditional means. For instance, now for the first time we can put together in 'virtual reality' archives and collections of papers that have long been scattered in papyrological collections around the globe¹⁴².

This idea(l) of a virtual collection of documents is quite diffused. "With APIS we face the advent of a worldwide virtual papyrus collection and what I believe will be a true transformation of scholarly work"¹⁴³, Roger Bagnall warned at the very begin-

¹⁴² GAGOS 2001, 516.

¹⁴³ BAGNALL 1998, 552.

ning of the process, envisaging – as already pinpointed several times – an active role of the digital practices in the development of new forms of scholarship. Lucio Del Corso, more recently, spoke of "uno spazio virtuale in cui riprodurre le funzioni di una vera e propria biblioteca ideale"¹⁴⁴, referring to the *PSIonline* platform. There is an underlying concept that the digital *avatar* of the papyrus, as either/both picture or/and set of metadata, or even electronic text, is not a mere instrument to reach the original piece and the original information, but an object itself of study and research, and of autonomous collection. It is a challenging perspective, which we will encounter again apropos of digital imaging (see below, §§ 5.5 and 9).

Nevertheless, it is worth mentioning the possibility of exploiting this 'virtual reality' to constitute dossiers, archives, *corpora* of papyri. This does not relate to virtual restoration and to the chance of joining together fragments of the same papyrus preserved in different locations (see below, § 5.3), but to a case like that of the P.Euphrate collected in 2015 by Jean Gascou beside the digital catalogue of the Sorbonne papyri (http://www.papyrologie.paris-sorbonne.fr/menu1/collections/pgrec/ peuphrategeneral.htm; see above, § 3.6).

Les documents grecs et syriaques d'époque romaine du Moyen Euphrate [...] ont déjà suscité une abondante littérature scientifique. Toutefois, comme les éditions, qui se sont échelonnées de 1990 à 2000, se dispersent entre plusieurs revues, dont certaines sont peu familières aux romanistes, leur utilisation méthodique n'allait pas sans difficultés. C'est pourquoi nous avons voulu les réunir dans un catalogue muni d'un index¹⁴⁵.

In other words, we are speaking of the possibility of creating 'virtual' *corpora*, dossiers of homogeneous documents dispersed in the academic and in the real worlds. The online collection of P.Euphrate comprises digital pictures ("Leur affichage en ligne est un prélude à la réunion de l'ensemble du dossier dans un catalogue unique"¹⁴⁶), scholarly publications, bibliography, and word indices by categories resembling the traditional indices of papyrus editions or *corpora*, so much that the website is officially referred to by the *Checklist*.

Another example could be *La banque des images des papyrus de l'Aphrodite byzantine* (BIPAB) created by Jean-Luc Fournet in 2000 at http://www.misha.fr/ papyrus_bipab. In relying almost exclusively on the photographic side of the thing, it is indeed something different from the special or thematic catalogues described above.

[C]'est la première banque d'images 'horizontale', se donnant pour objet, non une collection de documents hétéroclites, mais un ensemble cohérent dispersé entre plusieurs collections. Elle tente de regrouper toutes les images des papyrus grecs et coptes du village d'Aphrodité connus sous le nom d'archives de Dioscore d'Aphrodité' (VIe siècle après J.-C.), auxquels ont été ad-

¹⁴⁴ DEL CORSO 2007, 168.

¹⁴⁵ From the cited website.

¹⁴⁶ http://www.papyrologie.paris-sorbonne.fr/menu1/collections/pgrec/peuphrate.htm.

joints, étant donné leurs recoupements prosopographiques avec celles-ci, les papyrus de même provenance trouvés dans les années 1940 — soit un total de quelque 650 papyrus qui en font un des trois plus gros ensembles archivistiques du 'millénaire papyrologique' et le plus important d'époque byzantine.

The goal is therefore to create a virtual archive, or dossier, of documents otherwise dispersed among different collections and places (a list on the left of the page allows browsing them alphabetically by edition)¹⁴⁷:

le nombre des papyrus relevant de ces archives atteint aujourd'hui un seuil critique (environ 650) qui rend le dossier difficilement maîtrisable sans une tentative de regroupement sur un support informatique. La BIPAb, qui n'est qu'un instrument dans un dispositif plus vaste [...], permet ainsi de réunir pour la première fois virtuellement la totalité de ces archives éclatées.

The announcement relates to an electronic guide of the archives of Dioscorus of Aphrodito, to be developed with more information about the papyri (description, text, bibliography), as well as a topographical and a prosopographical databases.



A similar resource has been very recently released for another group of papyri: an *Electronic Guide to the Heroninos Archive*, developed by Dominic Rathbone, Rosario Pintaudi, Antonio López García, and Pierpaolo Borghesi, which was announced by Pintaudi and Rathbone at the 23rd International Congress of Papyrology (Wien 2001¹⁴⁸) and finally published in May 2017 on the website of the Accademia Fiorentina di Papirologia at http://www.accademiafiorentina.it/?pg=sp_hero. This *FileMaker* database collects all the published texts belonging or related to the archive of Heroninos, categorized in several groups; of each record, the metadata considered are: publication and inventory information; material (including recto/verso indication); size; reference to pictures; text typology; prosopography (authors and addressees); internal date; modern dating; bibliography; BL corrections; notes. Each

¹⁴⁷ "Les papyrus sont dispersés à leur découverte qui s'est faite pour leur très grande part clandestinement. [...] Si les papyrus découverts dans les fouilles officielles et ceux que Lefebvre a réussi à racheter aux habitants sont actuellement au Musée du Caire (près de 400 textes édités), les autres ont été dispersés dans quelque 25 collections de plus de 10 pays. La plupart de ces collections n'ont pas encore mis leur fonds en ligne" (all quotations from the cited page). Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 326. **148** Cf. http://www.trismegistos.org/archive/103.

field is searchable via the web interface ("Search form" in the top menu), by itself or in combination with other ones. The texts can also be browsed by year, publication series, material, hosting institution, personal name, text group, text typology, scholars' names, through the links provided in the top menu¹⁴⁹.

A peculiar sort of virtual collection, to conclude, is *Demotic Texts Published on the World Wide Web*¹⁵⁰, a project by the Research Archives of the Chicago Oriental Institute aimed at indexing all Demotic papyri that have been made available online, especially as images. The documents are arranged by text category and identified with inventory numbers; each item is linked to the original source. The page is equipped with final sections devoted to Demotic resources online, websites of collections, institutions, and projects, and various bibliographies.

¹⁴⁹ A shareware *HyperCard* application for *Macintosh* was developed some time ago by Willy and Jeroen Clarysse as an introduction to the archive of Zenon; it was called *Zenon Presentation* and was based on a Dutch booklet by W. Clarysse and K. Vandorpe. It was presented at the 20th International Congress of Papyrology at Copenhagen, in 1992: cf. KRAFT 1992 and see Appendix 1, below. **150** https://oi-archive.uchicago.edu/OI/DEPT/RA/ABZU/DEMOTIC_WWW.HTML.

4 Indexing Words

"When I use a word", Humpty Dumpty said in rather a scornful tone, "it means just what I choose it to mean — neither more nor less". "The question is", said Alice, "whether you can make words mean so many different things". "The question is", said Humpty Dumpty, "which is to be master — that's all".

Lewis Carroll, Through the Looking Glass

Word indexes are more or less based on the same concept as catalogues: providing a collection of general or thematic referrals to certain papyri. However, in this case, the object of the collecting work is not context information (i.e. metadata), but the texts themselves. Indeed, automated indexing has been the primary push for the development of textual databases (see infra, § 8.2)¹. Papyrus word lists generally collect words or phrases belonging to papyrus texts, giving the reference(s) of the occurrence(s), and sometimes providing a more or less detailed context (linguistic, historical, cultural...) as a comment to the word(s). Since a long time lists of words bear a cognitive function²: we filter the world through language, and we need to decode language to understand the world. More practically, indexes are also important keys to comparison with published texts, to find out parallels or just the correct completion of a fragmentary word.

Lexical lists can be as simple as concordances (just the words and the reference to their occurrences), or assume the format of a dictionary (with short definitions) or of a lexicon (sometimes with longer commentaries), whether general or thematic. Papyrology has a well-established tradition of linguistic tools, because of the wellknown peculiarities of the Greek language of the papyri³: concordances like Daris' *Spoglio lessicale papirologico*, general dictionaries like Preisigke's *Wörterbuch*, thematic lexica like Preisigke's *Fachwörter*, prosopographical dictionaries like the *Prosopographia Ptolemaica*, topographical dictionaries like Calderini-Daris' *Dizionario dei nomi geografici*⁴ are essential instruments in the papyrological research library. Textual databanks and metadata catalogues have gradually dissolved the concept of linear word indexes: the interconnected information that creates what Traianos Gagos called a meta-text⁵, intertwined to one another by means

¹ Cf. VAN MINNEN 1994, 40.

² Think only of the cuneiform lexical lists, which can be considered as the earliest databases of human knowledge (information): cf. CIVIL 2002; VELDHUIS 2014.

³ Cf. e.g. EVANS – OBBINK 2010, 1–3.

⁴ Respectively: DARIS 1968; PREISIGKE 1925–31 with subsequent supplements; PREISIGKE 1915; PERE-MANS 1950 ff.; CALDERINI 1935 ff. with later supplements. The presence of supplementary volumes clearly shows the need for continuous updates to such printed tools.

⁵ GAGOS 2001, 516; see below, § 9.

of interactive relational databases, modulates a 'tabular' universe⁶ where the words are extracted dynamically and exists only in virtual lists like the results of a query⁷. Certainly, this is quicker and easier, and even more up to date, than leafing through hundreds of pages; nevertheless, word indexes maintain a certain usefulness, since they allow throwing overall glimpses on certain subjects. Therefore, word lists are not completely absent from the digital scenario⁸.

4.1 Wörterlisten

The *WörterListen* (WL) *par excellence* are those compiled by Dieter Hagedorn. They have been released regularly from the servers of the University of Heidelberg since 2001 (http://www.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/hps/pap/WL/WL.html) as a PDF file containing six alphabetical lists of words (months and days; personal names; geographical names; gods, sacred names and festivals; general word index; Latin words) collated from the word indexes of the more recent volumes of papyrological editions and relevant periodicals. Such lists can be browsed via the document structure view of *Acrobat Reader* (or of any other PDF reader); each word is simply followed by the list of occurrences in volumes and journals⁹, abbreviated as explained in the first pages of the PDF.

According to the editor's words, "die WörterListen erheben keinen Anspruch auf Wissenschaftlichkeit, sondern sollen nur ein schlichtes Hilfsmittel bei der täglichen Arbeit sein" (p. 12); and it is indeed a great *Hilfsmittel*, since it records new occurrences (and sometimes new words!) that have not yet been recorded by other databases, and therefore digitally unavailable. WL is thus a manually collected tool that integrates automatic word queries. It may look like a sort of role reversal, but we shall recall once more the great utility of electronic resources in managing constant updates of big quantities of information. Let us just guess the problems of issuing regular paper updates of such a resource, amounting to 583 pages!

⁶ On the opposition between text linearity and tabularity see above, Introduction (§ 1.1), and VANDENDORPE 1999, *passim*.

⁷ An example of such an evolution of 'traditional' indexes are the prosopographical databases discussed in the previous chapter. ESSLER – RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2016, 492 refer to the "interdependence of lexica and new editions".

⁸ The automated creation of word indexes is subsequent to the digital encoding of the reference text; I postpone the discussion of text encoding and computational linguistics to chapters 7–8. I am confident that this will not harm the argumentation logic because today we are so accustomed to digital texts that we take their existence for granted.

⁹ Since the lists are compiled from the indexes of volumes and journals, the references indicate only the volume number or the journal issue, without a precise mention of page, document number, and line. This information can be easily obtained from the actual index of that volume or journal.

WL is currently at its 20th version (June 1, 2016; 1st version: February 2001: see picture below), and as of April 2017 it has been completely renewed in an HTML version hosted in Köln (https://papyri.uni-koeln.de/papyri-woerterlisten, see picture in the next page). The basic structure is the same as the PDF version, but the alternate alphabetic and category indices are fully browsable and interconnected. Each record is based on an XML source and the publication references point to a bibliographical list connected with the *Papyri.info* bibliography. An internal search engine is implemented with different options (the "Rückläufige Suche" recalls the *KonträrIndex*, see below) and some word statistics are displayed in coloured pie charts. It goes without saying that this resource represents a significant progress in terms of both update and usability: searching, jumping among words and word categories, and bibliographical references are now just a click away.

Verzeichnis der Abkürzungen	P.Yale 03;	PSI 15; PSI 16; PSI Com. 11; SB 21;						
Verzeichnis der Abkurzungen	SB 23; SB	25; SB 27; SB 29						
01 Monate und Tage	γυμνασιαργία	P.Kramer (Index VI); SB 25						
02 Personennamen	Γυμνασιαργίς	O.Ber. 01						
03 Geographie	γυμνασίαρχος	An.Pap. 13; BASP 43-50; BGU						
04 Götter. Heiliatümer. Feste	16; BGU 19	9; BL 11 (Index 6); BL 12;						
	Chron.Eg. 82; I.Alex.ptol.; P.Daris; P.Lond.							
Wörterverzeichnis	1177; P.Lo	uvre 01; P.Mich. 18; P.Oxy. 64;						
	P.Oxy. 65;	P.Oxy. 67; P.Oxy. 70; P.Oxy. 71						
06 Lateinisch	(Index XI);	P.Oxy. 72; P.Poethke; P.Sijp.;						
	P.Thomas;	PSI 15; SB 21; SB 23; SB 25; SB						
	27; SB 29							
	γυμνάσιον	BASP 43-50; BL 12; I.Alex.ptol.;						
	P.Bagnall;	P.Coles; P.Daris; P.Dryton;						
	P.Herakl. B	Bank; P.Oxy. 64; P.Oxy. 65; P.Oxy.						
	74; P.Oxy.	79 (Index III); P.Oxy. 984;						
	P.Poethke;	P.Sorb. 03; PSI Com. 06; SB 21; SB						
	23							
	γυμνιητον	P.Worp						
	γυμνικός	P.Oxy. 79; SB 29						
	γυμνός	P.Aktenbuch; P.Petra 04; PSI						
	Com. 06; S	B 23						
	γυμνότης	SB 21						
	γυμνόω	BL 12						
	γυναικείος	BGU 16; CPR 19; P.Bodl. 01;						
	P.Count; P.Heid. 09; P.Hever; P.Köln 11;							
	P.Mich. 18; P.Worp; PSI 15; PSI Com. 11; 5							
	23; SB 25;	SB 27; SB 29						
	γύναιον	P.Kramer						

XX/T	۵	в	Г	Δ	Е	Ζ	н	Θ	1	к	٨	Μ	Ν	Ξ	0	П	Ρ	Σ	Т	Y	Φ	Х	Ψ	Ω	Suc	he		
WL	A B C Monate und Tage			DEFGH Personennamen Geo			IKLM eographie Götter, Heilig			N leiligtü	OPQ tümer, Feste Allge			R gemeir	R S T meines Wörte		U rverze	V	z			In Betacode umwant						
Aα Aβ 7 128	Αγ Αδ 82 15	Αε 14	A(Ал 3	A0 40		Aı 77	Ак 82	AM 12:	3	Αμ 175	Av 229	A0 8		Απ 216	Ар 436	A S	λσ 90	Ат 56	Au 55		ιφ 11	Ax 19	Αψ 3	A.	ω !	As 1
Lemma	Stellen																											
Ααίτιος																												
Άαίτιος																												
Ααίτις																												
Ααίτις																												
Άάνιος	Aegyptus 8	81			F	Gase	cou				s	B 29																
Άάνιος	Aegyptus 8	1			F	Gasa	cou				S	8 29																
Άανις	SB 27																											
Άανις	SB 27																											
Ααου[SB 23																											
Aaou[SB 23																											

In 2002 Hagedorn started implementing the *KonträrIndex* (KI): based on the same format as WL, this index (divided into personal names, geographical names, general word list) records the words from WL in reverse alphabetical order, i.e. from the last letter to the first one. The principle is the same as the *Rückläufiges Wörterbuch der griechischen Sprache*¹⁰ and of course is very helpful to recognize fragmentary words lacking the beginning, which is quite often the case in the papyri. KI does not provide references to the occurrences of words: one should refer back to WL. As already noted, a "Rückläufige Suche" option is now appended to the new HTML WL version.

Personennamen	23	100000-000
Geographie	A	Οὐιβία
Alloameines Wörtenverzeichnis	Βιθιλαα	Ζωβία
Augementes troiterreizerunns	Παα	Εύλογία
	Ψεντινβαβα	Εύστοργία
	Τάβα	Γεωργία
	Εγεβα	Υγία
	Δήδα	Εύζυγία
	Δίδα	Δία
	Σεκοῦνδα	Λευκαδία
	Οδα	Παλαδία
	Δωροθέα	Παλλαδία
	Έριέα	Αβδια
	Ήρακλέα	'Ροδία
	Πετεα	Κλαυδία
	Γαιγιζα	Κλωδία
	Καιγιζα	Εὐσέβεια
	Διζα	Ταδεία
	Βαστιζα	Εὐάνθεια
	Κοπρήα	Θάλεια
	Κωπρήα	Ήράκλεια
	Βαθσαββαθα	Θηρακλεία
	Καθα	Σενηράκλεια
	Ταβιθά	Ροδοκλεία
	Μάρθα	Άγαθόκλεια
	Ταμύσθα	Διοκλεία
	Άία	Φανόκλεια
	Βαία	Άριστόκλεια
	Fata	Hordeia

¹⁰ KRETSCHMER – LOCKER 1977³ (digitized at http://digi20.digitale-sammlungen.de/de/fs1/object/ display/bsb00050105_00001.html).

An online word list referred to a single publication is the index of the *New Papyri from the New York University Collection*, usually referred to as P.NYU II but in fact edited by Bruce E. Nielsen and Klaas A. Worp in four instalments on the *Zeitschrift für Papyrologie* from 2000 to 2004 (see the *Checklist* for references). To keep trace of the whole set of papyri published, a separated PDF file of word indices, shaped as the usual word indices to papyrological volumes, has been made available from the WL folder¹¹.

A thematic word list is *Scholia Minora in Homerum: An Alphabetical List*, compiled by the late John Lundon with the purpose of providing a comprehensive reference tool for retrieving Homeric glosses (i.e. explanations of Homeric words) and related material in the papyri¹². The list collects the Homeric words alphabetically, with reference to the appropriate papyrus (edition/inventory and TM number with link to TM), reference to the Homeric passage involved, and transcription of the comment on the papyrus. Version 1.0 of this list appeared as a downloadable PDF in 2004 on the website of the Leiden University; versions 2.0 (2008) and 2.1 (2010) on that of the Köln University. In 2012 a third version was published in the "Trismegistos Online Publications" series (TOP 7¹³); this is numbered 1.0 again as it represents, in the author's own words, "a fresh start" – as we saw, it is strictly connected with, and linked to, the TM records (see picture below). A different resource dealing with the same topic is the catalogue *Scholia Minora in Homerum* via *Aristarchus*, which has already been presented above (§ 3.5).



¹¹ http://www.zaw.uni-heidelberg.de/hps/pap/WL/PNYU_II_Indices.pdf.

¹² Cf. LUNDON 1999 on the subject.

¹³ LUNDON 2012.

4.2 General Dictionaries / Glossaries

A dictionary is more detailed than a concordance or a simple word list, in that it provides a summary contextualization of the word: typically, some etymological and grammatical notes, modern translations, some sample occurrences. Online interactive versions of famous Greek dictionaries like Liddell-Scott-Jones' *Greek-English Lexicon* (LSJ) or the *Diccionario Griego-Español* (DGE) are well known¹⁴, and only cursorily mentioned here since they are not proper papyrological resources, though being of the utmost help for papyrologists too: many occurrences from the papyri are also included.

The standard reference 'papyrological' dictionary, Preisigke's *Wörterbuch der griechischen Papyrusurkunden*, has not yet an electronic version¹⁵, while the colleagues Demoticists and Coptologists are luckier: the new *Chicago Demotic Dictionary* (CDD) edited by Janet Johnson has been published completely online¹⁶ as a supplement and update to Erichsen's 1954 *Demotisches Glossar*; and not only Crum's 1939 *Coptic Dictionary* is available online in a scanned version enhanced with a hypertext menu for browsing letters and apparatuses (http://www.tyndalearchive. com/TABS/crum), but a lexicographical database titled *Coptic Dictionary Online* has been developed by the Georgetown University (https://corpling.uis.georgetown. edu/coptic-dictionary). This is searchable by Coptic word, dialect, part of speech,

¹⁴ LSJ is freely available at the *Perseus Project* website (http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/ text?doc=Perseus:text:1999.04.0057) and, after free registration, at the TLG website (http:// stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/lsj). DGE has an open-access electronic version at http://dge.cchs.csic.es/ xdge. Perhaps it is worth noting that the latter offers a useful function of "reverse index" for sorting the words. As a spin-off of DGE, also the updated Supplement to the *Repertorio Bibliográfico de la Lexicografía Griega* is available online (http://dge.cchs.csic.es/blg/blg-s.htm) and is quite a useful bibliographical resource for Papyrology too.

¹⁵ The *Glossary* appended to the *Papyrus und Ostraka Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig* website (https://papyri.uni-leipzig.de/indexpage;bjsessionid=B49654404E88AA0E580DCA83559696EA? searchclass=glossary&XSL.lastPage.SESSION=%2Findexpage%3Fsearchclass%3Dglossary; for the project see above, § 3.6) is a reference tool for a first approach to the technical terminology of the papyri, especially those catalogued by the Project itself. It consists of a list of transliterated or modernized words (e.g. Komogrammateus, Stratege) of which a brief German explanation is given. WILLIS 1992 announced an electronic copy of Preisigke's *Wörterbuch* and *Namenbuch* to be inserted in the papyrological PHI CD-ROM (see below, § 8.3), but, to my knowledge, it has never been realized. Short lexical entries have been experimentally appended to the modern translations of some HGV entries; they are displayed in small pop-up boxes once one clicks on the corresponding term in the separate translation page linked from the main HGV record (e.g. in BGU I 4, http://www.papy.uni-hd.de/trans/DFG/de/9088de.html).

¹⁶ https://oi.uchicago.edu/research/publications/demotic-dictionary-oriental-institute-universitychicago. This dictionary strongly relies upon digital imaging to reproduce the original wordings of the terms (see below, § 5.4), but it has been published in simple PDF format, without search tools (see below, § 6.6).

English/French/German definition through a search mask. Since 2005, Demotic Papyrologists have at their disposal also a *Demotische Wortliste* (DWL) *online*, edited by Friedhelm Hoffmann (München) at http://www.dwl.aegyptologie.lmu.de¹⁷: a platform which allows searching for full or part of transliterated words, determinatives, and translations, in a databank that offers also a bibliography of works citing each word. The possibility of searching for determinatives is a striking innovation, and very helpful in case of fragmentary words, as illustrated by Hoffmann himself in a 1996 *Vortrag*¹⁸.



A lemma in the experimental HGV glossary tool.

4.3 Thematic Dictionaries / Glossaries (Lexica)

Select glossaries are more manageable than bigger dictionaries, from the viewpoint of the mere quantity of data, and this may explain why their electronic presence is a bit more widespread.

LMPG en linea (http://dge.cchs.csic.es/lmpg) is an open-access electronic version (in TEI XML) of the *Léxico de magia y religión en los papiros mágicos griegos,* compiled by Luis Muñoz Delgado as Annex V of the *Diccionario Griego-Español* (2001)¹⁹. The lexicon aims at compiling and studying all terms related to magic and religion as attested in the Greek magical papyri, basically those edited by Preisendanz (PGM) and Daniel & Maltomini (Suppl.Mag.). The interest is double: a deeper study of magical and religious practices and a contribution to the knowledge of late Hellenistic Greek language (several *addenda lexicis* are recorded). On the home page we read:

En su contribución al volumen *The Oxford Handbook of Papyrology* [= VAN MINNEN 2009], titulada "The Future of Papyrology", Peter van Minnen señala (p. 652), junto a la presencia del

¹⁷ Cf. HOFFMANN 2009.

¹⁸ Unpublished; text online at http://www.dwl.aegyptologie.lmu.de/demotdet.php.

¹⁹ MUÑOZ DELGADO 2001.

corpus de Preisendanz en el *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae*, la existencia de este léxico, si bien lamenta que, en su versión impresa, no resulte tan útil como una "fully searchable database". Esperamos que *LMPG* en línea constituya un avance significativo en esta dirección para los estudios papirológicos y sobre la magia y la religión griega en general.



On the left of the home page, one finds the tools to browse or search in the database: an alphabetic word list; a reverse alphabetic word list; a list of words arranged by texts; a text string search box. The dictionary gives a Spanish translation of each term, followed by the quotation and the translation of the relevant passage (the *sigla* indicating the papyri are explained in the Help tab "Ayuda").

The very recent *Neues Fachwörterbuch* (nFWB), directed by Reinhold Scholl at the University of Leipzig, presented at the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Barcelona 2016), is defined as a "multilingual online dictionary of the technical administrative language of Graeco-Roman-Byzantine Egypt" aimed at replacing, updating, and extending Preisigke's 1915 *Fachwörter des öffentlichen Verwaltungsdienstes Ägyptens in den griechischen Papyrusurkunden der ptolemäisch-römischen Zeit*²⁰. The terms can be either browsed from an alphabetical list on the right, or searched for via a full-text search box in the home page or an "Advanced Search" from the left-hand menu, with possibility of querying all fields via drop-down menus or text string boxes, singularly or in combination. A typical entry presents the Greek term in Unicode, followed by an expandable list of meanings; translation in the 'official' modern languages of Papyrology (German, French, Italian, Spanish; Arabic forthcoming); category ("subject group") of the term; earliest and latest at-

²⁰ An update to this glorious lexical resource was already invoked by VAN MINNEN 1994, 40.

testation with link to the full text on *Papyri.info*; further attestations (linked as well); an explanation of the word, in German; geographical locations (cities/villages and nomes) of attestation, with links to *TM Places* (see above, § 3.3); chronological period of attestation; bibliographical references ("literature"). Below, an indication of the most frequent attestations as regards the nome and the period; a brief description of the attestations (e.g. a term occurring only in plural); the lemma as it appears in Preisigke's FWB; a link to the search results for the term in *Papyri.info*; the stable URL of the lemma; the author of the lemma and the date of latest update²¹. The ongoing project – which belongs to the *Papyrus Portal / Organa Papyrologica* project (see above and below, § 3.6 and 6.1) – is remarkable for the concept of updating and extending an existing, outdated printed resource, in an open system fully integrated with other papyrological resources.

	1	WORTER-			
rgana Papyrologica	-	BUCH XALIAN	•		
_		new Fachwörterbuch Papyrus collections 👻 Papyrus-Portal	Lemma search		
Home	διάδοσις -εως ή	[Expert]			
Advanced search	010000131 00031 11		Ξβ		
Publications		open all close all	×		
	 L distribution, del 	wery	15 ο δερματηρά, ή		
	 II. distribution of th 	e annona militaria	δευτεροβόλος, -ον		
	German :	Verteilung der Annona militaris	δημοσία βιβλιοθήκ		
Programmed by Iniversität Leipzig	French	diskile dan da Pananan anifanis	οημοσία τράπεζα		
URZ © 2007 - 2016	Prender		δημόσια, τά δημοσία		
	Italian :	distribuzione di l'annona militaria	δημοσίαρχος, ό		
	Spanish :	distribución de l'annona militaria	δημάσιοι τής κώμη		
	Subject Group :	General administration, Military	δημόσιον, τό δημόσιος γεωργός		
	Earliest Document:	P.Oxy. VIII 1115, 9 (21.05. 284 n. Chr.: Oxyrhynchos)	δημόσιος, -ία, -αν δημόσιος, ό		
	Latest Document :	BGU XVII 2729 v 17 (6. Jh. n. Chr.: Hermopolites?)	δημοσιόω		
	Explanation :	Displite Ausonbe des Descinete en Soldaben	δημοσιωσης, ό δημοσίωσης, ή		
	Explanation -	Criefus Pulgade des Professiones en doubern.	διά διάδοσις, -εως, ή		
	Place:	multiple places	διαδότης, -ου, ό		
	Nome:	Oxyntynchilles	διαστολικόν, τό		
	Period :	21.05.284 n. Chr. bis B. Jh. n. Chr.	διάστρωμα, -ατος, διαφηφιστής, -ού,		
	Literature :	Mitthof, F., Annona militaris, Florenz 2001, 100-107. Im oben genannten P.Oxy. Vill 1115, 9 sieht Mitthof, 100. keinen Zusammenhang mit dem Ant des Diadoten, obwohl es sich hierbei um die Verteilung der annona militaris handelt.	Ж 6 Ж 6 Ж 9		
			H 0		
		open all close all	E K		
	(Nome):	Oxymprchiles	E P		
	Most Frequent Records	byzantine	H 6		
	(Era):		H o		
	Proisigke, PWB:	1. Abletening von Gegenständen. Lips. 158, 9 [371 n.]. simtvas sic Audjahdparav jud? frag immädigalatta töröfnas päöyk doobdoov. Ihmataansian nach A. mid den empfingeren bekelskongsegeneratieken zenska. Abletanung (an eine Sammannisch, die die Enzahnetularug an die Truppen besongt). – 2. Einstehensteitung, als. von Munchmart an die Truppen. Mittes, Lus (7 Noz. 24 Am. 2507, Oxi (4 N N). Koho der Komspenitie. Lundt. III. 5 129 hz. 1950, a. E. WChenst. 422 (201 n.). (ei mit canaver bioken mit Komspenitie. Des (19 margich). Ein die einstehende Verhaltung die uns beelligung Komspenitie. Des (19 mit 1153, 201 p.). (ei herstehende Verhaltung die uns beelligung Komspenitie. Des (19 mit 1153, 201 p.). (ei herstehende Verhaltung die uns beelligung Komspenitie. Des (19 mit 1153, 201 p.). (ei herstehende Verhaltung die uns beelligung).	国 田 田 P 田 T 田 U 田 U 田 X 田 X 田 X		
	Mason:	S. 36 (keine papyrologischen Belege): curator frumenti dividunde / alimenta	±ω		
	External Link:	čeóčoruc (papyri.info)			
	URL:	http://www.organapapyrologica.net/nfwb/8x66oorg, -curg, /j			
	Author of record:	N. Quencuille			
	Last changed :	2016-10-26 : 12:52:03			
	License:	((iii)) +++i			
		Send feedback concerning this record			

²¹ Cf. SCHOLL – WEILBACH 2016 and QUENOUILLE 2016, 14–16, for further details.

A supplement to traditional dictionaries is also the newborn database Words in Progress (WiP), directed by Franco Montanari on the Aristarchus portal (University of Genua, http://www.aristarchus.unige.net/Wordsinprogress/it-IT/Home). WiP accessible to the public as from April 2017 – draws its inspiration from the former experience of PAWAG (Poorly Attested Words in Ancient Greek, once at http://www. aristarchus.unige.it/pawag), an electronic dictionary that gathered ancient Greek words that are either only scantily attested (i.e. with one or few occurrences) or inadequately (i.e. uncertainly) or problematically characterized, both from a formal and semantic viewpoint²². Just like its predecessor, WiP is conceived as a supplement to the existing dictionaries of ancient Greek and an improvement of Greek lexicographical studies, expanding its scope to recording corrections, additions, and improvements of all kinds involving the entries in the main existing dictionaries. The former PAWAG was not of specific papyrological nature, but the interest for papyrology was great, since several "poorly attested" words occur in papyri only; WiP relies much more on papyrological sources, being conducted in strict collaboration with the Istituto Papirologico "Vitelli" at Florence and its project of updating Preisigke's Wörterbuch. It is possible to search the databank (in Greek and Latin characters) for headwords, etymologies, translations, glosses ("Ricerca Avanzata"), or to browse the terms alphabetically ("Per iniziale"). A typical entry is made up by the headword (in bold characters), its etymology, the part of speech, a main translation (Italian, bold) sometimes followed by a short explanation, the indication of the attestation(s) (sources are abbreviated, and each abbreviation is linked to a pop-up window that clarifies it), and the indication whether (and where) the word is (or not) recorded by the existing dictionaries. Authorial responsibility is recorded at the end. Two significant improvements, with respect to PAWAG, must be noted. First, Greek is encoded in Unicode, while in PAWAG it was encoded in SuperGreek, and needed the specific font SPIonic to be installed. Even more interestingly, collaboration to WiP is open to any registered user, who can submit new lemmas, which will be checked by an editorial board. The collaborative methodology, mentioned above in the Introduction as a growing trend and largely deployed by *Papyri.info* (see below, §§ 8,5 and 9, for discussion), is perhaps the more significant progress of this fundamental lexical resource²³.

²² Cf. BABEU 2011, 53.

²³ I am thankful to Roberto Mascellari for making me aware of the details of this project before its official announcement and publication.



Above: the former PAWAG without SPIonic font installed; below: the new WiP.

Wor	ds	in	Pro	ogre	ess	5 [N	ŋ	Aristarchus (A)	Database [5]	Font [C]	Help [H]	Web Accessibility [v]	Register (R)	H	IT EN		
Supplei Home /	men Sei	tary i arch i	L <i>exic</i> result	on of s	Anci	ent G	lreek							Directed by Franco N	Aontanari		
You	u hav	e sea	rched	α and	found	1241	headw	ords - Page 1 of 5									
	a	β	(y)	δ	ε	ζ	n										
	8		к.	A	9	v	ξ.		αβαδής	-êc							
	0	π	ρ	σ		v	φ.		[βάδην?] agg. no	n domato, rifer	ito a un puledro	EUTHDIAC. (PG 85.629A).					
					х	Ψ	ω		B DGE B GE*	# GE# # GG	# at # a	a ¹ # LBG # LSJ # PGL	# wn				
			άβι	νγκώς 	s				Serena Perrone C	roato 11/11/2014	Modificato: 10/2.	9/2015					
		air	άγο τχρολά	ς -εος. 	10C, 10	5			💿 ἀβαθμί	δοτος							
		aiav	000000	www	vn -oc				[βαθμίς, δίδωμί] a (= Τιμιοτικ ³ .) Cae	gg. dato senzi c. (PG 28.1021	a distinzione d .24).	li rango, degli onori attribuiti a	lle persone della	s. Trinità [Атнамаз.]			
aiospantparkusainų -rys, fi 									Brotz Brotz <th< td=""></th<>								

An interesting special lexicographical project, a *Database and Dictionary of Greek Loanwords in Coptic* (DDGLC), is under development by a team led by Tonio Sebastian Richter at the University of Leipzig. The database (http://research.uni-leipzig. de/ddglc) is not yet available, but one may already find such useful resources as a comprehensive bibliography on *Linguistic Borrowing in Egyptian-Coptic*, an index to the lexical cards by G. Bauer, some demonstration videos of the database concept and structure²⁴.

Let me mention here also the *Medicalia Online* project, conducted at the University of Parma in the framework of the ERC project DIGMEDTEXT directed by Isabella Andorlini (see below, § 8.7). The project, held in close collaboration with Anastasia Maravela (University of Oslo) and with the fundamental contribution of Isabella Bonati and Francesca Bertonazzi from Parma, aims at creating an electronic lexical database ("glossary") dealing with the technical terms of Greek medical papyri, linked to the main core of the digital *corpus*²⁵. The focus of the glossary is linguistic, papyrological, archaeological, and scientific at the same time. The resource, built on an openaccess *TemaTres* platform (http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/CPGM/medicalia/vocab), has different ways of use. In the home page, one can find a threefold subdivision by categories ("Lexicalia", i.e. word typologies; "Medical branches"; "Text typologies"), each of which is further divided into sub-categories – creating a sort of taxonomical classification of the terms. On the top, a row of characters, both Latin and Greek, allows browsing the terms and the categories alphabetically. A full-text search and an "Advanced search" in all fields²⁶ are implemented.

The methodological approach is interdisciplinary and involves a comparative examination and a thorough analysis of all the sources available for each term, critically comparing written sources (papyri, literary passages, inscriptions, *tituli picti*) with the available archaeological artefacts. This methodology results in an innovative lexicographical structure of the lemmas. Each entry is divided into thematic boxes, called "notes". "Variants" registers Greek and Latin variants of the name, both grammatical variants such as diminutives and phonetic or spelling variants as attested in the papyri. "General definition" provides a quick, dictionary-like definition of the term. "Language between text and context" (formerly titled "Linguistic section") aims at depicting a linguistic overview of the term or text typology, from the viewpoints both of general historical linguistics (etymology, linguistic commentary) and of papyrology (abbreviations in the papyri). "Testimonia – A selection of repre-

²⁴ http://research.uni-leipzig.de/ddglc/docs/DDGLCBibliography.pdf; http://research.uni-leipzig.de/ddglc/docs/GertrudBauerCardindex.pdf; http://research.uni-leipzig.de/ddglc/docs.html.
25 Cf. BONATI 2017d and 2017e.

²⁶ A drop-down menu allows selecting the research scope: "Term" restricts the search to the headwords, "Meta-term" to the typologies/categories, "Non preferred term" to secondary headwords (diminutives, variants, etc.), "Note" to the text boxes. It is possible to search both in Latin and Greek Unicode characters.

sentative sources" lists some relevant literary and papyrological passages where the term is attested or samples of the text typology discussed; each quotation is reported in full text with English translation. "Commentary" is the most extensive section of the lemma, tracing an overall contextualization in the broad historical and textual framework (whether medical literature, medical papyrology, or medical archaeology). "Bibliography" is divided in "Lexicon entries" (dictionaries, glossaries, etc.) and "Secondary literature" (studies); bibliographical abbreviations point to an ongoing general medical bibliography to be built by the research team²⁷. A list of papyrological references closes the lemma: the documentary evidence ("DDbDP references") will be linked to the appropriate texts on Papyri.info, the literary or paraliterary one ("CPGM references") to the forthcoming texts on DCLP (see further on, § 8.7), from which, in turn, it will be possible to insert links back to *Medicalia Online*. The author of the lemma is mentioned at the end. As is apparent, the goal of *Medicalia Online* is wider than providing a medical papyrological dictionary: through an in-depth study of the terms attested in medical papyri, it aims at enriching our views about ancient medical language compared to and integrated with the literary sources and the archaeological testimonies of ancient medicine, and at the same time at shedding new light on the diachronic, often problematic developments of the technical terminology employed by ancient medical writers into the modern languages and contemporary scientific discourse in medicine. Due to its ample scopes, the project is still ongoing and one may find online just provisional samples; the high degree of details collected allowed for several publications about the matter²⁸.



²⁷ Cf. http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/CPGM.

²⁸ E.g. Reggiani 2015a; Andorlini 2016; Bonati 2016; Reggiani 2016; 2017c; Bonati 2017a; 2017b; 2017c; Bertonazzi 2017a; 2017b; Bonati – Maravela 2018; Reggiani 2018a; 2018b.

4.4 Prosopographies and Onomastica

Indexes of individuals and/or of personal names are not a secondary resource in Digital Papyrology: as we noticed above (§ 3.3), it was from a digital version of the renowned Prosopographia Ptolemaica that the 'thrice greatest' Trismegistos arose. It is certainly not by chance that at the 11th International Congress of Papyrology (Milan) in 1965, about twenty years before the *ProsPtol Online*, Alfred Tomsin, a Belgian papyrologists from Liège and a true pioneer of Digital Papyrology (we mentioned him in the Introduction, § 1.1, and we will encounter him again later on, §§ 7.1 and 8.2), presented a project for a prosopography of Roman Egypt. In Tomsin's idea, this would have complemented the Prosopographia Ptolemaica (then a printed resource only) on the Roman side. Foreseeing many complications in managing, classifying, and exploiting the huge amount of data that would have been generated by such an enterprise, Tomsin proposed to rely on the "ordinateurs électroniques" that, at those times, worked with punched cards (the data input was given by means of cards punched according to precise combinations in rows and columns). It was the very dawn of computational philology and linguistics: only four years before Tomsin's paper, in Liège, Louis Delatte had founded the Laboratoire d'Analyse Statistique des Langues Anciennes (LASLA) in order to study ancient texts with the help of electronic calculators (see above and below, §§ 3.2, 7.1, and 8.2). Tomsin described very precisely how the prosopography was to be conceived and structured in order to encode the information in machine-readable format²⁹.



29 Cf. TOMSIN 1966. Later on, he also suggested how prosopographical data could be automatically extracted and indexed from computer-encoded text: cf. TOMSIN 1970a, 473. This procedure looks like an early instance of the NER (Named Entity Recognition) applied for the expansion of *TM People* (see above, § 3.3). For technical details about this first automatic prosopography cf. EVRARD 1967, 87–91. For other early projects of computerized prosopographies cf. BABEU 2011, 165.

AELIUS GALLUS

ROMAIN ORDRE EQUESTRE PREFET COMMANDEMENT MILITAIRE EXPEDITION
VICTORIEUSE ARABIE 025 A.C 024 A.C.
DION CASSIUS HIST ROM 053 029 002 CF STRABON GEOGR 002 012 118 017 053
819 PLINE HIST NAT 006 028 160
RECEPTION DU CORPS AUXILIAIRE ENVOYE PAR HERODE ARABIE MER ROUGE
000-024 A.C. FLAVIUS JOSEPHE ANT 015 012 003
COMPOSITION ANTIDOTE AU COURS CAMPAGNE MILITAIRE ARABLE 000-024 A.C.
CLAUDIUS GALENUS ANTIDOTES 002 014 180 02 017 203
VOYAGE D INSPECTION EGYPTE THEBAIDE SYENE MONTS D ETHIOPIE AVANT
000-024 A.C. STRABON GEOGR 002 012 118 017 028 806

Model of prosopograhical punch card (previous page) and numerical encoding of prosopographical metadata (above; both from TOMSIN 1966).

Tomsin's project –at the very dawn of Digital Papyrology – never took off, but after the experience of the *Prosopographia Ptolemaica* and its confluence into *TM People*, we still look at prosopographical databases with much interest. *Dime Online* is an example; and likely also the *Mummy Label Database* may turn, at least in part, to be a prosopographical resource (see above, § 3.5).

Indeed, a very similar tool is announced on the *PSIonline* platform: a "guida informatica alla prosopografia dell'Arsinoite" designed by the University of Padua and the King's College, London³⁰. In the framework of this project, conducted by Silvia Strassi, Matilde Fiorillo, and Dominic Rathbone, a very recent (2015) workshop was held to discuss methodological and technical issues of a prosopographical database of the entire Roman Egypt (*Digitalized Prosopography of Roman Egypt* = DPRE), in the wake of a previous project, dating back to the early Nineties, launched at the King's College by Dominic Rathbone himself, and called *Computerized Prosopography of Roman Egypt* (CPRE)³¹.

While *TM People* (see above, § 3.3) remains of course the major resource in this sector³², some other thematic prosopographical or simply onomastical lists are also provided by single projects, usually as static PDF or HTML files.

The *Onomasticon Oasiticum*, a list of the personal names attested in documentary texts coming from the Theban Oasis in Graeco-Roman times, has been compiled by Robert P. Salomons and Klaas A. Worp as a PDF file (http://media.leidenuniv.nl/ legacy/onomas_final.pdf, July 2007; revised version September 2009). As described

³⁰ Cf. http://psi-online.it/about.

³¹ Cf. STRASSI 2015 and especially FIORILLO 2015 for a detailed overview of the project history and of its technical details (in particular, the structure of the single records and the metadata considered: identity (serial unique identifier), full name (with variants), gender, chronological span of attestation, lifespan, geographical provenance, public charges, social statuses, occupations, property, slaves, family relations, textual sources, bibliography, notes.

³² The DPRE project itself is developing in collaboration with the TM editors, as STRASSI 2015 and Fiorillo 2015, 150–1 explain.

in the introduction, the onomastic interest of both editors stems from their own involvement in the publishing of several documents from the Dakhleh and Khargheh Oases, especially considering that a printed prosopography of that area, announced by Guy Wagner³³, had never appeared. The *Onomasticon Oasiticum* is divided into three parts: the *Onomasticon Hibiticum*, for Khargeh; a transition section with a list of names of people who certainly lived somewhere in the Great Oasis, but without certainty on the exact area; the *Onomasticon Mothiticum*, for Dakhleh. In this third part, after the Greek entries follows a section containing the names found in Coptic documents. The structure is very simple: the names are listed alphabetically, and the occurrences are given in a column to the right.

A plain PDF is the format chosen also by Monica Hasitzka for her *Namen in koptischen dokumentarischen Texten* (https://www.onb.ac.at/fileadmin/user_upload/ PDF_Download/1_PAP_kopt_namen.pdf, latest update January 2007). As the author states in the introduction,

Namen in koptischen vorwiegend dokumentarischen Texten sind bekanntlich so gut wie nicht gesammelt. Das gab den Anlaß, für die eigene Arbeit ein einfaches Hilfsmittel in der Verzeichnung von Namen und Stellen (ohne Analysen oder weitere Untersuchungen) herzustellen.

The file is indeed a simple alphabetical list, with reference to the occurrences (bibliographical abbreviations are explained at the beginning); variant forms point to the main form.

A Prosopography of the Cynopolite Nome is offered by Nikos Litinas in both DOC and PDF formats at http://www.philology.uoc.gr/ref/Cynopolite Nome (latest update November 2005). A single-page PDF ("Lower Cynopolite Nome") records the occurrences and bibliography of the Lower Cynopolite Nome (it is discussed whether it was part of the same nome as the Upper Cynopolite, or not). It acts therefore as a very short topographical index. The file called "Tables" contains first a "List of the Upper Kynopolite Nome Papyri" (i.e. "mentioning the Kynopolite nome, the ethnic of t[he?] persons, the adjective or villages of the Upper Kynopolite nome"), which is a repertory listing the papyri by edition, with reference to date and content. Then the Prosopography comes: a table arranged alphabetically by full Greek name (but the alphabetical order follows in fact the transliterations: see Bi $\kappa\tau\omega\rho$ after $\Theta\epsilon\omega\nu\alpha$, or Ψενατῦμιc between Πρωοῦc and Πτολεμαῖοc); each entry features the transliteration, the person's genealogy (patronymic, papponymic, metronymic, other relatives), some information on the individual (title, role, context, etc.), attestations, date. Some references to unnamed Cynopolite officials are appended to the end, and a short bibliography closes the file.

³³ WAGNER 1987, vii and passim.

4.5 Indexes of Emendations

The *Berichtigungsliste der Griechischen Papyrusurkunden aus Ägypten* (BL) is well known to any papyrologist as an essential tool in dealing with documentary texts, in that it registers all the updates, corrections, supplements, emendations – whether readings or interpretations – brought to papyrus editions subsequently to their publication. In several cases, emendations concur to update the digital texts in the *Duke Databank*³⁴; but likely more are to be found in the BL volumes only, though carefully reported in the HGV metadata (see above, § 3.1). Consulting BL is therefore an unescapable editorial task. BL comes originally as a series of printed volumes, launched by F. Preisigke in 1913 (BL I, 1913–1922), continued by F. Bilabel as of 1929 (BL II, 1929–1933), and since 1952 (BL III) by the Leiden Papyrological Institute, currently led by Cisca Hoogendijk, in collaboration with colleagues from Heidelberg (formerly Marburg). Currently, BL counts 12 volumes, plus 2 volumes of concordances³⁵.

It is apparent that such an instrument, as huge as useful, would take an enormous advantage of the possibilities offered by the electronic technologies, in terms of both data management (storage, retrieval) and update. Indeed, in 2009 BL editors produced a commercial CD-ROM containing a digital version of the 11 volumes up to 2002³⁶. This was a very helpful tool, containing a browser-based software able to perform precise searches in the whole database in order to retrieve the corrections. Unfortunately, the program seems to have some compatibility issue with the more recent operating systems³⁷ and is therefore not working properly any more. Fortunately, the BL team got extremely concerned with the digital cause, and announced some remarkable enhancements in the future releases. As we can read from their website,

Berichtigungsliste der Griechischen Papyri Band XIII is well under way. In November 2011 an international Round Table Conference was held in the Leiden Papyrological Institute on the Future of the *Berichtigungsliste* in the light of the changing digital world of papyrology. Important and far-reaching decisions have been taken concerning the digitalization of the BL and a closer cooperation with the international projects Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri, Heidelberger Gesamt Verzeichnis [*sic*] and Trismegistos. From now on, the *Berichtigungen* will be published online, although a print version of the BL (in PDF-format) will still be published on a regular basis. Thus, anticipating the publication of a BL 13 in print, the corrections will be entered into a new online BL-databank, which has direct links to papyri.info. In this way we hope

³⁴ Cf. BAGNALL 1998, 545 n. 5, and see below, §§ 8.3-5.

³⁵ Cf. http://www.hum.leiden.edu/papyrological-institute/project-berichtigungsliste/berichtigungsliste. html.

³⁶ The digital retrospective conversion of BL was envisaged in 2004 (cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 74) but the dream of an electronic BL, linked to the *Duke Databank*, dates back to 1992 (Bagnall in BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 63); cf. also DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 321–2.

³⁷ XP is the latest OS supported by the *Windows* version; the *Mac* version, being a *Power-PC* application, is not supported by all newer Intel-based OSX environments any more.

in the future to reduce the sometimes long interval between collecting the Berichtigungen and making them available to the papyrological public³⁸.

A more detailed report was delivered by Cisca Hoogendijk at the general assembly of the Association Internationale de Papyrologues, during the latest International Congress (Barcelona, August 6, 2016) and it is worth quoting it in full:

The bad news is that we did not finish BL 13 as fast as planned. This is partly caused by a lack of staff, but also due to the fact that BL 13 is a transitional volume. During the making of this volume we started using the new online BL interface, a special database created in Heidelberg, which, among other things, will enable us in the future to link the *Berichtigungen* with the information on each text in the DDBDP. From the next volume onwards, we will be able to work more efficiently. The good news is that all material for BL 13 has now been entered into our online database, and that we have reached the stage of proofreading. You may expect to receive Berichtigungsliste volume 13 as a free PDF in the fall of this year. [...] With a view to the integration of BL material into the existing databases, we can announce that from volume XIV onwards the language will be changed from [G]erman to English, and the edition names will follow the Checklist.

Finally: in the future we are going to need your help to keep up with the published literature. In the coming year we hope to open up a website where volunteers among you can choose a book or volume of a journal in which to search for corrections. These may be formulated in your language of choice; the entries will be checked and made uniform by us afterwards³⁹.

Just a short remark shall be added: once more, collaboration in terms of both help with the work and sharing of the results, integration of resources, and standardization pave the way of the digital future for Papyrology, and it is extremely significant that even such a glorious resource as BL has undertaken that way.



The BL CD-ROM (from the BL website).

³⁸ http://hum.leidenuniv.nl/papyrologisch-instituut/project-berichtungsliste/berichtigungsliste-dergriechischen-papyrusurkunden-aus-agypten-bl.html.

³⁹ From the same address as above. The poster presented at the Congress is available there too. The update issue was noticed in relation to the paper format already by BAGNALL 1998, 545.
In the meantime, updates and corrections are being added also directly to the digital editions of papyrus texts stored on *Papyri.info*, without any 'material' passage on paper. We will see later on (§ 8.5) how these digital emendations are produced and encoded on the platform; here we will focus on a new tool that has been implemented to track all such changes in a sort of digital BL. It is called Bulletin of Online Emendations to Papyri (BOEP) and is edited by the Heidelberg team composed of Rodney Ast, Lajos Berkes, and James Cowey. It is an index containing proposed emendations to Greek and Coptic texts that were entered online via the Papyrological Editor (see below, §8.5), collected together for ease of reference and review. The list, compiled on the basis of the PE tags of editorial corrections, is arranged by papyrus edition (linked to the databank); in each entry, the older texts is followed by an arrow pointing to the new reading, and then by the name of the scholar, who proposed the emendation, and finally the reasons for the correction. BOEP is issued more or less regularly on the website of the Heidelberg Institute of Papyrology (http://www.uni-heidelberg.de/fakultaeten/philosophie/zaw/papy/projekt/ bulletin.html); so far 7 issues have appeared (1.1: February 2012; 1.2: July 2012; 2.1: January 2013; 2.2: August 2013; 3.1: December 2013; 4.1: December 2014; 5.1: January 2016; 6.1: March 2017). An issue typically reports the emendations proposed as from the publication of the preceding one, plus some not recorded earlier. Issue 5.1 records also the first cases of born-digital editions, for which see further on, §8.6.



5 Virtual Papyrology

In photography there is a reality so subtle that it becomes more real than reality.

Alfred Stieglitz

Imaging papyri is a major issue in conservation and research. Taking photographs, generally speaking, is a way of preserving a memory and spreading what is portrayed. The picture of a papyrus can survive material decay, can be copied, shared and examined without moving or damaging the original piece, can offer an enhanced view of the object; it is also a fundamental resource for sharing and accessing knowledge. As Roger Bagnall put it,

[p]apyri pose significant challenges for both preservation and access: for preservation because of the damaged and fragmentary condition in which most papyri have survived, and for access because almost all research in papyrology involves studying pieces in many scattered collections. Sometimes fragments of a single papyrus may be found in several locations, and, still more commonly, related papyri are distributed in many libraries and museums. Published editions usually (for reasons of cost) include half-tone plates of only a selection of texts¹.

It is essential, for papyrological research, the autoptical recognition of the papyrus as a material object bearing text, and photographs are usually considered as valuable substitutes of the original pieces (if they are of acceptable quality, of course!). I am not going to deal with 'traditional' (analogue) photography of papyri; suffice it to recall the big international efforts to create large photographic archives (both already mentioned apropos of catalogues, § 3.6), like the Photographic Archives of Literary Papyrology founded by P. Mertens at the CEDOPAL², and the International Photographic Archive of the published Cairo papyri³. Photography helped recording, reading, comparing⁴, but did not solve all the problems:

[e]ven with the growth of air travel in recent decades, visiting all relevant collections is rarely an option, and scholars are therefore faced with two unappealing choices: order conventional photographs usually slow to arrive and often prohibitively expensive, or use only published papyri and, even with those, be unable to check the editor's text. Color slides, experimented with in some European collections in recent years, have some advantages over black-and-white prints, but the quality and convenience of the viewing technology usually are deficient⁵.

¹ BAGNALL 1995b, 1.

² Cf. MERTENS 1961; 1964; MARGANNE 2007c; 2007e, 652-5.

³ Cf. http://ipap.csad.ox.ac.uk/Intro.html.

⁴ Cf. COCKLE 1974.

⁵ BAGNALL 1995b, 1.

It was under these circumstances that digital technologies burst on the scene, improving the concept of "preservation in the service of access and research"⁶.

5.1 Imaging Papyri Digitally for Preserving and Reading

It has been clear for some years that digital imaging offers the promise of more satisfactory solutions to these problems. Digital imaging provides an opportunity to create a worldwide virtual library of images, freeing scholars and students no matter where they are located to study all relevant papyri in any collection. Such a 'library' would encourage wider use of papyrological texts in study and teaching about the ancient world and greatly improve the quality of research. At the same time, the ready availability of images could help reduce the physical handling of the original objects and thus help prevent further damage. These benefits also are relevant to the other writing-bearing objects generally classed with papyri, like potsherds (ostraca) and wooden tablets⁷.

It was for the first big projects of cataloguing papyri on a large scale that the first experiments to take digital photographs of papyri were carried out – indeed, "Ohne Katalogisierung sind die Bilder nur die Hälfte wert"⁸. It was namely the APIS project that joined the development of digital cataloguing of metadata with the issue of digital imaging (see above, § 3.6). Bagnall's 1995 report to the Commission on Preservation and Access⁹ on "Digital Imaging of Papyri" is in fact the very first official theoretical outcome of this view. The goal of his report was to "document how to best scan papyri in anticipation of a major effort among papyrologists to digitize their collections", and it deals with numerous issues about methodology (the establishment of unavoidable shared and common standards) and technical opportunities (e.g., the use of digital cameras instead of flatbed scanners¹⁰).

One of the first concerns was indeed, as usual, standardization: how to ensure a common and fixed technical high-quality level for taking, storing, and publishing digital pictures of papyri¹¹. Another big concern, ça va sans dire, was the integration of the digital pictures in the other existing or forthcoming resources. "The digital

⁶ GAGOS 1996, 14; cf. SCHUBERT 2009, 199.

⁷ BAGNALL 1995b, 1. On the topic of virtual 'libraries' see also above.

⁸ SCHOLL 2008, 32.

⁹ It is a private, non-profit organization acting on behalf of US libraries, archives, and universities to develop and encourage collaborative strategies for preserving and providing access to the accumulated material.

¹⁰ On digital cameras, see RUDOLF 2006.

¹¹ "Just what is at stake here? It is not, as one might think, the access tools for such a system. Rather, it is the set of standards for the collection and storage of data. [...] Standards seem to us particularly important for imaging—not standards in the sense of imposing a single way of doing things on everyone [...], but standards in terms of seeking a common quality and technical description of the outcomes" (BAGNALL 1997, 153–4). For the technical details, see GAGOS 1997.

imaging of papyri is part of a larger set of developments", did write Bagnall himself a couple of years after the Report: "papyrological use of imaging is part of a broader introduction of imaging into scholarship in the humanities and [...] images of papyri are only part of a larger change in the ways that papyrologists use information and do their work"¹². With the Michigan and Duke digital projects as the starting point, from 1991 and 1992 onwards, digital imaging became a core element of the APIS project¹³, and subsequently of almost all cataloguing projects of papyrus collections worldwide¹⁴. The digitisation of the International Photographic Archive of the Cairo papyri, as well as that of the CEDOPAL Photographic Archive, started in 2003 "[e]n vue de pérenniser sa collection de reproductions et de la mettre plus aisément à la disposition de la communauté scientifique internationale"¹⁵, are somehow different, since they did not deal with original papyri but with analogue photographs, but undoubtedly go in the same direction¹⁶.

Beside preservation, digital images have expanded very much the chances of reading. The ease of access increases the opportunities to check the original texts¹⁷ and to collaborate more efficiently¹⁸; the high degree of detail highlights the material context in an unprecedented way; the possibility of digital processing (i.e. manipulation), thanks to appropriate graphic software (enlargement, colour settings, contrast adjustment, etc.), enhances the legibility of the texts¹⁹. Of course, to enlarge

18 Cf. Obbink 1997, 160.

19 Cf. ANDORLINI 2008, 170–1; see e.g. also OBBINK 1997, 160–1, comparing analogue and digital pictures of Herculaneum papyri and noting how the latter allowed improving the readings very much. Analogue ways of enhancing the images did exist (cf. e.g. WALL 1981, again on Herculaneum papyri) but were certainly more complex. Even in such a seemingly simple procedure as enlarging a

¹² BAGNALL 1997, 153.

¹³ Cf. GAGOS 1996, 14–22; 1997.

¹⁴ See e.g., among the latest and most remarkable cases, the imaging of the Oxyrhynchus papyri at Oxford (cf. OBBINK 2003) and the Papyrus Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig (cf. SCHOLL 2008, 30–1; BLASCHEK – QUENOUILLE 2016, 36–9; see above, § 3.6).

¹⁵ Cf. MARGANNE 2007e, 655–9 (quotation from p. 655).

¹⁶ Consider also the case of the first digital pictures of the Herculaneum papyri: initially they were taken by scanning analogue photographs, in order not to damage the originals; then came digitally produced images (cf. OBBINK 1997, 160–1).

¹⁷ Amongst the many possible cases, this recent annotation by Johannes Diethart, presenting some "Lesefrüchte aus PSIonline", seems to me particularly noteworthy: "[d]er Papyrologe ist dankbar, daß er mit PSIonline und PLAURonline endlich einen angenehmen Zugriff auf passabel vergrößerbare Farbphotos einer Unzahl von in Florenz aufbewahrten Papyri bekommen hat. Dieser erfreuliche Umstand hat es mir ermöglicht, mich für einige Personennamen zu interessieren, die in der ed. pr. bzw. in DDbDP unzureichend oder falsch gelesen worden sind. Deshalb können hier zwei kleine Berichtigungen vorgestellt werden, die unser Wissen um die Onomastik des Griechischen der frühbyzantinischen Zeit in den Papyri aus Ägypten vermehren" (DIETHART 2014). GAGOS 2001, 526, refers to the advantage of digital images for proofreading the digital texts entered in the database (see below, § 8.3).

a digital picture without quality loss²⁰, as well as to render any minimal detail, which can prove essential in understanding a text, it is necessary to produce an original image of appropriate high definition²¹.

Lately, it is not rare that such pictures are attached to the edition volumes, in a CD-ROM or a DVD, or even online, instead of the traditional photographic tables²²; conversely, documents exhibiting a hefty materiality like ostraka may suit 3D scanning. Indeed, the recent digitisation of the Leipzig papyrus collection for the Papyrus Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig (see above, § 3.6), and in particular the Ostraka-Projekt (2009–2012), experimented the 3D modelling of ca. 55 potsherds, generating a three-dimensional interactive view, both coloured and infrared, to enhance contrast. The data, captured with an X-ray microcomputer tomography, were processed as volume surfaces in STL format (stereolithography, i.e. description of the surfaces of three-dimensional bodies with triangular facets) and subsequently turned into interactive 3D objects displayed in the online catalogue²³.

Further digital strategies to virtualize papyri in order to improve their legibility are discussed below.

digital picture we can perceive the profound benefits of digital manipulation through the words of Dorothy Thompson as reported by BOWMAN – CROWTHER – KIRKHAM – PYBUS 2008, 2: "In 1996 an important demotic papyrus from Rifeh (now housed at University College, London), was brought to the Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents (CSAD) to be scanned and digitized. Previously the text had only been readable through the use of a magnifying glass with many days spent poring over the text. Once the papyrus had been scanned, the team of papyrologists assembled in front of a computer to see what benefits digitization might bring. Far from the barely legible original, the images on screen made the 'script come to life' and readings and suggestions from the group flowed freely leading one of the group, Dorothy Thompson, to conclude: 'I have seen the future and this future works – at least so far. Work in different countries on the same text at the same time can now take place without problem and for a long and difficult text, where the writing is small and faded; the possibility of working on the image on the screen is in itself a great advance'" (cf. also http://www.csad.ox.ac.uk/CSAD/Newsletters/Newsletter2/Newsletter2a.html and BOWMAN – CROWTHER – KIRKHAM – PYBUS 2010, 87–8).

²⁰ On this issue see the technical insight by GIANI 2016.

²¹ Cf. BERTANI 2002 on the high-definition imaging of the Posidippus Papyrus. Electronic microscopes, of course, produce much higher enlargements (cf. e.g. THIEDE – MASUCH 1997).

²² Cf. BERTANI 2002, 17. In the edition of the Artemidorus papyrus (GALLAZZI – KRAMER – SETTIS 2008, 58), the editors stress the fact that the high-definition images attached to the volume will be of great help for further analyses on that controversial papyrus. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 324, mention the cases of the online pictures of the *ostraka* from Berenike (for O.Ber. I, http://www.columbia.edu/dlc/apis/berenike) and Didymoi (for O.Did., http://www.ifao.egnet.net/bases/publications/fifao67).
23 Cf. BLASCHEK – QUENOUILLE 2016, 49–50. For parallels, see TUPMAN 2010, 84 on 3D scan of ancient inscriptions.

5.2 Reading Invisible Ink

Digital imaging has enhanced the possibility of taking photographs in frequency (wavelength) ranges beyond visible light. This proves particularly helpful when dealing with dark, dirty, or even carbonized papyri, where the lack of contrast between writing and background makes deciphering difficult, if not impossible. In some cases, it has been recognized that a slight enhancement could be obtained by capturing the deep red / near infrared / infrared spectral ranges, especially because surface texture reflectance is reduced at longer wavelengths, and therefore infrared light can penetrate deeper and reveal soaked traces of the carbon-based ink, which strongly absorbs infrared radiation; the methodology is indeed called 'infrared reflectography'. CCD cameras²⁴ with their low-pass filter removed are sensible enough to record such emissions, under the appropriate light, and can elaborate them into a digital picture, which can be further processed and enhanced²⁵. More recent digital cameras, naturally sensitive to infrared light, can do the same work, when properly converted²⁶. In some cases of carbonized papyri, another device called Video Spectral Comparator gave interesting results:

[t]he physical make-up of the carbonized materials is such that different pieces and different sections thereof respond differently to different technologies, lightings, and environments (humidity and heat seem to be important and related causes of varying results)²⁷.

[W]ith the digital technique now available, an infrared photograph is nearly always worth making. Whether the papyrus is difficult or just normally darkened, it will bring out contrast and make a better overall impression²⁸.

Even the Posidippus and the Artemidorus papyri recently took advantage of the infrared digital technology²⁹.

²⁴ Charge-Coupled Devices, i.e. coupled semiconductors able to accumulate electric charge in proportion to the electromagnetic radiation received.

²⁵ Cf. ANDORLINI – MENCI – BERTANI – CETICA – POGGI 1993 (dark papyrus); OATES – WEINBERG – SOSIN – JOHNSON 1999 (blurred text); BERTANI – CONSOLANDI 2016, 229–36. See also the detailed report of experimental imaging of carbonized papyri made by Antti Nurminen (Helsinki), *Recording, Processing and Archiving Carbonized Papyri*, at http://www.cs.hut.fi/papyrus, the results of which stress the great advantage of near-infrared imaging. For earlier analogue infrared photography applied to papyri see BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008, 175. A polemical case around seeming analogue infrared readings burst out in the Sixties: EDMONDS 1952 claimed to have found some marginal and interlinear writings in the Cairo Menander papyrus thanks to infrared photographs (never published), but further analyses rejected this 'discovery' (MARZULLO 1961; cf. GALIANO 1962, 593–4: "[t]odo esto es tristísimo").

²⁶ Cf. BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008, 176 ff. (case studies: dirty *ostraka*, rubbed papyrus, carbonized papyrus, darkened parchment).

²⁷ OBBINK 1997, 161: "In the future, the different technologies will clearly have to be used in tandem".

²⁸ BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008, 185. On the subject cf. also http://ifao.egnet.net/image/25.



Papyrus enhancement, from OATES - WEINBERG - SOSIN - JOHNSON 1999.



Ostrakon enhancement, from BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008.

²⁹ Posidippus: BERTANI 2001; Artemidorus: CONSOLANDI 2006. In both cases, the high-resolution colour and infrared pictures can be found also in the CD-ROM/DVD attached to the main edition of the papyri (see above, § 5.1).

In 1998, at the 22nd International Congress of Papyrology in Florence, a team from the Brigham Young University of Provo (Utah, USA) presented a revolutionary method, called Multispectral Imaging (MSI), particularly effective in case of dark papyri, especially carbonized³⁰. The method had been tested on the Petra papyri (Church texts of interest to the Foundation for Religious and Mormon Studies and the Center for the Preservation of Ancient Religious Texts at BYU)³¹, and then successfully applied several times to various Herculaneum papyri³², as well as to the Derveni roll, to some Tebtunis and Oxyrhynchus papyri at Berkeley and Oxford respectively³³, and to the recently published 5th-century-BC papyrus of Daphne³⁴. It was originally developed for astronomical photography, and it is based on the application of different filters to capture a series of images of the same target at various wavelengths of light, from ultraviolet to infrared; it seems that the Herculaneum papyri better react in the near-infrared range, while the Petra pieces in the near-ultraviolet area³⁵. It is a particularly complex method, in that it requires the work of two calculators - one to manage the framing, the other one to process the images -, a professional digital camera with an appropriate set of filters, a four-lamp lighting system³⁶. For this reason, and because most of the papyri seemingly response to infrared only,

31 Following earlier attempts on the Dead Sea Scrolls: cf. MACFARLANE – BOORAS 2007, 421–2. On MSI imaging of the Petra papyri cf. CHABRIES – BOORAS 2001.

32 Cf. BOORAS – SEELY 1999; STEPP – WARE 2010; also DELATTRE 2007; MACFARLANE – BOORAS 2007; MACFARLANE 2010. For the BYU Herculaneum Project see http://guides.lib.byu.edu/c.php?g=216482&p=1429231 ("Materials related to the Herculaneum project, including digital images of the newly discovered texts, are housed in the Library's L. Tom Perry Special Collections. These images will become part of the Library's Digital Collections as soon as rights issues are resolved"). Cf. also https://www.et.byu.edu/college-news/byu-adapts-space-age-technology-study-ancient-documents. **33** Cf. the paper delivered by S.M. Bay at the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 2007), *Multi-Spectral Imaging and the Oxyrhynchus Papyri*, abstract at https://www.lib.umich. edu/files/collections/papyrus/ICP25/Abstracts%20A-D.pdf, p. 4; also CHABRIES – BOORAS – BEARMAN 2003; OTRANTO 2007, 468; MACFARLANE 2010, 461. For the Oxyrhynchus papyri see OBBINK 2003, 5, and the section of the *Oxyrhynchus Online* website devoted to "Recent Imaging Developments" (http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy/multi/index.html), which offers detailed descriptions of the procedure and of the results obtained. Some pleasant Flash movies illustrate the transition of the pictures at different wavelengths.

36 Cf. Delattre 2007, 180.

³⁰ O.S. Kamal, G. Ware, S.W. Booras, *The Petra Church Scrolls: Multispectral Imaging Techniques* (unpublished); cf. DELATTRE 2007, 179; MACFARLANE – BOORAS 2007, 421–2; BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008, 176. For case studies of MSI application to damaged non-papyrological objects see CAMPAGNOLO – GIACOMETTI – MACDONALD – MAHONY – TERRAS – GIBSON 2016.

³⁴ ALEXOPOULOU – KAMINARI – PANAGOPOULOS – PÖHLMANN 2013. The very old Daphne papyrus is not carbonized, but it has been found in a very bad state of preservation (cf. PÖHLMANN – WEST 2012).

³⁵ Cf. DELATTRE 2007, 180; MACFARLANE 2010, 461: it is at those wavelengths that "the reflectivity of the carbonized ink is easily distinguished from that of the carbonized papyrus".

144 — 5 Virtual Papyrology

Adam Bülow-Jacobsen argued that plain infrared imaging would suffice in most of the cases³⁷; it is however to consider that

[s]ince archaeological objects tend to be heterogeneous, as are texts and many of the ostraca, it may easily turn out that specific areas on a given object may respond better at different wavelengths. When portions of a single textual artifact respond differently at different wavelengths, narrow-band spectral imaging can be a better choice than monochromatic or even integrated near-infrared imaging [...]. MSI and infrared imaging are not competing approaches. The latter, in fact, is an important subset of the former. In many instances wide-band monospectral infrared imaging [...] will prove to be the most practical solution. However, for archival and scholarly purposes in which it is desirous to ensure that the maximum amount of text is legible, MSI, which provides considerably more data, is clearly advantageous³⁸.

A somewhat earlier method, similarly applied to papyri, was called Particle-Induced X-ray Emission (PIXE). The method is essentially an X-ray spectrometry and had been systematically utilized since the '70–80s in order to determine the elemental composition of ink and paper of old manuscripts without damaging the artefact: an accelerated proton beam hits the target, determining X-ray photonic emission, the energy of which characteristically vary according to the chemical elements³⁹. Since the emissions of ink are different from the emissions of the papyrus material, this method could also be used to detect any remainders of ink otherwise invisible, and in the Nineties it has indeed been used to decipher illegible text on papyri, by applying an appropriate pixel-by-pixel multivariate analyses processed by a computer in order to highlight the contrast between the ink and the papyrus pixels⁴⁰.

More recently, another opportunity offered by digital imaging to better virtualize papyrological artefacts and improve their deciphering and reading is threedimensional (3D) imaging⁴¹. This innovative methodology was applied first by a team led by Alan Bowman to incised material like wooden stylus tablets (formerly wax tablets, with the wax now perished, leaving the underlying surface scratched by signs) or lead curse tablets. Such documents, indeed, at the borderline between papyrology and epigraphy, bring somewhat different problems than ink-written material, where the issue at stake is the colour contrast between ink and surface, since they involve three-dimensional analysis of almost illegible incisions. Tradi-

³⁷ BÜLOW-JACOBSEN 2008, 176, 180-2, 184-5.

³⁸ BAY – MACFARLANE – WAYMENT – BEARMAN 2010, 216–7 (and passim for further details). "Highresolution, multispectral digital imaging of important documents is emerging as a standard practice for enabling scholarly analysis of difficult or damaged texts. As imaging techniques improve, documents are revisited and re-imaged, and registration of these images into the same frame of reference for direct comparison can be a powerful tool" (BAUMANN – SEALES 2009).

³⁹ For such a kind of analysis applied to Greek papyri cf. ANDORLINI – LUCARELLI – MANDÒ 2001.

⁴⁰ Cf. LÖVESTAM – SWIETLICKI 1990; LÖVESTAM 1994.

⁴¹ For 3D modelling of *ostraka* see above, § 5.1. On scholarly 3D visualisations of cultural heritage, see in general VITALE 2016.

tional computerized techniques like stereovision and photogrammetry were not applicable due to the very small disparities between the text incisions and the depth variations of the surrounding surface. Bowman and collaborators decided to exploit a technique developed originally for the computation of three-dimensional shapes from shading variations: by deliberately varying the lighting, one can estimate the three-dimensional relief of a surface. The light is cast and varied so that incisions can be distinguished from the surrounding surface, and different hands can be recognized. Shadow-stereo and reflectance transformation imaging allow capturing and encoding multiple images of the text under varying lighting conditions for further processing (e.g. woodgrain removal) and visualization. Subsequently, particular image processing algorithms are applied to isolate the text features (e.g. segmentation of the image obtained by combining overlapping highlights and shadows; region labelling approaches considering the probability for a pixel to belong to one or another region of the image; phase congruency to detect text features)⁴².

A slightly similar technique, Reflectance Transformation Imaging (RTI) – which captures surface shape and colour of an object and allows for its interactive relighting from any direction, enabling the mathematical enhancement of the surface attributes – has been experimented with satisfying results on the carbonized Derveni papyrus, also in combination with infrared capture (IR-RTI), obtaining a great enhancement in the legibility of the artefact and envisaging further developments in such a kind of digital imaging technique⁴³.

3D modelling can be successfully applied to written objects that present peculiar dimensional deformations. An attempt was made with a 17th-century parchment book severely damaged by a fire⁴⁴, but such an approach has been considered for papyri too. An experimental 3D scan, conducted by Hubert Mara and Patrick Sänger in 2010 in Heidelberg, showed that no text feature is actually improved, nor even legible at all, but the 3D scan of the papyrus gave an extremely precise detail of the outer appearance of the surface (namely the twine of the fibres), which might lead to interesting outcomes in joining and restoration issues⁴⁵. Similar experiments have been conducted also on carbonized papyri at Helsinki, with the very same results⁴⁶.

⁴² Cf. BOWMAN – BRADY – TOMLIN 1997; BOWMAN 2001; TARTE – BRADY – BOWMAN – TERRAS 2011. A web page by A. Bowman and J.M. Brady illustrates the issue and publishes some interesting images: http://www.csad.ox.ac.uk/Stilus/Stilus.html.

⁴³ Cf. Kotoula – Earl 2015. In general, on this technique and its application to ancient written artefacts see e.g. Earl – BASFORD – BISCHOFF – BOWMAN – CROWTHER – DAHL – HODGSON – ISAKSEN – KOTOULA – MARTINEZ – PAGI – PIQUETTE 2011 and PIQUETTE 2011.

⁴⁴ Cf. Pal – Terras – Weyrich 2013.

⁴⁵ Cf. MARA – SÄNGER 2013. Online pictures of the test can be found at the Heidelberg Institute website (bottom, "Abbildungen, Mara / Sänger"): http://www.uni-heidelberg.de/fakultaeten/philosophie/ zaw/papy/projekt/projekt.html.

⁴⁶ http://www.cs.hut.fi/papyrus/Othertests.html#stereo: no depth differences between text and surface, only the fibre structure resulted highlighted. "Although the script isn't lower than the

5.3 Virtual Restorations and Reunifications

The papyrological outcome of digital imaging is not limited to preservation, accessibility, and deciphering:

Risultati eccellenti possono essere conseguiti con l'impiego delle tecnologie digitali nel collegamento 'virtuale' di materiali di lavoro conservati divisi tra Istituzioni o Musei di tutto il mondo, depositari delle raccolte di papiri; una volta riconosciuti a distanza dagli studiosi come pezzi di uno stesso originale, i frammenti di papiro non devono essere materialmente spostati e ricongiunti per lo studio e la pubblicazione. La simulazione dello spostamento concreto di pezzi divisi di fatto, ma appartenuti ad un medesimo originale, è brillantemente operata dai software di gestione di immagini e il movimento del mouse subentra alle tradizionali operazioni meccaniche di restauro manuale con pinzetta e pennello. La tecnica del restauro 'virtuale' ha anche il vantaggio accessorio, ma non indifferente, di tutelare la sicura conservazione dei materiali originali, evitando lo stress fisico che i frammenti papiracei, di solito stabilmente conservati tra due vetri che proteggono i fragili bordi di frattura, potrebbero subire nei ripetuti tentativi di accostamento per la ricostruzione di pezzi più grandi. D'altra parte, la procedura del restauro 'virtuale' di reperti di collezioni diverse e distanti è un incentivo prezioso al proficuo scambio di esperienze di lavoro, offrendo l'opportunità di instaurare collaborazioni a distanza, di mettere a contatto approcci diversi allo stesso campo di studio, e di far interagire background culturali e mezzi tecnologici differenti⁴⁷.

The case described by Isabella Andorlini is of wide proportions, implying the virtual reunification of fragments scattered around the world⁴⁸, but virtual (or digital) restoration can be carried out also within a single collection, or even for one papyrus⁴⁹: it is essentially the virtual manipulation or displacement of digital fragments to recreate the original aspect of a document scattered in scrambled fragments or dispersed

background, the magnification and depth effect of a stereomicroscope helps discriminating other black marks in the background from the script. The black marks are usually caused by special surface structure, such as crevices and small cracks, and are easily identifiable with a stereomicroscope".

⁴⁷ ANDORLINI 2008, 171. Cf. also VAN MINNEN 2007, 708: "The new technology allows us to work in collections without actually being there. It also allows us to put pieces of a puzzle back together again, which would otherwise be an awkward process of waiting for photographs to arrive. By contacting relevant scholars working in collections or by browsing websites we can make joins between fragments of one and the same papyrus and establish links between related texts online, with the help of really rather simple images".

⁴⁸ This is what she masterfully did with the Ammon archive, dispersed among the Duke, Florence (Istituto "Vitelli"), and Köln papyrus collections. In the mentioned article, she describes three peculiar cases of virtual reunification: a missing small fragment joined to a bigger papyrus (P.Ammon II 30); two halves of the same document (P.Ammon II 45); several scattered scraps forming a whole text (P.Ammon II 47). For another case see e.g. HAGEDORN – POETHKE 2002 (papyrus fragments from Hamburg and Berlin, digitally reunified). I myself enjoyed editing two halves of the same Cairo papyrus, one (published) preserved at the IFAO and the other one (unpublished) from the Egyptian Museum, and took advantage of the virtual reunification of the two (REGGIANI 2014).

⁴⁹ Cf. e.g. DELATTRE 2010, 207–11, for P.Herc.Paris 2, and BERTANI 2002, 17, for the Posidippus papyrus.

in different places. It involves enhancing⁵⁰, resizing, rotating, moving the digital images of the fragments with any photo editing software. The results can be very relevant (see the Codex Sinaiticus Project below, 8.6), but also elegant: the website of the Institute of Papyrology of Sorbonne University offers an entire page with a collection of virtual reconstructions ("Virtualia": http://www.papyrologie.parissorbonne.fr/menu1/Virtualia.htm) of interesting papyri preserved in that collection, both literary (Menander's Sikyonios, for example) and documentary (like cadastres and accounts), whether rolls or codices (such as the school notebook P.Bour. 1): "[d]ésormais, malgré leur taille ou leur conditionnement par exemple, ces documents sont enfin accessibles - virtuellement - dans leur composition originelle!". This activity, usually limited to single study cases⁵¹, can be enjoyed also by nonspecialists: around the Web there are some demonstrative Flash applets that reproduce fragmentary papyri, and anyone can feel the thrill of moving and rotating the fragments to solve the puzzle⁵². While still waiting for a possible algorithm that could reunify fragments automatically⁵³, we can go on playing around with the mouse looking for the best place to put that tiny scrap.

A particular instance of virtual restoration is the virtual unrolling of carbonized papyri. The long-standing issue of how to open the Herculaneum rolls hopefully without destroying them too much⁵⁴ seems to have found a new promised land in the digital techniques. We have already noticed how some advanced imaging technologies (namely infrared and multispectral methods) have been successfully applied to the reading of such desperate pieces; but they were used with already unrolled fragments. What is at stake here is the possibility to unroll the compact mass

⁵⁰ Cf. Sparavigna 2009.

⁵¹ VANNINI 2016, on the basis of PUNZALAN 2014 (who traces some general guidelines for virtual reunification of heritage collections and scattered artefacts), proposes a workflow and a digital framework for systematizing the work of virtual reunification of dispersed papyrus fragments, which of course would require a strong collaborative commitment by the holding institutions. It is particularly noteworthy her proposals of introducing a specific XML tagging (see below, § 8.5) for marking different fragments in the digital editions of reunified papyri (i.e. <milestone unit="fragment" n=" "/>).

⁵² Two Oxyrhynchus samples: http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy/flashy (the second one is more difficult: it is written on both sides and needs to be turned around!). The Michigan Papyrus Collection website used to offer a similar tool for reconstructing a broken *ostrakon*, but the game seems now discontinued; one may still experience it from the *Internet Archive Wayback Machine*: http://web.archive.org/web/20121207091746/http://www.lib.umich.edu/papyrus-collection/puzzle-1-winters-ostrakon.

⁵³ For example, a generalized algorithm-based solver for complex jigsaw puzzles has been described by SHOLOMON – DAVID – NETANYAHU 2014, and one may wonder whether the same technique might be suitable for papyrus fragments as well. Another option for reunifying scraps of the same papyrus is the so-called fragment siting, i.e. the physical placement of fragments according to the reconstruction of the text they bear: a nice example is given by SCHULZ 2016 (see below, § 5.4).

⁵⁴ See the overview by FRÖSÉN 2009, 91 ff.

of the carbonized rolls (namely, those from Herculaneum) without damaging the material, as evoked by Francesca Longo Auricchio at the 25th International Congress of Ann Arbor, in 2007⁵⁵. Doing that in a non-invasive virtual environment seems to be the optimal solution.

In 2006, at the Friends of Herculaneum Society (Oxford), Brent Seales (University of Lexington, Kentucky) presented an innovative project for 'scanning' a rolledup papyrus by means of a combination of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and Xray tomography. After suggestion by Daniel Delattre, this method was applied to a carbonized roll in 2008, and it resulted that NMR was able to reproduce virtually the roll layers and to detach them, thus creating a sort of 'map' or 'model' of the internal structure of the roll, important for any possible future mechanical interventions⁵⁶. In 2009 the project EDUCE (Enhanced Digital Unwrapping for Conservation and Exploration) was established and a couple of rolled-up carbonized papyri (P.Herc.Paris 3 and 4) were analysed with micro computed tomography, "an X-ray based imaging technique that produces a three-dimensional volumetric view of the interior of opaque objects. [...] A CT scan represents an object as a set of 2D slices, each corresponding to one cross section, that when stacked together and properly processed form a 3D picture"⁵⁷. Further digital processing involves segmentation (modelling shape and position of the underlying layers), texturing (assigning different brightness to different areas of density), flattening (unwrapping the layers), merging the resulting images⁵⁸. The experiment was successful, and the internal structure of the roll was perfectly unveiled in every detail, though no text was visible yet: "[t]his is likely due to the use of predominantly carbon black inks, which have a much lower contrast to the papyrus substrate than pigments with metallic bases"⁵⁹. Metal-based is, on the contrary, the ink of the carbonized En-Gedi parchment scroll, which was successfully unwrapped and read virtually by means of micro computed tomography last year⁶⁰.

Since image contrast depends on differential X-ray absorption by the different substances, X-ray phase-contrast tomography (XPCT), an advanced technique developed at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble) was subsequently applied. "Unlike XCT, XPCT exploits variations in the refractive index (that is, X-

⁵⁵ "Per i papiri ercolanesi non aperti, si deve oggi guardare anche a metodologie nuove che il progresso tecnico lascia intuire" (LONGO AURICCHIO 2010, 442).

⁵⁶ Cf. Delattre 2010, 211–3.

⁵⁷ SEALES 2011, 2 (and passim for further technical details). Cf. BAUMANN – PORTER – SEALES 2008.

⁵⁸ Cf. Seales – Parker – Segal – Tov – Shor – Porath 2016, 1–5.

⁵⁹ SEALES 2011, 4. A variant of this technique has been applied by an Italian team to a "realistic papyrus model" of which they managed to capture also the written characters (cf. Allegra – Cillberto – Cillberto – MILOTTA – PETRILLO – STANCO – TROMBATORE 2015; Allegra – Cillberto – Cillberto

⁶⁰ Cf. SEALES – PARKER – SEGAL – TOV – SHOR – PORATH 2016, 2 (passim for further technical details).

ray phase shifts) between structures that absorb quite uniformly within a composite object, thus significantly enhancing the image-contrast effect"⁶¹. It resulted in "the first non-destructive technique that enables us to read many Greek letters and some words in the interiors of rolled-up Herculaneum papyri"⁶² and, despite the need for further refinements, it opened a new, virtual era also for Herculaneum Papyrology⁶³.

A peculiar case that put together 3D modelling and virtual restoration is the test study presented by Ségolène Tarte in 2012. In this case, the target was the Artemidorus papyrus, and of course the issue was not unwrapping it, but the opposite, rolling it up, in order to verify some hypotheses about the relative positions of some sections of the roll⁶⁴. Digital images of the recto and of the mirrored verso were first matched exactly, in order to re-establish the physical correspondence between them. Then the Archimedes' spiral model⁶⁵ was adopted to reproduce the virtual rolling of the papyrus⁶⁶. The experiment also produced interesting methodological and even epistemological outcomes:

This demonstrates how digital images not only take part in the act of papyrological interpretation, but also are interpretations in and of themselves. By their nature, digital images enable us to re-materialize the artefact, to underline the extent to which its materiality is re-assessed through the digital; they take their part in the trail of evidence that substantiates papyrological

64 Cf. D'ALESSIO 2009.

⁶¹ MOCELLA – BRUN – FERRERO – DELATTRE 2015, 2 (passim for further technical details).

⁶² MOCELLA – BRUN – FERRERO – DELATTRE 2015, 2.

⁶³ Further acquisitions in this direction have been presented during the latest International Congress of Papyrology (Barcelona 2016) by Inna Bukreeva, Alessia Cedola and Graziano Ranocchia (Virtual unrolling and deciphering of Herculaneum rolls by X-ray phase-contrast tomography, abstract at http://papyrologia.upf.edu/wp-content/uploads/book-of-abstracts.compressed.pdf, p. 104): "[t]hanks to the exceptional properties of Synchrotron Radiation and the development of dedicated algorithms for the virtual unrolling and flattening of rolled-up papyri, it was possible to read, with unprecedented resolution and contrast, words, expressions, textual portions and a marginal sign inside PHerc. 375 and PHerc. 495" (cf. Bukreeva – Mittone – Bravin – Festa – Alessandrelli – Coan – Formoso – Agostino - Giocondo - Ciuchi - Fratini - Massimi - Lamarra - Andreani - Bartolino - Gigli - Ra-NOCCHIA – CEDOLA 2016). A conference on "I Papiri di Ercolano tra scienza e filosofia" (Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare "B. Segre", October 25, 2016) was subsequently devoted to various aspects of the topic (programme: http://www.lincei.it/files/ convegni/1359_invito.pdf).

⁶⁵ In agreement with ESSLER 2008.

⁶⁶ "Here again, modelling involved simplification and idealisation as it used the equation of a spiral to describe the roll – and that only will describe a perfect roll, not a skewed roll, no looseness in the roll, no folds, which all could have occurred of course. The virtual model was however helpful and showed conclusively that reordering the fragments was reasonable; and, just as with the virtual and plastic pelvis models, the physical model that I produced by printing the reconstructed papyrus based on the new fragments order served to physically convince the papyrologists by letting them manipulate an avatar of the papyrus that let them assess the appositeness of the reordering for themselves" (TARTE 2016, 108).

150 — 5 Virtual Papyrology

interpretation. Digital technologies have the potential to transform methodological approaches in papyrology; even if they have weaknesses, such as adhering to an ideal model, they none-theless allow us to minimise some aspects of the uncertainties present in traditional methodologies⁶⁷.

A completely re-materialized artefact is indeed the digital version of the Edwin Smith papyrus, the famous hieratic surgical papyrus which has been scanned and processed by the US National Library of Medicine in order to simulate the act of unrolling both recto and verso in a virtual 3D environment (https://ceb.nlm.nih.gov/proj/ttp/flash/smith/smith.html)⁶⁸. This is of course a case not precisely related to Graeco-Roman Papyrology, but is an intriguing perspective to bear in mind for papyrus rolls; a browsable digital version of the Codex Sinaiticus (see above for its virtual reunification, and below, § 8.6, for its digital edition) is also available from a similar Turning the Pages project at the British Library (http://www.bl.uk/turning-the-pages; see picture below).



⁶⁷ TARTE 2012, 13 (and passim for details on the study). See also below, § 5.5.

⁶⁸ The papyrus has been digitized and modelled in the framework of the *Turning the Pages* project, aimed at making rare and historical medical books accessible to the public while safeguarding their physical preservation (https://lhncbc.nlm.nih.gov/project/turning-pages). The resources are available also in an app for *iPad*.

5.4 Digital Palaeography

Digital imaging and virtual rendering involve another aspect of papyrological studies: palaeography. Digital Palaeography, i.e. the application of computational tools to palaeographical studies, is a recently established discipline⁶⁹ and I am not going to deal with it in details here. I will rather focus on the digital palaeographical tools for papyrological studies. Papyrology utilizes the analysis of palaeographical patterns mainly for (a) typological categorization (definition of the script style) (b) chronological placement (dating) (c) handwriting recognition / scribe identification (description of script features and possible identification of the hand) (d) handwriting decipherment (text recognition and transcription). As is apparent, it is again all a matter of comparison: with established categories of script; with already dated writings; with already known hands; with the alphabetic characters. It is in this respect that digital tools bring their contribution.

Since the beginnings, the main purpose of palaeographical printed reference tools is to provide solid terms of comparison for dating, and possibly deciphering, papyrus handwriting. We certainly remember of Seider's dream of a "list of all published papyri, which contained a definite date and of which there was also a published image [...], the intention being to provide a tool to help in the dating of papyri"⁷⁰, which eventually resulted, with slight improvements, in HGV. Now Digital Papyrology boasts a palaeographical tool that is very close to Seider's project: PapPal (*Papyrology / Palaeography*, http://pappal.info). This platform, edited by Rodney Ast since 2013, within the frame of the Heidelberg University's Sonderforschungsbereich 933 "Materiale Textkulturen", is essentially an online repository of images of dated documentary papyri. "Its aim is", as we read from the home page, "to illustrate the development and diversity of ancient scripts, and to assist in dating undated texts". The pictures are not hosted directly, but gathered from other online resources: this fact highlights again the importance of sharing and granting access to digital photos worldwide, and makes the research outcome of digital catalogues and other repositories even more concentrated. *PapPal* allows browsing images by year, provenance, title, keyword, material, and language/script⁷¹; the images can be displayed either as a list of thumbnails in rows or as a slideshow. Each item is linked to the project that hosts the image as well as to the transcription of the corresponding text at Papyri.info, accomplishing the integration of this resource (see below, § 8.4).

Literary papyri exhibit more formalized handwritings, with less chronological variation; nonetheless, a digital palaeographical tool similar to *PapPal* would be

⁶⁹ Cf. e.g. STOKES 2009; VOGELER 2009; HASSNER – SABLATING – STUTZMANN – TARTE 2014; and the sections about Digital Palaeography in REHBEIN – SAHLE – SCHABAN 2009, 135–338, and FISCHER – FRITZE – VOGELER 2010, 227–339. See also BABEU 2011, 138–41.

⁷⁰ COWEY 1994, 609 (see above, § 3.1).

⁷¹ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 325.

most useful. Indeed, it has been announced by Giovanna Menci, at the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Geneva 2010), the ongoing project for a database of alphabets called ALPHA (*Alphabet Letters in Papyri HAndwriting*) and based on the pictures recorded by LDAB. The database will be designed as a synoptic table of letters extrapolated from the digital pictures, already available or scanned from tables or photographs⁷².

Both *PapPal* and ALPHA are conceived to exploit digital imaging to collect comparative samples of writing, leaving the burden of effecting the actual comparisons to the scholars. However, other sectors of Digital Palaeography have already implemented automated ways to support script recognition: for example, this was announced for Greek inscriptions in 2009⁷³. The perspectives are tempting: not only for the sake of dating, for which, perhaps, it would suffice to map typological samples or key features in dated handwritings, but also for identifying scribal hands. Let us think of the advantages of automating a hard work like that made by Giuseppina Azzarello "alla ricerca della 'mano' di Epagathos"⁷⁴! Unfortunately, such computeraided palaeography – which should always carefully be counterchecked by humans, in order to avoid any possible shortcoming – has not yet appeared.

As to actual decipherment itself, I am sorry to say that no tool able to read Greek papyrus fragments automatically has been developed (yet). Some computer-aided decipherment tool, through pattern recognition, is offered via the *Ancient Lives* portal (AL). This platform (https://www.ancientlives.org, hosted by *The Zooniverse*⁷⁵ since July 2011, and currently being rebuilt), directed by Dirk Obbink (Oxford) and edited by James Brusuelas, stores digital images of the Oxyrhynchus papyri and allows anyone – especially non-papyrologists – to select one of them, to measure it with a digital ruler, and/or to transcribe the text with the help of a virtual Greek keyboard and of palaeographical samples that help identifying the shape of the letters (among these tools, the AL blog provides e.g. quite a useful grid indicating the probability of letter combinations in 'standard' Greek, see in the next page):

they click letter shapes on a fragment and use the online keyboard found lower on the screen to identify the character. They can leave comments or questions on the papyrus they are tran-

⁷² Cf. MENCI 2012. A database *Greek Literary Hands of the Roman Period* is announced as forthcoming on the *PSIonline* platform (cf. http://www.psi-online.it/about).

⁷³ TRACY – PAPAODYSSEUS 2009: "Scholars in epigraphy, mathematics, and computer studies have collaborated to develop two methods for mapping the lettering on inscriptions and then comparing the mapped samples to identify hands. They have successfully distinguished with 100% accuracy six hands on 23 separate fragments. This is a real breakthrough and the first time that the identification of a Greek writer has been realized via digital means. Computers offer the potential to automate the process and set the study of hands on a more objective footing" (p. 99).

⁷⁴ AZZARELLO 2008.

⁷⁵ *The Zooniverse* (https://www.zooniverse.org) is the world's largest platform for people-powered research. Cf. BRUSUELAS 2016, 191.

scribing by clicking on 'Talk'. They can also sign in and find back their transcribed papyri in the 'Lightbox'⁷⁶.



This open 'editorial' work is of course subject to careful control by the project editors⁷⁷, but the strong social involvement deserves a particular stress as a new and promising trend in Digital Papyrology (see below, § 6.2). Moreover, such an unconventional approach opens the groundbreaking prospect of storing raw (i.e. unedited) papyrological data, without word divisions and editorial conventions, which can lead to some interesting outcome such as scribal handwriting recognition, envisaged for the forthcoming upgrade of *Ancient Lives*⁷⁸.

⁷⁶ MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016; cf. http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/Ancient_Lives. BRUSUELAS 2016, 189–91, stresses the role of patters recognition in this process.

⁷⁷ A strict computational pipeline captures the users' choices as both spatial coordinates (with reference to the papyrus surface) and Unicode characters, and then processes them into 'consensus' transcriptions resembling closely the original format of the papyri. The results are used by the editors to check the transcriptions. Cf. WILLIAMS – WALLIN – YU – PERALE – CARROLL – LAMBLIN – FORTSON – OBBINK – LINTOTT – BRUSUELAS 2014; BRUSUELAS 2016, 193–7.

⁷⁸ "The recorded shapes of individual letters invite to work on scribal handwriting recognition, in order to piece together fragments from the same text, codex, scribe, school of scrib[e]s. A starting point would be to try to put together the numerous fragments from Homer" (MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016). On the fascinating prospects of storing raw Greek texts as well as unpublished fragments accessible to everyone see BRUSUELAS 2016.





The computer-aided palaeographical recognition process in Ancient Lives.

On a different level, the project *Anagnosis*, conducted at the University of Würzburg by Michael Erler, Holger Essler and Vincenzo Damiani on Herculaneum papyri, is focused on the automated alignment (i.e. linking) between papyrus transcriptions and the corresponding characters of the associated image file⁷⁹. It is based on an online editor that displays the image, taken from online catalogues, and the tran-

⁷⁹ . For a general presentation of the project see ESSLER – DAMIANI 2016.

scription, which is encoded in TEI/EpiDoc XML and is part of the DCLP project⁸⁰, in parallel windows, facilitating the user-controlled linking between both sets of characters, which exploits the so called SIFT flow algorithm for aligning different scenes containing similar objects⁸¹. Alignment between texts and images is a computational linguistic device that has been already developed for some interesting projects, like the *Codex Sinaiticus* online (see below, § 8.6); it is certainly a remarkable contribution to the general issue of meta-textual edition (see below, § 9), but from the close viewpoint of Digital Papyrology is also a true bridge between electronic editions and pictures, the real embodiment of the ideal of integration among databanks and catalogues: "[d]adurch soll eine Brücke zwischen papyrologischen Bilddatenbanken und der internationalen Volltextdatenbank für literarische Papyri (Digital Corpus of Literary Papyri, aufbauend auf papyri.info) geschlagen warden⁷⁸². However, *Anagnosis* is not only a bridge: a long-term goal is also to extract sample alphabets from the letters in the images, which can be used for palaeographic comparisons and for the graphic reconstruction of the gaps⁸³.



80 See below, § 8.7; cf. Ast – Essler 2017.

83 "Die angestrebte Verknüpfung von Bild und Text soll in der Zukunft erlauben, aus den in der Abbildung vorhandenen Buchstaben Alphabete herauszuziehen, die selbst wiederum für paläographische Vergleiche und zur graphischen Rekonstruktion der Lücken herangezogen werden können" (again from the home page).

⁸¹ Cf. http://people.csail.mit.edu/celiu/ECCV2008.

⁸² From the home page. See also below, §§ 8.4 and 9.

156 — 5 Virtual Papyrology



The Anagnosis alignment process (from the website).

An automated tool that could help in reconstructing lost or illegible text on a graphical basis is an old desideratum⁸⁴. In the early Seventies Knut Kleve (University of Oslo), working on the Herculaneum papyri, started developing a computational method, later called *Literalogy*, which was designed to exploit an electronic palaeographical index of shapes of letters, including any scribal habit or writing characteristic, to be compared quickly (but just manually on screen) with the incompletely surviving traces in the text under study, which consequently could be restored⁸⁵. It was the dawn of computer tools for the humanities and the opportunities offered by calculators to the automatic treatment of the texts were explored in any possible way and direction (see also above and below, §§ 1.1, 3.5, 7.1, and 8.2). This kind of work is now made quite easily with any photo editor: the complete letter shapes are copied and pasted over the incomplete ones in order to compare the traces and hopefully discover the correct reading, or over the gaps to check possible supplements.

⁸⁴ For automatic reconstruction based on quantitative text analyses see below (§ 7.1).

⁸⁵ Cf. KLEVE 1975, 201–2; KLEVE 1981, 519–32; KLEVE – ORE 1984; KLEVE – ORE – JENSEN 1987; ORE 1988, 27–8; KLEVE – ORE – FONNES – CAPASSO – JENSEN – BERGERSEN 1990, 79–80 and 86–7 (here the tool's name is spelled *Literology*); GIGANTE – CAPASSO 1990, 56–7.



Literalogy screenshots (from KLEVE 1981).

Today we do possess the necessary resources to build large and reliable palaeographical databases, and we may look for further automated tools. For example, Kleve noticed that the alphabetic shapes had to be stored by tracing them by hand with a digitizing tablet, and not by directly digitizing the photographs, because the computer could not distinguish between the traces of ink and other signs on the papyrus, and wished future developments⁸⁶. Now computer graphics can enhance a digitized picture of a papyrus very much, as everybody knows (see, just for instance, Janet Johnson's documented experience of clearing digital images of Demotic words to insert in the Chicago Demotic Dictionary⁸⁷). It is also possible, in case, to further highlight the shape of the letters, in a more systematic and articulated way than the didactical presentation on the Michigan Papyrus Collection website, a demonstration that highlights the character shape and their transcription⁸⁸.

and-papyrology. On the *Chicago Demotic Dictionary* see above, § 4.2.

⁸⁶ KLEVE - ORE - JENSEN 1987, 116; KLEVE - ORE - FONNES - CAPASSO - JENSEN - BERGERSEN 1990, 80.
87 JOHNSON 1994, available online at https://oi.uchicago.edu/research/projects/computers-graphics-

⁸⁸ https://www.lib.umich.edu/reading/Zenon/line01.html and following pages.

After all, something of the kind has been already developed for the much more complicated Demotic script. An application software (DEMOS) was designed by a team led by Edda Bresciani and Angiolo Menchetti at the University of Pisa in order to study the ostraka from Medinet Madi: it is able to store a graphical dataset of Demotic signs and combinations of signs picked from digital images and arranged in categories, and to automate a lexical and palaeographical research in a photographic archive⁸⁹. This database is able to manage also Greek writing, in the event of bilingual documents⁹⁰. Such palaeographical datasets are the first step towards an automatic management of palaeographical comparisons for all the purposes listed above: dating; hand recognition; reconstruction; and, why not?, automated character recognition – some sort of papyrological OCR. The comprehensive Demotic Palaeographical Database Project (DPDP), recently launched by Claudia Maderna-Sieben, Fabian Wespi, and Jannik Korte at the Heidelberg University gets that way: its purpose is to create - on the basis of a standardized grapheme inventory - a palaeographical database connected to a text corpus, a glossary of word spellings, and an updated (and updatable) Demotic sign-list that can be of help comparing and classifying signs, and thus reading new texts⁹¹. On the other hand, the palaeographical Coptic database projected by Matthias Schulz (Vienna/Münster)⁹² is specifically envisaged to help text reconstruction and automatic fragment siting, favouring the reunification of dispersed fragments (see above, § 5.3), thus showing the amazing possibilities of digital palaeography.



A sample palaeographical dataset, from https://www.lib.umich.edu/reading/Zenon/paleography.html (note the two different alphas, nys, taus, in order to take into consideration all the possible shapes).

⁸⁹ Cf. BOZZI – BRESCIANI – MENCHETTI – RUFFOLO – EISINBERG – FEDELE – CORRARELLO 2002; BRESCIANI – MENCHETTI 2004; BRESCIANI – MENCHETTI – BOZZI – FEDELE 2004; GIANNOTTI – GORINI 2006; http://www.griseldaonline.it/informatica/tecnologia-digitale-testi-demotici-menchetti.html (with sample images). It was planned to publish the database online (cf. GIANNOTTI – GORINI 2006, 103). For earlier attempts see BETRÒ 1990; VOLPI 1990; VOLPI – SANSEVERINO 1994.

⁹⁰ Cf. GIANNOTTI – GORINI 2006, 102.

⁹¹ Cf. Maderna-Sieben – Wespi – Korte 2016.

⁹² SCHULZ 2016.

Recently, an innovative system has been developed by Oxford engineers (especially Melissa Terras) in cooperation with the Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents (CSAD) in relation with the Vindolanda ink and stylus tablets. After having analysed the workflow of papyrologists⁹³, the engineers have managed to build an intelligent system capable to be trained to effectively 'read' the texts and generate possible interpretations of it. This of course passed through a palaeographical mapping of the digital images. Shapes and characteristics of the letters (e.g. number, direction, and aspect of their strokes) and of other paratextual features (e.g. blank spaces, interpuncts) have been annotated with XML descriptions directly on the image, by means of an annotation software that allows to trace and label regions of a picture by hand⁹⁴. The text has also been mapped, to extract linguistic statistics (word lists, word frequency, and letter frequency). Then the information collected have been used to 'train' an artificial intelligence system, originally developed for aerial image understanding (Grounded Reflective Adaptive Vision Architecture = GRAVA). This system allows small programme modules ("agents") to work together to compare and contrast different types of information on the basis of the probability of an input (such as metadata describing the shape of an unknown character) matching a known model. The adapted version is composed by a "character agent", which uses the set of character models plus data regarding the frequency of letters in the Vindolanda corpus, and a "word agent", which uses a list of words generated from the documents read so far. The unknown shapes are entered the same way as above (tracing, annotating, etc.) and then the system compares them with the set of known characters: the less different are the more likely to be the corresponding ones⁹⁵. As is apparent, the method is essentially based on the customary palaeographical technique of comparison, just automatically supported by artificial intelligence. In 2008–2011 the experiment developed into the e-Science and Ancient Documents (eSAD) project, aimed at creating computer-aided tools for reading damaged documents and to improve an Interpretation Support System (ISS) to facilitate researchers by tracking their developing hypotheses⁹⁶.

"Can computers ever read ancient texts?" asked Melissa Terras during a Digital Classicist seminar at the Institute of Classical Studies, London (August 3, 2007). For now, the answer is negative⁹⁷, because the described system still offers a range of possibilities to the researcher's discretion, and is therefore no more than a very helpful assistant. The next challenge will be extending this apparently successful

⁹³ Cf. TERRAS 2005.

⁹⁴ Cf. TERRAS – ROBERTSON 2004.

⁹⁵ The system is described in full technical and procedural details by TERRAS 2006a; cf. also TERRAS 2000; TERRAS – ROBERTSON 2005; TERRAS 2006b.

⁹⁶ Cf. http://esad.classics.ox.ac.uk; TARTE – WALLOM – HU – TANG – MA 2009; TERRAS 2010, 180–2; ROUED-CUNLIFFE 2010; BABEU 2011, 115–6, 217, and 150 ff.; TARTE 2011a; 2011b.

⁹⁷ Cf. BODARD 2007.

technique beyond the Vindolanda corpus⁹⁸, and give birth to a true computer-aided Papyrology.

5.5 How Virtual Papyrology Redesigns Papyrology

The title I chose for this part – 'Virtual Papyrology' – aims at making everyone aware that everything discussed above – from the plainest digital picture to the three-dimensional models, to the more complex AI systems that automatically recognize ancient characters – deals with a *digital representation* of the main objects of papyrological studies, i.e. papyri and related materials. As Melissa Terras pointed out not much time ago, such representations (or surrogates) may bear further uncertainties, beside those intrinsically embedded in fragmentary, damaged, abraded texts, mainly due to technical distortions coming from the adaptation of a material artefact to a digital medium⁹⁹. This poses a big caveat, especially related to the technical standards to be developed in order to ensure a proper digital representation of the objects. Not by chance, indeed, standards have been a main concern since the first days of APIS (see above, § 5.1).

As Terras herself notes, this is by no means aimed at diminishing the reliability of digital pictures, but just to make sure that we all are aware of the fact that we are not dealing with the original, material objects, but with virtual artefacts, i.e. what Ségolène Tarte calls avatars¹⁰⁰, and that all the resources and the potentialities developed so far rely on this very fact. This leads us to a further step: the interpretative act embedded in the digitising process, as investigated by Tarte herself in a 2011 working paper¹⁰¹. Several techniques and methodologies applicable to the digital representation of a papyrological object tend to reproduce the papyrologist's interpretative acts: the author brings the example of the shadow-stereo imaging of the stylus tablets, reproducing the actual different angles from which the researcher looks at the objects; but also of 3D scanning, allowing a realistic reproduction of the materiality of an artefact, and multispectral imaging, revealing hidden text. Interaction with the digital artefact is interpretation, and thus "[d]igitization and visualization are [...] an integral part of the papyrological workflow"¹⁰².

The digital object – we may add – is not a mere, static copy of the original piece, but a dynamic component of papyrological scholarship, capable of reshaping the

⁹⁸ Cf. JOHNSON 2007, 247.

⁹⁹ Cf. TERRAS 2011.

¹⁰⁰ "I use the term 'avatar' here, where others might have used 'facsimile' or 'surrogate'. 'Avatar' simply underlines that this specific remediation of the artefact only captures *some* aspect of its materiality" (TARTE 2016, 117 n. 16).

¹⁰¹ See also Tarte's statement quoted above, § 5.3.

¹⁰² TARTE 2011c, 13 (and passim for details).

way in which we think the entire research process. In a recent lecture, Kathryn Piquette underlined what she called the "more holistic research opportunities" offered by modern imaging techniques:

Advances in modern imaging techniques are enabling the recording and study of ancient documents in unprecedented detail. The immediate material world of the document – surface colour, shape, texture, reflectance, and so on – can now be systematically documented, characterised and analysed. [...] The questions of visibility and materiality do not, however, concern only the physiological capabilities of human vision or its technological augmentation. It is also ontological – how we choose to look and what we think we see. Written objects are often accorded a certain passivity whereby they are seen as somehow immaterial and disembodied. Substrate and constrate may be conflated and detached from their wider material and social world. High-resolution imagery can provide a window onto that wider context, revealing in compelling detail traces of embodied agency or other processes that gave rise to the creation and survival of ancient text-objects¹⁰³.

This is a central point on which we will return further on (§ 9).

¹⁰³ K. Piquette, *Modern Tools and Techniques for Revealing the Material World of Writing*, lecture given at the conference "Beyond Papyri: The Materiality of Ancient Texts" (Leiden, 27–29 October 2016), abstract at http://media.leidenuniv.nl/legacy/-abstracts-conference-pap%26mat-2016-(5).pdf. See also TARTE 2016, 114–5, on the fundamental interplay between text and written object, and the key role of digital imaging in dealing with it.

6 Papyrological Mass Media

As though she had entered a fable, as though she were no more than words crawling along a dry page, or as though she were becoming that page itself, that surface on which her story would be written and across which there blew a hot and merciless wind, turning her body to papyrus, her skin to parchment, her soul to paper.

Salman Rushdie

It has been recently noted that Dan Brown, in his famous and much discussed *Da Vinci Code*, confused codices with scrolls apropos of the Nag Hammadi papyri, and that this was likely due to the ever-fascinating imagery of 'papyrus rolls'¹. 'Papyri' are always a hot topic with fascinating implications, and Papyrology, which of course deals also with codices and other writing materials, does not miss the occasion represented by the worldwide development of digital mass communication media to spread its scientific word on the subject – we can just recall, for instance, the recent discussion of the Coptic papyrus fragment mentioning Jesus' wife, started with a scholarly publication and ended with an online investigative report². This chapter is intended to be a survey – typological rather than exhaustive – of the papyrological dissemination on the Web³.

6.1 Websites of Institutions (Associations, Research Centres, Collections)

Institutional websites aim at providing information about the existence and activities of papyrological associations, research centres, and collections⁴. Of course, we shall start from the website of the Association Internationale de Papyrologues (AIP), http://www.ulb.ac.be/assoc/aip, where one can find pages about the history of the association, the concept of *amicitia papyrologorum* (see above, § 1.1), institutional information, an alphabetical list of members and of centres of papyrological studies worldwide, obituaries. The photographic gallery of deceased AIP members deserves a particular mention: "if the possibility of tying faces to scholarship – of glimpsing the great men and women of the past – is an aspect of modern technology, it is also a further way of gaining a sense of our tradition"⁵. The website provides some rec-

¹ IERANÒ 2009, 195.

² Cf. KING 2014; http://gospelofjesusswife.hds.harvard.edu; https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2016/07/the-unbelievable-tale-of-jesus-wife/485573/.

³ On the importance of communication in the digital classics see TERRAS 2010, 187–8.

⁴ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 308.

⁵ THOMPSON 2007, 35.

ommendation guidelines for text editions and for the commerce in papyri, as well as a collection of links to other papyrological websites and resources.

Another important association is the American Society of Papyrologists (ASP), on the website of which (http://www.papyrology.org) one can find institutional information, and also pages about ASP publications (the periodical BASP and the series "American Studies in Papyrology", "Classics in Papyrology", and "BASP Supplements"), the summer institutes in Papyrology (advanced seminars of papyrological training), some news and memorials.

On the website of the glorious Egypt Exploration Society (EES), http://www.ees. ac.uk, beside the usual practical information, one can find a section devoted to the Society's research, current fieldwork and activity, among which we can point out the page about the Oxyrhynchus Papyri Collection (http://www.ees.ac.uk/research/ Oxyrhynchus%20Papyri.html).

The Association Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth (AERE/EGKE), alongside the website with institutional information (http://www.aere-egke.be), offers a news blog (http://aere-egkeinfo.skynetblogs.be).

Also the International Association for Coptic Studies (IACS) and the International Society for Arabic Papyrology (ISAP) have their own website. The former (http:// www.cmcl.it/~iacs) is devoted to the history and structure of the society, news, exhibitions, a survey of Coptic studies (courses and centres) worldwide with links, congresses, links of Coptic interest. The latter (http://www.naher-osten.lmu.de/isap) offers, among the usual practical notices, some useful resources, like the *Checklist of Arabic Documents* (with a history of the discipline; see above, § 2.4), a list of major collections holding Arabic documents, news on international conferences, a list of scholars involved in Arabic Papyrology, publications and projects of ISAP.

For Coptic studies, a special mention is deserved by the St. Shenouda the Archimandrite Coptic Society, the website of which (http://www.stshenouda.org) offers several useful tools like a *Manual of Coptic Studies*, a history of Coptic language, a collection of Coptic software, links to Coptic resources online.

The Friends of Herculaneum Society website (http://www.herculaneum.ox. ac.uk) provides also interesting material, e.g. some information about the papyri (we already mention the bibliography *Books from Herculaneum*)⁶.

We already talked at length of the collections catalogues; most of them are related to a specific website, though there are collections that have not created an online catalogue yet. Many useful resources came also from the web pages of the papyrological research centres. In Italy, significant institutions like the Istituto Papirologico "Giro-

⁶ http://www.herculaneum.ox.ac.uk/?q=papyri; see above, § 2.5.

lamo Vitelli" (http://vitelli.ifnet.it)⁷ and the Accademia Fiorentina di Papirologia e di Studi sul Mondo Antico (http://www.accademiafiorentina.it) at Florence, the Centro di Studi Papirologici of the University of Salento (Lecce; http://www. museopapirologico.eu), the Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri Ercolanesi "Marcello Gigante" (CISPE) at Naples (http://www.cispe.org), and the Chair of Papyrology at the University of Parma (http://www.papirologia.unipr.it) hold articulated portals, where one can find information about their institutional activity and research projects, news and events, links, as well as interesting papyrological resources. Let us mention, for instance, the online catalogue of the library of the Istituto Vitelli and of the Accademia Fiorentina; the detailed web pages of the Papyrological Museum and of the Soknopaiou Nesos Project at Lecce; the Digital *Corpus of the Greek Medical Papyri Project* at Parma (see below, § 8.7). Among other centres worldwide, we already mentioned many resources previously, so that a quick survey will suffice, without any claim for completeness, above all because web resources are extremely volatile and not only URLs do change, but the pages themselves tend to disappear, as we already noted elsewhere (§§ 3.5, 6.4)⁸. It is just a way to illustrate the spread of the papyrological research in the World Wi(l)d(e) Web.

Alexandria (EG)	Centre d'Études Alexandrines (CEAlex), http://www.cealex.org
Ann Arbor (MI, USA)	Papyrology Collection, https://www.lib.umich.edu/papyrology-collection
Berkeley (CA, USA)	Center for the Tebtunis Papyri, http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/libraries/bancroft-library/tebtunis-papyri
Berlin (DE)	Berliner Papyrusdatebank (BerlPap), http://ww2.smb.museum/berlpap
Bruxelles (BE)	Centre de Papyrologie et d'Epigraphie Grecque (CPEG), http://www.ulb.ac.be/philo/cpeg
Cairo (EG)	Institut français d'archéologie orientale (IFAO), http://www.ifao.egnet.net
Copenhagen (DK)	The Papyrus Carlsberg Collection, http://pcarlsberg.ku.dk
Crete (GR)	Workshop of Papyrology and Epigraphy (ERPE) ⁹ , http://www.philology.uoc.gr/erpe
Duke University,	Duke Papyrus Archive,

⁷ The Istituto "Vitelli" also produced an informative CD-ROM (ANDORLINI – BASTIANINI – MANFREDI – MENCI 2003), as an electronic enhancement of an earlier booklet (AA.VV. 1992; see above, § 3.6). 8 It is the case, e.g., with the website of the Department of Papyrology, University of Warsaw: the address www.papyrology.uw.edu.pl used to point to the Department web pages (cf. http://web. archive.org/web/20080122031428/http://www.papyrology.uw.edu.pl) but is now devoted to its papyrus collection only (see above, § 3.6).

⁹ Cf. http://www.keme.uoc.gr/index.php/en/2016-03-09-12-38-39/department-of-philology/287-workshop-of-papyrology-and-epigraphy.

Durham (NC, USA)	http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus
Genève (Cologny) (CH)	Fondation Martin Bodmer, http://fondationbodmer.ch
Heidelberg (DE)	Institut für Papyrologie, http://www.uni-heidelberg.de/fakultaeten/ philosophie/zaw/papy
Helsinki (FI)	Ancient Greek Written Sources ¹⁰ , http://www.helsinki.fi/hum/kla/papupetra
Köln (DE)	Arbeitsstelle für Papyrologie, Epigraphik, Numismatik, http://www.uni-koeln.de/phil-fak/ifa/NRWakademie
Leiden (NL)	Papyrologisch Instituut, http://www.hum.leidenuniv.nl/papyrologisch- instituut
Liège (BE)	Centre de Documentation de Papyrologie Littéraire (CEDOPAL), http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal
Lille (FR)	Institut de Papyrologie et d'Égyptologie de Lille, http://egyptologie.univ-lille3.fr
London (UK)	UCL Classics: Papyrology, https://www.ucl.ac.uk/classics/research/research-papyrologyy (sic)
Macquarie (AU)	Papyrology, http://bighistoryinstitute.org/pubstatic/research/centres_ and_groups/ancient_cultures_research_centre/research/papyrology/
Milan (IT)	Centro di Papirologia "Achille Vogliano", http://www.studilefili.unimi.it/ ecm/home/ricerca/centri/centro-di-papirologia-achille-vogliano
Oxford (UK)	Papyrology at Oxford, http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk
Oxford (UK)	The Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents (CSAD), http://www.csad.ox.ac.uk
Paris (FR)	Institut de Papyrologie de la Sorbonne, http://www.papyrologie.paris-sorbonne.fr
Philadelphia (PA, USA)	Papyri and Related Materials at the University of Pennsylvania, http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/ppenn.html
Pisa (IT)	Laboratorio di Papirologia, Università di Pisa, http://www.fileli.unipi.it/ricerca/ laboratori/laboratorio-di-papirologia
Salzburg (AT)	Papyrologie, http://www.uni-salzburg.at/index.php?id=21321
Siracusa (IT)	Istituto Internazionale del Papiro / Museo Internazionale del Papiro "Corrado Basile", http://museodelpapiro.it
Strasbourg (FR)	Institut de papyrologie & Institut d'égyptologie, http://egypte.unistra.fr
Trier (DE)	Fach Papyrologie, https://www.uni-trier.de/index.php?id=1528
Trieste (IT)	Centro Papirologico "Medea Norsa", http://mnorsa.altervista.org
Wien (AT)	Institut für Alte Geschichte und Altertumskunde Papyrologie und Epigra- phik, https://altegeschichte.univie.ac.at
Yale University, New Haven (CT, USA)	Yale Papyrus Collection, http://beinecke.library.yale.edu/collections/ highlights/papyrus-collection-database

¹⁰ Cf. Papyrology in Finland, http://www.helsinki.fi/hum/kla/papupetra/papyrus/finpapy.html).

To be added is the portal *Organa Papyrologica* (http://www.organapapyrologica. net), maintained by the University of Leipzig, which is a gateway to the catalogues of the German papyrological collections participating in the *Papyrus Projekt*, to the nFWB, and to the *Papyrus Portal*, the metacatalogue of all German papyrus collections (see above, §§ 3.6 and 4.3, for everything), in search for an integration of all German papyrological resources¹¹. Moreover, as already noted above, the page *Demotic Texts Published on the World Wide Web* provides a list of institutions, collections, and projects dealing with Demotic texts¹².

6.2 Papyrological P.R.

The Web hosts several introductory pages, sometimes very short, that intend to offer a first overview on Papyrology. Such resources, directed mostly to non-specialists, are usually maintained by institutional websites (research centres or collections: see above, §§ 3.6 and 6.1). The most articulated is probably the already mentioned Duke Papyrus Archive, in the section Information about papyri (http://library.duke.edu/ rubenstein/scriptorium/papyrus/#papyri), comprising six web pages about papyri, writing in Graeco-Roman Egypt (with nice pictures), late antique Egypt, a general bibliography and a bibliography on Greek literary papyri. The section includes also the famous paper The Century of Papyrology (1892–1992) by Peter Van Minnen (20th International Congress of Papyrology, Copenhagen 1992), here titled History and Future of Papyrology. A very peculiar introduction is also Reading the Papyri, an online didactic portal designed to give a basic course of reading some papyrus samples (https://www.lib.umich.edu/reading). These – two Latin and two Greek papyri, a literary and a documentary one for each language – are presented in digital pictures; users are guided from line to line, the letter shapes are automatically highlighted and compared with a transcription and a translation (see above, § 5.4). There are also extensive comments; the section about the Zenon archive looks particularly articulated.

A nice reading could be the HTML version of Marcel Hombert's 1925 lecture *La Papyrologie grecque* published by the CPEG (http://www.ulb.ac.be/philo/cpeg/hombert.html). Some introductory readings (palaeography, papyrus material and provenance) can be found in the section *Articles* of the *Papyri Pages* published by Theodore Bernhardt (http://papyri.tripod.com; despite its title, the other parts of the site do not seem to contain strictly papyrological material). Many other pages are just short overviews and it does not make sense listing them here. Other online ex-

¹¹ Cf. SCHOLL 2016, 2–4.

¹² http://oi-archive.uchicago.edu/OI/DEPT/RA/ABZU/DEMOTIC_WWW.HTML#Homepages%20of %20Collections; see above, § 3.7.

hibits at Michigan have a similar introductory purpose (see below, § 6.3). The Online Database of Papyrology (actually a page titled Introduction to Papyrology, http://home.uchicago.edu/~davidm), created by David Martinez (Chicago), is in fact a small collection of images related to the papyrus and some famous papyri (Timotheus, Derveni, etc.) and can be of some didactic utility.

As regards the most iconic digital dissemination tool, *Wikipedia*, just a very basic lemma *Papyrology* is currently featured¹³. However, further information does exist, scattered here and there (for example, pages devoted to scholars or to particularly famous documents), and the future – as whished by Nadine Quenouille – may bring

das Erstellen eines Papyrologie-Portals analog zum dortigen Ägyptologie-Portal, versehen mit Informationen rund um das Fach, die im Netz vorhandenen Suchmöglichkeiten und Datenbanken, die Quellen und deren Bearbeiterinnen und Bearbeiter¹⁴.

Papyrology is potentially a discipline of huge impact on the wider public, as the success of the *Ancient Lives* crowdsourcing project (see above, § 5.4) shows: the statistics by the end of 2014 spoke of 9,288,620 characters clicked and 151,087 fragments examined¹⁵: "[t]his is a new, interesting medium of publicity for our field, and could be used for pedagogical purposes"¹⁶. Though open collaborative platforms are not new to Papyrology (see the case of SoSOL for *Papyri.info*, and other examples, below §§ 8.5–6), *Ancient Lives* is revolutionary in opening the gates to the wider public, in line with the so-called crowdsourcing (also known as citizen science)¹⁷, in a sort of extended *amicitia papyrologorum* made necessary by the extremely huge amount of unpublished papyri still concealing potentially important texts¹⁸. Its

16 Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 325.

¹³ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Papyrology; the German version is somewhat more detailed: https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Papyrologie. Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 310 n. 27.

¹⁴ QUENOUILLE 2016, 21.

¹⁵ MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016. See also comments and interactions on the project blog, https:// blog.ancientlives.org. "The general public was and is indeed interested in what papyrologists do. Moreover, the characters' shapes themselves, both clear and cursive, and the random bits of ancient art visible on some papyrus fragments inspired the imagination of the volunteer community. And as the world outside academia became more informed about this vast number of papyrus fragments from Oxyrhynchus, the idea of contributing to the discovery of a lost work was a profound source of motivation. By the end of the first year of the project, AL recorded 1.5 million transcriptions, roughly 7 million Greek character classifications – currently over 9 million have been recorded" (BRUSUELAS 2016, 191).

¹⁷ Cf. https://sarajkerr.com/2014/10/11/crowdsourcing-the-ancient-lives-project.

¹⁸ An estimation counts half a million fragments of Oxyrhynchus papyri preserved at Oxford, of which only 6,000 have been published so far. It would take 10,000 years to publish the rest (cf. MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016). The project is flanked by a blog (https://blog.ancientlives.org), which provides also some introductory glimpses into Papyrology and some practical tutorials about transcribing papyri. The most relevant findings originated from *Ancient Lives* are regularly spread to the

strong social impact needs to be stressed as a completely new and partially unexplored trend in Digital Papyrology¹⁹.

However, apart from isolated and scattered attempts to introduce Papyrology through practical activities on the fringes of gamification²⁰, papyrological P.R. in the digital era are still an open issue and a ground to explore, with particular attention to the social media, where its presence is still scattered²¹, but also to other multimedial grounds, like dedicated *YouTube* channels or *Facebook* pages: "[t]he rise in recent years of online communities with broad adoption, such as Facebook, may point to ways of enabling digital survival by generating community interest in them"²².

6.3 Thematic Highlights and Online Exhibitions

If a curious user wants to go further, there is plenty of interesting web pages dedicated to specific papyrological themes. One could for example learn something about cartonnage and carbonized papyri from the Helsinki papyrological website (http://www.helsinki.fi/hum/kla/papupetra/papyrus/cartonnage.html, with a video by Jaakko Frösén in English and German about mummy cartonnage conservation; http://www.helsinki.fi/hum/kla/papupetra/papyrus/carbonised.html); or about *Ancient Greek Music on Papyrus* at a page edited by William A. Johnson (http:// people.duke.edu/~wj25/music%20site) with reconstructions of the ancient sounds; or about *Kochrezepte auf Papyrus* in a video by D. Hagedorn (http://archiv.ub.uniheidelberg.de/volltextserver/3505); or about the "new Sappho" papyrus at the blog "New Sappho" (http://newsappho.wordpress.com, being a discussion on the papyrus, but seemingly not updated after 2014) or at the PDF material published on the web page of the "Reception of Greek Literature" project (http://www.papyrology.

press media (see e.g. http://www.independent.co.uk/news/science/ancient-egypt-citizen-scientists-reveal-tales-of-tragedy-unearthed-from-centuries-old-rubbish-dump-a6905541.html).

¹⁹ One may note that one of the founding goals of APIS was to make papyrological metadata easily accessible to a wider non-specialist public (cf. e.g. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 67): but I strongly doubt that APIS and related platforms are significantly used outside the papyrological circle.

²⁰ Such a trend started far earlier than the spread of online tools. In 1992, at the 20th International Congress of Papyrology (Copenhagen), Willy Clarysse presented an instructional game, based on a shareware *HyperCard* program developed by himself and Jeroen Clarysse, in which a set of fifty questions about future were answered (in Greek) by different Greek and Egyptian gods. The application was called *Sortes of Astrampsychus* and was released together with *Ghostbuster*, *DateConverter*, and *Zenon Presentation* (see above and below, §§ 3.3, 3.7, and 7.3). Cf. KRAFT 1992, and see Appendix 1.

²¹ Some Twitter and Facebook (namely, the Michigan Papyrus Collection page: https://www.facebook.com/The-University-of-Michigan-Papyrology-Collection-275678525787973) examples are mentioned by DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 309–10.

²² CAYLESS 2010, 150. For the archaeological viewpoint on the impact of social web challenges cf. PERRY – BEALE 2015, the observations of which may well apply to papyrological studies as well.

ox.ac.uk/Fragments). The website of the project "Materiale Textkulturen" (Heidelberg University, http://www.materiale-textkulturen.de) offers interesting information and open-access publications on the research topic ("Materialität und Präsenz des Geschriebenen in non-typographischen Gesellschaften"). *Dioscorus of Aphrodito*, the 'worst poet of Antiquity', is the focus of the website with the same name (http://www.byzantineegypt.com), created by Clement A. Kühn, which offers several materials on Dioscorus and his context, and text, translation, and commentary of his poem *Cicada*. An interesting experience could be listening at the reconstructed sound of the music recorded in a papyrus from the Oslo collection (picture and soundtrack at http://ub-fmserver.uio.no/Highlights.html)²³. A historical overview of Italian Juristic Papyrology is offered by M. Rolandi from the website of the Accademia Fiorentina di Papirologia (http://www.accademiafiorentina.it/?pg=cop_giuridica). These are only very fragmented samples of what the Web can offer.

A special mention is of course deserved by the papyrological virtual exhibitions, full of interesting material and accessible to everyone: for instance, *Oxyrhynchus: A City and Its Texts*, for the centennial of the Oxyrhynchus publications (documentation about Grenfell & Hunt's excavations and about the ancient city through the texts: http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/POxy/VExhibition/exhib_welcome.html); several online exhibits at the Michigan Papyrus Collection²⁴ and at the Center for the Tebtunis Papyri²⁵; the CEDOPAL "exposition virtuelle" about *Les livres dans le monde gréco-romain* (http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/exposition-virtuelle); the overview of the Vindolanda tablets and their context, in the dedicated website (http://vindolanda.csad.ox.ac.uk/exhibition). A detailed overview of the permanent exposition Bodmer (http://fondationbodmer.ch/musee/exposition-permanente) can be also of interest.

Moreover, some web resources are devoted to the memory of past papyrological researches and researchers: for example, *Egitto – gli archivi della memoria* at the

²³ DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 324, mention S. Hagel's website at the Center for Hellenic Studies, offering a "corpus of melodies played from, among others, literary papyri", but the link is broken and I was not able to retrieve those pages anywhere else.

²⁴ https://www.lib.umich.edu/papyrology-collection/papyrology-online-exhibits: *Puzzle Me This: Early Binding Fragments from the Papyrology Collection; From Trace to Text: Interpreting Papyrus; Diversity in the Desert: Daily Life in Greek and Roman Egypt; Breaking Ancient Seals; Papyrus Making 101: rediscovering the craft of making ancient paper; Writing in Graeco-Roman Egypt; Education in Ancient Egypt; From Papyri to King James: The Transmission of the English Bible; Traditions of Magic in Late Antiquity.* There is also the exhibition *Music in the Papyri* at http://exhibitions.kelsey.lsa. umich.edu/galleries/Exhibits/MIRE/Introduction/Papyri.html.

²⁵ http://www.lib.berkeley.edu/libraries/bancroft-library/tebtunis-papyri/online-exhibits: *Readers* and Writers in Roman Tebtunis; Ethnic Identity in Graeco-Roman Egypt; Religion, Magic, and Medicine in Ptolemaic and Roman Tebtunis; ConTexts: Graeco-Roman Egypt; Ancient Lives: The Tebtunis Papyri in Context. The CTP online exhibitions have been developed to coincide with some scholarly public lectures or conferences.

Accademia Fiorentina website (http://www.accademiafiorentina.it/?pg=archivi_ memoria), offering documents on past Italian excavations in Egypt such as the Evaristo Breccia archive; the pages in memory of Claire Préaux, at the CPEG (photos, biography, bibliography, the text of her first article: http://www.ulb.ac.be/philo/ cpeg/preaux.html), and of Medea Norsa, at the "Centro Papirologico M. Norsa" of Trieste (bibliography, literature, photos: http://mnorsa.altervista.org). The website of the Chair of Papyrology at the University of Parma gathers some documentation about Giuseppe Botti, the first Italian Demoticist²⁶ (http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/parma/ botti.html, in collaboration with Marco Botti), and is starting to build a page in memory of Professor Isabella Andorlini, sadly passed away few months ago (http:// www.papirologia.unipr.it/IA).

6.4 Staying Papyrologically Digitally Tuned

Almost indispensable to navigate the digital *mare magnum* of the papyrological Internet, link reviews are unfortunately subject to a severe updating issue²⁷. As already noted above (§§ 3.5 and 6.1), URLs change very quickly and very often, if the page is not provided with a permanent link, and sometimes the websites themselves have quite a short digital life. Link reviews should be updated accordingly, but this is a demanding task and hardly ever has one time and patience to keep track of all the changes in the Web. I am quite sure that within one year, if the world still exists, many of the links I recorded here will be broken. Nevertheless, directories of web resources are very useful, at least for discovering what might be available online, and what to look for with the help of some good search engine.

The AIP website itself provides a section of links (http://www.ulb.ac.be/assoc/ aip/liens.htm), arranged in some categories. Other starting points can be, e.g., J.D. Muccigrosso's *Papyrology Home Page* (https://users.drew.edu/~jmuccigr/papyrology), very carefully organized but updated to 2000, or the section *Fonti papiracee* of the *Rassegna degli strumenti informatici per lo studio dell'Antichità classica* edited by Alessandro Cristofori at the University of Bologna (http://www.rassegna.unibo.it/ papiri.html), very detailed (it provides a short overview of the resources, and bibliography) but updated to 2007. Unfortunately, the Italian portal *Archaeogate*, which contained a section *Papirologia*, seems not to be working any longer²⁸; on the contrary, KIRKE (*Katalog der Internetressourcen für die Klassische Philologie aus Berlin*) works, is updated to 2016 and has a section devoted to Papyrology (https://www.kirke.hu-berlin.de/ressourc/buchkult.html; but note that *Papyri.info*

²⁶ Cf. BOTTI 2017.

²⁷ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 308.

²⁸ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 309.

is completely absent and the link of APIS points to the old version on the Columbia servers, so perhaps this section is not really up to date). Also the website of the Chair of Papyrology at the University of Parma offers a links section (*Papyri On Line*: http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/POL), arranged by categories and updated to 2014 (but it will be refreshed soon!). Anyway, it is always a good idea to look also at more general collections of digital resources like *The Ancient World On Line* (AWOL, http://ancientworldonline.blogspot.de), a blog recording all the news in the Internet for the ancient studies²⁹. The posts are labelled, so that one can search for the label "Papyrology" (http://ancientworldonline.blogspot.de/search/label/papyrology) and discover the news.

Since the *amicitia papyrologorum* is essentially founded on communication, we will not be surprised by the fact that papyrologists intensively utilize the two most traditional forms of digital communication via Internet: blogs and discussion lists. We have already encountered several blogs devoted to papyrological matters, but the ultimate resource to get informed about all the news in the papyrological community is *What's New in Papyrology* (http://papyrology.blogspot.de)³⁰, a blog regularly edited by G.W. Schwendner that since September 2006 posts news about publications, conferences, lectures, and other information of papyrological public interest. On the left of the page, an index helps browsing among the past communications, by month. Another blog is devoted to *Digital Papyrology* itself (http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it), with the purpose of publishing "news and commentary concerning digital applications, methodology and resources in papyrology" (regular updates about resources like *Papyri.info* and TM used to be posted), but its latest signs of life date back to 2012. Blogs about single researchers' activities or about specific projects can also be found around³¹.

An even more direct way of communicating and interacting is the mailing list *Papy* ("the list for papyrologists": http://lists.hum.ku.dk/cgi-bin/mailman/listinfo/ papy), which since 1993 brings lots of useful messages with news, updates, requests, discussions directly to the members' e-mail boxes. The list is hosted by the servers of the University of Copenhagen and managed/moderated by Adam Bülow-Jacobsen³². After subscription, the members will receive the posts (labelled "PAPY"), which can be sent by anyone to the address papy@lists.hum.ku.dk. To avoid embarrassing circumstances, it is important to remember that the replies to the messages do not go to the original sender only, but are circulated to the entire list.

²⁹ Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 308–9.

³⁰ Cf. OTRANTO 2007, 465; DELATTRE - HEILPORN 2014, 309.

³¹ Cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 309, who mention Roberta Mazza's and Brice Jones' blogs, https://facesandvoices.wordpress.com and http://www.bricecjones.com respectively; see above and below, *passim*.

³² Cf. http://adam.igl.ku.dk/bulow/papy-l.html; DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 309.
6.5 Good and Bad Digital Practices: Overcoming Cultural Boundaries and Purchasing Papyri Online

The endless possibilities of digital communication can have positive outcomes on the spread of papyrological knowledge not only outside the purely academic world, but also outside traditional geopolitical barriers that have been consolidated in many decades of study tradition. Usama Gad has recently pinpointed the customary 'Eurocentrism' of Papyrology – a situation that, if on one hand may be explained with the overall historical tradition of classical studies, on the other hand is absolutely paradoxical, since almost all the texts underlying papyrological studies come from Egypt³³. Gad has well highlighted the fact that papyrological Eurocentrism mainly stems from the print culture; as a result, most people in Egypt don't believe that papyri are national history to them. The new digital possibilities of opening up data are thus a great opportunity for striving towards a breakthrough:

I wouldn't exaggerate if I told you that I would feel myself guilty if some day one of these students grow up and imitate what IS had done to the archaeological sites in Syria, because he doesn't appreciate it. Why he doesn't appreciate it? Simply because he doesn't understand what was there / what is this. And why again? because most of the sources are not accessible; either they are in reality (there in Egypt or elsewhere in the Arabic world) secured in magazines that in the near future, due to many reasons that [go] beyond this presentation, won't open even to scholars like you and me!, or it is presented online (virtually) with languages, which he doesn't understand, and filled up with pieces of information (data, metadata), which are irrelevant to him. This was the past and to somewhat the present, but do you want that this would be our shared future?³⁴

The proposal is to exploit the interconnection power of the new technologies – in terms of resource linking, metadata cataloguing, translating, etc. – to address new types of audience. Such new perspectives would not harm what has been built so far, yet would substantially widen the scope of Digital Papyrology in promising development prospects, and goes in the very same direction as projects like *Ancient Lives* (see above, § 6.2).

There are also less good ways of exploiting the communication potentials of digital technologies for papyri-related purposes. The selling of papyrus fragments via *eBay*, the famous online auction and shopping website, is the most noticeable one. The issue was brought to the attention of the colleagues by Robert Kraft (University of Pennsylvania), who presented a paper on the subject at the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, 2007)³⁵. Kraft investigated thoroughly to trace the buyers and put together a sort of 'archive' of such *eBay*-sold papyri, in particular

³³ GAD 2016.

³⁴ GAD 2016, 42.

³⁵ Text online at http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/papyri/ebay/report-2007/report-frame.html.

collecting the pictures reconstructing some dismembered items, and discovering forgeries³⁶ (see picture below). It is of course a remarkably borderline case, not really involving notions of Digital Papyrology; nonetheless, it is an existing way of 'dealing' with papyri in the digital era, and quite a unique example of digital dispersion (and virtual reunification!) of papyrus archives.



6.6 Digital Publications

Under the caption 'digital publications' I consider both digital copies of printed publications and electronic-born publications³⁷. Out-of-copyright digital copies of paper works of papyrological relevance, mostly scanned in PDF format, either searchable or not, can be found in quite a huge number. Many 18th- or early-19th- century papyrus editions, reference works or periodical issues have been scanned by the *Google Books Library Project*³⁸ and are freely available through *Google Books* itself or the *Internet Archive* (https://archive.org). Others can be fetched via the US *Hathi Trust Digital Library* (https://www.hathitrust.org, accessible from the US only): very recently, for example, it has been announced that some volumes of the Michigan Papyri (notably, the *Tax Rolls from Karanis*) have been made available there. A recent initiative by the Institute for the Study of the Ancient World (New

³⁶ Resources at http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/rak/ppenn.html: The eBay Image Archive.

³⁷ Discussion on electronic publishing is huge and long-standing, and it does not seem worth dealing with it here. On the matter, see e.g. SCHAUDER 1993; KLING – MCKIM 1999; ANTELL – FOOTE – BALES FOOTE 2016; PONTE – MIERZEJEWSKA – KLEIN 2017.

³⁸ Cf. e.g. BAND 2006.

York University), the *Ancient World Digital Library* (AWDL, http://dlib.nyu.edu/ ancientworld), is digitizing also papyrological volumes (http://dlib.nyu.edu/ ancientworld/search/?q=papyrology). A particular case to be mentioned is that of the publications of the papyrological collection of Gießen, which have been digitized and are available as image files (*Digitalisierte Publikationen zu den Gießener Papyrussammlungen*, http://bibd.uni-giessen.de/pub/papyruspublikationen.html³⁹).

Some books are made available for free by the publishers themselves: in such cases, the books are usually uploaded in their original PDF output, i.e. not as scans of paper volumes. These are the notable cases of the entire series published by the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago (https://oi.uchicago.edu/research/catalog-publications), which include several prints of papyrological interest like the *Chicago Demotic Dictionary*, downloadable in multiple PDFs⁴⁰, as well as of the *Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology, Ann Arbor 2007* (ed. T. Gagos, Ann Arbor 2010, http://quod.lib.umich.edu/i/icp). As we read in the home page of the latter:

This is the first time the Proceedings of the International Congress of Papyrology has been published primarily as an online edition. Individual articles are freely available to search, browse, and download. Additionally, the complete proceedings are available to purchase as a hardcover print on demand volume.

A big effort for publishing volumes in both paper and digital format has been made in the framework of the ERC project DIGMEDTEXT held at the University of Parma⁴¹. Other publications are found as electronic copies too, but only after subscription and payment: this is the case, for instance, with the *APF Beihefte* published by De Gruyter, with the Florentine papyrological volumes published by the Istituto Papirologico "Vitelli" via Firenze University Press, or the 12th volume of the *Berichtigungsliste* published by Brill (see above, § 4.5). See also the three volumes of the *Select Papyri* published in the Loeb Classical Library, flowed into the digital version of that series⁴².

Free online papyrological scholarly journals, or journals with consistent papyrological content, are also available, namely the *Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists* (BASP, electronically divulgated one year after print at https://quod. lib.umich.edu/b/basp) and the *Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale* (BIFAO, uploaded at http://www.ifao.egnet.net/bifao; the articles of the last five issues are to be paid for). Both *Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies* (GRBS) and

³⁹ Among other publications, it is worth mentioning the series *Kurzberichte aus den Papyrussammlungen* and the editions of the *Papyri Iandanae* (= P.Iand.).

^{40 (}see above, § 4.2).

⁴¹ REGGIANI 2017a; 2017b; BONATI – MARAVELA 2018. See below, § 8.7.

⁴² Sel.Pap. I: https://www.loebclassics.com/view/LCL266/1932/volume.xml; Sel.Pap. II: https://www.loebclassics.com/view/LCL282/1934/volume.xml; Sel.Pap. III: https://www.loebclassics.com/view/LCL360/1941/volume.xml.

Tyche. Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte, Papyrologie und Epigraphik have an OJS (Open Journal System) platform at their disposal, at http://openpublishing.library.duke. edu/index.php/grbs and http://tyche-journal.at/tyche respectively. A selection of issues of the Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik (ZPE), covering the years 1988–2000, is available for free download at http://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/8061. html. A consistent amount of past issues of the Journal of Juristic Papyrology (JJP) have been recently digitized by the Museum of Polish History at http://bazhum. muzhp.pl/czasopismo/181 (to date, from number 1, 1946 to number 40, 2010). In all these cases the articles can be downloaded as single PDF files, except for the BASP, which is digitized page by page as picture, HTML text and PDF (a PDF of the whole issues can be downloaded from number 42, 2005 onwards). Other periodicals are available on subscription from scholarly platforms like JStor (ZPE, Aegyptus, and again BASP⁴³) and ProQuest / Periodicals Archive Online (formerly PAO: Aegyptus again, http://search.proquest.com/pao/publication/1817550), or from their publisher's website (Archiv für Papyrusforschung = APF from De Gruyter, Chronique d'Egypte = CE from Brepols, *Studi di Egittologia e Papirologia* from LibraWeb⁴⁴). It is worth noting that older issues of some journals can occasionally be found in the already mentioned repositories like the Internet Archive or Hathi Trust. There may one find also digital copies of discontinued old periodicals or series like the Italian early-20thcentury Studi della Scuola Papirologica, of which volume 3 is also on JStor: https://www.jstor.org/journal/studscuopapi. More and more scholars are uploading their own articles or book chapters online at *Academia.edu*, and this is another very useful resource for finding and accessing digital publications⁴⁵.

Born-digital publications are rarer, but not absent from the papyrological panorama. The most remarkable case is that of the "Trismegistos Online Publications" (TOP, http://www.trismegistos.org/top.php), a series edited by Willy Clarysse and Mark Depauw (K.U. Leuven) and providing "freely downloadable pdf-documents with scholarly tools based upon or providing links to the Trismegistos database", as one can read from the presentation in the home page⁴⁶. Most of the volumes deal with surveys of documents⁴⁷ and resemble very much the data lists extracted from the *Mertens-Pack3* catalogue (see above, § 3.2), but a methodological introduction to a new digital research topic (network analysis) is announced⁴⁸. Beside the TOP se-

⁴³ https://www.jstor.org/journal/zeitpapyepig; https://www.jstor.org/journal/aegy; https://www.jstor.org/journal/bullamersocipapy.

⁴⁴ https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/apf; http://www.brepolsonline.net/loi/cde; http://www.libra web.net/riviste.php?chiave=2&h=430&w=300, respectively.

⁴⁵ Cf. Delattre – Heilporn 2014, 312.

⁴⁶ For *Trismegistos* see above, § 3.3.

⁴⁷ DEPAUW – ARLT – ELEBAUT et al. 2008; VERRETH 2009; 2011; BENAISSA 2012 [2009]; WORP 2012; LUNDON 2012; VERRETH 2013 [2009]; BROUX 2015b.

⁴⁸ BROUX - VANBESELAERE 2016. For network analysis see below, § 7.2.

ries, *Trismegistos* gives space to unpublished valuable dissertations and similar material, under the "Trismegistos Online Publications Special Series" (TOPSS, same URL as TOP). The volumes⁴⁹ are available the same way as described above; all of them bear ISBN numbers and therefore are proper digital publications. Similarly, the *Death on the Nile* project (see above, § 3.5) started publishing an online series, devoted to the theme of death in Graeco-Roman Egypt and called "Death on the Nile Online Publications" (http://www.lineas.cchs.csic.es/death/node/15)⁵⁰. Another, very recent example to be mentioned is the proceedings volume of the conference *Altertumswissenschaften in a Digital Age: Egyptology, Papyrology and Beyond (Leipzig 2015)*, edited by M. Berti and F. Naether, which have been published directly online as a collection of PDFs and other material from the presentations (http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201500).

A peculiar instance is the first volume of the Ostraka from Trimithis (eds. R.S. Bagnall and G. Ruffini, New York 2012, http://dlib.nyu.edu/awdl/isaw/amheida-iotrim-1), which is not only published directly online, but also comes not as a PDF but as XHTML pages, with Unicode Greek texts, in remarkable contrast with printlike formats. We may regard such an outcome as a sort of bridge between traditional text editions and true 'digital' text editions, which are - however - more enhanced and complex entities, of which we will discuss later on (\$ 8.6 and 9). We are still in the realm of traditional editions made digital, as in the case of the Herculaneum papyri preliminarily published in the framework of the ERC project "Interactive edition and interpretation of various works by Epicurean and Stoic philosophers surviving at Herculaneum" (PHerc), conducted by Graziano Ranocchia at the Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche – Istituto per il Lessico Intellettuale Europeo e Storia delle Idee (CNR/ILIESI) between 2009 and 2014 (http://www.pherc.eu). This project is producing a series of innovative open-access PDF critical editions (http://www.pherc.eu/publications.html) that take advantage of the enhanced multispectral readings (see above, § 5.2) and of some peculiar typographical feature made possible by the electronic medium (e.g., the use of different colours to mark text coming from displaced fragments, the so-called 'sovrapposti' and 'sottoposti'). Such publications, which will be followed by more substantial volumes, forthcoming in the new series "ILIESI Digitale / Edizioni critiche" (http://www.iliesi.cnr.it/ catalogo.php?cl=I) are a sort of groundwork for a final, comprehensive printed volume, which will be accompanied by an interactive DVD⁵¹ (see below, § 8.6).

⁴⁹ To date only GEENS 2014, but before the creation of TOPSS (2014) other volumes had been made available via *Trismegistos* (same URL as TOP and TOPSS): VANDORPE 1988; FRANCE 1999; VERRETH 2006. **50** To date, only WORP 2013 has been uploaded.

⁵¹ I am grateful to Graziano Ranocchia for the news about this project and its forthcoming final outcome.

As regards periodicals, to date no papyrological title is published entirely and solely online. Several journals devoted to classics and ancient studies, and even digital classics, have digital-only issues, but none of them deals exclusively, or mainly, with Papyrology, if we exclude some special cases like *Papyrologica digitalia Lipsiensia*, monographic issue of *Digital Classics Online* (2.2, 2016, https://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/dco/issue/view/3036) devoted to the digital papyrological projects at Leipzig University⁵². An earlier effort was attempted by Isabella Andorlini at the University of Parma. She launched a digital papyrological journal, called *Papyrotheke*, but unfortunately the project stopped at the first, experimental issue (2010)⁵³. A series under the same name was similarly discontinued⁵⁴. The project is currently being renewed by Davide Astori and myself, with an online international journal dealing in general with linguistics and cultural history, but with a strong focus on papyrological matters. The journal is called *Tra-Passato(e)Futuro* and a parallel monographic series, with some papyrological issues, is planned as well⁵⁵.

A last (but definitely not least!) case to be mentioned is that of the *Berichtigungs-liste der Griechischen Papyrusurkunden aus Ägypten* (BL): as noted above, *Konkordanz II* (2007) and Volume XII (2009) are available as PDF on payment from the publisher's website (Brill). Very recently, a 13th volume has been announced as a free PDF⁵⁶. This is a clear sign of an increasing attention to digital ways of publishing scholarship and to the strong advantages that electronic resources bring to the papyrological ideals of integration, sharing, and accessibility.

To conclude, digital publications are apparently not that implemented as other electronic expedients, but this is a common trend in classical and ancient studies (as well as, probably, in any other academic field), mostly due to publishing economic and legal reasons rather than to scientific trends. Copyright (but also intellectual property) issues still linger around, and the entire scenario is likely still tied to a traditional view of the digitization as a mainly safekeeping or copying affair, and not as a place where true scholarship can primarily, if not exclusively, take place. However, recently much progress has been made on this front too, and the future seems to prospect important and promising developments.

55 Forthcoming at http://www.trapassatoefuturo.it/ojs.

⁵² For the Leipzig digital projects see above (§§ 3.6, 4.3, 5.1, and 6.1.

⁵³ Still available at http://www.dspace.unipr.it/ojs/index.php/Papyrotheke and http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/papyrotheke/papyrotheke.html.

⁵⁴ See http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/papyrotheke. Only two volumes were published: GHIRETTI 2010 and BOTTI 2010. A third volume, containing proceedings of workshops, was announced but never appeared.

⁵⁶ http://hum.leidenuniv.nl/papyrologisch-instituut/project-berichtungsliste/berichtigungsliste-dergriechischen-papyrusurkunden-aus-agypten-bl.html, see esp. the text of the report presented at the general assembly of the Association Internationale de Papyrologues at Barcelona on August 6, 2016 by F.A.J. Hoogendijk (see above, § 4.5).

7 New Trends in Digital Papyrology

If you can dream it, you can do it.

Walt Disney

After the general and historical overview of what Digital Papyrology offers nowadays, and before introducing the last, crucial discussion, it is time to cast a glance at some very new, developing areas that seem to be the main topics on which Digital Papyrology is going to focus in the immediate future. As nearly all papyrologists perceive, the main issue at stake is always the enormous amount of data and metadata to be handled, in terms of both published and unpublished papyri. The unavoidable charm of digital technologies, which since half a century by now have proven essential in improving methodologies and providing invaluable scientific results, is constantly renewed by ever-developing techniques, and Papyrology is always ready to absorb and reflect as much innovation as possible.

Digital quantitative analyses seem today the best scenario for enhancing papyrological research in terms of speed and data processing, with its twofold implication – a stronger claim on quality controls, of course, but one should never forget that speed means to have more information at our disposal as soon as possible, which turns into significant progress in knowledge and research. And since the papyrologists of the 21^{st} millennium are overwhelmed not only by data but also by the twists and turns of a maze of digital resources – which is in fact the main *raison d'être* of this book –, further steps towards integration are highly recommended, and in some cases accomplished with interesting expectations.

7.1 Quantitative Analysis of Textual Data: Past and Future of Computational Linguistics Applied to Papyrology

Applying methods and tools of computational linguistics to papyrological research is an old effort, dating back to the very dawn of the studies on natural language automated processing¹. As is known, in 1949 Father Roberto Busa started compiling the monumental *Index Thomisticus*, a lexical concordance to Saint Thomas of Aquinus' works, by exploiting automatic computer processing, and thus literally founded what would be called computational linguistics². Some ten years later, a team in Liège and one in Milan independently developed experimental systems to encode

¹ Cf. BRUNNER 1993, 10-11; DENOOZ 2007, passim.

² Cf. HOCKEY 2004, 4; on computational linguistics see e.g. HAJIČ 2004; on computational linguistics and classics see BAMMAN – CRANE 2009 and BABEU 2011, 48 ff.

and process papyrological texts by means of electronic calculators. Both projects were presented at the 12th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 1968)³. We will deal with the issue of digital encoding in the next chapter; now I would like to stress the strong linguistic flavour of both enterprises.

Enrico Maretti and Gian Piero Zarri, on the Italian side, presented in a seminal article published in 1971 an overview of the potentials of what they called "algorithmic linguistics" to papyrological texts⁴. Text encoding (i.e. storing text in a machine-readable and processable format), in their view, would bring to several useful applications:

- sorting procedures (i.e. what I called indexing), by which it would be possible to build lexica, whether natural, i.e. recording the exact forms of the occurring words, or lemmatized, i.e. reducing the occurring forms to their original lemma (typically, e.g., nominative singular for nouns) and concordances, whether sorted alphabetically or by other keys (frequency of attestation, for example);
- 2) *searching procedures*, addressed to the search for words, word sequences, and structural sequences (formulaic search);
- 3) *reconstruction* of fragmentary texts, based either on the overlapping with parallel texts or on statistical comparisons that would take into consideration the frequency of letter or word combinations to give possible supplements for the extant fragments (the authors evoke the concept of generative grammars, i.e. the automatic generation of language strings based on lexical lists and morphosyntactic rules).

These points were shared, though less systematically, by the Belgian colleagues⁵, who also added a further possible application:

4) *edition output*, which would be conceivable in terms of basic edition (i.e. the reproduction of the reference critical edition), diplomatic edition (reproducing the actual text on the papyrus, without editorial interventions), and emended edition⁶.

What is interesting is that the Belgian project already envisaged very advanced linguistic features. They encoded the text by using a single punched card (see above, § 4.4) for each word (a rudimental sort of tokenization), to which they added its lemmatized form, a code representing its grammatical aspect, and a semantic code⁷. This would bring to different possible indexing outputs (by alphabetic lemmas, by categories...) and to morpho-syntactic and formulaic searches, but also to grammat-

³ Maretti – Zarri 1970; Evrard 1970; Bodson 1970; Tomsin 1970a.

⁴ Maretti – Zarri 1971, 11–16; cf. Maretti – Zarri 1970, 282 and 284–5.

⁵ We find theoretical references to lexical indexing and string searches in EVRARD 1970, 124–5; to formulaic search in TOMSIN 1970a, 475; to automatic reconstruction in TOMSIN 1970a, 472.

⁶ EVRARD 1970, 124; TOMSIN 1970a, 472.

⁷ Cf. EVRARD 1970, 124; BODSON 1970, passim; EVRARD 1971, 89 and 94.

ical studies⁸. Unfortunately, such linguistic features remained essentially unexploited in the subsequent years: the papyrologists' attention was captured by other, more familiar ways of dealing with digital texts, namely string searching options and text reconstruction⁹. The *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri* did not encode morpho-syntactic or semantic information alongside the texts, and it was only thanks to external processing tools (like the *Word Study Tool* of the *Perseus Digital Library*¹⁰) that it became possible to access (but not to process, or search for) such kind of information. The transfer to the *Papyri.info* platform completely obliterated this opportunity (just lemmatized searches are available, see below § 8.4).

In the meantime, corpus linguistics had developed as an autonomous discipline branch consisting in the analysis of natural languages on the basis of computerized text corpora. A fundamental procedure in encoding a text corpus so that it can be subject to significant automated linguistic analyses is annotation, i.e. the tagging of the text items (essentially the words, usually known as tokens i.e. minimal language units) with relevant linguistic information (usually morphologic, syntactic, semantic information)¹¹. It is apparent that what the Belgian researchers at LASLA did with the punched cards was a rudimentary linguistic annotation. Today, linguistic annotation is rather advanced in classical studies. The Ancient Greek and Latin Dependency Treebank (AGLDT, now 2.0: https://perseusdl.github.io/treebank data), developed since 2006 by Giuseppe G.A. Celano, Greg Crane, Bridget Almas, and others, at the Leipzig and Tufts Universities, is a huge *corpus* of ancient Greek and Latin literary works, annotated on the morphological, syntactic, and 'semantic' layers¹². This is usually called 'treebank' after the typical tree-like format that a syntactic dependency graphically displays¹³. The actual annotation is conducted on the Arethusa platform (http://www.perseids.org/tools/arethusa/app/#; see picture in the next page), a computer-guided environment that allows performing the task on the three said levels: morphology (partially guided by the system), syntax (by assigning a grammatical relational label to each node of a syntactic tree), advanced syntax (semantic value of morpho-syntactic categories)¹⁴.

⁸ Cf. EVRARD 1967, 94; TOMSIN 1970a, 474.

⁹ Cf. e.g. WILLIS 1984a; 1984b; 1992; see below, §§ 8.3–4.

¹⁰ Cf. http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/help/quickstart#analyze. On automatic morphological analysis in the *Perseus* digital library cf. CRANE 1998, 474 ff., and BABEU 2011, 50–2.

¹¹ Cf. IDE 2004.

¹² See details in the annotation guidelines at https://github.com/PerseusDL/treebank_data/blob/master/AGDT2/guidelines/Greek_guidelines.md; cf. MAMBRINI 2016.

¹³ On treebanking in classical studies cf. the general observations by BAMMAN – CRANE 2010; cf. also Boschetti 2008; BABEU 2011, 48–50; CELANO – CRANE – MAJIDI 2016.

¹⁴ Cf. http://www.dh.uni-leipzig.de/wo/projects/ancient-greek-and-latin-dependency-treebank-2-0.



Linguistic annotation has been progressively conceived for non-classical texts¹⁵, and for the papyri too, in consideration of the enormous help that such kind of analysis could offer to grammatical and stylistic studies on documentary and literary fragments¹⁶. The literary side has been unfolded by the *Grammatically Annotated Philodemus* project, conducted by Daniel Riaño Rufilanchas (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid) and Holger Essler (Würzburg) and aimed at deeply annotating the Greek philosophical papyri from Herculaneum on morphological, grammatical, semantic, stylistic layers, in close connection with the *Thesaurus Herculanensium Voluminum*¹⁷. Riaño's work, based on his own proprietary software *AristarchusX* and on a personalized annotation system¹⁸, has pointed out some critical issues of annotating papyri. Namely, the fragmentation of the texts makes tokenization problematic for broken or illegible words, and makes morpho-syntactic analysis difficult for the lost passages. Moreover, alternative readings or supplements should be considered, as well as a thorough distinction of the degree of certainty of the annotated text)¹⁹.

¹⁵ For an application to Coptic texts see Zeldes – Schroeder 2015.

¹⁶ Cf. REGGIANI 2015b and 2016c; CELANO 2017.

¹⁷ Cf. RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2014; ESSLER – RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2016. The annotation type is not a dependency treebank, but follows an immediate constituent analysis model, which enables relations between syntactic structure and semantic content, allows stylistic analysis, and can be converted in dependency analysis (cf. ESSLER – RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2016, 497–8: "The drawback of this decision is incompatibility with other existing schemas of digital annotation, at least without further coding", p. 501). See further on, § 8.6.

¹⁸ Cf. RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 1997; RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2006. The software allows for complex queries and exporting query results and data to other formats (cf. ESSLER – RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2016, 499–500).

¹⁹ Cf. RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2014, 160-1; see also Essler - RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2016, 498.

<w n = "013" idARI="ARI1595.107-1.001.013" apparition = "0600c" form = "0600c" lemma = "060c" reg_lemma = "060c" decomp_lemma = "060c" doc_form = "0" postag = "n-p - - - ma-" head = "015" relation = "Subj"> <morph case = "accusative" case_mark = "present" gen = "masculine" number = "plural" rel_type = "dep"/> <node end_node = "s06.1.1.1.2.2.2p1.1" func_node"s05.1.1.1.2.2.2w" func_node_w = "013a.014.016.017.018a" head_func_node = "s04.1.1.1.2.2" head_func_node_w = "013.014.015a.016.017.018" head_end_node = "s05.1.1.1.2.2.1" head_func_node_w = "015"/> <synt_funct clasif = "subject" clasifN = "030000000" t_sint = "SN" style = "indir"/> <referent refer_type = "gener"/> <sem sub = "nominal" agentivity = "true" animacy = "suprahuman" anim_deg = "high" sem_field = "religion"/> <transm description = "supplied" clear = "0,5" unclear = "0,5" suppl = "5,5" start = "lost" end = "lost" context_right = "gap" context_left = "gap"/> <coding syn_cod = "02" sem_cod = "02" oper = "DANO1" revised = "no"/> [0600c]

TABLE 4. Example of one of the possible ways to export the grammatical annotations of Aristarchus in XML (the example shows the annotations for the reconstructed word $[\theta \epsilon o v c]$ from the first sentence in Phld., *Piet.*1.1, as edited by D. Obbink). The annotated features include morphology, syntax, and semantics. There is an amount of redundancy (for example, morphological categories are expressed twice) to allow exploitation by other systems. Future modes of exportation will try to meet the TEI guidelines for multilevel grammatical annotation.

Example of grammatical annotation based on AristarchusX (from RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS 2014).

The problem of dealing with fragmentary texts makes Papyri.info somewhat unsuitable for applying linguistic annotation, because sometimes the TEI/EpiDoc XML tagging system in use is conflicting with linguistic tagging (in particular, with the necessary tokenization of words)²⁰. This issue has been faced by the *Sematia* platform (https://sematia.hum.helsinki.fi), developed by Maria Vierros and Erik Henriksson at the University of Helsinki. Sematia is an open online environment for creating linguistic layers from TEI/EpiDoc XML documents, as well as a repository for linguistic annotations of the layers. The platform is based on the very same collaborative model as *Papyri.info* (see below, § 8.5): anyone interested in collaborating can register and log in. Basically, once one logs in, (s)he can import any text from the papyrological databank, which will be automatically adapted to linguistic annotation and split into three layers (see picture in the next page): "original" (the text as preserved on the papyrus, with unresolved abbreviations, unsupplemented gaps, non-regularized spelling variants), "standard" (the text as interpreted by the modern editor), "variation". It must be noted that the first two layers patently correspond to the concepts of "diplomatic edition" and "emended edition" as formulated

²⁰ Cf. Essler – Riaño Rufilanchas 2016, 495.

by Evrard and Tomsin in the late Sixties²¹. Then, each layer can be exported from *Sematia* to the *Arethusa* platform, annotated there, and finally brought back to be stored in *Sematia*²². It is clear that this information is essential to trace any kind of quantitative analysis of linguistic patters, so that the tools offered by *Sematia* are really impressive. About a hundred annotated texts are currently stored in the repository, and a way of querying the treebanks has recently been implemented in beta version²³.



	8× 0 Å×
Layer Upload treebank	Layer Upload Treebank &
Transcription	Transcription
έλαιουργώ χαίραν, έχω τ 🔍 αρά σου ύπέρ τη ματικά τη του	ίλαιουργός χαίρανι έχω τη 🕲 αρά σου ύπέρ έρμηνείας έρμηνείας μείτ 🕲 ρου κώμης Βουβάστου τοῦ 🕲 τ΄ (ττους) Αυτωνίνου Καίσαρος τοῦ 🌑 κυρίου τὰς συμρωνηθεία 🕐 aς δραχρίες (άκτω) : (ττους) 💹 🕥 Αυτωνίνου Καίσαρος τοῦ
Ο κυρίου Φάωφι	S κυρίου Φάκοφι
Plain text	Plain text
Διονύθιος Διονύθιος ΣτοτοSUi (λαιουργής χαίρειν, δχω SUaph σου ύπτρ Ιρειηνίας μέτρου κώμης Βουβάστου τοῦ ε Α Άντωνίνου Καίοαρος τοῦ κυρίου τὰς συμφωνηθείους δραχμές δικτώ, Α GAvSUωνίνου Καίοαρος τSU κυρίSUυ Φάωρι G	Διοινίσιος Διουνοίου Στοτοήττι έλαιουργώ χαίρτιν. Ιχια παρά σου ύπέρ έρμηνείας μέτρου κώμης Βοιβάστου τού είτους Αντωνίνου Καίσαρος τού κορίου τός συμφωνηθείσας Βραχμές άκτώ. Έτους GAντωνίνου Καίσαρος τού κορίου Φάωρι G

23 Cf. VIERROS – HENRIKSSON 2016, 12.

²¹ VIERROS – HENRIKSSON 2016, 6 explain that the "original" layer does not correspond to a proper diplomatic transcription; but Evrard and Tomsin's concept of "diplomatic edition" also is not the very same, since it involves, for example, word division.

²² Cf. VIERROS – HENRIKSSON 2016, passim. Detailed instructions are provided also at https://sematia. hum.helsinki.fi/docs/how_to_use.html.





Sematia is also designed to record information about scribal hands and hand shifts in a set of metadata²⁴, according to the project "Act of the Scribe: Transmitting Linguistic Knowledge and Scribal Practices in Graeco-Roman Antiquity" (http://blogs. helsinki.fi/actofscribe). The purpose is to investigate patterns of linguistic variation through the data dragged from the annotated texts and considerations about scribal professional degree and literacy; the "variation" layer, under development, will be devoted to this peculiar aspect²⁵. In a new interest towards linguistic changes, scribal deviations from the grammatical 'norm' are increasingly looked as samples of sociolinguistic variation rather than mere mistakes to be regularized²⁶. Papyri, at-

²⁴ "Handwriting" (description of the hand, professional level, indication of same hand), "writer and author" (names of the author of the text, of the actual writer if different, of the subscribing official, with the possibility of adding the *TM People* number), "text type" (to be selected from a drop-down menu), "addressee" (cf. VIERROS – HENRIKSSON 2016, 11–2).

²⁵ Cf. VIERROS – HENRIKSSON 2016, 12.

²⁶ Cf. TOUFEXIS 2010.

testing the fluidity of spoken Greek in Hellenistic and Roman times, are the best source for this kind of research. In wait for a hopefully extensive linguistic annotation of the papyri, a first attempt to extract data about linguistic variation has been conducted since 2014 by Mark Depauw and Joanne Stolk on the basis of the XML tags used in *Papyri.info* to mark 'regularizations' and 'corrections' (see below, § 8.5). The information automatically extracted from that source has been processed in a database on the *Trismegistos* platform: *TM Text Irregularities* (http://www.trisme gistos.org/textirregularities)²⁷. The database collects all the instances of phonetic and morphological 'variants' in the Greek documentary papyri. A list offers a view of all types of variation arranged by frequency of attestation, but it is possible also to perform field-specific searches. A particular stress is put onto the phonetic context of the variations, by the indication of the preceding and following letters²⁸.

Another opportunity that has been envisaged since the beginnings for the computerized treatment of papyrological documents is text mining, i.e. the automated extraction of high-quality information from the analysis of text patterns and trends. The 'text reconstruction' task theoretically envisaged by Maretti and Zarri as a peculiar feature of the automated processing of papyrological texts, based on the overlapping or the statistical comparison with parallel texts and/or on advanced analysis of generative grammars, already went in this direction. A specific software routine was later developed by Knut Kleve, the creator of Literalogy (see above, § 5.4), and called *Lacunology*. The term pointed precisely to the definition of a computer method of filling in gaps (lacunas) in papyrus texts. The routine was based on the comparison between any extant letters before and after the lacuna and the evaluated length of the gap with all the possible fitting alternatives, taken from a textual repository²⁹, and substantially different from *Literalogy* and the other projects involving the graphical comparison of letter shapes. As Kleve stated, at those times the amount of digitized papyrological (and not only!) texts was insufficient to perform sensible actions³⁰. The situation changed soon after, but – as we noted – papyrologists became interested in other applications of databanks, and any textual analysis remained based on manual comparisons after automated searches for

²⁷ Cf. DEPAUW - STOLK 2015.

²⁸ A recent prospective development related to linguistic annotation has been presented by Marja Vierros at the workshop "Act of the Scribe: Interfaces Between Scribal Work and Language Use" (Athens, April 6–8, 2017) with preliminary remarks on *Applying Modern Authorship Attribution Methods to Papyri and Ostraca* (abstract at http://blogs.helsinki.fi/actofscribe/workshop); syntactic annotation may indeed prove helpful in stylometric analyses aimed at identifying authorial hands in ancient documents and related sources. For similar applications to literary works see e.g. GORMAN – GORMAN 2016; for applications to short texts cf. SANDERSON – GUENTER 2006.

²⁹ Cf. Kleve 1975, 202–3; Kleve 1981, 519; Kleve – Fonnes 1981; Ore 1988, 27–8; Kleve – Ore – Fonnes – Capasso – Jensen – Bergersen 1990, 80–6 and 87–92; Gigante – Capasso 1990, 55–6. **30** Kleve – Fonnes 1981, 158–9.

words or combinations of words ('information retrieval') and indexing. However, admittedly "[a] manual search on a corpus is not sufficient for giving answers to more complex research questions"³¹.

Text mining in Papyrology is now again in the spotlight thanks to the project eA-QUA (Extraktion von strukturiertem Wissen aus Antiken Quellen für die Altertumswissenschaft, http://www.eaqua.net), conducted at the University of Leipzig between 2008 and 2013 to develop algorithmic methods for the evaluation of ancient Greek and Latin texts³². A specific sub-project, led by Reinhold Scholl, dealt with papyri. The tools offered by the platform allow performing quantitative searches on digital text corpora like categorization (classification of the text according to standard topical categories on the basis of recurring keywords), co-occurrence analysis (relationships between a word and the surrounding ones), and text recognition, a sort of advanced Lacunology, in which a partially missing or misspelled word is compared with the textual corpus and a list of possible candidates is generated automatically³³. For the second task, one should go to the "Demonstration Kookkurrenz-Analyse" under the "Tools" menu; it is sufficient to select the target *corpus* (the papyrological *corpus*, based on the *Duke Databank* on *Papyri.info*, is called "Epiduke") and type the word. The words need to be typed in Betacode (see below, § 8.3); a virtual keyboard is available in the page, and the platform offers also a useful online converter Betacode \leftrightarrow Unicode ("Tools" > "Online-Konverter Betacode"). The third task is at a prototype stage and it is necessary to contact the project leader (see "Dokumentation" > "Textergänzung"; the picture in the next page is taken from RÜCKER 2011). It relies on a "word prediction system based on several classes of spell checking and text mining algorithms", which are essentially related to the analysis of the semantic, syntactical, morphological context of the words, on the word length, on the comparison of similar letter sequences, on Named Entity lists (onomastical and topographical indexes to identify personal or geographical names)³⁴.

³¹ BÜCHLER – HEYER – GRÜNDER 2008, 4.

³² Cf. BÜCHLER – HEYER – GRÜNDER 2008; BABEU 2011, 60–1 and 216–7; SCHUBERT 2011.

³³ Cf. RÜCKER 2010; RÜCKER 2011; SCHOLL 2012.

³⁴ Cf. BÜCHLER – KRUSE – ECKART 2012. A video demonstration of the current implementation can be viewed at http://www.e-humanities.net/lectures/SS2011/2011-DigClassSeminar/THATCamp_Dev Challenge_BuechlerEckart_TextCompletion.ogv. An automated reading suggestion process is allowed also by the APPELLO web service developed as a query system for the Vindolanda database (see below, 8.6, and cf. ROUED-CUNLIFFE 2009).

	Įυ,	A							
NE .									
α[[]][]ο Τιτ πρεσβυτέροι άναφαλάντε άδελφοζς γή γείτονες νότ πάντοθεν.		Ogriechische Literatur ⊙Inschriften / dokumentarische Papyri OEpiduke	Send						
						é			
Sentenc									
terpreted we	nd : à o	Mont	Malabhaunad latter	Wheel elevited	Mamad	Ward	Compatio		1
http:////do terpreted we angth : 7 andidate	score	Word	Neighboured letter	Word similarity	Named Entity	Word	Semantic	Classification	Sho
terpreted we ingth : 7 andidate ántéõoto	score	Word length 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5	Word similarity (letters)	Named Entity	Word bigram	Semantic context 0.25	Classification 0.140	Sho
terpreted we angth : 7 andidate ántéoto Xápaxoç	Score	Word length 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5	UWord similarity (letters)	Named Entity 1.0	Word bigram 0.5	Semantic context 0.25 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000	Sho
terpreted we angth : 7 andidate ántôoto Xápaxoc Σσήρις	rd : à o Score 6 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5	Uvord similarity (letters)	Named Entity 1.0 1.0	Word bigram 0.5	Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013	Sho
terpreted we angth : 7 andidate άπέδοτο Χάρακος Σοήρις Κλαυδία	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5	Word similarity (letters)	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0	Used bigram	Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032	Sho
http://doi.org/10.00000000000000000000000000000000000	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809182466E-5 4.132543685466394E-4	(etters)	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0	Word bigram 0.5	Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069	Sho
http://terpreted.we angth : 7 andidate άπέδοτο Χάρακος Σοήρις Κλαυδία άργυριο Περοίνη	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4	Word iength 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.01285486809192488E-5 4.132543685466394E-4	(letters)	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Word bigram 0.5 0.5	□ Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173	Sho
Λ((())) terpreted we ength : 7 candidate άπεδοτο Χάρακος Σαήμας Κλαυδία άργοριο Περοίνη άνθρωπο	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973683684009757E-6	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Used bigram	□ Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083	Sho
Λ(\\\\\\\o http://www.ength:7 Candidate άπέδοτο Χάρακος Σαήρις Κλαυδία άργοριο Περσίνη Δύρηλία	Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128548809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Used bigram 0.5	Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.25	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121	Sho
Λ(\\\\\\boldsymbol{b}\) interpreted we ength : 7 Candidate άπεδοτο Χάροκος Σσήρις Κλωδία άργοριο Περοίνη Δύθρωπο Αύρηλία Τωάνκου	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809182466E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6	(letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Used bigram 0.5	Ceemantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084	Sho
Λ((())) iterpreted we ength : 7 Candidate άπέδοτο Χάροκος Σοήρις Κλαρύοι Περοίνη άνθρωπο Αύρηλία Ιωάνου Θηβαίος	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Word bigram 0.5 0.5 0.5	Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.029 0.173 0.069 0.121 0.084 0.084	Sho
Λ((())) iterpreted we ength : 7 inadidate inadivori Ohjpiopio Tiadavori Ohjpiopio Wivelou	rd : à o 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128548809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	UWord bigram 0.5 0.5	C Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.121	She
Λ(\\\\)lo iterpreted we ength : 7 andidate άπέδοτο Χάρακος Σοήρις Κλαυδία άργοριο Πιερσίνη Δφηλία Ίωάνου Θηβαίος Δησιδίο	rd : à o 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6 1.8499049353126582E-5	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	UWord bigram 0.5 0.5	C Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.250	Sho
Λ((())) iterpreted we ength : 7 andidate ánt650το Χάρακος Σαήβας Κλαυδία άγφοριο Λύρηλία Τιαράγοριο Τιαράγοριο Αύρηλία Φηνείου Φηνείου Φηνείου Φλαύος	Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192466E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973683684009757E-6 5.973683684009757E-6	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	OWord bigram 0.5 0.5	C Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.063 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.260	She
((())) terpreted weight: 7 andidate áπέδοτο Χάρακο Σοήβας Κλαυδία άγυριο Περοίνη άνθρωπο Αλφηλία Ίωάνκου Θηβαίος Ψίνείου άποιτίοο Φλαίυς Υκοηπος	rd : à o Score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Voord length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6 1.8499049353136592E-5	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Ubord bigram 0.5 0.5	Context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.009 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.250 - 0.134 0.114	Sho
Λ(\\\)μο terspreted we ength : 7 andidate άπεδοτο Χάρακος Σσήμας Κλωδία άργυριο Περοίψη Λύρλία Τωάρτου Θηβαίος Φινείου Διοστίοο Φίλωστιος Τωάρτιος	score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Uword length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546809192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6 1.8499048353136582E-5	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	UWord bigram 0.5 0.5	Context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.280 0 0.134 0.114 0.114	She
((()))ο terpreted we angth : 7 andidate άπέδοτο Χάρακος Σοήμις Κλαυδία άργυριο Περσίνη άνθρωπο Αλφηλία Τωάννου Θηβαίος Ψινείου άποτίοο Φλαύιος Τιώσηπος Τοκμής	rd : à o 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Vord length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546800192486E-5 4.132543685468394E-4 5.973693684009757E-6 1.8499049353136592E-5	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	C Word bigram 0.5 0.5	C Semantic context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.25 0.05 0.05	Classification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.083 0.121 0.084 0.083 0.250 	She
Λ	score 6 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Word length 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Neighboured letter bigrams 3.0128546609192486E-5 4.132543685466394E-4 5.973683684009757E-6 1.8499048353136582E-5	Word similarity (letters) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Named Entity 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Word bigram 0.5 0.5	Context 0.25 0.05 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.25 0.05 0.05	Cassification 0.140 0.000 0.013 0.032 0.069 0.173 0.063 0.121 0.083 0.250 0 0.134 0.114 0.336 0.026 0 0.046 0.260	She

Another challenge in automated/computer-aided text reconstruction is the recognition of unknown literary fragments. These must necessarily be compared with the extant literary tradition in order to find out possible textual matches – what is called 'fragment siting' (see above, 5.4), something different than text recognition in documentary papyri, where we hardly ever possess more than one copy of a single document – formulaic phrases excluded. It is a well-known task that has been accomplished manually and with the sole help of memory for a very long time. With the advent of the literary databanks such as the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae* (see below, § 8.3), the work of searching for relevant words or phrases has been partially automatized, with a remarkable gain in terms of time and (very often) accuracy. The enthusiastic announcement made by William Willis, the father of the *Duke Databank*, at the 17th International Congress of Papyrology (Naples 1983), of the identification of 200 small papyrus scraps kept at Duke University (joining some other fragments at Köln) with portions of Achilles Tatius' *Leucippe and Clitophon*, book

III³⁵, came some ten years after a seminal article by S. Michaelson, I.A. Moir and A.Q. Morton (Computer Science Department, Edinburgh), who in 1975 described the experimental recognition of some fragments of the Dead Sea Scrolls with the use of an electronic calculator, concluding that "the computer can indeed excel the human being at searching for small fragments"³⁶.

The progress is evident: in the Eighties, a papyrologist was completely able to perform such tasks, while earlier only computer scientists were: the three cited authors concluded the article with: "Any scholar who would wish to use the service of having the New Testament or LXX searched for sites is invited to write to the authors"³⁷. This was also thanks to a new machine called *Ibycus* (see below, § 8.3), which Willis used to search for the letter sequences occurring on the fragments within the currently available TLG database: the computer displayed the results, and then he used special editing functions to reproduce the papyrus wording layout in the TLG text, which was re-aligned accordingly and shaped in the form of the lost roll. A further peculiar function let him display a diplomatic transcription of the fragments, to supplement text and recognize possible variants³⁸. This remained essentially the standard digital way of matching literary fragments, though slightly transformed by the rise of the searching software and then of the Internet (see below, § 8.3).

It is what M. Levison defined "the scanning method" as opposed to the "concordance" and "partial concordance" methods (the comparison between words, or letter sequences, with word indexes)³⁹, which resembles very much Kleve's *Lacunology*. The most relevant issue in the "scanning" method is that the papyrus fragments to identify are mostly written in *scriptio continua*, so that a preliminary word division should be done in order to perform searches in textual databanks that store 'normalized' (tokenized) texts with separated words; another issue is the fragmentation of the papyrus text, in that missing characters sometimes can impede the recognition.

Very recently, a joint team from Oxford, the Middle Tennessee State University and the University of Minnesota tested a revolutionary method to match papyrus fragments with known texts regardless of the wording issue. They noticed that a similar requirement does already exist in Biology, where fragmentary sequences of genes are to be matched with the full sequence, which is represented with a continuous train of letters representing amino acids. This operation is performed with a genetic sequence alignment algorithm, which sometimes leaves gaps in the align-

³⁵ Cf. WILLIS 1984a.

³⁶ MICHAELSON – MOIR – MORTON 1975 (quotation from p. 120). The authors reported that more than ten years before they had already suggested the application of automatic machines to detect text matches between unidentified fragments and known texts, but had not been able to put it in practice because of the lack of any relevant digitized text *corpora* (p. 119).

³⁷ MICHAELSON – MOIR – MORTON 1975, 120.

³⁸ Cf. WILLIS 1984a, 163–5.

³⁹ LEVISON 1965, 275.

ment: a circumstance that is certainly comparable to the condition of papyrus fragments. The researchers have therefore developed a modified version of a common genetic sequence alignment algorithm named BLAST (*Basic Local Alignment Search Tool*) creating a variant called Greek-BLAST. This basically "[f]inds regions of local similarity between sequences and compares them to databases", and "[c]alculates the statistical significance of matches"⁴⁰. The method was tested on simulated fragments and proved quite accurate; therefore, it will be further developed, and it will speed up very much the identification of the numerous new Oxyrhynchus fragments transcribed in the framework of the *Ancient Lives* project (see above, § 5.4), which was, in fact, the original reason for the development of such a revolutionary method⁴¹.

```
Score = 68.4 bits (154), Expect = 8e-13
Ancient Lives fragment: 131383
FRAGMENT ΠΑΖΑΔΟ2ΙΖΑΓΑΘΗΚΑΙΠΑΝΔΩΡΗΜΑΤΕΛΕΙΟΝΑΝΩΘΕΝΕ?ΤΙΝΚΑΤΑΒΑ
ΤΕΧΤ ΠΑΣΑΔΟΣΙΖΑΓΑΘΗΚΑΙΠΑΝΔΩΡΗΜΑΤΕΛΕΙΟΝΑΝΩΘΕΝΕΣΤΙ-ΚΑΤΑΒΑ
SIMILAR ΠΑ ΑΔΟ Ι ΑΓΑΘΗΚΑΙΠΑΝΔΩΡΗΜΑΤΕΛΕΙΟΝΑΝΩΘΕΝΕ ΤΙ ΚΑΤΑΒΑ
FRAGMENT INONAΠΟΤΟΥΠΑΤΡΟ?ΤΩΝΦΩΤΩΝ
TEXT INONAΠΟΤΟΥΠΑΤΡΟΣΤΩΝΦΩΤΩΝ
SIMILAR INONAΠΟΤΟΥΠΑΤΡΟ ΤΩΝΦΩΤΩΝ
```

To conclude, it is important to recall a fundamental *caveat*, which should be born in mind by anyone dealing with quantitative methods to analyse ancient texts. As pointed out by Massimo Magnani some years ago in a set of striking philological considerations⁴², problems of textual interpretation and constitution make ancient writings a complex and stratified matter, which quantitative analysis very often tends to smooth and flatten, equalizing the data. Only the scholars' skills can therefore give the correct interpretation to what is processed by the machine.

7.2 Quantitative Analysis of Metadata: Social Network Analysis in Papyrology

Quantitative analysis of papyrological metadata has a flourishing recent tradition focused on the *Trismegistos* portal, where it is possible not only to search for information, but also to generate a wide range of statistical results, often displayed as graphs and charts that help visualizing chronological, geographical, and thematic distributions, with particular regards to personal names (see above, § 3.3). Very

41 Cf. WILLIAMS – CARROLL – WALLIN – BRUSUELAS – FORTSON – LAMBLIN – YU 2014; BRUSUELAS 2016, 197–200. Text pattern searches for unknown literary and documentary fragments are announced in the framework of the next release of the *Ancient Lives* platform: cf. MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016. **42** MAGNANI 2008, 133–4.

⁴⁰ MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO 2016, from which is taken the picture below.

recently, this task has been enhanced thanks to the development of Social Network Analysis (SNA), an automated method for representing and measuring structural forms of relation and interaction between entities, originally developed – between the late Fifties and the early Seventies – by Mathematics, Anthropology, Sociology and recently spread – thanks to the application of computer technologies⁴³ – also to other research fields, including Ancient History⁴⁴.

Network analysis has been introduced in Papyrology in the early 2000s. Since it consists essentially in reproducing the correlations between entities by arranging them in a space through graphs (information visualization by means of relational maps), Katja Mueller applied such quantitative methods – namely, the algorithms known as MultiDimensional Scaling (MDS) and Monte Carlo simulations (MCS) 45 – to locate possible Ptolemaic Fayum settlements on the basis of the topographical data stored in the relational geographical database GEOREF of the Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online⁴⁶. The baseline assumption is that the degree of social interaction between a couple of settlements is proportional to their geographical link, i.e. settlements occurring together in the same texts could have been geographically close to each other. A subsequent article published by a mathematician and a computer scientist posed some caveats to this method, which is not seen as unreliable *tout court*, but subject to some technical pitfalls (the selection of the source data, the methodology of analysis, the reduction of complex facts to mathematical procedures) that must be taken into consideration to check, adjust, and refine the results⁴⁷. Mueller herself, at any rate, had warned that "MDS should not be used as the sole method", though it can provide some tentative, approximate clues⁴⁸. Not many years later, Giovanni Ruffini retained the said assumption (co-occurrence as a clue to neighbourhood), applying it to Byzantine Oxyrhynchites⁴⁹, and thoroughly utilized topographical network analysis to determine the relative location (but not the absolute topography⁵⁰!) of the settlements belonging to the Apions' estate⁵¹.

⁴³ Cf. RUFFINI 2008, 39.

⁴⁴ For a general introduction to SNA cf. BARABÁSI 2002; RUFFINI 2008, 8 ff. For applications to ancient history, see RUFFINI 2008, 15–20 and BROUX 2015c, 707.

⁴⁵ The former places each object in a space according to the levels of similarity among entities of a dataset; the latter utilizes repeated random sampling to generate draws from a probability distribution. **46** MUELLER 2003a; 2003b; 2004. In her third contribution, she combined MDS with a *Geographical Information System* (GIS) to transform the virtual settlements plotted by MDS in georeferenced locations (for Katja Mueller and papyrological applications of GIS, see above, § 3.3). Elsewhere, she applied another type of quantitative analysis (the so-called "size-rank rule") to establish theoretical population sizes for settlements in Ptolemaic Fayum on the basis of census data (MUELLER 2002; 2005a). Cf. RUFFINI 2008, 19–20. For *ProsPtol* see above, § 3.3.

⁴⁷ HOFFMAN – KLIN 2006. RUFFINI 2008, 20 n. 64, interestingly notes that "[t]he appearance in the pages of *The Journal of Juristic Papyrology* of a mathematician and a computer scientist indicates the potential for cross-disciplinarity inherent in these approaches".

⁴⁸ MUELLER 2003a, 120. She stressed this fact also in MUELLER 2003b, 219.

⁴⁹ RUFFINI 2007.

^{50 &}quot;The resulting chart is not a reliable guide to the physical topography of the nome" (RUFFINI 2008, 39).



Approximate location of Ptolemaic village clusters (from MUELLER 2003b).

Ruffini subsequently turned from topographical networks to a more general use of quantitative analysis of papyrological data to sketch the social pictures of Byzantine Oxyrhynchus and Aphrodito and to explore the differences between them⁵². In this view, papyri are considered as social events connecting people to each other; a social connection is given by the joint involvement of people in the same papyrological event. The author extracted the relevant information from topographical and prosopographical registers⁵³, which index the papyrological occurrences of places and people, and processed it with UCINET, a software designed to analyse and plot (with the embedded program *NetDraw*) social network data⁵⁴. The program draws a grid listing the connection, 0 = non-existing connection). Some potentially distorting facts are taken into consideration and removed accordingly⁵⁵.

A network is visualized through graphs, where points (called nodes) represent any piece of data, and the connecting lines (edges) are the relations between the

⁵¹ RUFFINI 2008, 128-38.

⁵² RUFFINI 2008; cf. GRAHAM – RUFFINI 2007, 331–6; BABEU 2011, 168–9.

⁵³ GIRGIS 1938; PRUNETI 1981.

⁵⁴ https://sites.google.com/site/ucinetsoftware/home.

⁵⁵ Cf. RUFFINI 2008, 20–8, for methodological discussion.

entities. The network graphs coming from papyrological data are usually of the type called 'two-mode graphs' since they connect different types of data (people, places, and papyri; a one-mode network, e.g., represents connections between people only), but can be reduced to one-mode graphs by affiliation analysis, so that if two people appear in the same text, then there is a connection (affiliation) between them. The network parameters like density (the probability that any node is connected to any other one), centrality (the less nodes with most links, or shortest paths to all other nodes, or staying on the most paths between nodes, the more the network is centralized, i.e. hierarchical), distance (number of steps between two nodes, i.e. social interconnectivity), degree (average number of relations between each node, or absolute number of relations of a single node), structural equivalence (nodes that have the same relations with all other nodes), cliques (subsets of "nodes, all adjacent to each other, with no other nodes adjacent to all the clique members"⁵⁶), clusters (subsets of nodes "that have a higher personal degree with other set members than with non-members"57), cohesion (minimum number of nodes to be removed to fragment the network; these nodes are called cutpoints) help analysing the social interactions between nodes⁵⁸.



A toponomastic network from RUFFINI 2008.

⁵⁶ RUFFINI 2008, 36.

⁵⁷ NIEMEIJER 1973, 53, quoted by RUFFINI 2008, 37.

⁵⁸ A general overview of network terminology, with papyrological examples, is provided by RUFFINI 2008, 28–40.

As recalled above, the *Trismegistos* databases prove powerful in the quantitative analysis of papyrological metadata (we mentioned many publications that rely on the analysis of the data provided by TM), and their relational architecture already provides basic connections between texts, people (individuals and names), and places (see above, § 3.3). The potentials of SNA applied to TM data have been recently explored by Yanne Broux and Silke Vanbeselaere (who also run a blog devoted to network analysis, *Historical Dataninjas*, http://historicaldataninjas.com – formerly Six Degrees of Spaghetti Monsters), and now each entry of TM People (NAM) shows a coloured graph visualizing the genealogical connections of the name in question. Moreover, a section specifically titled TM Networks (http://www.trismegistos. org/network) offers, alongside a general overview of networks, a set of searchable network graphs based on the relational TM data about modern authors (from TM Editors, BP, and DL), ancient names, and text irregularities (http://www. trismegistos.org/network/databases). In the TM network graphs, each node's size and colour are usually related to its degree, i.e. the number of relations with other nodes: small and 'cold' (black/blue) dots are nodes with few relations, big and 'hot' (up to red) dots are nodes with many relations; nodes can also be coloured according to categories (for example, types of languages). *TM Networks* also offers a tool to convert two-mode networks to one-mode, for those who dare analysing networks on their own: TOMATOR (Trismegistos One-Mode Generator, http://www.trismegistos. org/network/tomator); and a tutorial is available from the abovementioned blog⁵⁹. Things are now a bit easier than ten years ago: basically, lists of names obtained from TM can be exported and converted into spreadsheets and subsequently CSV (Comma-Separated Values) lists that can be automatically processed by programs like the said UCINET or open-source *Gephi* (https://gephi.org).

Moreover, the section *Networks for articles* (http://www.trismegistos.org/ network/articles) provides the graphs of the articles published by the TM members on the basis of SNA conducted on several different topics. TM network analysis has been first and foremost directed towards onomastics, for the study of naming patterns, practices, and changes as social indicators, according to the specific interests of the involved scholars and the long-standing tradition at Leuven, which had led – just to mention its digital outcome – to the *Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online* and later to *TM People*⁶⁰.

⁵⁹ http://historicaldataninjas.com/spaghetti-monsters-al-dente. A monograph is also forthcoming in the TOP series: BROUX – VANBESELAERE 2016.

⁶⁰ See above, § 3.3; cf. e.g. DEPAUW – VAN BEEK 2009; BROUX – COUSSEMENT 2014; BROUX 2015a; BROUX – DEPAUW 2015a.



Network graphs of the ancient names (top) and of the text irregularities (bottom) recorded in TM.

The onomastical relations forming the base of the network graphs are genealogical: the interest, not precisely prosopographical by itself, is focused on the sociocultural trends of name-giving⁶¹, but SNA is also used as a refinement for the automated extraction of onomastical data from the digital texts (Named Entity Recognition, see above, § 3.3), since it can help clustering individuals and families, thus

⁶¹ Cf. BROUX 2015b; 2015c; 2017; DOGAER 2015; fth.

highlighting communities⁶². A modern variation of this kind of analysis is the network of co-publications emerging from the SNA of the data stored in *TM Editors*, which looks like an opportunity "to study the papyrological community and its connectedness through the *amicitia papyrologorum*"⁶³.

A renovated topographical application of networking has been very recently experimented by Yanne Broux, who thus recovered Mueller's and Ruffini's suggestions, enhancing them with the help of network analysis. SNA converts indeed cooccurrences of places in the same texts (including also documents with more than five topographical mentions, which were excluded by the first two scholars) into visualizations of settlement and mobility patterns, so that a community detection algorithm can reveal potential geographical interrelations and hypothetical approximate placements for unknown sites⁶⁴.

A further implementation of the method is the analysis of epistolary framework, dealt with mainly by Nico Dogaer with a focus on Demotic letters from Elephantine: the people and places and the formulas are analysed by means of two-mode networks to map and study personal interactions, geographical communications, and epistolary patterns⁶⁵.

⁶² Cf. BROUX - DEPAUW 2015b, 307-12.

⁶³ Cf. DEPAUW – BROUX 2016, 208–10 (quotation from p. 210). For trend studies of papyrological scholarship through bibliography see also above, §§ 2.1–2.

⁶⁴ Cf. BROUX 2016a and 2016b. Although basic SNA (as the one conducted in the former contribute) does not convey any information about the actual geographic location of the studied sites, "it is possible to plot sites on the basis of their coordinates as you would on a map thanks to the Geolayout algorithms in Gephi, the software used to generate networks" (BROUX 2016b, 29). The latter contribution explores this georeferencing feature, wisely concluding that "deducing geographic positions from analyses of proximity patters, whether through MDS, network analysis or other methods, will not present straightforward results. This is not surprising, since the level of similarity between two places is measured on the basis of co-occurrence in texts, which is not necessarily defined by spatial proximity, but rather by administrative, social, religious and/or cultural ties" (BROUX 2016, 31). It is interesting the series of graphs, which shows how the view gets more distorted the more places are not georeferenced. The combination with more traditional ways for identifying actual locations is therefore highly recommended.

⁶⁵ Dogaer presented the research project at the latest International Congress of Papyrology (Barcelona 2016) under the title *Epistolary Networks* (abstract at http://papyrologia.upf.edu/wpcontent/uploads/book-of-abstracts.compressed.pdf, pp. 134–5). Forthcoming on the subject is DOGAER – DEPAUW 2017. Social network analysis on ancient letters has already been conducted, e.g. by CLINE – CLINE 2015 on the Amarna *corpus*.



A toponomastic network from BROUX 2016a.

The expansion of TM to texts beyond papyri (see above, § 3.3) now makes it possible to think in great style: extending NER and SNA methods to Latin texts and to inscriptions could lead to a large-scale analysis of naming patterns as connected to social practices from across the entire ancient Mediterranean, with a particular focus on the Romanization of the provinces.

Eventually, the goal of Trismegistos is to recreate a prosopography of the Graeco-Roman world. Reconstructing social networks of the past will help us gain a better understanding of the mechanisms of interaction in the ancient Mediterranean, not only on the micro level (individuals), but also on the mesa (communities) and even macro (regions, empires) levels. At the same time connections and communications across these different levels can be analysed: how individuals, as members of local communities, were integrated into larger political structures [...], and how these communities responded to impositions from above [...]. Social models, such as the six degrees of separation theory, can be tested, to check whether our 'small world' perception is indeed the result of present-day technology and mass-communication, or if similar structures of interconnectivity existed, and, if so, what the conditions for this ancient globalization were back then⁶⁶.

Of course, this goes far beyond Digital Papyrology, since it envisages "a universal Facebook of the Ancient World"⁶⁷ – but is a nice example of how Digital Papyrology, always in the forefront, can prove pathbreaking in adopting new research methodologies and spreading the word beyond its own disciplinary borders. On the other hand, as happens with any other statistical/quantitative data analysis applied to papyrological sources, one must be aware of the risks of extracting generalizing

⁶⁶ BROUX 2016c, 317 (and see *ibid.*, passim for details); the first results of NER applied to Latin inscriptions will be published in BROUX fth.

⁶⁷ BROUX 2016c; cf. DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 327.

patterns and trends from a dataset which is by nature essentially partial and chance-based. While operating on small, homogeneous groups of documents (like some archives, for example) can provide significant results, wider considerations should be treated with extreme carefulness⁶⁸: "brute computer force can hardly be the one and only way to success"⁶⁹.

7.3 Integrated Scholarly Workspaces



Collaboration, as we saw several times, is a primary trend in Digital Papyrology, and the openness of the digital tools is a crucial progress along this path. The SoSOL platform of *Papyri.info* (see further on, § 8.5) has been a pioneer in developing the concept of online collaboration, especially in the field of Digital Papyrology, but the very claim that papyrological resources should be open to the entire papyrological community and not managed by small research teams is itself not new: in 2001, while reviewing the first release of LDAB on CD-ROM, Nick Gonis wished that future

⁶⁸ A recent critical reconsideration of SNA methods applied to papyrological research has been made by G. Ruffini, in a lecture titled *Reconsidering Network Analysis: An Evangelist's Skepticism*, presented at the conference "Papyri & Social Networks" (Leiden 2015); abstract available at http:// media.leidenuniv.nl/legacy/abstracts-papyri-%26-social-networks-2015-def.pdf, pp. 2–3. I was not able to attend that conference, but I am grateful to Giuditta Mirizio who kindly provided me with some relevant information about it. Ruffini pinpointed issues in the scholarly reception and in the methodology itself of papyrological SNA, based on incomplete documentation and decontextualized data. A warning about the statistical relevance of papyri has already been given e.g. by BAGNALL 1995a, 62–4 (but see *ibid.*, 64 ff., for cases in which quantitative analyses could be successfully applied); see also the remarks by HOMBERT – PRÉAUX 1952, 40–1, and SCHEIDEL 1999, 64–5. It should be considered how new discoveries might change the picture (cf. HOFFMAN – KLIN 2006, 89). On the uneven distribution of papyrological sources see e.g. PALME 2009, 358–9.

releases "should allow the user to interact with the database, to intervene in the way one would do in the margins of a printed book"⁷⁰. The more the information technologies develop, the more digitized data grows, and the need for constant update and correctness makes the work of small teams heavy. Since June 2016, a papyrological version of Uncle Sam pops out of the TM portal, announcing: "We want you for Trismegistos: do not ask what TM can do for you, but what YOU can do for TM!"⁷¹.

The exhortation, here, is to advise about possible mistakes or shortcomings, but the need for a larger participation to maintain huge repositories of data is undeniable. The more recent platforms for linguistic annotation and data mining on papyri (Sematia, eAQUA) are open to anyone willing to contribute, under the necessary control⁷². These implementations have a double positive effect: they efficiently increase the amount of data and metadata stored in the online repositories, and at the same time provide the scholars with open environments for advanced research. The latter objective – to develop digital environments that could facilitate the scholarly work as much as possible – is the purpose of some recent projects aiming at collecting resources and tools all together, so that the researcher does not waste his time, nor gets lost in the labyrinth of the ever-growing digital facilities. The *Integrat*ing Digital Papyrology project has been pathbreaking in this direction, and I will deal with it later on (§ 8.4). Since we are now interested in the newest trends of Digital Papyrology, a particular mention is deserved by the concept behind the PapyLab online utility planned by the Egyptian colleague Magdy Aly in 2012/13 (http://papylab.org). This was intended to collect in a "virtual papyrological laboratory" a set of utilities for the papyrological research work: reference tools e.g. for dating, geography, taxes, measurements were planned. To date, the website is unavailable, but the concept behind it recalls a somehow old *desideratum*, expressed by Roger Bagnall already in 1998:

There will be some other work needed to give maximum utility to APIS's front-end software. For example, it would be helpful, especially to the nonpapyrologists, to incorporate into it a handy program developed by the Belgian scholar Willy Clarysse that converts the dates in the papyri into Julian form. For example, if you came across a text dated to the sixteenth regnal year of Antoninus Pius, the month of Pachon, and the third day, probably only five people in the world could tell you without looking it up that this was A.D. April 28, 153. With Clarysse's converter, you would simply pull up a window into which you would type the emperor, the year, the month, and the day, and up would come the answer. Over time, of course, it is easy to imagine other such tools that would be handy. For starters, how about incorporating digitized

⁷⁰ GONIS 2001, 422.

⁷¹ Cf. http://www.trismegistos.org/about_how_to_cite.php. The image is reproduced in the previous page.

⁷² This is not purely a papyrological trend: eAQUA deals with classics in general, and see also the *Perseids* project, "a collaborative editing platform for source documents in classics" (http:// perseids.org/sites); cf. ALMAS – BEAULIEU 2016 and see above, § 3.3.

versions of the maps of Egypt being prepared for the Atlas of the Greek and Roman World? Then you could type a place-name encountered in the papyrus into the pulldown dialogue box and have a map of Egypt pop up showing where it is. This dream will take a little longer; but it is realizable⁷³.



Bagnall's dream is almost accomplished, and in a more efficient scenario: the geographical utility (I am of course thinking of *TM Places*: see above, § 3.3) is now a scholarly tool that helps contextualizing the texts and hopefully studying spatial patterns. Clarysse's calendar itself (a Mac-compatible HyperCard shareware application called *DateConverter*⁷⁴) evolved into a resource integrated in the *Trismegistos* portal: TM Calendar (http://www.trismegistos.org/calendar), a relational database connected to the TM framework (see above, § 3.3), which is able to convert Ptolemaic and Roman regnal years, indiction years, Diocletian era years, and consular years into Iulian dates, providing also links to documents dated (exactly or loosely) to the period searched for. From the left-hand menu it is also possible to browse lists of periods, dynasties, rulers, years, centuries, and even new moons. The date range covered by TM Calendar is 3000 BC to AD 1000 (regnal years: 746 BC - AD 641). The integration with the textual metadata makes this calendrical utility different than other similar resources available online, where one could find some interesting features anyway: Chris Bennett's Chronological Tables (http://www.tyndalehouse. com/Egypt/ptolemies/chron/ chronology.htm) are a set of *Excel*/HTML/CSV tables displaying the Iulian correspondent for dates in the Egyptian and Roman chronolog-

⁷³ BAGNALL 1998, 551 (and cf. also BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 65, for a similar *desideratum* expressed in 1992).
74 Cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 11 (from which is taken the picture in the next page). It was presented at the 20th International Congress of Papyrology at Copenhagen, in 1992: see Appendix 1, below (cf. KRAFT 1992).

ical systems, but also in the Babylonian, Macedonian (missing⁷⁵), Olympic, and Athenian (missing) systems, which are not considered by *TM Calendar* for now (note that Clarysse's software supported a wider range of chronologies: *ab Urbe condita*, era of the martyrs, era of Oxyrhynchus, Olympiads). *Excel* files are available also for consular years and Egyptian lunar cycle (below in the page); for each system is also provided an introductory discussion. It must be noted that the chronological tables belong to a website devoted to the Ptolemaic dynasty (http://www.tyndalehouse. com/Egypt/ptolemies/ptolemies.htm), with also introductory sections and useful genealogies. The *Date Converter for Ancient Egypt*, developed by Frank Grieshaber (Seminar für Alte Geschichte und Epigraphik, Universität Heidelberg; http:// aegyptologie.online-resourcen.de), provides a search engine for the dates of the late Pharaonic, Ptolemaic and Roman periods, in this case via drop-down menus and number selectors for days and years.



It is apparent that a more extended integration of such calendrical utilities, not only in the metadata catalogues but also in the textual databanks, would be of great benefit for the researchers. And why not implementing (as planned by M. Aly) simi-

⁷⁵ "On his [*sc.* Bennett's] welcome page he made clear that health problems stopped him from updating it much in the last few years, and his recent death raises questions about the future of the site in the mid- to long-term: should it be at least preserved as it is? Should someone take it over, and try to update or even expand it? We do not know if he expressed his will about this, and we do not think this particular case should be discussed publicly, if not to call the scholarly community to reflect on what to do in such a situation, which is bound to happen again and again in the future" (DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 328).

lar help tools for the easy and quick reference of tax rates, units of measure, currencies, etc., which would be of great usefulness during research? Eventually, an integrated scholarly workspace that could provide the papyrologists with the most possible work tools at their fingertips is not that science-fiction. A project developed between 2005 and 2009 at the University of Oxford under the guidance of Alan Bowman and colleagues explored the possibility of building a Virtual Workspace for the Study of Ancient Documents (VWSAD) as a Virtual Research Environment (VRE) that could provide direct and integrated access to dispersed resources such as images, lexica, text corpora, etc., with cross-search and advanced editing options, a virtual workspace to process research data, and applications favouring collaboration among scholars. Admittedly inspired by the integrating papyrological projects such as APIS, the Duke Databank, and the Vindolanda Tablets Online (see below, § 8.6), the experimental prototype was directed to the decipherment and textual analysis of damaged and degraded ancient documents (papyri, writing-tablets, and inscriptions), with a strong focus on the archaeological materiality of the written objects, and directly linked to the Oxford developments in reading Roman tablets by means of digital techniques⁷⁶. A somewhat similar workspace, again developed at Oxford and called *Perseus*, will be discussed later on (§§ 8.6–7).

Date C	onverter for A	, ncíent (Sgypt	
Home			REST API Documentation	About Contact
0				
Roman Em The system expects the rather useless dates. Not specifying a day of	PETOTS hat the user knows what (s)he's doing vill result in calculation of both the fi	g; ahistoric entries rst and last day of	will result in mathematically co	prrect but otherwise
reign:	Augustus, years 1-43		•	
year:			10H	
month:	Thoth = Achet I		*	
day:			1	
	convert date reset			

⁷⁶ Presentation by A. Bowman at the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 2007); unpublished paper, see abstract at p. 6 of the *Proceedings*. Cf. BOWMAN – CROWTHER – KIRKHAM – PYBUS 2008 and 2010; DE LA FLOR – LUFF – JIROTKA – PYBUS – KIRKHAM – CARUSI 2010; HARLEY – ACORD – EARL-NOVELL – LAWRENCE – KING 2010; BABEU 2011, 152 ff.; and the web pages http://bvreh. humanities.ox.ac.uk/news/e-Science_Demonstrator.html and http://bvreh.humanities.ox.ac.uk/ VRE-SDM.html, where one can find also some screenshots of the prototype. On imaging of Roman tablets see above, § 5.4.

8 From Textual Databases to Digital Scholarship

Le livre [*sc.* an electronic edition produced from a textual database] n'est plus qu'un produit secondaire. Ce qui compte vraiment, c'est le fichier, dont n'importe quel chercheur peut extraire le renseignement précis dont il a besoin.

Étienne Evrard¹

Pruned of the thick crown of metadata, which we have dealt with so far, at the core of Papyrology there are the texts: it is therefore an easy equation to state that at the core of Digital Papyrology there is the textual data. As we saw in the previous chapter, the first steps of the application of electronic calculators to papyrological research were moved in the sphere of the textual databanks, and the very first developments of a dawning Digital Papyrology were envisaged in terms of digital treatment of the texts. Subsequently came the rest. And since texts are now again in the spotlight, after fruitful seasons of flourishing metadata platforms (now fully functional and run) and imaging techniques, it is appropriate to conclude our survey precisely with a focus on this complex and fascinating universe, which recovers and develops what is probably the oldest dream of Papyrology: the creation of a complete directory of papyrus documents for reference and search, that is – essentially – for comparison².

8.1 Digital Encoding of Papyrus Texts: Theory and Practice

"Computational systems depend on resolving 'real world' situations into exact numerical strings"³. This means that when digitising texts (namely Greek papyrus texts, in our case) one must take care of designing a code that translates the textual information into machine-readable conventions, i.e. "input conventions"⁴. As already defined by R.J. Glickman, these must be designed for two types of data: the text proper and "identification labels"⁵, i.e. all paratextual information, which differ slightly from what we called metadata in that the latter bear information about the papyrus as a material object and written artefact, while paratext bears information about the very essence of the text itself and of its linguistic / communicative con-

¹ EVRARD 1970, 125.

² The wish for textual *corpora* (first thematic, then general) is recurring in Calderini's methodological outlines that I presented in the *Introduction* above, § 1.1 (CALDERINI 1936, passim; especially CALDERINI 1951 and 1956). Cf. RUPPRECHT 1994, 25: "Die von der Epigraphik her vertraute Lösung der Herausgabe von Corpora [...] stößt im Bereich der Papyrusurkunden auf Schwierigkeiten nicht nur wegen der Zahl der edierten, sondern auch wegen des steten Zuflusses neuer Urkunden".

³ TERRAS 2010, 50.

⁴ On data input and text encoding see in general LAUE 2004 and RENEAR 2004.

⁵ GLICKMAN 1970, 153.

tents. Paratext⁶ is essentially the set of critical, diacritical, and punctuation signs that comes along with the text itself, whether in ancient or in modern times, being indispensable for its correct understanding⁷, i.e. human decoding.

Encoding text poses some issues when dealing with non-Latin characters, especially if the electronic environment is designed to support a limited set of alphanumerical signs and some other common symbols, as it was the case at the beginning of the digital era. Encoding paratext poses the same order of issues when dealing with specific conventional marks. Such issues - "the contemporary tower of Babel" in communication between men and machines, as it has been defined⁸ – are usually faced with the establishment of conventions, which can be more or less universally adopted but must be strictly followed in order to comply with the technological requirements: basically, they must be as standardized as possible. This is not that different from what happens in a printed transcription or edition, where typographical conventions are used to represent papyrological texts and paratexts, and one must follow the Leiden editorial conventions as well as the standard guidelines of journals, series, or publishers. Once data is encoded, it has to be converted again in human-readable format, so that the researcher can benefit of the output obtained from the computer. Operations of reversal encoding, or decoding, are therefore necessary as well.

Encoding is normally effected through input from keyboard. If the computer does not support Greek characters, a 'transliteration' is required: the input is encoded in plain ASCII characters, and then the computer renders it in (polytonic) Greek glyphs. This happened with the earliest work (Alpha and Beta Code, see below, § 8.3) as well as through more recent times, until Unicode was introduced (see above, § 1.2). *LaserGreek, SuperGreek,* and similar custom typefaces allowed indeed for encoding Greek with particular combinations of ASCII alphanumerical keys; the resulting text was displayed in Greek characters thanks to specific typefaces. Codes were different from font to font, and from platform to platform, generating a great mess: the text was in fact encoded and processed in ASCII, so that if one did not possess the exact typeface with which it had been encoded, (s)he was not able to display it correctly – not to speak of display issues in many web browsers. The introduction of Unicode (see above, §1.2) solved most of the problems: input was now effected directly in the Unicode Greek subset codes through appropriate keyboard layouts⁹, ensuring cross-

⁶ Terminology refers to G. Genette's textual theory (cf. GENETTE 1992, 83–4, as later developed in GENETTE 1997, 1–7).

⁷ Actually, with "identification labels" Glickman referred to the contextual metadata; I retain a narrower meaning, which suits my argument.

⁸ MELAGRAKIS 1996.

⁹ One of the most common is perhaps *GreekKeys*, owned and distributed by the American Philological Association (editor Donald Mastronarde). Its latest release (*GreekKeys 2015*) is free for APA members (https://classicalstudies.org/publications-and-research/about-greekkeys-2015; previous

platform compatibility¹⁰. Unicode is in fact a great means of standardization, and its use is highly recommended, though unfortunately not universally adopted even today. Since some peculiar papyrological symbols were not included in the official Unicode release, some special Unicode font faces have been designed to support those missing glyphs in their own "private user area" (a special code range reserved for third-party personalizations) as well as nicer display of combining diacritics like the underdot¹¹. The most used are likely *New Athena Unicode*, developed by Donald Mastronarde and distributed by the American Philological Association (https:// apagreekkeys.org/NAUdownload.html), and *IFAO Grec Unicode*, developed by the Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale (http://www.ifao.egnet.net/publications/ publier/outils-ed/polices). Various utilities to convert legacy non-Unicode Greek text into Unicode have been released, and they prove very useful to recover old files, especially for the sake of entering papyrus texts in the textual databank¹².

						US	SASCII	code	chart							0	16	32	48	64	80	96	112	128	144	160	176	192	208	224	240
×				_	-	۰,	0	0,	0,	10	10	1	1		٥		T		Q	6	Π	~	π	1	ß	t	1	°.	-	5	Ŷ
	2				h. Fakans	- 0	, ,	<u> </u>	1	0	· · ·	0		4	- 1			1	1	A	۲	α,	8	×	,	*	ľ,	•	i - 1	α	L
1	4	Þ,3	Þ2	٩,	Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		2	1	1	ς	2	В	P	β	ρ	С	1	r	j	1	v	D	:
	0	0	0	0	0	NUL	DLE	SP	0	6	P	``	P	1	3	1	T.	*	3	Х	Σ	X	σ	1	*	(T)	į. !	v	~	W	F
	0	0	0	I.		SOH	DC1	1 !	1	A	Ø.	¢	9		4	Τ	Т	\$	4	۵	Т	δ	τ	-	?		У	f	~	()	3
	0	0	1	0	2	STX	DC 2		2	[e	R	b.	1]	5	1	Т	7.	5	Е	Υ	e	υ	+		2	m	×	~	R	C
	0	0		T	3	ETX	DC 3	#	3	C	s	c	3]	6	i	Ť	1	6	æ	1	*	1	1		5	4	,	λ.	+	'n
	0	1	0	0	4	EOT	DC4		4	0	т	đ	1. •]	Ĭ	+	÷	~	0	T	~	Ψ		-			Ľ.		<u> </u>		
	0	1	0	Ĩ	5	ENQ	NAK	%	5	E	υ	*	U			1	_		(1	Ω.	Ŷ	ω	(i	\$	w.	Ľ.	Y	I	200
	0	1	1	0	6	ACK	SYN	8	6	F	v	f	¥	1	8			1	8	Н	Ξ	η	ξ	•	÷	r	30	Ť	Ľ	U	J
	0	1	1	1	7	8EL	873	'	7	G	₩	q	W	1	9			1	9	Ι	Ψ	ι	ψ	f	1	9	p	1:		11	·
	1	0	0	0	8	85	CAN	(8	н	x	h	×		10	1	T	*	•	۲	Ζ	,	ζ	-	N	•	Ь		\square	S	h
	T	0	0	1	9	нт	EM)	9	1	Y	1	Y		11	i	Ť	v	~	K	*	к	÷	<		е				D	k
	L.	0	1	0	10	LF	SUB	*	:	J	z	1	1 2			÷	÷	-			*	~	Ť	-		Ť.	Ĥ	-	-	Ē	
	T	0	1	T		[VT	ESC	+		ĸ	τ	k	Γ(]		-	÷	,	-	Δ		^		8	•	L a	Ľ	L,	Ĥ	F	•
	t	1	0	0	12	FF	FS	Ι.	<	L	N.,	[][1]	13		1	•	=	М		μ		¢	*	~	z		Ľ	G	
	1	1	0	1	13	CR	GS	- 1		M	1	m] }		14	1		•		N	+	ν	~)	§	"	<u> </u>	Q	1	SZ	
	1	t	1	0	14	50	RS		>	N	~	n	\sim	1	15	1	T		**	0		0		:			{	9	^	٠.	
	LÍ	1	II	1	15	[\$1	US	17	?	0		0	DEL]			-						-	-		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ين ا			ت

7-bit ASCII chart & SuperGreek ASCII chart.

releases: http://apagreekkeys.org). Other options are described at https://wiki.digitalclassicist. org/Greek_Keyboards_(Unicode).

¹⁰ On the architecture and the mechanics of character coding systems see MELAGRAKIS 1996 and MACRAKIS 1996 (with an excursus on the encoding issues of ancient Greek alphabets, including diacriticals).

¹¹ Though most of the Unicode diacritics for ancient Greek come as precombined characters (i.e. the letter + its diacritics form one single character), some of them are just appended to the letter as separated, combined (or "floating") characters. The underdot belongs to the latter group. On this issue cf. MACRAKIS 1996, 278–9.

¹² See below. For example, among the newest tools we can mention the open-source *Theuth* developed by Daniele Fusi, a Word addin that helps typing in Unicode and converting any customencoded polytonic Greek text into Unicode (http://fusisoft.azurewebsites.net/software/theuth), and *Greek Transcoder*, by David-Artur Daix, a Visual Basic routine (Macro) embedded in a Word template that offers a complete range of transcoding options (http://www.greektranscoder.org). One has just to open the template, paste the polytonic Greek text, run the Macro, choose the appropriate typefaces, and launch the routine.



Private User Areas of New Athena Unicode and IFAO Grec Unicode (part).

But we would see only half of the heaven (or of the hell...) if we did not take into consideration the encoding supports. As papyrologists are accustomed to deal with writing supports, their transformation over time and their intimate relation with the text they bear, digital papyrologists must cope with digital supports and with their intimate relation with the digital text they host. Digital texts are highly support- and device-dependent, and their ultimate usability lays on the usability of supports and devices. The first supports were punched cards, pieces of stiff paper in which the information was represented, in a binary way, by the presence or absence of small holes in predefined positions, which calculators could interpret and process (see above, § 4.4). These were huge machines and the intermediation of specialized technicians was indispensable (see above, § 7.1): we may define them the 'cuneiform tablets' of Digital Papyrology. It is probably for this reason that for the first systematic and comprehensive databanks we had to wait for the introduction of magnetic tapes, which made things slightly easier – they could be distributed quite easily, but still required tape drives to be transferred to large computers -, and the development of micro-computers, from the mid Seventies, which enhanced the personal use of the electronic resources (see above and below, §§ 7.1 and 8.3). At first, the textual databanks (namely the Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri, see below, § 8.3) were mostly relegated to a specific computer system, the *Ibycus* designed by David Packard to store and manage the Thesaurus Linguae Graecae directly in Greek characters (see below, § 8.3) – we can compare this phase to 'hieroglyphic rolls', slightly handier than punched cards (our metaphorical incised tablets) but still reserved to a narrow élite of experts. The quick diffusion of many different computer systems urged the developers to grant the conversion of proprietary *Ibycus* code into a universal code that could be read also by machines that could not manage Greek characters. Betacode, developed by Packard himself in the late Seventies as a way of representing Greek characters and diacriticals by means of Latin characters and special marks (see

below, § 8.3), became the first step towards universalism and standardization¹³ – a modern parallel to ancient 'international Aramaic', which became a sort of Mediterranean interlanguage also thanks to the ease of use of its alphabetic writing. The launch of the CD-ROM – a direct access memory device, sensibly smaller, more capacious, and faster than magnetic tapes, which were sequential access memory devices – facilitated the diffusion of the database, and at the same time the flourishing of special software programs designed to support the database on non-*Ibycus* systems¹⁴. The situation might now be compared, approximately, to classical antiquity: a widespread diffusion of Greek as 'universal' language declined in several handwritings, and a variety of writing supports with different characteristics and uses. Then, in 1991 Internet, the hypertextual revolution that completely changed the way of structuring and displaying data, saw daylight. This brought new ways of thinking the text in relation to the support, within the framework concept of tabularity that can be easily compared with ancient codex-format books¹⁵.

The conversion of Digital Papyrology to the World Wide Web was not exactly straightforward: many digital projects of those years started, or planned to start, on CD-ROM, but soon after turned to the Internet (see above, § 1.2). Anyway, within less than ten years (1997) the *Duke Databank* was fully working online in the *Perseus* hypertextual framework (see below, § 8.3). It took ten more years (2006/7), with the IDP project and *Papyri.info* (see below, § 8.4), to fully understand and exploit the real potentials of online databanking: open access, integration of resources, worldwide collaboration – after some smaller, pioneering projects already exploited some or all of these potentials. It must be clear that what we are now dealing with is not a new encoding support: it is a completely different way of structuring and conceiving data, that is texts. But we will face this last challenge in the next chapter.

¹³ Cf. WILLIS 1988, 16. A strong claim to device-independency (quite interesting also because of its early appearance), especially from *Ibycus* systems, was made by ORE 1988, 28–9 (who also announced a translation of Kleve's applications for *Lacunology* and *Literalogy* in such portable languages as *Pascal* and *Modula-2*: ORE 1988, 27–8).

¹⁴ Cf. WILLIS 1992, 125–6.

¹⁵ See above, § 1.1. It is not for fun that I ceded to the folkloric and fashionable comparison between ancient and modern media. The relationship between medium and text is a constant factor in human cultural development (cf. BOLTER 1993, 160), and text transmission issues tend to reproduce themselves: "[i]t seems therefore reasonable to argue that we have returned to a situation somewhat like the one that existed in the ancient world and furthermore that perhaps some of the processes that governed the survival of ancient works might pertain to digital media" (CAYLESS 2010, 147). Also, computer power and storage cannot be underestimated as factors influencing the digital treatment of ancient texts (cf. CRANE 2004, 50 ff.) just as ancient roll and codex capacity determined many features of analogue text processing.

8.2 The Earliest Textual Databases

As anticipated above (§ 7.1), the first attempts to store Greek papyrus texts digitally were made in the Sixties at the LASLA in Liège under the guidance of Alfred Tomsin¹⁶, and at the Centro di Documentazione Automatica in Milan founded by Enrico Maretti, under the guidance of Mariangela Vandoni¹⁷. Both teams used punched cards to store the texts in electronic calculators (see above, § 4.4). However, though the support was the same, each team chose to use a different type of encoding for the Greek texts. The choices were made on the ground of the different research purposes.

The Belgians were eventually interested, alongside research features, in the indexing functions¹⁸ and in the printed output of the data¹⁹, and therefore decided to encode directly Greek characters along with spirits, accents²⁰, iota subscript, diaeresis, underdots, capital letters²¹, so that they needed to modify existing IBM machines²², since they normally did not support Greek direct input. They encoded one word per card, along with reference codes (end of sentence, end of line)²³. What is striking, in the text processing, is the high flexibility of the method: later emendations were added at a second stage, so that it was possible to reproduce both the emended version and the original *editio princeps*, alongside the diplomatic transcription²⁴; moreover, as already noted earlier, a strong linguistic focus produced a deep 'annotation' of lemmatized, morpho-syntactic and semantic information for each word. Such a system was able to accept most of the editorial symbols as in the Leiden conventions, but some had to be changed for technical reasons (see the summary table below)²⁵. In fact, when they presented their method at the 12th Inter-

¹⁶ Cf. MARGANNE 2007a, 12–13 (English version at http://web.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/papyrology-in-liege). On the LASLA see above, §§ 3.2 and 7.1.

¹⁷ Cf. ZARRI 1967, 55; CIAMPI 1980, vii-ix.

¹⁸ Cf. EVRARD 1967, 93–4; TOMSIN 1970a, 473. We certainly remember that Tomsin's very first attempt of applying computational algorithms to papyri involved the creation of a prosopographical database based on automatic word extraction from a digital *corpus* (see above, § 3.5).

¹⁹ Cf. EVRARD 1967, 96.

²⁰ Nevertheless, a set of cards in which the words were encoded without accents was necessary for alphabetic sorting, as TOMSIN 1970b, 62–3 explains.

²¹ All words were encoded in lower case, then an automatic routine recognized those marked as proper names and capitalized their first letter (cf. TOMSIN 1970b, 62).

²² Cf. ZARRI 1967, 56–8; BINGEN 1968a, [1]; MARETTI – ZARRI 1970, 285–7; EVRARD 1970, 122–3; 1971, 90–2 (noteworthy is the consideration that it would have been better to encode all combinations of letters and diacriticals separately, if the computer memory was bigger).

²³ Cf. EVRARD 1967, 93; 1968, 92; 1971, 89.

²⁴ Cf. BINGEN 1968b, 380; BODSON 1970, 44; EVRARD 1971, 93.

²⁵ BINGEN 1968a, [2–3]. For example, the braces for superfluous letters are replaced by double angle brackets "pour économiser deux codes dont l'utilisation était bien plus nécessaire pour rendre des sigle numériques" (TOMSIN 1970b, 61 n. 3).
national Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 1968), they distributed a print sample of their work, consisting of a significant selection of papyrus editions printed from their database in the different possible formats, with indices and concordances generated automatically²⁶. This *Choix de papyrus documentaires – Essai de traitement automatique* opens with a prophetic introduction by Louis Delatte, the founder and director of LASLA, the concluding paragraph of which is perhaps worth to be quoted entirely for its historical and methodological significance:

si chaque centre de papyrologie disposait d'un système 870 [i.e. the IBM machine used at LASLA] et si un centre général doté d'un petit ordinateur regroupait tous les fichiers, il ne serait pas ridicule d'imaginer qu'en quelques années, un corpus général de papyrologie pourrait être constitué et exploité²⁷.

It must be stressed that Delatte did not limit himself to prophesize a possible future database of all papyrological texts, but envisaged it in the same terms of worldwide collaboration that mostly inform Digital Papyrology today.

The Italians, on their side, aimed at providing the researchers with a work instrument easy and convenient, able to perform any possible search and based on a text that should be as close to the original as possible. For this reason, they encoded the texts in Latin transliteration, in capital letters, without diacriticals, and without any modern emendation/correction²⁸. Special codes were assigned to proper names and numerals (whether in figures, spelled out or fractional), as well as text sections (paragraph beginning, initial or final break, line end with or without word break, column beginning, verso, end of the papyrus)²⁹. A peculiar solution was adopted to indicate scribal corrections: * C p = q * C , where *p* is the original word and *q* the corrected one³⁰. For other conventions see the summary table below. The experiment comprised a sample *corpus* of 50 Milan papyri and a program called ARSINOE, which was divided into five subroutines: ARSINOE 1 converted the machinereadable codes into human-readable format (e.g. adding line numbers, resolving abbreviations...) and checked possible 'syntax' mistakes in the perforation of the

²⁶ BINGEN – TOMSIN – BODSON – DENOOZ – DUPONT – EVRARD 1968; cf. BINGEN 1968b, 379–80. Some conventions are still provisional in this volume, because it was printed quickly before the congress, as explained by BINGEN 1968b, 379. It is the case, in particular, with the numbers indicating illegible/lost characters.

²⁷ DELATTE 1968. TOMSIN 1970a, 476 wished a progress from single test-cases to all texts as well.

²⁸ Cf. ZARRI 1967, 57–9. The numerals *koppa* and *sampi* were transliterated with Q and V, *stigma* with the Arabic numeral 6.

²⁹ Cf. ZARRI 1967, 71–2.

³⁰ This, by the way, is a nice antecedent of modern 'regularization'/'correction' tags in Leiden+ (see below, § 8.5).

cards (e.g., a lacuna opened but lacking its closing code³¹); ARSINOE 2 aimed at searching and extracting words for building indexes/concordances; ARSINOE 3 was devoted to the search for spelling variants; ARSINOE 4 and 5 performed searches for complex formulas³².

Subsequently, Tomsin decided to apply the same automated method as used for *Choix* to the study of a dossier of papyrological documents related to the imperial estates in Egypt (*ousiai*), envisaging a true quantitative analysis of the digital texts through partially automated lemmatization and semantic annotation, which produced special thematic indices (geographical, prosopographical, topographical, etc.)³³. It is a clear example of the potentials of a deeply annotated *corpus*: essentially, text and metadata integrated in a single, powerful database.

Of course, as Delatte himself noticed, punching cards to encode the basic data of all published papyri would have required an enormous work by several papyrological teams worldwide; but it is a real pity that when input methods became less complicated papyrus texts were digitized without annotations. This was still a priority when a project for digitizing all published documentary papyri from Oxyrhynchus was launched at Oxford in the late Seventies. Presented at the 16th International Congress of Papyrology (New York 1980), the *Oxyrhynchus Computer Project*³⁴, led by Peter Parsons, intended to encode texts along with quite detailed metadata: volume and edition number, of course, but also reference to photographs, bibliographical information, type of document, relevant subjects, date, current location, information on the other side, physical information. Texts were encoded in continuous transliteration (with possibility of converting to formatted Greek) and without editorial corrections. Further deep annotation stages were planned:

[n]umerals will need to be marked off in these texts to avoid their useless incorporation in word lists, as will words within restorations, affecting these same word lists and statistics based on them. Personal and geographical names, and the names of months, require distinction. Other specialized matters remain for the future, the largest being comprehensive lemmatization; marking off of homographs; analysis of syntactic function; and incorporation of scholarly conjectures and corrected orthography³⁵.

Unfortunately, this remained a wish, as the next generation of textual database would be slightly different in shape and scope.

³¹ Another nice antecedent of a modern tool: the automatic validating check of the *Papyrological Editor* (see below, § 8.5).

³² Cf. ZARRI 1967, 58 ff.; MARETTI – ZARRI 1970, 283–4 and 287.

³³ TOMSIN – DENOOZ 1974. The technique is described in details by TOMSIN 1970c; cf. also TOMSIN 1970b, 63.

³⁴ Cf. KEEFE 1981.

³⁵ KEEFE 1981, 684.

8.3 The Thesaurus Linguae Graecae and the Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri

1972 is the year of the *Thesaurus Linguae Graecae* (TLG), the tool that revolutionized the entire field of classical studies. The goal of the project, started at the University of California-Irvine under the direction of Theodore F. Brunner and funding by Marianne McDonald, was essentially to create a digital *corpus* of Greek literary texts from Homer to AD 600, later expanded to a selection of Byzantine works. Much has been written on TLG³⁶ and it would be odd repeating everything here: but the event has been so paramount to deserve some notes. In particular, I would like to focus on some key features of the databank, and on the question whether it can be considered a 'papyrological' tool. Since the latter is a significant methodological and epistemological point, I will start with it.

In fact, the question has already been asked by Brunner himself, in the context of the 20th International Congress of Papyrology at Copenhagen (1992), and his authoritative answer was positive:

'Ev ἀρχῆ ἦν ὁ λόγος – without an understanding of the words that it carries, any piece of papyrus would be merely a meaningless scrap of desiccated organic matter. One of the primary purposes of the Thesaurus Linguae Graecae is to provide us with a better understanding of text – of the use of words, and the relationship between words. Electronic data banks are superbly suited to facilitate the attainment of such understanding. Philologists, historians, linguists, theologians, and other non-papyrologists have been using the TLG's resources for quite some time as a means to analyze and understand their raw material – their texts. In fact, the past few years have witnessed almost a quantum jump in the quantity and quality of scholarly publication in direct consequence of the availability of the TLG resource. Moreover, the availability of large text corpora in electronic (and thus easily accessible) form has stimulated research in areas long neglected simply because the raw materials were too extensive to be dealt with by means of traditional methodologies: 2.5 million words of Galen, or 4.5 million words of John Chrystostom suddenly no longer seem quite as formidable, now that they are accessible via a computer search consuming but a few minutes. Papyrologists can reap equal benefit from using the TLG as one of their basic research tools³⁷.

He gave some practical examples of unidentified literary fragments assigned to known authors thanks to the comparison with TLG texts, and of previously mismatched pieces then correctly reassigned³⁸. It is therefore in such "computer-

³⁶ Cf. BRUNNER 1993a; 1994; MAGNANI 2008, 128 ff.; http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/history.php.

³⁷ BRUNNER 1994, 605.

³⁸ BRUNNER 1994, 605–6. In BRUNNER 1984 he described in details the correct assignment of P.Dura 2, fr. A and B, to two Appian's works, on the basis of TLG comparisons via lexical searches. In particular, it is methodologically interesting to follow the two different descriptions, of the possible manual method used by C.B. Welles to identify fr. A in 1939 and of the digital one, the search for combinations of letters and blank spaces performed with the tool *LEX*, designed by David Packard

Früchte^{"39} – a neologism created by the same Brunner after the typical German expression *Lesefrüchte* – that we must see the main reason for which TLG "can be used as a papyrological tool"⁴⁰: we have already presented the enthusiastic report by William Willis on its fruitful papyrological exploitation, and other similar cases can easily be evoked as well⁴¹. And since TLG is basically a philological resource (the encoded texts belong to literary material), it is really to be seen – again in Brunner's own words – as a "unifying force", a meeting point that can enable scholars from different fields to work together⁴², in view of that cross-disciplinary utopia that probably only digital tools, in their almost infinite potentials, can ensure (see above, § 3.3, the case of *Trismegistos*, expanding from Papyrology to more universal horizons). Moreover, we should not forget that TLG does in fact include papyrological texts, if they are the sole testimonies of literary authors⁴³.

42 Brunner 1994, 606–7.

and enhanced by William Johnson to add features suitable to papyrological phenomena. Moreover, in BRUNNER 1988 he presented the case of P.Köln I 25 frr. k-o, previously assigned to *Iliad* II on the ground of the matches of the other fragments of the same papyrus, but actually not containing text strings significantly compatible with that book. See also BRUNNER 1986 and 1993b.

³⁹ BRUNNER 1986.

⁴⁰ BRUNNER 1994, 606.

⁴¹ Cf. WILLIS 1984a (see above); FORTUNA – BINDI – BOZZI 1987 (at pp. 198–203, discussion of a software designed to perform automatic comparisons between fragmentary papyri and the literary database); BOUQUIAUX-SIMON 1991; 1992 (for the TLG at Liège see also MARGANNE 2007a, 16); HANSON 1997, 300–4 (on medical fragments); HANSON 2002, 196 (apropos of Louise C. Youtie's work on the *Michigan Medical Codex*); RENNER 2009, 290–1.

^{43 &}quot;In 1976 [...] a growing concern with papyrus texts preoccupied the advisory committee in New York. Earlier, under the guidance of past APA president William Willis, who had been added to the membership in 1974, the committee had concurred 'that literary papyri should be treated differently from documentary papyri, that they should be accepted as texts of ancient authors and handled together with other texts of Greek authors, and that the work of entering such texts into the data bank should be taken independently of any arrangements for documentary papyri.' ["Minutes of the Irvine meeting of the APA Advisory Committee on the TLG (March 29-30, 1974)"] Following this advice, the TLG added numerous Greek papyrus texts to the data bank, although only edited texts would be represented, with diplomatic texts ignored altogether. [...] In order to avoid excessive duplication in the contents of the data bank, we would have to refine our thinking about papyrus texts: a distinction would have to be drawn between authors whose writings are preserved, either completely or in part, by codices, and authors whose known fragments derive entirely from papyrus and from quotations. Papyrus fragments of text supported by a manuscript tradition should be regarded as 'alternative manuscripts,' [quoted from "a statement by Pearson entitled 'Fragments of Greek Authors in the Word Bank', distributed to members of the advisory committee", p. 1] to be treated as all other manuscripts in an apparatus criticus. Inasmuch as solutions for successful data entry of an apparatus criticus had not yet been developed, such papyrus texts were removed from the TLG's immediate consideration. But the numerous papyrus texts that had no separate manuscript tradition would have to be represented in the data bank, and 'generally the text to be followed will not be that of the original publication in a papyrus collection, but a critical edition of the author's fragments.' ["Pearson, 'Fragments', 2"]" (BERKOWITZ 1993, 45-6).

The technical details of TLG are of the utmost importance to understand the subsequent development of the biggest papyrological textual databank. The papyrological outcome of TLG is essentially literary, since it collects literary texts, while all papyrological digital resources before and for several years after it where devoted to 'documentary' data⁴⁴. It has been probably a matter of perspective that made the literary side of the texts prevail on the papyrological one for technical reasons, though Brunner himself expressed his wish that the gap could be filled and that "one of the Ds in DDBDP will disappear, and that a Duke Data Bank of Papyri will ultimately contain literary fragments and documents alike"⁴⁵.

The issues of the literary texts stored in TLG are well known and it is sufficient to enumerate them very quickly: the selection of one canonical edition and the absence of an apparatus criticus make it a powerful lexicographical tool, but not exactly an exhaustive scholarly reference⁴⁶. It can therefore be utilized with success, but being aware of the unavoidable risks and shortcomings; and this is even truer with Papyrology, since papyri very often attest to philological or linguistic variants that may differ from the accepted restitutions, or can even be unattested in the manuscript tradition. These circumstances of course affect the comparison of papyrus texts with the TLG databank, making Brunner's wish for a database of literary papyri even more striking. Fortunately, this dream is going to be fulfilled, as we will see below (§§ 8.6–7).

From the merely technical viewpoint, the early TLG system used a Varian 620L minicomputer tied into Irvine's mainframe. The texts were entered in the so-called Alpha Code, which conventionally used ASCII Latin characters and common symbols to represent Greek letters and diacriticals. Both hardware and software had been designed by the visionary classicist David W. Packard, founder of the Packard Humanities Institute and son of the co-founder of *Hewlett-Packard* computer industry, who specifically implemented them to store, process, search, and display ancient Greek texts⁴⁷. Between the late Seventies and the early Eighties (1981) Packard developed new hardware and software and launched the *Ibycus System*, an IBM-

⁴⁴ I am not speaking of metadata, of course. The first papyrological experiments, as well as the *Oxyrhynchus Computer Project* and the *Duke Databank* itself selected only 'documentary' texts. The first real examples of papyrological literary textual database are – significantly – the Catalogues of Mythographic and Paraliterary Papyri (CMP, CPP), launched in 2001 and 2003, respectively (see above and below, §§ 3.5 and 8.6).

⁴⁵ BRUNNER 1994, 607.

⁴⁶ The exact scope of the project, as defined in 1972, was "a lexicographical work which will collect, sort, and identify every single word extant in ancient Greek literary and non-literary documents" (http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/history.php). Then it was then decided to shape it as a literary textual databank. The lack of apparatus criticus is not to blame on the TLG team: "the field of Classics, asked by us to develop the principles that should underlie data entry of app. crit. materials, was not ready to provide the needed guidance" (BRUNNER 1994, 605).

⁴⁷ Compare with the use of customized IBM machines at the LASLA (see above, § 8.2).



From the UCI Library website (http://lib.uci.edu/sites/all/exhibits/tlg/index.php?page=section_6): "Sample pages from a published edition of a Greek text marked up and ready for data entry". The text is remarkably a magical papyrus.

modified self-standing mainframe adapted to philological work, being specifically designed "to store, read, edit and search texts in Greek and Latin"⁴⁸, as well as Beta Code, an enhanced release of the Greek encoding conventions. Beta Code uses upper-case Latin characters to represent Greek letters (a capital Greek letter is marked with an asterisk before it) and non-alphabetical common signs (parentheses, slashes, equals, pipe) to indicate spirits, accents, iota subscript (these must be added after the vowel, in the order: breathing-accent-iota subscript)⁴⁹. *Ibycus System* used magnetic tapes to store information.

⁴⁸ WILLIS 1984a, 163.

⁴⁹ Cf. MAGNANI 2008, 130–1. A quick overview of Beta Code (still used for TLG e for some other resources to search for Greek text, see above, § 3.5) at http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/encoding.php. The full TLG Beta Code manual and a quick reference PDF file are available at http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/encoding/BCM.pdf and http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/encoding/quickbeta.pdf respectively.



Magnetic tapes and the *lbycus System* (from http://lib.uci.edu/sites/all/exhibits/tlg/index.php?page=section_7).

It was in fact around an *Ibycus System* that the project of creating a textual databank of all documentary papyri was developed at the Duke University (Durham, NC), under suggestion of Packard and direction of John Oates and William Willis⁵⁰. Ten years earlier, TLG had abandoned the idea of including documentary papyri in its databank due to the technical difficulties of handling fragmentary texts; but the *Oxyrhynchus Computer Project* digitizing documentary papyri (see above, § 8.2) had also demonstrated that such a task was feasible. Therefore, the project officially started in 1982 and all published documentary papyri (i.e. Greek and Latin papyri, parchments, *ostraka*, and tablets from the III century BC to the VIII AD) started to be entered in Beta Code on magnetic tapes by means of the *Ibycus* machine acquired by Duke. There, texts were typed directly in Greek⁵¹, and automatically converted in Beta Code by the system; subsequently they were proofread against the printed editions and the photographs at the University of Michigan under the direction of Ludwig Koenen⁵². The more recent editions were entered first, followed by the others backwards. Magnetic tapes were then released by Duke and delivered on request: the Beta Code could be easily displayed or printed in Greek characters by any conversion algorithm.



An *Ibycus System* (from http://stephanus.tlg.uci.edu/history.php).

However, encoding papyri was not an easy task⁵³, and it became necessary to apply some enhancements to the Beta Code. Indeed, TLG came without apparatus, but when dealing with papyri it is vital to consider the spelling variants and their regularizations in conventional Koine Greek, as well as scribal mistakes and their corrections: quite a different concern than TLG, which stands as a further example of the

⁵¹ OCR scans, as already evoked by KLEVE – FONNES 1981, 159, proved unfeasible: "the nature of papyrological data entry is infinitely more complicated than data entry from the standard printed volume of literary texts. Finally, the almost endless varieties of typeface used in presenting papyrological volumes, ranging from the different hands of the early BGU volumes to more recent typewritten and photographed editions, precluded the use of any kind of scanner" (DATES 1993, 64). Today, discussion of OCR in relation to ancient Greek texts is mostly focused on scanning modern editions: cf. BABEU 2011, 13–14.

⁵² Cf. OATES 1993, 63–4; GAGOS 1996, 15; 2001, 516 n. 10 and 525–6. Proofreading was twofold: it aimed at ensuring both accuracy (by checking any possible improvements to the texts) and user-friendliness (by standardizing variants, expanding abbreviations and symbols, etc.). **53** Cf. OATES 1993, 64–5.

papyrological care for the actual text in its precise features, as already seen in the earlier LASLA and Milan attempts at encoding emendations and editorial annotations. A new method was developed at Duke to encode such misspellings in the digitized texts, so that they could be easily retrieved with queries:

the conventional *koine* form is given first, followed by numbered braces enclosing the scribe's form or the edition's misprint: e.g., ὄνομα {4ωνομα}4 shows that the scribe has misspelled ὄνομα, ὑπὲρ {5υπαρ}5 that he wrote epsilon over alpha, αὐτοῦ {6αυτω}6 that he miswrote dative for genitive, Ἀθὑρ {7\Δθὑς}7 that the edition has a misprint for Ἀθὑρ⁵⁴.

Special codes were introduced to encode original diaeresis (#80) and apostrophe (#81), as well as to represent other papyrological features like illegible characters or expanded abbreviations (see summary table below) and numerals, transcribed with the Arabic digit preceded by a grave accent⁵⁵. A manual was appended to each magnetic tape for ease of use⁵⁶. Thus, though the databank was admittedly designed to be a lexical and concordancing tools similar to TLG and not a substitute for printed critical editions⁵⁷, some articulated linguistic annotation was inserted somehow. Basic metadata (provenance, date, edition reference) were also added to each text in order to catalogue it and facilitate browsing; a more articulated set of metadata, including inventories, categorization, physical and palaeographical description, reference to photographs, was planned, though never developed⁵⁸. This was the picture of the *Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri* (DDBDP; later *Databank*, thence the slightly different acronym DDbDP) as portrayed by Willis at the 17th International Congress of Papyrology (Naples, 1983)⁵⁹.

⁵⁴ WILLIS 1984a, 169–70; cf. OATES 1993, 65 (the label {9 }9 was also used, to indicate an alternative reading from a duplicate of the same text). It is worth noting, at this stage, a sort of 'philological' primacy of the 'regularized' text, accepted in the 'main' text, over the actual form attested on the papyrus, relegated in the parentheses. A change in this trend has been accomplished only recently, with the development of *Papyri.info*: see below, § 8.4.

⁵⁵ Cf. OATES 1993, 64–5, for full discussion of the conventions used.

⁵⁶ Cf. WILLIS 1988, 16.

⁵⁷ "It is not our intention [...] to duplicate or replace the printed text of published papyri but solely to record them in such a way as to be instantly searchable in whole or by category as the scholar may wish. For consultation of the texts themselves, scholars will of course continue to repair to the published editions" (WILLIS 1984a, 169); see also WILLIS 1988, 16. Again, OATES 1993, 63: "The purpose of the Duke Data Bank is to make instantly accessible through search programs the total corpus of published Greek and Latin papyri. It is not intended to substitute for printed editions but rather to serve as a means of searching such volumes and of making concordances".

⁵⁸ Cf. OATES 1993, 68.

⁵⁹ WILLIS 1984a.

A sample from the early DDbDP (P.Coll.Youtie I 33; screen output and Beta Code), from WILLIS 1984a, 170–1 (the '+' marks a continuous line that is broken because does not fit the screen length).

In the following years, DDbDP absorbed the Oxyrhynchus papyri from the Oxford project, conveniently adapted to the new conventions⁶⁰, and took great advantage of technological innovation coming from David Packard and the TLG project. Indeed, in 1985 the former launched *Ibycus Personal Scholarly Computer* (PSC), a machine equipped with the same features as *Ibycus System* but of a smaller size, which could read the new optical memory support called CD-ROM. The conversion of TLG to the CD-ROM was completed in the same year, and the TLG CD-ROM A was released by the Packard Humanities Institute (PHI). It is also known for having been the first published compact disk that did not contain music⁶¹. Both *Ibycus* PSC and the CD-ROM favoured the diffusion among scholars not only of the tools themselves, but also of a general technological know-how⁶². DDbDP converted itself to optical technology soon after TLG. In 1986, at the 18th International Congress of Athens, Willis announced the forthcoming news⁶³, and presented them at the next meeting in Cairo (1989)⁶⁴: the PHI-produced CD-ROM 2 (no. 1 being devoted to Latin and biblical texts), containing all documentary papyri published in 275 volumes between 1936 and 1988, totalling 19,500 texts containing 2.41 million Greek and Latin words, and an updated version of the Checklist, the conventions of which had been chosen to cite the papyri⁶⁵.

65 Cf. OATES 1993, 71; see above, § 2.3.

⁶⁰ Cf. WILLIS 1988, 15; OATES 1993, 63.

⁶¹ Cf. http://lib.uci.edu/sites/all/exhibits/tlg/index.php?page=section_7; http://stephanus.tlg.uci. edu/history.php.

⁶² Cf. BOUQUIAUX-SIMON 1991, 39–41, with a description of the *Ibycus* PSC features at pp. 42–3 (see above, § 7.1).

⁶³ Cf. WILLIS 1988, 17–18.

⁶⁴ Cf. WILLIS 1992. PHI CD-ROM 2 was released in December 1988 (in the same year TLG C, the second version of the literary database, was published too).

The impact of both resources on the papyrological community was immense; about twenty years later, Isabella Andorlini recalled that occasion with the following enthusiastic words:

Già nel 1989, in un disadorno corridoio dell'Università egiziana del Cairo che ospitava il XIX Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, il collega Robert Kraft (University of Pennsylvania) si presentò attrezzato con computer, banca dati e sistema d'indagine Ibycus e fu in grado di fornire in tempo reale a chi lo domandasse le ricorrenze delle parole dei papiri nei testi della letteratura greca compattata su CD-ROM⁶⁶.

Similarly, DDbDP on CD-ROM offered the possibility of wide-range speedy searches for words, phrases, strings, with or without blank spaces, but also for spelling variants, by searching for the labels instead of the words themselves⁶⁷: "The value for editing texts justifies the creation of the data bank, but it also opens doors for historical, economic, and sociological studies as well as linguistic and stylistic analyses"⁶⁸. This is an interesting statement: the original goals of a papyrological textual database remained unchanged, though many searching options were not embedded in the very texts any more⁶⁹, and required the development of further tools.

The launch of DDbDP on CD-ROM, in the middle Eighties, coincided with the worldwide spread of personal computers: IBM PC dates to 1981, *Apple Macintosh* with its innovative graphical interface to 1984, and both operated with their own hardware and software architecture⁷⁰. Since the database was specifically designed for *Ibycus* systems, third-party software was developed to allow processing the databank on different operating systems⁷¹. For example, *Searcher* was produced at the University of California-Santa Barbara for IBM machines; but the most famous and widespread were the *Macintosh* programs, namely *SNS Greek & Latin* developed at the Scuola Normale Superiore in Pisa⁷² and above all *Pandora*, designed by the *Perseus Project* at Harvard and based on *HyperCard* stacks⁷³.

⁶⁶ ANDORLINI 2008, 169.

⁶⁷ Cf. WILLIS 1984a, 170.

⁶⁸ OATES 1993, 67.

⁶⁹ Cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 12.

⁷⁰ Cf. Hockey 2004, 10–11.

⁷¹ Cf. WILLIS 1992, 126; OATES 1993, 65 with n. 6; WILLIS 1994, 628. A thorough comparison between *SNS Greek & Latin* and *Pandora* was developed by BÉGUIN 1995.

⁷² Cf. http://snsgreek.sns.it/en/submenu-snsgreek-storia.html. A Windows version was launched in 2004; the latest releases (6.1 for Mac, 2.1 for Windows) are dated to 2007.

⁷³ Cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 7–8: "Die Suche konnten die NutzerInnen der DDBDP über "Pandora" sowohl als genaue Suche als auch als "Wildcard"-Suche gestalten und dabei bis zu drei Begriffe, die nicht aufeinander folgten, gleichzeitig eingeben (Complex Search). Dabei konnten sie entscheiden, in welcher Reihenfolge die Wörter in den Texten vorkommen mußten bzw. ob sie überhaupt vorkommen durften. Für ganze Sätze reichte hingegen die einfache Suchmaske, in der der Satz(teil) eingegeben wurde (Simple Search). Durch Anklicken der entsprechend in einer Liste erscheinenden

In the Nineties, in particular, the software production for consulting the classical databases on CD-ROM – a second edition of the *Duke Databank* is dated to 1991, within PHI #6⁷⁴; a third one to 1997, within PHI #7⁷⁵ – flourished in plenty of different tools, for different platforms, with partially different functions, working and producing output in different character sets (font faces), almost not compatible with the other operating systems⁷⁶: one may refer to a useful list provided by the TLG website itself for a full overview updated to 2009⁷⁷. In a more or less sophisticated way, all these programs were able to process several different automated operations on the textual databank – word/phrase/string searches at various level and in personalized sub-*corpora*; index lists; sorting; browsing; displaying/exporting; etc.

The confusion was quite great, and one had to choose carefully according to needs and preferences because fees had to be paid for most of these programs, and sometimes also for some proprietary fonts used by them for output. Fonts that, in turn, often created severe compatibility problems across platforms. These issues are well known and it is not worth dealing with them in details. Suffice it to recall that though most of these tools are now discontinued – *Pandora*, for example, in its latest release 3.0, developed by Daniel Riaño, does not run on the newer Intel-based Macintosh operating systems -, if one is in need for consulting the Duke Databank on PHI #7 CD-ROM (or the TLG CD-ROM) can still rely on a simple but powerful open-source, cross-platform and Unicode-compatible software: Diogenes, written by P.J. Heslin (Durham, UK) in Perl script and XULRunner runtime environment (the same as *Mozilla* browsers), which can be installed also in server mode and runs on *Mac OS X, Windows, Linux*⁷⁸. This might still be an option for the unavoidable moments of Internet blackouts and it provides a rather helpful embedded engine for morphological analysis and links to a stand-alone electronic version of Liddell-Scott, being therefore a sort of offline version of *Perseus* (see below), but be aware that the latest DDbDP CD-ROM release covers publications up to 1996 only.

Editionshinweisen gelangten sie auf den Volltext, in welchem das Gesuchte vorkam und markiert ausgegeben wurde. Die Ergebnisse konnten schließlich inklusive Volltext in ein Worddokument exportiert werden". *HyperCard* was a sort of primitive hypertext application: see HOCKEY 2004, 10–11.

⁷⁴ Cf. WILLIS 1994.

⁷⁵ Both TLG and PHI databases on CD-ROM are largely dealt with by SCHÄFER – MEIER 2003, 8–67.

⁷⁶ Cf. http://bmcr.brynmawr.edu/2005/2005-05-07.html.

⁷⁷ https://www.tlg.uci.edu/about/cd_soft.php. Add former tools like *L-Base* and *View & Find* (cf. SCHÄFER 1993, 156–65), and *Diogenes* (see below). SCHÄFER – MEIER 2003, 67–250 (an updated version of SCHÄFER 1993), provide a detailed survey of the different programs, with a particular focus on the indexing and concordancing functions; this state of the art, of course, dates back to nearly 15 years ago. **78** https://community.dur.ac.uk/p.j.heslin/Software/Diogenes; cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 9. Its latest version (3.2.0) dates to 2007 but still works well even on *Windows 10*.

	Pandora2.5.3	
Pandora	a	Search card #8
Simple searc	h:	
παιδια	<u>수</u> ····································	 ○ Whole words or phrases ● Fragments □ Accents important □ Match unknowns
Search in:	Clear	Search Complex search
DDP0085 - PKror DDP0086 - PLaur DDP0087 - PLee DDP0088 - PLeid	dsMus Inst	Select Works
Results: 70 ci	z tations:	O Delete work
παιδία παιδία παιδία παιδία παιδία	PIFAO, Vol 2, document 30, 4 (?; lispc) PIFAO, Vol 2, document 39, 9 (?; IV-Yspc) PIFAO, Vol 2, document 45, r, 4 (?; I%3Iispc) PIFAO, Vol 2, document 48, FrA, 3 (?; III%3IYs PKoeln, Vol 5, document 222, 11 (Heranome; 1	Generation Show Text Hide Text 45BC-) ♥
Setup) ? TOO	Export Duplicate Index Search	Do search

		Pandora	2.5.3		De
Pando	ora			Search car	rd#8
PLips, 001,	document 104, r, 1	1 (Pathnome?; 96%	3`5%3`63%3`2	BC):	
10 έρρωσθαι έ και αύτός { και οἱ έν οἶι περὶ ὧν ἂν φετέ μοι. χά 15 ἐφ' αἶς χρά ὅταν ἡμῦν χ ἐνψυχόν τι ἐπισκοπεῖτ Άλμέντις {	ρρωμαι δὲ Ικαυτοσ}4 καὶ τὰ «ωι πάντες. αἰρῆσθε χρά- (ριν σοι ἐχω peις ἐπι[σ]τολαῖς [ρ]άψης {7ɣ[ρ]άψ λαμβάνω {7ἐμψυς [α]ι {7ἐπιστολ[ά] 7 Άλμένησ}7, Ψενι	παιδία ητε}7 (οτάτα(σ) ἀναβάλ< s. Έσι []αι}7 ὑμῶι οσῖρις,	λ>εσθε { 4αν[α 3]βαλεσθε}4}7·	
Results: 24	19 citations:				
παιδία παιδία παιδία παιδία. παιδία	PLaur, Vol 4, d PLeipz, OO1, d PLips, OO1, do PLips, OO1, do PLond, Vol 2, d	ocument 191, r, 5 (ocument 2, r, 11 (M cument 104, r, 11 (cument 108, r, 9 (? ocument 197, v, 21	?; Vspc) emph; Illspc) Pathnome?; 96% ; Il%3Illspc) (?; Illspc)	↔ 33` •	Show Text Hide Text Other
Setup ?	TOC Export C	Ouplicate Index	Search)o search	

A couple of screenshots of the latest release of *Pandora* (from QUENOUILLE 2016).

File Falls Many Co. Male	
The Fait Alex Po Helb	
Δ	Διογένης
Welcome to Diogenes, a tool for searching and br in the query itself: this can be either some Greek or	rowsing through databases of ancient texts. Choose your type of query, then the corpus, then ty r Latin to search for, or the name of an author whose work you wish to browse through.
The Greek input method you have currently select to type Greek letters in Unicode, and you should e changed via the current settings page.	ted is: Unicode. NB. Unicode input is new. You must type Greek using your computer's facilit either type all accents or none at all. Further info. This and other settings can be displayed and
Action:	Simple search for a word or phrase
Corpus:	Duke Documentary Papyri
Query:	Go
restrictions on access and	New Search
File Edit View Go Help	New Search
File Edit View Go Help	New Search Dismiss
File Edit View Go Help	New Search Perseus analysis of Full Scr
File Edit View Go Help Φ Φ Ο Διογένης PLond, Vol 7 Lond, Vol 7	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου:
Eile Edit View Go Help Eile Edit View Go Help Edit Vi	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτου:
File Edit View Go Help Ele Edit View Go Help Coverns Γιονένης PLond, Vol 7 document 1940, r.2, 58 καὶ διὰ Πετεχῶντος τοῦ κυβερνήτου καὶ τῶν πρατατῶν ὑχοράτθησαν	New Search Perseus analysis of Full Scr κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry
File Edit View Go Help Ele Edit View Go Help Ele Edit View Go Melp Ele Edit View Go Mel	New Search Perseus analysis of Full Scr κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κύβερνήτης
Eile Edit View Go Help Eile Edit View Go Help Eile Edit View Go Eelp Eile Edit View Go Eelp Eile Edit View Go Help Eile	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of Full Scr κυβερνήτου: Full Scr κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης ἰ τοῖς πορευθείσιν κῦβερνήτης
File Edit View Go Help Elie Edit View Go Help Elie Edit View Go Elep PLond, Vol 7 document 1940, r.2, 58 καὶ διὰ Πετεχῶντος τοῦ κυβερνήτου καὶ τῶν χαριστῶν ἡγοράσθησαν <u>πάπυρο</u> ι στεγίσιμοι εἰς τὴν θαλαμηγῶν πρός χαλκῶν (δραχμῶν) 11 ψίαθοι 20 ἀνὰ (ὁβολοὺς 2) (ὅραχμῶς) 6 (ὁβολοὺς 4). καὶ Go to Context	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου: Dismiss κυβερνήτου: Full Scr κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κöβερνήτης κöβερνήτης κöβερνήτης cteersman, pilot, IL19,43, Od.9,78, A. Supp. 770, Hdt.2.164, Ar. Th.837, Th.7.0, PIR.341c, etc.; skipper of Nieboat, veikAnpog κei κ. PHib.1.39.6 (iii B.C.), ct
File Edit View Go Help Elie Edit View Go Help Converse PLond, Vol 7 document 1940, r.2, 58 καὶ διὰ Πετεχῶντος τοῦ κυβερνήτου καὶ τῶν χειριστῶν ἡγοράσθησαν πάτυροι στεγάσιμοι siς τὴν θαλαμηγὸν πρὸς χαλκὸν (δραχμῶν) 11 ψίαθοι 20 ἀιά (όβολοὺς 2) (δραχμῶς) 6 (όβολοὺς 4). καὶ Go to Context	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου: Full Scr κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης μάλ 1.19.43, Od.9.78, A. Supp. 770, Hdt.2.164, Ar. Th.837, Th.7.70, PIR.341c, etc.; skipper of Nileboat, ναῦκληρος καὶ κ. PHib.1.39.6 (iii B.C.), ct PGiss.11 (ii A.D.), etc.
Elle Edit View Go Help Elle Edit View Go Help Constructions on access and Constructions PLond, Vol 7 document 1940, r.2, 58 καὶ διὰ Πετεχῶντος τοῦ κυβερνήτου καὶ τῶν χειριστῶν ἡγοράσθησαν πάπυροι στεγάσιμοι siς τὴν θαλαμηγὸν πρός χαλῶν (δραχμῶν) 11 ψίαθαι 20 ἀνὰ (ὁβολοὺς 2) (δραχμὰς) 6 (ὁβολοὺς 4), καὶ Go to Context PLond, Vol 7 document 2152, 3	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου: Full Scr κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης β σ γ κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης κύβερνήτης γ κοι γ μ γ κίβερνήτης γ
File Edit View Go Help Image: Second Seco	New Search Dismiss Full Scr it roïς πορευθείσιν Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry köβερνήτης κöβερνήτης köβερνήτης vößερνήτης köβερνήτης köβερνήτης roïς πορευθείσιν κöβερνήτης köβερνήτης roïs πορευθείσιν roïs and the state s
File Edit View Go Help File Edit View Go Help File Edit View Go Help File Edit View Go Help File Edit View Go Help Converse PLond, Vol 7 document 1940, r.2, 58 καὶ διὰ Πετεχῶντος τοῦ κυβερνήτου καὶ τῶν χειριστῶν ἡγοράσθησαν πάπεραι στεγάσιμοι εἰς τὴν θαλαμηγῶν πρῶς χαλκὸν (δραχμῶν) 11 ψίαθοι 20 ἀνὰ (ôβολοὺς 2) (δραχμῶν) 6 (ôβολοὺς 4). καὶ Go to Context PLond, Vol 7 document 2152, 3 [c ?] Θέωνι Κοι Ώριοι Tv[c ?] [c ?] του παρὰ [].σου [c ?] [c ?] Τουβίαι κρπ[c ?]	New Search Dismiss Perseus analysis of κυβερνήτου: Dismiss κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτου: κυβερνήτης (steersman): masc gen sg LSJ entry κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης κῦβερνήτης, q.v.), ου, ό, steersman, pilot, ll.19.43, Od.9.78, A.Supp. 770, Hdt.2164, Art. In437, Th. 770, PHR.54.154, etc.; skippi of Nileboat, ναύκληρος καὶ κ. PHib. 1.39.6 (ii B.C.), cl PGiss.11 (ii A.D.), etc. metaphguide, governor, E.Supp. 380, Pl.Phdr.247c; as an official title, PMasp.89 ii (vi A.D.). Previous Entry Next Entry

A couple of screenshots of the latest release of *Diogenes*

(note, in the second one, the morphology and dictionary tool).

Indeed, the development of the Internet, as from 1991, opened new ways of conceiving the management of information (see above, § 1.2). Following the trend of other resources, also DDbDP moved on line, and in 1996/7 migrated from PHI #7 CD-ROM to the open, web-based *Perseus Project*, the renowned hypertextual online digital library hosted at Tufts University and directed by Gregory Crane (http://www. perseus.tufts.edu)⁷⁹. *Perseus* still offers a powerful platform: not only does it store information in an open universal format, but also develops automated routines of search and analysis. It is particularly remarkable the *Greek Word Study Tool* (http:// www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/morph), which allows performing morphological analysis of ancient Greek words and cross-referencing each to the corresponding entry in an online version of Liddell-Scott-Jones' *Greek-English Lexicon*, as well as in the abridged version called *Middle Liddell*. Each word in the classical *corpus*, including Greek and Latin literature – original texts and English translations, can be analysed with this tool, and search functions for exact forms or for all inflected forms of a lemma.

Perseus texts were marked with SGML (recently moved to XML) compliant with TEI⁸⁰, and therefore it was necessary to apply this markup to the plain Beta Code of DDbDP. The online search options proved very powerful: "l'impresa risulta tanto più straordinaria in quanto è la prima volta che su Internet appare un corpus complessivo di documentazione sul mondo antico in lingua originale"⁸¹. The *Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri*, regularly updated, has been hosted by the *Perseus Digital Library* until 2010, when a completely different online platform was eventually released.

8.4 The Papyrological Navigator

In 2004/5 DDbDP (led by Oates, then passed away in 2006, and by Josh Sosin) started collaborating with HGV (led by James Cowey) to map the datasets of both to each other. But the sustainability of the entire *Duke Databank* was at stake: the increasing amount of primary data, favoured without doubts, in turn, by the spread of the digital techniques, was making update increasingly difficult and economically unaffordable for the small team at Duke, especially with the end of income from the CD-ROMs. In the meantime, Roger Bagnall had gone on with the concept of resource

⁷⁹ *Perseus* is online since 1995; it was formerly released in CD-ROM (*Perseus* 1.0 and 2.0) but in a slight different shape (mostly didactical resources). It might be nice to stress the papyrological primacy in this case: TLG (under the new direction by Maria Pantelia, as of 1996) migrated to the web with a subscribed-access version only in 2001, after producing a last CD-ROM (TLG E, 2000). For *Perseus* as hypertext see BOLTER 1991, 544.

⁸⁰ See above, § 3.6, and cf. RENEAR 2014, 225 ff.

⁸¹ CRISTOFORI 2000.

integration envisaged at least since 1992⁸² and developed with APIS (see above, § 3.6). In 2006, at Columbia University, he promoted the prototype of a new database based on portlet technology (different web modules contained by a portal) and a powerful image display platform: the idea was to aggregate digital pictures (mainly via APIS), metadata (via HGV and APIS), and text (via DDbDP) in a single hub⁸³. The project was called *Papyrological Navigator* (PN) and served as the starting point for a subsequent, wider project named *Integrating Digital Papyrology* (IDP), which started in 2007 with the goal of setting common standards in the papyrological resources and enhancing simultaneous access to them through a single interface, involving several different institutions (Columbia, King's College London, New York University, Heidelberg Institute of Papyrology, University of Kentucky, Duke University) across the years⁸⁴.

Integration is based on the RDF (*Resource Description Framework*) model, which allows for connecting and merging the different sources in the framework of the so-called "Semantic Web", and for possible further connections in the future⁸⁵.

The legacy encoding and markup of the *Duke Databank* via *Perseus* (Beta Code and SGML) were converted to modern, robust and shared standards: Unicode and the TEI/EpiDoc XML schema⁸⁶. Migration from Beta Code to Unicode was run through a *Transcoder* module⁸⁷, and then another applet (*Chapel Hill Epigraphic Text-Converter* = CHET-C, originally designed for digitizing epigraphical texts⁸⁸) was used to convert the Leiden editorial conventions of DDbDP, including legacy Beta Code escapes, into standard EpiDoc XML markup⁸⁹. A further effort was required by the encoding of digits, which had been entered as Arabic numerals in the earlier DDbDP: a *Greek Number Converter* was applied to transcode them to the corresponding Greek Unicode characters and to add XML numerical tags for future computational applications. HGV metadata underwent the same migration to XML. However,

⁸² Cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 63–5: at the Copenhagen International Congress of Papyrology he described a "dream machine" fitting all main papyrological electronic resources (including a futuristic *Berichtigungsliste*, a digital *Wörterbuch*, prosopographical databases, even a Demotic dictionary) "into a comprehensive system of scholarly information"; BAGNALL 2012a, 2.

⁸³ Cf. Sosin 2010.

⁸⁴ Cf. BAGNALL – JAKUB – SOSIN 2007; BABEU 2011, 217–8 and 147–8; BAUMANN – BODARD – CAYLESS – SOSIN – VIGLIANTI 2011, 28–9; BAGNALL 2012a; QUENOUILLE 2016, 20. A historical and technological sketch of the development of the papyrological databases has recently been drawn by Rodney Ast and James Cowey at the workshop "Digital Classics III: Re-thinking Text Analysis" (Heidelberg, 11–13 May 2017; proceedings forthcoming).

⁸⁵ For technical details and further information cf. CAYLESS 2011 and 2013; in general on RDF cf. https://www.w3.org/RDF with further references. I am grateful to Hugh Cayless for advising on this.

⁸⁶ Cf. BODARD – SOSIN 2011; see above, § 1.2.

⁸⁷ https://sourceforge.net/projects/epidoc/files/Transcoder.

⁸⁸ Cf. BODARD 2010, 105.

⁸⁹ Some Leiden remainders were fixed during the following project phase.

the DDbDP conversion was run as a single and ultimate process, so that all future work would be conducted directly in Unicode and EpiDoc XML. On the contrary, HGV would continue to be maintained in its FileMaker database at Heidelberg, and its conversion would therefore have to be regularly repeated: this was obtained with a process called HGV Metadata Crosswalk, an XSLT that can convert the XML output of FileMaker into EpiDoc-compliant XML⁹⁰; an HGV Translation Crosswalk was similarly applied to HGV German and English translations. The three separated XML outputs (DDbDP, HGV metadata, and HGV translations) were then merged into a unique XML file by an Aggregator, which was also able to process items provided with HGV metadata but lacking DDbDP text, allowing for their future addition. To merge DDbDP texts with HGV metadata, the unique numerical identifiers assigned by Trismegistos to the papyri (TM number: see above, § 3.3) played an essential bridging role⁹¹. Customized EpiDoc XSLT stylesheets were then applied to generate plain text (UTF-8) and HTML output from the XML files, in order to obtain a humanreadable version of the content, featuring text, metadata, image, and translation juxtaposed in a synoptic view (see above, § 3.1).

The output has been indeed designed according to the typical papyrological editions, with Leiden conventions, metadata above text, a basic apparatus criticus below, etc.⁹² In this way, it has been designed a new concept of textual database, fully integrated with metadata (according to the earliest attempts) and featuring an apparatus criticus showing alternative readings, spelling variants, editorial corrections⁹³. Below, a short text (SB XIV 11942, the early papyrus of Peukestas) with simple samples of Leiden critical marks (square brackets for ancient deletion) and of apparatus entries (regularization of iotacism), in both XML source and HTML output:

<?xml-modelhref=http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/schema/8.16/tei-epidoc.rng
type="application/xml" schematypens="http://relaxng.org/ns/structure/1.0"?>
<TEI n="0239;14;11942" xml:id="sb.14.11942" xml:lang="en">
<teiHeader>

⁹⁰ Cf. BODARD 2010, 105-6

⁹¹ On the issues of integration cf. BABEU 2011, 147, and see above, § 3.4.

⁹² Particularly remarkable is the change in the display of misspellings and "regularizations", which initially followed the practice of placing normalized/corrected forms in the text and the ancient reading in the apparatus, as a consequence of the adaptations from the old DDbDP markup (see above, § 8.3). As of September 2011, the two elements have been swapped with each other: cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/09/just-posted-to-papylist-dear-colleagues.html. This required a huge effort, because the ancient reading was originally transcribed diplomatically without spirits and accents, but its inclusion in the text made it necessary to add them. This was mainly driven automatically by a script handling a large table of equivalences and with the help of the TLG morphological engine; but some remaining mess has been fixed manually over the time.

⁹³ Cf. the IDP final report at http://www.columbia.edu/cu/libraries/inside/projects/apis/navigator/ IDP1_FinalReport.pdf; see below, § 8.5.

```
<fileDesc>
 <titleStmt>
    <title>sb.14.11942</title>
 </titleStmt>
 <publicationStmt>
    <authority>Duke Collaboratory for Classics Computing (DC3)</authority>
    <idno type="filename">sb.14.11942</idno>
    <idno type="ddb-perseus-style">0239;14;11942</idno>
    <idno type="ddb-hybrid">sb;14;11942</idno>
    <idno type="HGV">4274</idno>
    <idno type="TM">4274</idno>
    <availability>© Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri. This work is
    licensed under a <ref type="license" target="http://creativecommons.org/</pre>
   licenses/by/3.0/">Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License</ref>.
    </availability>
 </publicationStmt>
 <sourceDesc></sourceDesc>
</fileDesc>
<profileDesc>
 <langUsage><language ident="en">English</language><language ident="grc">
 Greek</language></langUsage>
</profileDesc>
<revisionDesc>
 <change when="2012-10-26T08:50:07.916-04:00" who="http://papyri.info/editor/</pre>
 users/james.cowey">Finalized - Ready.</change>
 <change when="2012-10-26T08:50:07.903-04:00" who="http://papyri.info/editor/</pre>
 users/james.cowey">Vote - Accept-Straight-to-Finalization - Good catch.
 Fine.</change>
 <change when="2012-10-23T10:45:17.621-04:00" who="http://papyri.info/editor/</pre>
 users/simoeis">Line 2: reg tag added and
                                              --> </change>
 <change when="2011-12-14" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/
 gabrielbodard">rationalized languages in langUsage</change>
 <change when="2011-12-14" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/
 gabrielbodard">changed editor names to URIs</change>
 <change when="2011-10-31" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/
 gabrielbodard">changed type=inWord to break=no</change>
 <change when="2010-05-05" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/
 gabrielbodard">changed schema; added xml:space=preserve; indented; moved
 title/@n to idno</change>
```

<change when="2009-11-12" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/

```
gabrielbodard">Added language la-Grek</change>
    <change when="2009-06-27" who="http://papyri.info/editor/users/
   gabrielbodard">Converted from TEI P4 (EpiDoc DTD v. 6) to P5 (EpiDoc RNG
    schema)</change>
   <change when="2008-12-23" who="http://papyri.info/about">Automated split
   from transcoder files</change>
  </revisionDesc>
</teiHeader>
  <text>
   <body>
      <head n="4274" xml:lang="en">
        <date>331BC?</date>
        <placeName>Saqqara</placeName>
      </head>
      <div xml:lang="grc" type="edition" xml:space="preserve">
        <ab>
       <lb n="1"/><del rend="erasure"> </del> έ
                                                        .
       <lb n="2"/>
                              Ú
       <lb><lb n="3" break="no"/> έ · <choice><reg> έ </reg><orig>
                                                                     Ĺ
       </orig></choice>
                                  .
       </ab>
      </div>
   </body>
  </text>
</TEI>
```



331BC? Saqqara

[μ] Πευκέστου·
μή παραπορεύεσθαι μηδένα· ιερείως(*) τὸ οἴκημα.

Apparatus

<u>^</u> 3. l. ἰερέως

Editorial History; All History; (detailed) 2012-10-26T08:50:07.916-04:00 [james.cowey]: Finalized - Ready. 2012-10-26T08:50:07.903-04:00 [james.cowey]: Vote - Accept-Straight-to-Finalization - Good catch. Fine. 2012-10-26T0:45:17.621-04:00 [simees]: Line 2: reg tag added and to --> to

(c) In Contraction (c) Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License.

Another striking innovation is that

the complete set of IDP XML files are published with a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License, explicitly permitting the typical varieties of scholarly reuse and citation anticipated for the data, in line with other recent calls for open access in the humanities⁹⁴.

As in the traditional print editions, each item has a call number, which is this case is represented by the permanent URL assigned to it. This means partial standardization, since each URL is unique. However, since each resource (HGV, DDbDP, APIS) has its own URLs, following its own conventions, it happens very often that an item has three different URLs (e.g. http://papyri.info/ddbdp/p.got;;101 = http://papyri.info/hgv/30696 = http://papyri.info/apis/gothenburg.apis.112), even more if it has been republished (e.g. http://papyri.info/ddbdp/p.got;;20 = http://papyri.info/ddbdp/sb;20;14671 = http://papyri.info/hgv/38507 = http://papyri.info/apis/gothen burg.apis.14). Also in this case, a unifying factor is given by TM numbers, acting as unique identifiers (30696 and 38507, in the given examples).

On the access side, PN deploys a user-centred interface (http://papyri.info/ search). The search functions are particularly articulated and represent a decisive improvement with respect to the *Perseus* platform, though no morphological analysis is possible any more⁹⁵. They have been constantly enhanced during the past years⁹⁶. Full-text word, phrase and substring queries in texts, metadata or translations support Betacode/Unicode input, proximity customizations (definition of proximity character or word ranges⁹⁷), Boolean operators (AND, OR, etc.) for combination of strings, regular expressions⁹⁸, search for abbreviations⁹⁹, lemmatized

⁹⁴ BAUMANN 2013, 93. CAYLESS 2010, 146 contends that CC license, and in general open access, fosters the digital permanence of scientific publications; see below, § 9, for openness as a requisite for digital criticism.

⁹⁵ Cf. QUENOUILLE 2016, 12.

⁹⁶ Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/09/just-posted-to-papylist-dear-colleagues.html; http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/12/papyriinfo-updates.html;

http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2012/03/idp-updates.html;

http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2012/04/pn-search-updates-just-posted-to-papy.html.

A useful Google Spreadsheet collects the search patterns available: https://docs.google.com/ spreadsheets/d/1rCHSOf_7fR8ukMXQ9hTct8rTdCwMFYLF85xK7PyQYXQ/edit?hl=en_US&hl=en_US#gid=0. **97** It must be stressed that word proximity searches for full words; if one wants to search for any other text string, then character proximity must be used. This affects significantly the searching.

⁹⁸ Regular expressions (REGEX) are formalized patterns describing certain amounts of text. They use 'literal characters' and 'metacharacters' (characters with a special meaning) to represent the text searched for. For example, given that \b indicates a 'word boundary' (where \ is an escape code to indicate that what follows is not a literal character), . (dot) stands for 'any character', { } (braces) indicate a repetition range, one can write REGEX $\alpha u \tau_0$ \b.{1,20}\bkau in the query box to search for "a string beginning $\alpha u \tau_0$ - within 20 characters of a string beginning $\kappa \alpha t$ -" (cf. http://

searching (via a term index built by mapping the original word forms to the morphological tables developed by the *Perseus Project*), case-¹⁰⁰ and diacritic-sensitivity. Documents can also be browsed and searched by inventory and edition reference, provenance, date, language. Any kind of query generates a single searching instance, which may be combined with others or subsequently narrowed by closing the appropriate instance, which is displayed as an autonomous box on the top of the resulting list of hits. The results are sorted by publication reference, and a quick preview of date, provenance, and the immediate context of the word(s) searched for are provided¹⁰¹. Of the integration with the *Bibliographie Papyrologique*, active since 2011¹⁰², we have already discussed above (§ 2.1); we can just add that, unlike the previous versions of DDbDP, *Papyri.info* features also Coptic texts¹⁰³, and some preliminary attempts to add Unicode Arabic texts worked fine, despite the different right-to-left direction of writing¹⁰⁴. A bigger challenge for the future might be taking into consideration Demotic documentary texts:

Demotic is a more difficult matter, as it seems impossible to define a standardized set of characters, and therefore a Unicode encoding standard, for a script with so many variations from one scribe to the other, not to mention the different methods of transcription used around the world¹⁰⁵.

digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2012/03/idp-updates.html). Complete explanations and tutorials about regular expressions, which can support even extremely complex combinations, can be found at http://www.regular-expressions.info.

⁹⁹ Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2012/04/pn-search-updates-just-posted-to-papy.html.

¹⁰⁰ This is useful if one has to search for proper names (persons, gods, places, months...), since by rule in the digital editions of papyri no word is capitalized but – indeed – proper names.

¹⁰¹ Sometimes, the highlight of the term(s) searched for does not work properly for some bugs not yet completely fixed.

¹⁰² Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/12/papyriinfo-updates.html.

¹⁰³ Coptic characters do indeed have a dedicated Unicode subset. Previously, Coptic documentary texts were collected by the *Banque de données des textes coptes documentaires*, a.k.a. the *Brussels Coptic Database* (BCD) developed by Alain Delattre at the Université Libre de Bruxelles (http://dev. ulb.ac.be/philo/bad/copte; see above, § 3.3). This database was last updated in 2014. For further Coptic resources see DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 324.

¹⁰⁴ Cf. GAD 2016.

¹⁰⁵ DELATTRE – HEILPORN 2014, 322. For now, one should refer to the Demotic texts stored in the *Thesaurus Linguae Aegyptiae* (http://aaew.bbaw.de/tla); see also MADERNA-SIEBEN – WESPI – KORTE 2016 (above, 5.4). A very short Demotic transcription (*Ptwrs*, a personal name written on the verso of P.Tebt. I 110) can be found only in http://papyri.info/ddbdp/p.tebt;1;110.



A typical *Papyri.info* integrated record (HGV + TM + APIS + DDbDP + image; Greek papyrus). In the following pages, samples of Coptic, Arabic and Latin entries.

230 — From Textual Databases to Digital Scholarship

Papyri.info		eiza la
Browner DDbDP HGV APIS 7	M Number or Search: Data Bibliography cor 2 14 = HGV CPR 2 14 = Trismonist	me 82033
	<< Previous record 35	
Steel State W Selecter	Open in editor Convertists tetractionation edutationation	
HGV: CPR 2 14 [source] [xi	al)	
Title Publications	Verkaufsurkunde CPR 2 14 [Marsin series CPR] [Marsin series CPR, vol. n]	
Inv. Id Support/Dimensions	Vienne, Osterreichische Nationalbibliothek, P.Vindob. K 585 Papyrus	
Origin Provenance	Arsinoites [MensfromAniaoita] Arsinoites Ägypten	
Material Date	Papyrus VIII [Mare from the period between you CE and Son CE]	
Subjects License	Document E-Marine © Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägst Attribution 1 o Lierenze	stens. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons</u>
Trismedistos: 82033 (sourc	el	
Publications CPR 2 14	na Rohnadah Pana	
Date AD 700 - 700	ALL STREET, R. 202	
Provenance Egypt, 00 - Ars	noites (Favum) [found & written]	
DDbDP transcription: cor 2	14 [vm]]	
[-ea.?-]NITION H[-ea.?-]N[-ea	 саниз Ајевлади нелатоуправоу екоекпоеларта 	
[-ea?-]0[-ea?-]3.yttmtxq#[[-ea?-]T5.TX0EbN[-ea?-]629 [D000]*1.7040148770949.T00	«±2-] HLOGU HITI (-ut-) KLOGU (0) NG OOA NG TUBI HIKP- [-ut-] (00000[-ut-]-) KLOGU (0) NG OOA NG TUBI HIKP- TUGH (-	
Apparatus		
13-4-Le. Greek kapındış		
∴4 i.e. Greek στοιχεία		
Editorial History; All Histo	ry; (detailed)	
Duke Databank of I	ocumentary Papyri. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Lice</u>	nee.
Linked Data BDE/22% Turtle N-Triples 250% 1	icaph. Moualization	
Sector Universitation of Association		Happine (MC 1000 1000 Throughout (M Mod
Panyri info		tico ia
1 apyri.mo	M Number of Search Data Ballingrade	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL
	p. worp.65 = HGV P. Worp.65 = Trismenis	tos 115592
	<< Previous record No.	<pre>>> <</pre>
Steel	open in editor Ceronal UKI: http://www.cfu/libboliz.worput63	
HOV data P. Tel data P. Sanagutari		
HGV: P.Worp 65 [source] [:	(ml)	
Publications	P.Worp 65 [More in series 7.Worp]	
Origin	Schreibort: Fustir (Morefran, Schreibort: Fania)	
Material	Punin Papyrus	
Date Subjects	642 - 800 (More from the period between 642 CL and foo CE) Liste; Namen	
License	Ichronik & Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Agy Attribution 3.0 License.	tens. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commona</u>
Trismegistos: 115592 [sou	ce]	
Publications P. L. Bat. 33 65 Inv. no. Chicago, Hasla	II Oriental Institute 17656	
Date AD 642 - 799 Language Arabic		
Provenance Egypt, L13 - Ba	bylon (Fostat) [written]: Egypt [found]	
DDbDP transcription: p.wor	p.65 [xml]	
	يسم الله الرّحمر الرّحيم تسمية الصّحية	
منزله عند مسجد الفرون بد الا	عند الرَّحمي بن أبي وفق ، ا	
سوی انبربر له عند جمّام سهل ج	علان بن سالم وسرده عنا محمّد بن أبي يوسف ومن	
د دار محمّد بن عبد الله بن سهد. برمه، مران	أيمن بن إيرهيم وميزلة عن عمر بـ مالم مين له في	
بيون وردين روم.(.ير)له عند دار قضيل	مدر ی مان ومرد من محمد بن مؤسس بن تمی	
له سفيغة بن يته (*) وسرله عند كتاب طليب	سهل بن خضبت ومرابعات هشام بن حسبت این زیاح	
م الأسدي في الأسد كي إيطار الحكم	حکیم بی عبد الله بی حک محمد عطاله ای	
رین وسیس ۱۹۹۰م رسیرله پشهانعه	عبد الله بن أبي سليمان	
ت [و]منزله في بني سريع المعافر يسكن الزّيد	یزید بن این سمر المعام. المفضل بن عامر الرفاعین	
) التحلّين ومنزله عند إسحق	کثیر بن سعید بن شریح (ا	
الـ(سَبَرَ) اي يسكن في سيا	عبد الله بن (بربد) خبربد>	
برله عند سقيفة بن بايه 20	عبد الله بن اين الكنود وه عبد كيل بن أين الكنود	
	Apparatus	
	1.18 c/1, 1 od pr. 10 * O	
Editorial History; All Histo	ry; (detailed)	
Duke Databank of	Socumentary Papyri. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Lice	nes-
Linked Data		
RDE2XML Turtle bi:Triples 25QN i	saph Visualization	
		Produced by The Solar Goldonesters for Stands - Generating

Papyr	i.info		sion.io
Browse: DDbD?	HGV APIS TN	number or Search: Data Bibliography p.bagnall.3 = HGV P.Bagnall	3 = Trismegistos 70139 = duke.apis.30563431 = chla.47.1442
		<< Previo	sus record Next record >>
metadata ^[2] HOV data ^[2] TH data ³	R APIS carally record	texter open in editor	Snovini UR1: bits://sepur.ufs/ddbdars.begneliu2
HGV: P.Bagnall	3 [source] [2	ami)	
Title Publications		Remains of an agnitio bonorum possession P.Bagnall 3 (More in series P.Bagnall)	is: P.Duk. inv. 466
Inv. Id	ions	ChLA XLVII 1442 descr. P.Duke inv. 466 (ehem. P.Miss. 32)	
Origin Provenance	ions -	Oxyrhynchos [Mere from Ozyrhynchos] Oxyrhynchos	
Material Date		Papyrus 239 (?) Dione from the period between 239 CE at	el zas CEI
Commentary Print Illustration	15	Lateinisch. Zum Schreiber, zu Z. 6 und zur S. 18	Datierung vgl. ZPE 190, 2014, S. 231-233.
Subjects		Eingabe (Aurenus Dionysius an den Pratek verstorbenen Mutter http://wawann.cc.columbia.adu/ided/ann	ten); Antrag auf Anerkennung einer Erbschaft (agnitio bonorum possessionis); Erbe der ohne Testament /anie (itam?modaitam?bl
License		In Lorense.	rause neuronseementeessa. hnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens. This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u>
Trismegistos: 7	0139 [source	ป	
Publications Inv. no.	P. Bagnall 3 = 0 Durham (NC),	ThLA 47 1442 descr. Duke University P. 466; formerly: Durham (NC), Duke University P. Miss.
Date	32 AD 130 - 199 (c	f. the mention of U15 Antinoopolis)	
Provenance People	Egypt, U19 - Or mentioned peo	tyrynchos (Bahnasa) [found & written] ple	
Places	mentioned plac		
Title Author	. duke.apis.3	Request to inherit [not before 212] Aurelius Dionasius (From Oracles 1)	
Summary		Egypt) Request to inherit on parsyrus from	a,
		Osyrhynchos (modern name: Bahnasa) Egypt, Aurelius Dionysius, a minor also	h 9
		known as Pausanias, assisted by his guardian, Artemidorus, son of Didymu	a
		both citizens of Oxyrhynchos, requests that the praefectus Aegypti or prefect o	6
		Egypt assign nim the inheritance of his mother Aurelia whose real name ends i "tarion." daughter of Sinthonis and citi	n zen
Citations		of Antinoopolis Inv. Id	P.Duk.inv. 466
		Support/Dimensions Language	1 item : papyrus, two fragments which do not join, mounted in glass In Latin
		Date Note (general) Note (general)	212 CE - 299 CE [More from the period between 222 CL and 299 CE] Dimensions of fragments are 3.6 x 13.6 cm. or smaller B lines
		Note (general) Note (general)	Written along the fibers on the recto Upper margin of 0.5 cm
		Note (general) Subjects	P.Duk.inv. 466 was formerly P.Miss. 32 Artemidorus – (Son of Didymus from Egypt), – 2nd cent.; Inheritance and succession – Egypt – 30 B.C640 A.D.; Inheritance
			and succession (Roman law) - 30 B.C640 A.D.; Guardian and ward Egypt 30 B.C640 A.D.; Documentary papyri Egypt Bahnasa 30 B.C640 A.D; Papyri Shenhard 20 B.C640 A.D; Papyri
		Images Images Images	Zadpi Sodoi
		License	(-) This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 License
DDbDP transcri	ption: p.bagn	all.3 [xml]	
[Reprinted from:	chla.47.1442] Ch.1	LA: 47 1442	
fragment 1 [- ca.15 - pr]a	d(ecto) · Aeg(ypt) ·	ab Aurelio Diomusio q(ui) · e(t) · Pausanio [· (7)]	hontage) - [.er.y.]
[- ck 15 -Jusan [rogo, domine	des mihi] bonorum	dori ['] Didumi ab Osuragehiltarum) * civit[ajt]e possessionem Aurelia <e> Aş[c][asu5o<u>[*] q(u</u></e>	-va.l] an) - [er:] (vj.bejn[-va.?-]
5 [ex ea parte es	i)["] - e(t) - Simhoni licti qua] legitimis he	\de/ Antinoide marris · meas int(suatas) · det[ur redib[us] dajur[um] je polliceris. Da[um -ca.?-]	letile vol. (*)
[-ca.t5-]eta, [-ca.26-],_[-	ck. 13 -] Traces [[*-] Traces [-ea.?-]]_[-ea.?-]	
fragment 2			
t [-ca.?-] Trao	es [-ca.?-]		
Apparatus			
≙ 1.3. Alitario ≙ 1.4. or q(ua) ≙ 1.6. et Asiol	R. Ast, see comm. to , or q(uae) a co[(n)t(ulibus)] H.	P Bagnall 3 ad loc Curigny, see comm. to P Bagnall 3 ad loc	
Notes			
6L.	traces at the erd -	f line 6 and in line 7 and 6 a second from h	there
Editorial Histo	ry: All Histor	v: (detailed)	
(-)	ke Databank of De	cumentary Papyri. This work is licensed un	der a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Läcense.
Linked Data BDE2XHL Turtle B	Triples JSON Gr	aph visualization	
			Produced by

8.5 The Papyrological Editor

The most revolutionary improvement came during the second stage of the Integrating Digital Papyrology project (IDP2, 2008–2010): alongside some residual fix from the preceding phase, an innovative method was deployed to ensure an appropriate update of the *Duke Databank* in the face of the increasing scholarship in the field and of the parallel increasing shortage of economic and human resources at Duke¹⁰⁶. The idea of a dynamic web-based editorial platform open to contribution from the entire papyrological community owed much to Ross Scaife's pioneering project of making the translation of *Suda* (the X-century encyclopaedic lexicon) open to the users' contributions, according to the web concept of wiki (Hawaiian for "quick") i.e. collaborative editing of hypertextual pages, developed as of 1995¹⁰⁷. Scaife's project, called Suda On Line (SOL, www.stoa.org/sol)108, started in 1998 (three years before Wikipedia), and its legacy is reflected in the name chosen for the software environment conceived for the papyrological collaborative platform: Son of Suda On Line (SoSOL), which is admittedly a tribute to Scaife's idea. The collaboration takes place in the so-called *Papyrological Editor* (PE, http://papyri.info/editor), a platform where any registered user can edit existing database entries or adding new items. Since IDP uses *Git*, a distributed version control system designed to handle multiple versions of project information, as its public data repository (via *GitHub*), since 2011¹⁰⁹, all changes to the dataset are recorded and tracked in a version history¹¹⁰ (see picture above):

[p]ermanent transparency is the guiding principle behind SoSOL. The system keeps track of everything. When you log in and submit a text, SoSOL records it; when you submit a text or propose an emendation SoSOL will not let you submit until you have written a message explaining what you propose. Similarly, SoSOL will not allow Editors to vote on a text without explaining why they vote the way they do. For every single text SoSOL keeps a permanent and comprehensive record of every single change. Users can see this, forever. The discipline of transparency and permanence has the virtue of requiring all of us to live up to the high standards of our field's motto, and make that motto meaningful: *amicitia papyrologorum*. Collegiality is, in effect, a technical requirement of SoSOL. It also means that all proposals must be offered and scrutinized with utmost seriousness, since our comments are visible to all, forever. And, that under SoSOL accurate scholarly attribution is very easy to enforce. Moreover, we assume

¹⁰⁶ Cf. BAGNALL 2012a, 2-3; BABEU 2011, 148.

¹⁰⁷ Cf. https://it.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiki.

¹⁰⁸ Cf. the history sections (http://www.stoa.org/sol/history.shtml and http://www.stoa.org/sol/about.shtml) as well as MAHONEY 2009 and BAUMANN 2011.

¹⁰⁹ Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/01/idp-data-available-on-github.html: "it represents an enabling of true community ownership of the data". Note that, though it is possible to edit the source code via *Git* and *GitHub*, it is recommended to perform small text interventions via the *Papyrological Editor* interface: see the Readme.md at https://github.com/papyri/idp.data.

¹¹⁰ On both Git and the revision history cf. BAUMANN 2011. Cf. also BAGNALL 2012a, 5.

that even suggestions judged by the Editors to be incorrect might one day be judged right, in the light of new finds, or might, though wrong, nevertheless inspire someone else to solve even an unrelated puzzle. So, SoSOL does not throw away rejected ideas; it simply stores them in the Comments page for every text, accurately attributing and time-stamping every single comment, for posterity, and for purposes of rigorous scholarly attribution¹¹¹.

One strength of this model is that rejected proposals are not deleted forever, and are instead *re-tained* in the digital record, in case new data or better arguments appear to support them. Additionally, all accepted proposals are attributed to their contributor so that proper scholarly credit can be given to them¹¹².

However, the editing process takes place in the PE (SoSOL) platform ("Advanced Create" in the user's home page, after login), where one can choose between changing existing material, picking DDB, HGV or APIS entries up, or creating a completely new "publication", as the editing instance is called¹¹³. Each "publication" is divided into "identifiers", i.e. the constituting resources (DDB text, HGV and APIS metadata); each "publication" and each "identifier" are provided with a unique number, which forms the URI of the editing instance (e.g. http://papyri.info/editor/ publications/55694/ddb identifiers/126674/edit points to a DDbDP text editing instance within publication no. 55694)¹¹⁴. The process ends with the submission of the changes made by the users to an editorial board (currently composed of Rodney Ast, James Cowey, Paul Heilporn, Todd Hickey, Cisca Hoogendijk, and Josh Sosin¹¹⁵), which conducts a thorough double peer review on the proposals and decides whether accepting, correcting, refusing, or sending them back to the user for more substantial changes. If the changes are accepted, the updated file will be eventually published in the database and publicly available, with all comments and remarks traced in the history log¹¹⁶.

¹¹¹ SOSIN 2010.

¹¹² BABEU 2011, 148.

¹¹³ An "Assignments" Google spreadsheet is available online to advise about papyrological editions still missing from the database: anyone can choose a text and put his or her name to claim it for digital entering (https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1DFnkrgqtcn4erxuP3_TkW-6LFQr2PW 4WS0F-ys0oBNo/edit#gid=0).

¹¹⁴ Cf. SOSIN 2010; CAYLESS 2011; BAUMANN 2013. For a beginner's guide to PE (updated to 2012) compiled by Paul Heilporn and others, see https://docs.google.com/document/edit?id=1w0TXTq5V uIzQxGYq9vO0CJRER3JJr6tKmwwaCzyGrXs&authkey=CKnGk_ML&hl=en#.

¹¹⁵ Senior editors have also been appointed for advice on the most difficult or complex cases. They are Roger S. Bagnall, Willy Clarysse, Hélène Cuvigny, Nikolaos Gonis, Dieter Hagedorn, Ann E. Hanson, Andrea Jördens, James G. Keenan, Klaas A. Worp, and formerly the late Isabella Andorlini.116 APIS and HGV metadata can be updated or entered following the very same pipelines.



"Software components and data flow at the conclusion of IDP2" (BAUMANN 2013, 7).

Texts can be edited from their XML code, but in order to facilitate the work of papyrologists, a tag-free markup language has been developed so that it be the closest possible to the traditional Leiden editorial conventions¹¹⁷. This language is therefore called Leiden+ because it is a digital enhancement of the Leiden system. It has a double advantage: it is comparatively easy to learn and use for non-XML experts, and it allows to copy and paste text from digital sources, and to adjust it with minimal changes (of course, the source text must be typed in Unicode characters). Many signs remain the same as their Leiden antecedents; others undergo little adjustments (see the summary table below) because Leiden+ markup has to be automatically transcoded into the corresponding XML tags¹¹⁸.

¹¹⁷ Cf. BAUMANN 2013, 6–10.

¹¹⁸ Detailed guidelines are available at http://papyri.info/docs/leiden_plus. On transcoding see BODARD – SOSIN 2011. It was effected by means of a parsing dual-syntax converter called *XSugar*, which supports conversion from both XML to Leiden+ and vice versa (http://www.brics.dk/xsugar).

For example, abbreviated words – traditionally resolved with the expansion in parentheses – must be enclosed into an extra pair of parentheses, because also the entire word is marked as an expanded abbreviation in XML, e.g. $\alpha \dot{\upsilon}(\tau \dot{\sigma} \varsigma) \rightarrow (\alpha \dot{\upsilon}(\tau \dot{\sigma} \varsigma)) \rightarrow$ <expan> $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \cdot$ expan> $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \cdot$ ex> $\tau \dot{\sigma} \cdot \varsigma \cdot$ /expan>. It must be stressed that while Leiden+ is a descriptive markup system, i.e. it tends to reproduce the papyrological features of a text, XML is a semantic markup language, i.e. tends to describe the meaning of those features. This leads to interesting theoretical conflicts with the traditional papyrological editorial practice.

For example, a lacuna is, papyrologically speaking, a physical gap of the papyrus, where some text is missing. This is marked, according to the Leiden conventions, with square brackets. Sometimes lacunas can be supplemented, either completely or partially, on the ground of parallels or conjectures or such, but from the papyrological viewpoint they are still lacunas. There is no papyrological difference between $\alpha v[\ldots]$, $\alpha v[\tau o]$, and $\alpha v[\tau o]$: square brackets always indicate the same circumstance. TEI XML, on the other hand, being a text-focused markup, distinguishes between textual portions and non-textual portions, so that an unsupplemented lacuna (non-textual portion) remains a lacuna and is labelled with the $\langle gap \rangle$ tag. Therefore, our first example will be encoded as αv [.3] and transcoded into αv <gap reason="lost" quantity="3" unit="character"/>, i.e. "a 3-character long gap of lost text". On the contrary, a supplemented lacuna is encoded as supplied text, with the <supplied> tag; therefore, our third example will be transcoded into αὐ<supplied reason="lost">τός</supplied>. This has consequences on the Leiden+ markup, because one must be careful in separating supplemented and unsupplemented portions when they occur within the same lacuna: our second example must be encoded as $\alpha \dot{v}[\tau o][.1]$ so that it be correctly transcoded into $\alpha \dot{v}$ -supplied reason="lost">to</supplied><gap reason="lost" quantity="1" unit="character"/>. On the other hand, what for a papyrologist represents illegible characters, expressed with dots (e.g. $\alpha \dot{v}$. . .) but not in square brackets because actually visible on the papyrus, for XML is a non-textual portion, since it does not express any meaningful text, and is classified as a <gap>. The only difference from a proper lacuna is the "reason" attribute, "illegible" instead of "lost": αύ<gap reason="illegible" quantity="3" unit="character"/>, i.e. "a 3-character long gap of illegible text".

Another important caveat is that Leiden+, as all markup languages, though tagfree, is nonetheless a mathematical expression and its logical syntax must be respected. Therefore, if a papyrologist has to transcribe a lost line end, (s)he can print an opening square bracket followed by a blank; but in the digital encoding, (s)he must close the bracket, because any opened tag must be closed properly: $\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\sigma} \subset [\rightarrow \alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\dot{\sigma} \subset [.?]$. Syntax mistakes are always noticed by a validation checker, which will display a red banner when the editor attempts to save the work; on the contrary, the platform cannot detect semantic errors, like the said lacuna case, and it will display a green banner anyway when saving¹¹⁹.

This kind of markup causes elements of the apparatus criticus to be encoded directly within the text: the terms that need to be pinpointed in the apparatus are marked through special tags, and their display at the bottom of the text is just a matter of HTML visualization, admittedly to emulate a printed edition (see above, § 8.4). This is probably the best and clearest example of the fact that such a semantic markup as XML / Leiden+ is content-focused rather than display-focused, which means that what really matters is the correct encoding of the textual features, their semantic substance, and not their rendered appearance:

there is much emphasis in the modern study of digital preservation on preserving the appearance of documents [...]. But an overemphasis on appearance pushes one in the direction of technologies that I will argue are not the ideal vehicles for digital preservation¹²⁰.

A closer look at the apparatus cases considered by the papyrological XML / Leiden+ markup seems to be worthwhile, since it is the main milestone that differentiates purely textual databanks like TLG from proper digital editions¹²¹. All tags work the same way in Leiden+: the opening mark <: is followed by the 'correct' or main instance of the text (the preferred alternative to be printed in the text; the newer reading; the regularized or corrected form), then by the appropriate tag, finally by the other instance or instances (multiple alternatives and editorial corrections are supported), before the closing mark :>. However, the HTML rendering can differ: usually the term on the left is displayed in the main text, but the |reg| tag works the other way around, as noted above (§ 8.4). Furthermore, the EpiDoc XML code behind Leiden+ points to slightly different concepts: alternatives and editorial corrections belong to the <app> type, expressing "one entry in a critical apparatus, with an optional lemma and usually one or more readings or notes on the relevant pas-

¹¹⁹ In the years, the editing syntax has been improved to better respond to the users' and the scientific needs. Among the most remarkable enhancements, we can mention the possibility to encode multiple alternative readings and 'regularizations' with an easier markup (cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/09/just-posted-to-papylist-dear-colleagues.html; http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/12/papyriinfo-updates.html), and above all the replacement of the tag initially used to indicate misspellings (which was |orth| for all cases) with a more nuanced distinction between 'regularizations' of linguistic variants (tag |reg|) and simple 'corrections' of outright scribal mistakes (tag |corr|) (cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/03/new-in-ddbdp.html). An interesting enhancement has also been the addition of different types of editorial corrections (BL, proposals from printed publications, proposals via PE: cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/12/papyriinfo-updates.html) and the possibility of nesting several different cases into one another.

¹²⁰ CAYLESS 2010, 145.

¹²¹ On the issue of the apparatus criticus in the digital editions of ancient texts see BOSCHETTI 2007; AGNESINI 2008, 114; MAGNANI 2008, 132; BABEU 2011, 158; DAMON 2016.

sage"¹²²; regularizations and orthographic corrections belongs to the <choice> type, defining a number of alternative encodings of the same text portion due to editorial interventions¹²³; the scribal correction, <subst>, points to ancient interventions, which belongs to a third different category because records actual text features and not modern editorial changes¹²⁴.

The following examples are taken from the online guidelines, http://papyri.info/ docs/leiden_plus:

Case	Description	EpiDoc XML	Leiden+	Output
Alternate readings	different possible readings of uncertain words	<app type="alternative"> <lem>Όχυρυγχίτου</lem> <rdg>Όξυρυγχίτου νομοῦ</rdg> </app>	<:ἀχυρυγχίτου alt ἀξυρ υγχίτου νομοῦ:>	Text: Όχυρυγχίτου Αpp: or Όξυρυγχίτου νομοῦ
Modern editorial corrections	newer improvements in readings proposed by the previous editors ¹²⁵	<app type="editorial"> <lem resp="<i>resp</i>">αi τοῦ</lem> <rdg>Θίτου</rdg> </app>	<:αἱ τοῦ <i>=resp</i> ed Θίτου:>	Text: αἱ τοῦ App: <i>resp</i> : Θίτου Original ed.
Spelling regularizations	phonetic or morphological deviations from the 'standard' Greek ¹²⁶	<choice> <reg>φρόντισον</reg> <orig>φρόνδεισον</orig> </choice>	<:φρόντισον reg φρόνδει σον:>	Text: φρόνδεισον App: l. φρόντισον
Orthographic corrections	fixing of outright scribal mistakes ¹²⁷	<choice> <corr>τιμὴv</corr> <sic>τμμὴv</sic> </choice>	<:τιμὴν corr τμμὴν:>	Text: τιμὴν App: τμμὴν pap.

122 http://www.tei-c.org/release/doc/tei-p5-doc/en/html/ref-app.html.

126 This markup is suggested also for all cases of iota adscript.

¹²³ Cf. http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/gl/8.16/trans-erroneoussubstitution.html (EpiDoc <choice> <corr>); http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/gl/8.16/trans-regularization.html (EpiDoc <choice> <reg>; http://www.tei-c.org/release/doc/tei-p5-doc/en/html/ref-choice.html(TEI <choice>).

¹²⁴ Cf. http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/gl/8.16/trans-ancientcorrection.html; http://www.tei-c.org/release/doc/tei-p5-doc/en/html/ref-subst.html.

¹²⁵ The *resp* attribute can refer to modern authors, printed bibliography, BL corrections, or PN corrections directly suggested via the Editor.

¹²⁷ When the mistake involves an extra or a missing character, the use of Leiden brackets is recommended (e.g. $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\{\tau\}\eta\gamma\phi\varsigma$, $\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\prec\tau>\eta\gamma\phi\varsigma$, corresponding to different EpiDoc XML codes), but a markup like $<:\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\{\tau\}\eta<\gamma>\phi\varsigma|corr|\sigma\tau\rho\alpha\tau\tau\varepsilon\varsigma\varsigma:>$ is also suggested. It must be said that actually there is a certain degree of inconsistency, which may lead to some erroneous encoding. The main example provided, in fact, could also be encoded as $<:\tau<I>\mu\{\mu\}\dot{\eta}\nu|corr|\tau\mu\mu\dot{\eta}\nu:>$, depending on the editor's opinion about the nature of the scribe's actual mistake.

Case	Description	EpiDoc XML	Leiden+	Output
Scribal	ancient text	<subst></subst>	<:τοῦ subst της:>	Text: τοῦ
corrections	substitutions	<add< td=""><td></td><td>App:</td></add<>		App:
	applied by the	place="inline">τοῦ		corr. from της
	ancient	<del rend="corrected">		
	scribes ¹²⁸	της		

As is apparent, the HTML display is just a temporary display of a user-friendly adaptation (Leiden+) of a deeply semantic markup, which requires a thorough understanding of the ancient text. The traditional editorial practice is unavoidably affected by instances of uncertainty and incoherence, which should be cleared during the digital encoding of the edition, without very little care for its final graphical appearance. It has been noted, for example,

that even with standard conventions such as [printed] Leiden, not all the conventions were applied evenly, as some scholars used 'underdots' to indicate partially preserved characters while others used them to demonstrate doubtful characters. The use of EpiDoc consequently addressed these types of issues with Leiden encoding as it was commonly practiced¹²⁹: "This example illustrates the primary advantage of encoding the editions in XML. If editors wish to differ between uncertain characters and broken characters they can encode them with different tags. They can then transform both tags into under-dots if they still wish to present both instances as such or they can decide to visualize one instance, underlined and the other under-dotted to distinguish between them"¹³⁰.

The carefulness required by the digitization of a papyrus text according to a strict set of standard conventions leads us to make a fundamental observation. Digitizing a papyrus edition is itself an editorial work, a philological reconsideration of the printed edition(s). To properly encode the text in a formalized structure, the digital editor is compelled to analyse the reference edition thoroughly in order to understand what the original editor meant to express, and possibly also to check any reading against the original piece or, at least, a digital reproduction of it. Moreover, a global reconsideration of the papyrus may lead to corrections or reading improvements, which can be directly annotated in the digital framework. From this viewpoint, the digital edition is an edition of an edition, but not in the (Platonic) pejorative sense: on the contrary, it increases editorial *akribeia* exponentially, becoming an intimate part of the process of scholarship, and not a mere supporting tool:

¹²⁸ Note that the original form is encoded without diacriticals.

¹²⁹ BABEU 2011, 150. EpiDoc envisages the <damage> tag to indicate characters that are broken but legible (http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/gl/8.16/trans-damaged.html), which is different than <unclear> marking uncertain characters (http://www.stoa.org/epidoc/gl/8.16/trans-ambiguous.html). Such distinction is not retained in Leiden+, so that both cases tend to be encoded (as in the printed editions) with the underdot, which corresponds to the <unclear> XML tag only.

¹³⁰ ROUED-CUNLIFFE 2009, [2].

il modello editoriale digitale [...] è rigido e flessibile al tempo stesso, e obbliga l'editore virtuale ad adeguare ai parametri condivisi del sistema le idiosincrasie sempre più marcate dei moderni editori di papiri che trascurano le raccomandazioni del sistema codificato di Leida e costruiscono edizioni critiche sempre più personali: [...] lo sforzo che l'editore virtuale fa nel convertire in linguaggio elettronico i problemi di lettura e di comprensione non risolti o la molteplicità delle soluzioni alternative educa la comunità degli esperti ad una più consapevole applicazione di criteri editoriali condivisi e di rigore interpretativo¹³¹.

Test MOD APPE Dates	
Lenix FLV Area (seea) Bilancy Commenta XXL Commentary Pyrelee	
Editing P. Got. 18 from publication P.Got. 18 dentifier servers info/ddbda/a.sot:18 (View in PN)	
df samara (Balfa describe the frames and base made)	
	Save
care v mpo Care v spherName V spherName v	
edaradə edar and haqər"gər" təpər"əddəsə" and quazər"peranesi "edar qə"i" təpər"heqquat">edar	
of an "Pring type" dataset to compact dynamic conversion and datase defines; and data in compact details are printed in page	D pain bij rengestingelinervelveprineringesti
Фач77-наралнойфектенцию парализалиайско-сарао наралнойско-сарао наразбено	olicies class casas halpeness casas casas lices dans casas casas (casas casas casas casas casas casas casas cas
th art/thenpainteenals/act-tespainteepainteenals/activations/tespainteepaintee/tespaintee/tespaintee, in	alitie
«В е., Д. скатора фарта на село село село село село село село село	ar="22">dCinac>
qg is, 3,2-ordina-orange and in operating or a contraction of a definition of a definition of the defi	supplied masses "lest" of complete translative translative two company tests to a particular de la company de s
th an Photopachicould incompany simplied mason for the toppled sequely adjust over the the pair Average	ringpled masser "left"-overlappleds respansible provide two classes respansively encodering respa
ай в «По-горых ческийско-горых зарысний ческолого стрых Хадей, черых чинфонску столоций зары	e-exchibitions-generatives
the"Theaderbelader (ppmos"let" entri-"alares" ait-"darett") copations/sectors/reported	m yahar="2">\$+ (man)>
the Troupport birds of a spectal boot adaption of the Troup set of the set of	но-сидно устаниотрумско-иско-сидно
-than 1000 kinds sugard a period system of the pair of the pair of the control of the pair of the p	an were gelight, dange et an opper an opper and sold a
(b) ar/11/54034 (espac-lativecian consequence) (espac-antipological consequence) (gg) means/depbb/ gauty-	,4, mm, quante, >.466 amm, galippi, dampi, 4, mm, quante, >.466 amm, galippe, dampi, 4, mm
than "IP"-repair-respectively. Copier Copier Cocketer Cocketer Corpair Constraints (detroid "outer	тээлдаагчулагчулагчулагчуларын хадаагбоосбалагбос-бадааг чааа чаан "139" учуббаааг чааа чаан
cpms_11_centeroliteconsecutor cuttero contectoro parametero cutero cutero (1)_chost que cargenos cu que a 11_centeroliteconsecutor cutero curtero contectoro parametero cultero cutero (1)_chost que parametero cu que cutero cutero cutero cutero curtero curtero cuntero cu que cutero cutero cutero cutero cutero cu que cutero cutero cutero cu que cutero cutero cu que cutero cu que cutero cu que cutero cu que que cu que c	pans, com rabes, 13, stels, pag., pag.
op is, it, continuous specifications for outfine any other continuous continuous concernations are continue	danni-exe-no-circe-cirquae-pao cable-d-nacinar-octualizar-cables-serpac-Cerc-denne-tre-crepac- man value
op in a provide second concerning or and operating on the contract of the second s	Othe constraints, 21, and constraints, constraints, 12, units, preprint 9979, exchanged experience, etc.
Chief The Control of the American American Control of the Control of Control	of other projection of the submeduation of the submeduation and the submeduation and the submeduation of the
and the second	
d με "μ", "μα ματοποδαβαζό "ματός" " " από " δαι" " δαί" - (δαί	bows (jatoda registal (a
Constrained of the second of t	hone nirola regginsi a Donin
Constrained and the second of the secon	hone nirola regginsi a Donis
The off off off off off off off off off of	bone niróda reggini [ni Facele
The off of	bone niróda reggini [ni Facels
The second seco	bener aktób registel ak
And a second sec	bone strobs regard at Furth Save
Bother (Dec. ADS Transmisson) Bother (Dec. ADS Transmisson) </td <td>bone bioth region bi Book</td>	bone bioth region bi Book
Constrained and a second	bener wirde registel ei Pere
Description State of the	bone siroka reggasi a Danis Danis Danis
Control of the second sec	bone bioth region th Reck
And a second sec	bone niroda registal (n Front Save
Constrained and a second and a second and a second a	bone sinds regiss si Done
Constrained and a second	bone wickli registel w Poste Sare
Control of the contr	bene j siroka regeni j k Burdi Sare
Constrained and a second a sec	bone nixida.rggini A Koris Sare
And a second sec	borne niroda registal (n Tranta Save
	bone siroka reggnal a Denis Sere
A constraint of constraint of a constraint of constraint of black of constraint of a constraint of constraint of black of constraints of a constraint of constraint of black of constraint of black of constraint of black of constraint of black of constraint of constraints of black of constraints	borse) accels region (na Dece
Control of the second of	bene j nirok regent (n Bene Save
And Andreas Andreas And Andreas And Andreas Andre	bowe siroka regginsi a Dorih

Leiden+ (top) and XML (bottom) editing windows in SoSOL environment.

¹³¹ ANDORLINI - REGGIANI 2012, 138.

Case	Leiden	LASLA	ARSINOE	DDbDP Beta Code	Leiden+	TEI/EpiDoc XML
unclear / uncertain	ά	Ą	.α.	α?	ά	<unclear>a </unclear>
illegible (1)	•	1	•	!	.1	<gap <br="" reason="illegible">quantity="1" unit="character"/></gap>
illegible (15)	-15-	15		!15	.15	<gap <br="" reason="illegible">quantity="15" unit="character"/></gap>
lacuna	[],[]	[3], []		[!3],[c%1]	[.3] , [.?]	<gap <br="" reason="lost">quantity="3" unit="character"/>, <gap <br="" reason="lost">extent="unknown" unit="character"/></gap></gap>
supplement	[α]	[α]	(α)	[α]	[α]	<supplied rea-<br="">son="lost">α </supplied>
omission	(α)	<α>			<α>	<supplied rea-<br="">son="omitted">a </supplied>
superfluous	{α}	<<α>>>			{α}	<surplus>α</surplus>
deletion	[[α]]	[[α]]		[4α]4	[[¤]]	<del rend="erasure">α
interlinear	`α/	((α))			\α/	<add place="above">α</add
abbreviation	α(β)	α(β)	α((β))	α[1β]1	(α(β))	<expan>α<ex>β</ex> </expan>
symbol	(α)	*α	((α))	[1α]1	((α))	<expan><ex>a</ex> </expan>
doubt	?	*	*		?,(?)	cert="low"
misspelling	apparatus		* C α = β C * only for scribal corr.	{4}4, etc. according to types	<:α reg β:>, <:α corr β:>	<choice><reg>α </reg><orig>β </orig></choice> , <choice><corr>α </corr><sic>β </sic></choice>

Comparative table of different markup for papyrus texts.

8.6 From Digital Editions to Digital Scholarship

The final, open access version of the integrated database (PN) and of the editorial SoSOL platform (PE) was released in 2010 under the name of *Papyri.info* (http:// papyri.info). It is apparent that we are dealing with a completely different concept of papyrological database, where the instances of integration and collaboration have profoundly transformed what was originally a searching/indexing/concordancing tool¹³². The *Duke Databank* is no more a fixed collection of canonical reference texts: "it is a collection of conjectures, now easily capable of being revisited, revised, and improved"¹³³: a dynamic workspace for a digital scholarship, the true representative of a "discipline in flux"¹³⁴ such as Papyrology itself, and something else than the original DDbDP.

The texts, already provided with a basic critical apparatus, after the third phase of the IDP project (2010–12) are equipped with the possibility of adding an introduction and line-by-line commentary¹³⁵: they are, therefore, potentially closer to the concept of digital critical edition than to that of textual databanks \dot{a} *la* TLG. A recent experiment conducted at Heidelberg, during the Seminar of Digital Papyrology held by Rodney Ast, Lajos Berkes and James Cowey, led to the creation of born-digital critical editions of unpublished papyri. A group of *descripta* of the Gothenburg collection was studied and edited directly online via PE. The results – some of them are already available in the public database¹³⁶ – showed that the potentials of PE go far beyond the collection of already published texts and their open update.

There exist some other online resources providing digital editions of papyrus texts. Several of them chronologically precede the IDP project, and clearly express the feeling of expanding the then existing digital papyrus *corpus* (DDbDP via *Perseus*) by taking into consideration different textual categories (namely, paraliterary and literary papyri¹³⁷) and/or a deeper level of information (articulated metadata, apparatus criticus, descriptions and commentaries¹³⁸).

¹³² See above, \S 7.1 and 8.2. The 1968 AIP recommendations (above, \S 1.1) also focused on indexing issues.

¹³³ BAUMANN 2013, 105.

¹³⁴ HANSON 2002; see above, §§ 1.1–2.

¹³⁵ Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/03/new-in-ddbdp.html.

¹³⁶ http://papyri.info/ddbdp/ddbdp;2015;1; http://papyri.info/ddbdp/ddbdp;2015;2; http://papyri. info/ddbdp/ddbdp;2015;3. Note the way they are recorded: since they are *descripta* and do not have any printed *editio princeps*, they have not been called after the official abbreviation P.Got., but with a progressive "ddbdp" number, which makes it clear that the papyrus has just this online edition. Cf. BERKES 2017.

¹³⁷ See the project of Kathleen McNamee for creating a database of marginal annotations in literary and paraliterary papyri (McNAMEE 1984). For Arabic papyri see already above, § 3.5

¹³⁸ See e.g. the cases of collections catalogues that include also transcriptions or editions of some texts: the Spanish *Ductus* and the German *Papyrus Portal* (see above, § 3.6).

A groundbreaking effort came from the already mentioned *Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri* (2003), which beside metadata chose to provide the full texts of the documents, both in plain Beta Code (transcriptions without accents, diacriticals, papyrological signs, only to facilitate search) and in Unicode Greek, encoded in TEI XML, converted in an HTML display, and provided with a critical apparatus. Yet CPP is not a mere reproduction of the existing editions:

Although the CPP collection does not have the ambition to produce new scholarly editions, the texts are never simple reproductions of one particular edition but they are based on our own representation of the most recent edition or simply of the one we considered the best. In many cases, it is the result of the comparison between two or more editions. When this is so, variants among the different editions are noted in the apparatus. For the purposes of scholarly research, however, consultation of the printed editions remains necessary¹³⁹.

This statement is remarkable for two reasons: first, it demonstrates what we noticed above of the philological flavour of the digitizing task; second, the recurring observation that a digital resource, even detailed and almost complete, never replaces other traditional sources of information.



Another pioneer in the digital edition of papyrological texts has been the *Vindolanda Tablets Online* portal (VTO, http://vindolanda.csad.ox.ac.uk), directed by Alan Bowman, Charles Crowther, and John Pearce (Oxford/CSAD), offering a complete and updated online version of T.Vindol. II, which superseded T.Vidol. I. This is a

¹³⁹ HUYS - NODAR 2007, 456; cf. RENNER 2009, 291; BABEU 2011, 146.



nice example of integration between printed and digital resources: introductory parts of the volumes are reproduced, useful concordances between printed and digital documents are provided, and a detailed section of *addenda* and *corrigenda* is maintained (http://vindolanda.csad.ox.ac.uk/tablets/TVaddenda.shtml). General introductions to the tablets and their context ("Exhibition"), a reference section with information about the documentary context (names, military terms, numerals, dates, currency, measures), and a complete guide to the database form a wide help tool to better use and understand the published material. All sections of the site are searchable, and the database itself can be browsed by several fields (publication number, subject, category i.e. chapter headings, document type, people mentioned, places mentioned, military terms, archaeological context...) or searched with various criteria (Latin text, metadata text, publication number). The texts are published alongside a zoomable digital picture, an extensive commentary, and an English translation. The texts themselves are encoded in a modified version of TEI XML¹⁴⁰, called "Vindolanda XSL Style Sheet", with apparatus and notes that pop up in separate windows; the notes from T.Vindol. I and the *addenda* are also available when applicable. The VTO site was developed in 2001–2003, and since 2011 it is flanked by a second website, Vindolanda Tablets Online II (VTO2, http://vto2.classics.ox.ac.uk), developed by Henriette Roued-Cunliffe. It is not intended to be a replacement of VTO but an updated re-elaboration of the concept of digital edition. While VTO was shaped as a database, VTO2 is designed as a series of XML documents encoded in Creative Commons license according to EpiDoc standards, from which information is extracted through a web service (APPELLO) specifically developed for this site¹⁴¹. The new collection, comprising T.Vindol. I-II as well as the more recent third vol-

¹⁴⁰ Cf. http://vindolanda.csad.ox.ac.uk/tablets/TVdigital.shtml; BABEU 2011, 146.

¹⁴¹ Cf. http://vto2.classics.ox.ac.uk/index.php/about/appello-web-service; ROUED-CUNLIFFE 2009; BABEU 2011, 151–2 and 157. APPELLO also allows for automated reading suggestions (see above, § 7.1).
ume T.Vindol. III, can be browsed by publication number, but is not searchable; thematic indices are provided instead. Each document exhibits image, inventory number, introduction, text, translation, and commentary. A remarkable characteristic is that the text is annotated: the words feature different colours according to their category (persons, military terms...: a "contextual encoding"¹⁴²) and are lemmatized, so that by clicking each one a pop-up window gives reference of the lemma, its occurrences within the *corpus* (concordance), dictionary entries from the *Perseus Project*, and a definition taken from Thomas Cooper's *Thesaurus Linguae Romanae et Britannicae*.



The integration between text and images is even deeper in the online edition of the *Codex Sinaiticus*, the famous 4th-century biblical codex (http://www.codexsinaiticus. org). Since the artefact had been dispersed among four different institutions (British Library, National Library of Russia, St. Catherines Monastery, and Leipzig University Library), an international project has been launched to reunite the entire manuscript in digital form and make it accessible to a global audience. The *Codex Sinaiticus Project* is therefore, first of all, a remarkable case of virtual reunification of scattered pieces of the same documents, performed through digital imaging techniques¹⁴³. Then

¹⁴² BABEU 2011, 151.

¹⁴³ Cf. VANNINI 2016 and see above, § 5.3. A digital reunified *Codex Sinaiticus* is available also through the *Turning the Pages* project of the British Library (http://www.bl.uk/turning-the-pages) for virtual leafing through (see above, § 5.3 as well).

these high-quality pictures¹⁴⁴ are integrated, within a single interlinked interface, page by page, with: (a) a TEI-compliant transcription of the text, supporting either a view by physical page or by biblical verse¹⁴⁵, including all corrections; (b) modern translations in Russian, Greek, German, and English of selected passages; (c) detailed physical description of each page. The text is digitally aligned to the image (see above, § 7.1), so that clicking a word in the transcription highlights the corresponding word in the picture, and can be browsed by page or by biblical passage.

A particular *corpus* that deserved a special attention is the Herculaneum one, for the understandable peculiarities that justify the existence of a "Papirologia Ercolanese"¹⁴⁶. An automated indexing of the Herculaneum papyri was attempted first by Knut Kleve – after all, *Lacunology* and *Literalogy* were by-products of his work on the carbonized rolls (see above, §§ 5.4 and 7.1) – and Jan Songstad, who produced (in 1975) an *Index to Works of Philodemus* in which the lines of the papyri are numbered in a continuous series, and a concordance called *Works of Philodemus* that lists the words alphabetically giving the line number, the reference to the edition, and the context of the phrase. This was followed in 1987 by a similar *Concordance to Philodemos* and by Daniel Delattre's attempt, in the Nineties, to digitize the Philodemean texts in a Word file, to perform queries with its 'search' tool¹⁴⁷.

In 2002 Gianluca Del Mastro started a new enterprise: he noticed that many Epicurean texts were missing from TLG, which therefore could not be used as a valid search tool for the Herculaneaum papyri; on the other hand, he also noted that the Herculaneum texts, unlike the literary works recorded in TLG, are by nature subject to constant update; it was also necessary that data from all various editions be available, in order to have the entire editorial history on hand¹⁴⁸. He therefore launched the Thesaurus Herculanensium Voluminum (THV, http://www.thvproject.it), started in 2008 with the collaboration of Holger Essler (Würzburg University). This ongoing database (26 papyri uploaded so far) is searchable with various text combinations; each papyrus is encoded with basic metadata (catalogue number; author, work title, and volume number, with indication of the degree of certainty of the attribution; bibliographical reference to edition) and the text, with interpretations and notes to lines displayed in pop-up windows, in a hypertextual architecture resembling that of VTO2 (see above). Text is encoded, rather uncommonly, in *Super*-Greek (see above, § 8.1; the corresponding font can be downloaded from the site). The choice is explained by the fact that this font contains all symbols used to edit Herculaneum papyri and perfectly interfaces the programming language used to

¹⁴⁴ A page of the website is devoted to their technical details.

¹⁴⁵ Cf. BABEU 2011, 123.

¹⁴⁶ We have already encountered the issues related to the digital imaging of the Herculaneum papyri: see above, § 5.3.

¹⁴⁷ Cf. Del Mastro 2012, 176-7.

¹⁴⁸ Cf. DEL MASTRO 2012, 177-8.

build the MySQL database. However, it goes without saying that a Unicodecompliant font would be much more universally integrated with other resources and other software: a future conversion, fortunately, is not excluded¹⁴⁹, also in view of the ongoing project of linguistic annotation of Herculaneum papyri (see above, § 7.1); THV is indeed a major contributor to DCLP (see below, § 8.7). The most interesting feature is the possibility for the registered scholarly users¹⁵⁰ to propose emendations to the texts, in a collaborative spirit that parallels the *Papyrological Editor*, "nella convinzione, che fu di Marcello Gigante, che solo grazie alla collaborazione internazionale la papirologia ercolanese potrà continuare a lungo il suo cammino"¹⁵¹. Future integration with the catalogue *Chartes* (see above, § 3.6), eventually in an aggregated portal, is under consideration¹⁵². On the side of Herculaneum Papyrology we shall mention also the forthcoming final outcome of PHerc project (see above, § 6.6), in that it envisages "a DVD including an interactive edition of the critical text with direct links to all the relevant papyrological documentation and a virtual reconstruction of the original papyrus roll"¹⁵³: an integrated digital critical edition that raises particular expectations.

thvproject.it	home
Demotrius Laco De anthropomorphia deorum Numero di Papiro 124 Autore: Cometrus Laco Centraza Autore: dutre celo Telebi: De anthropomorphia donom Centraza Telos: Incento Numero del Libro: Centraza del Muero del Libro: Incento	Stamps raesta popina. Ricerca Fords. © surfs. © Last © Any S Coupier. ©
EDIZONE	Sec. M
Editore: V. De Faico	Anna India
Titolo: L'epicureo Demetrio Lacone	Area togin
Luogo/Sede/Anno: Isoloteca di Nologia classica, II, Napoli 1923, 57 sq.	e-mail
Comice	Login
Petro	
Colonna	
Frammento: 1	Papiri Interiti
ta, aifhqbta,	- april inserter
	Papiro Autore/Titolo Libro
Cornice:	124 Demetrika Laco - De anthropomorpha deorum
Pezzo:	16) Philodesen Dr. Hallin 1
Colonna:	The Concernence of the second s
Frammento: 2	sue c'hilodenne - De tra
*_*CHERTANOU*	336 Polystratus - Dr. contempts
gostfu?? bir?? kiii??bir?ew?	463 Philodemus - De rhetorica N

The *Derveni Papyrus Online*, developed in 2012 by the Center for Hellenic Studies (principal editor Ioanna Papadopoulou), follows a different format, and shows some noteworthy features. The text from Kouremenos, Parássoglou and Tsantsanoglou's *editio princeps*¹⁵⁴ is hosted on the *iMouseion Project* (http://dp.chs.harvard.edu/

¹⁴⁹ Cf. DEL MASTRO 2012, 179.

¹⁵⁰ Access must be requested to info@cispe.org.

¹⁵¹ DEL MASTRO 2012, 181.

¹⁵² Cf. Del Mastro 2012, 180–1.

¹⁵³ http://www.pherc.eu/project.html.

¹⁵⁴ KOUREMENOS – PARÁSSOGLOU – TSANTSANOGLOU 2006.

index.php?col=1&ed=KPT), a platform designed to allow annotations, indices, and collaborative work on digital editions of ancient texts¹⁵⁵. The text is encoded in content-based, annotated XML and Unicode font; the apparatus can be toggled into a different window on the right. The platform offers also a reproduction of the newer edition of the papyrus by F. Ferrari¹⁵⁶, with English translation and apparatus criticus. The two versions can be displayed in two parallel columns, generating therefore a "multiversion". The same "multiversion" can be obtained with a third edition of the text, the more recent one established by Alberto Bernabé and Valeria Piano. This is a very interesting example of the evolving nature of a digital critical edition: in a scientific background of fluidity, the hyperspace is used to store more than one version of the text, so that the possible different solutions be compared and evaluated (see below, §§ 8.7 and 9). A further feature should be stressed: thanks to the technical peculiarities of the platform, textual supplements in lacuna can be displayed or hidden at alternate clicks¹⁵⁷. As we remember, the possibility of having separate outputs for the diplomatic transcription and the emended edition is an old desideratum in Digital Papyrology, in order to gain as much as possible a representation close to the original fragment.

**	D	ERVENI PAPYRUS Cal. III	
FR.FR.GR.GS.FF K. Tsantsanoglou and G.M. Para	ssoglou Edition		FR.FR.G31G54,F7mi.1 F. Ferrari Edition
[[]] anviadro al do -als Joon	 I I I I J. ausci I. Jos bailadery viewali, baidyet Bair Willyr & Schlau diw Salatiower of warrish fryfer Bair drower of horsel aistry for Argenetic all border aistry for Argenetic I. Bair drower of L. I. 	1 1 νώτασί αυα δλαίμου θυβήλατεζος του 'γάλο ή πομι ό με τους διαρίζετς Έσουνδος, οι θ ο βλάθειους (Ελατιθήαργίου, αφό δια πούνταις (η μ.) Δήρικαι θυβήτι τένασε εί παμαλόμετιξο

A fresh ongoing project is the database to be developed in the framework of the PLAT-INUM project (*Papyri and LAtin Texts: INsights and Updated Methodologies*) led by Maria Chiara Scappaticcio at the University of Naples "Federico II". The project aims at providing complete editions of all Latin texts on papyrus and *ostraka*; the texts will

¹⁵⁵ Cf. http://chs.harvard.edu/CHS/article/display/5418.

¹⁵⁶ Cf. http://dp.chs.harvard.edu/DP_FF_1_6.php.

¹⁵⁷ One may have experienced the same feature in the *Searchable Greek Inscriptions* database by PHI, http://epigraphy.packhum.org.

be subsequently collected in a databank (https://platinum-erc.it/database, technical editor Andrea Bernini). Though most of them are already included in *Papyri.info*, the idea clearly attests to the need for some more advanced resources dealing with special *corpora*.

It is apparent that there is a generalized need for something more than a plain textual databank, even though with advanced search functions. A first trend is towards the creation of a collaborative workspace where papyrologists can offer their individual contribution, share knowledge, and even interact with each other in a virtual way. In short, a place for dynamic digital scholarship which seems to be the increasingly precise incarnation (or shall we say excarnation?) of the ideal *amicitia papyrologorum*, which could never find a real proper way of expression in paper format. The Internet undoubtedly favoured this concept: as its creator Tim Berners-Lee put it,

[the Web] is an information space through which people can communicate, but communicate in a special way: communicate by sharing their knowledge in a pool. The idea was not just that it should be a big browsing medium. The idea was that everybody would be putting their ideas in, as well as taking them out¹⁵⁸.

A second trend can be described as the deployment of an integrated and interconnected network of data, metadata and images that goes beyond the traditional fixity of canonical critical editions. A third trend is the need for resources devoted to nondocumentary papyri¹⁵⁹. The *Derveni Papyrus Online* stems from a specific research interest, but CPP and THV are admittedly aimed at filling in a DDbDP gap that even TLG cannot cover because of its own nature.

From all the said trends stemmed *Proteus*, a forthcoming Oxford project announced as "a platform that digitally captures the evolving data of Greek and Latin literary and subliterary papyri as they are edited and re-edited over time, [...] a digital ecosystem for both creating next-generation born digital critical editions and generating the textual criticism that underwrites them" ¹⁶⁰. Essentially, it is conceived as a philologically-oriented "Papyrological Editor", where users will be able to create born online critical editions complete of diacriticals (in-browser keyboards and menus are planned to help in this) and apparatus, and to emend and update existing data. The platform is still under construction at http://www.proteusproject. uk, but is announced as articulated into an editorial section (DELPHI, i.e. "the Digital Editor for Classical Philology") and the *Proteus Search Interface*.

¹⁵⁸ From the transcript of his talk to the MIT LCS 35th Anniversary celebrations, Cambridge MA, April 1, 1999: https://www.w3.org/1999/04/13-tbl.html). See Introduction above (§§ 1.1–2).

¹⁵⁹ In fact, as we saw, an exact categorization is often impossible – I should perhaps say 'non-HGV/DDbDP papyri'.

¹⁶⁰ http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/ProteusProject, with some screenshots (reproduced here); cf. WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015.



Some of the official screenshots of *Proteus* (apparatus, XML source, *Markdown* markup, and – in the next page – a collation of two different editions).



8.7 New Standards for Digital Literary Papyri

The Proteus project is admittedly rooted in the statement that

[d]espite being a focal point for modern digital papyrology, the [*Papyri.info*] application targets only documentary papyri and consequently cannot be used to create born digital critical editions of literary papyri¹⁶¹.

It therefore aims at providing an innovative tool to perform this task by developing the encoding standards already implemented by the IDP project. The new platform will be based on a redesigned TEI-compliant XML schema, cognate to but different than the EpiDoc one that informs *Papyri.info*, since the latter was originally designed for epigraphs, and the *Proteus* developers produced "a new XML standard for philological studies of papyrological material", which they called *Critical Syntax for Papyri* (CSYN-P)¹⁶². Consequently, the Leiden+ markup has been rethought too, and

¹⁶¹ WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 2.

¹⁶² "As the standard was designed for epigraphy, many of the standard's XML tags and attributes provide little to no meaning in the context of papyrology and obfuscate the XML structure of a literary papyrological edition" (WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 2). One may note, however, that many epigraphic tags are not used at all for encoding papyri, and do not disturb the users' work on the texts, if this is what the *Proteus* developers mean. On the other hand, the common EpiDoc ground can ensure high degrees

a new user-friendly annotating syntax has been developed under the name of CSYN *Markdown*, as a result of a combination between the Leiden editorial conventions "and the popular Markdown language", which is actually a minimal transcription language for study material and ¹⁶³. Moreover, "a custom XML parser" to render the XML file into HTML human-readable display will be used instead of the standard XSLT transformation schemas¹⁶⁴. The announced innovations are great, especially after almost ten years of EpiDoc/Leiden+ addiction. What may strike is that, at least for now, no reference is made to data circulation, open-source software or Creative Commons licenses, nor to the possible compatibility of the new standards with all existing resources, nor even to cross-resource integration. Even more striking is perhaps the assertion that "the current information model for Greek and Latin digital texts fails to include the vital components necessary to create complete born digital critical editions and facilitate the scholarly use and citation of such editions"¹⁶⁵, while, as we saw above (§ 8.6), the SoSOL Papyrological Editor is fully equipped for supporting both live emendations and born-digital critical editions of papyri, citation of which is made rather easy by the unique identifying URLs associated to the digital documents. They are papyri of documentary type, of course: but recently a new project have been launched to extend the experience of *Papyri.info* to literary and paraliterary material – a fact that is somehow acknowledged by the *Proteus* developers¹⁶⁶.

The project in question is the *Digital Corpus of Literary Papyri* (DCLP, http://litpap.info)¹⁶⁷, and has been launched in 2013 by the Institute for the Study of the Ancient World (ISAW) at New York (Roger S. Bagnall, Tom Elliott) and the Heidelberg Institute of Papyrology (Rodney Ast, James Cowey), with technical collaboration of the Duke Collaboratory for Classics Computing (DC3), which manages *Papyri.info* (Ryan Baumann, Hugh Cayless, Josh Sosin), expressly to extend the PN/PE functionalities to the whole world of literary and paraliterary papyri¹⁶⁸. The main coordinates of this still ongoing effort are the very same as the documentary *Papyri.info*: a *Papyrological Navigator* with the same searching options (currently from

167 Cf. Ast – Essler 2017.

of compatibility between cognate documents (let us just think of *Trismegistos* planning to include inscriptions, see above § 3.3).

¹⁶³ Cf. https://daringfireball.net/projects/markdown; VOEGLER – BORNSCHEIN – WEBER 2014. As to now, *Markdown* lacks true standardization, contrary to XML.

¹⁶⁴ Cf. WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 2 ff.; BRUSUELAS 2016, 201–2.

¹⁶⁵ WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 1; cf. BRUSUELAS 2016, 201–2.

¹⁶⁶ "Although plans have been announced to extend its functionality to literary papyri, we are unable to evaluate their proposed system as the application's changes are still a work-in-progress" (WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 1).

¹⁶⁸ Cf. the home page of the platform and http://isaw.nyu.edu/news/digital-literary-papyri.

litpap.info it is possible to search in both *corpora*: documentary and literary); metadata (taken from TM and LDAB, of course, rather than from HGV); an *Editor* section; a TEI/EpiDOC-based XML source code publicly available via *GitHub*. The difference, and the main issue, lied in adapting the encoding parameters established for the documentary papyri to texts that present slightly different features.

As we have already cleared (see above, § 8.5), in the digital encoding of any text what really matters is the information stored in the computer, not the pure display output. Therefore, it is true that Papyri.info initially did not support the full set of paratextual symbols that one can find in non-documentary papyri (coronides, diplai, *diplai obelismenai*, *stigmai*, etc.), but is also true that EpiDoc XML is flexible enough to allow extending its capabilities far beyond the original design. For example, the EpiDoc tag <g> is used to label non-standard characters or glyphs (with a "type" attribute specifying the name of the symbol), and is extensively used in the Duke Databank to mark, e.g., original dots (<g type="dot"/>), S-shaped symbols for etous "year" (<g type="s-etous"/>), check marks (<g type="check"/>). These are all rendered in Leiden+ as the symbol name between two asterisks: *dot*, *s-etous*, *check*, etc. Of course, literary diacritical marks are not included in list of <g> types used for documentary papyri¹⁶⁹; nevertheless, the language is flexible enough to allow using the same syntax for virtually any glyph: this means that new tags $\leq g$ type="coronis"/>, <g type="diple"/>, <g type="diple-obelismene"/> and so on can implemented, and they indeed are recognized and accepted by the system. This works also on the Leiden+ side: *coronis*, *diple*, *diple-obelismene*, etc. are accepted by the PE and correctly converted in the corresponding XML. The only "problem" is that they don't have a specific graphical display in the HTML output – but this is an issue shared with many other 'documentary' <g> types, also due to the lack of specific Unicode characters, and a secondary one, since the important point, as we stated, is correct encoding.

Another example of the flexibility of EpiDoc/TEI XML is the treatment of layout features. In text of literary and paraliterary nature, even more than in 'documents', the *mise en page* is a fundamental part of the text itself, and quite often plays a primary role: the articulation of the content bears meaning and needs to be encoded properly. *Ekthesis* and *eisthesis*, for example (extension and indention of lines), are not only significant from the bibliological and palaeographical viewpoints, but are themselves parts of the work, contribute to its meaning in defining sections of text. Originally not conceived for the encoding of documentary papyri, such layout devices can now be marked through appropriate XML and Leiden+ tags¹⁷⁰.

¹⁶⁹ A list of the <g> types currently featured by *Papyri.info* is available at http://147.142.225.252/ paptrac/wiki/gtypes.

¹⁷⁰ Detailed discussion of this issue will be offered in REGGIANI 2018c, 2018d, and 2018e.

Discussion of literary and paraliterary text encoding has been carried on by the DCLP developers together with the participating projects, in particular the Würzburg team directed by Holger Essler and the Parma team led by Isabella Andorlini. Indeed, both were dealing with very peculiar groups of texts – the philosophical treatises preserved in the Herculaneum carbonized rolls, with their fundamental and complex editorial history (see above, §§ 7.1 and 8.6), and the *corpus* of the Greek medical papyri, which comprises literary as well as documentary and paraliterary works, i.e. technical texts with a very peculiar textual scenario (reuse, annotations, abbreviations and symbols, heavy paratextual devices, idiosyncratic variants from the medical writers¹⁷¹). Several joint meetings led to the definition of a complex stylesheet for the encoding of ancient punctuation, diacriticals, symbols, layout devices, editorial features, not least the variant readings, which express loci where the papyrus deviates from the manuscript tradition or other sources and are of course totally absent from 'documents'. Some issues are still under evaluation and development, but the *Papyrological Editor* can indeed evolve to expand the capacity of the papyrological database to encompass all the types of written materials. Both from Würzburg and from Parma came a significant contribution to the rising DCLP: the former provided annotated texts (lemmatization layer), the latter built full critical editions complete of introduction, apparatus, line-by-line commentary, and translation¹⁷². These are nice examples of the potentials of a versatile database that can become also a space of discussion and confrontation: DCLP will offer the same editing possibilities as *Papyri.info*.

The medical papyri, in particular, have been published with summarized information taken from the main reference editions, and therefore exhibit a very basic apparatus criticus and commentary, essentially reporting – beside the usual editorial corrections and 'regularizations' – relevant parallel passages in medical authors¹⁷³. Moreover, the Parma team is also planning to develop some experimental born digital critical editions of unpublished medical papyri, in order to envisage the most suitable way to deploy the editorial workspace of DCLP. Actually DCLP, like *Papyri.info*, is not "unrelated to the task of creating born digital critical editions"¹⁷⁴ – it is just designed to be a workspace for digital scholarship, and the developments in the research will contribute to shape its nature¹⁷⁵.

¹⁷¹ Cf. REGGIANI 2017c, 2017d, 2018b, 2018d, 2018e.

¹⁷² The encoded texts are listed at https://goo.gl/ZBbHkp.

¹⁷³ Cf. http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/ERC. The project is mentioned at https://wiki.digitalclassic ist.org/Parma_Digital_Medical_Library. See below, Appendix 2.

¹⁷⁴ WILLIAMS – SANTARSIERO – MECCARIELLO – VERHASSELT – CARROLL – WALLIN – OBBINK – BRUSUELAS 2015, 2.

¹⁷⁵ An interesting claim to avoid project-specific markup is advanced by MONELLA 2008 (cf. BABEU 2011, 34).

254 — From Textual Databases to Digital Scholarship

DCLP	Editor top available:			
metadata 20 text20 DCLP data 20 trans	P.Oxy. 3 437 = Trismegistos <u>60184 = LDAB 1301</u> P. Oxy. 3 437 - 200 - 299 - Found: Oxyrynchos (U, Egypt); written: Egypt			
DCLP/LDAB Data Title	[xmi] P. 0xy, 3437			
Works	Hippocrates, Opus incertum View and a start of the start			
Content	Hipportaises, oan or importante, oan or importante de la constante de la consta La constante de la constante de			
Principal Edition Fragments	P.Oxy, 3 437			
Support Material	papyrus			
Origin	ate 200-299 Education the period between 200 SLM and 200 SLM			
Form and I assut	Eard			
Genre	enre prose medicine			
Culture Religion	Jure literature; science igion classical			
Print Illustrations	nt Illustrations Liège			
Origin	[More from Found: Oxyrynchos (U, Ecypt); written: Ecypt]			
DCLP Transcriptio	on [<u>xmi]</u>			
Introduction				
and outcom				
Part of an Hippocrat extract was first of u the Hippocratic Oat from bladder calculu from the third centu	tic treating (Brussels, Musics Royaux E 5979). Papyrus fragment (R ₂ 77), run) from the bottom of a column. It comprises 15 (ines and the upper, left and right margins are not preserved. The Inclear nature (being described in the editio princeps as a possible medical treatise or a philosophical work of more general character), but biels later recognised among parts that resembled h (vd. commentary). Therefore the fragment might be considered another version of the OAth or a treatise about it. Concerning the topic, there is a possible mention of persons suffering and probabilises for the surgeon when treating them. The fragment continues with an enumeration of the surgeon's duties. The hand is a medium-sized sloping uncial, probably dating ary AD, and can be compared to <u>P.Berol, 9756</u> .			
(This papyrus has b Agreement no. 3398 (Grenfell-Hunt, P.O.	een digitally edited by Mareel Mouer as part of the Project "DiGMEDTEXT - Online Humanities Scholarship: A Digital Medical Library based on Ancient Text" (ERC-AGE-2013, Grant SSB) funded by the European Research Council at the University of Parma (Principal Investigator: Prof. Isabella Andorlini). The digital edition is mostly based on the previous editions ray, 3 437 (1903); Wouters, Philologua 121 (1977), p. 146-149).)			
faith faith				
[unit] [unit] [unit] [unit]				
[-ek/-juvra[-ex/-]				
[- (-a,) [yap to [-a, c,] [- [-a, c,] yap to [-a, c,]				
[-ca.?-][-ca.	[-sa7-] _[-sa tr-]uertue[-sa7-]			
[2] [And and a set of a s				
(Մ)(«տարինի հաղությունը) (Մ)				
10 [unford] Babbon unfol BADon un glorativ reduct[0] Bendler de normanisation add/00				
lips, kaja je ganazač kinakonskoj (2) opilijen injo Instalo zali začista mičentanji nove narični.				
[more] mapoundermetric silven, depit [Jan 84]				
[1] [-art.] for [c-art.] should be law] [0, art.] for [c-art.] should be law]				
Apparatus				
 ∴ 5. [-ea.?- mapd ∴ 7. No Grenfell- ∴ 7. Schöne : [., 1 ∴ 9. [-ea.?-]Anv ∴ 9. qe[-ea.?-]G 	ijBeryan Deichgrüher Hant ed. pr. Jähöns v1 (= x-1). I Gential Hant ed. pr. Grandid Hant ed. pr. Fendid Hant ed. pr.			
 10. π[οκΙν] De 11. [τών πρ]ός 12. άριστοχειρ 	dalguidaer ; πέγαμίτε δι λαπτιτίου) Dala την ήτιγκηται γκαγατορποτήςπου) Datalaputhere : [τέται έκτ]δη τήχους καχειαρισκότε[.] Diala συμγός Grenniall-Haun ed.pc., Dals en Datalaguidaer			
Notes				
7-9-				
For the suggested re	adings in these lines confer J. L. Heiberg, Hippocrates, 4.19: où trµżω δι οίδι µήν λιθιάντας, εκχωρήσω δι έργάτησαν άνδράσαν πρήζος τήσδε.			
9:10.				
Confer J. L. Heiberg	ι, Hippocrates, 4.15: ού δωσω δε ούδε φάρμαχον ούδενί αίτηθείς θανάσιμον and P. Oxy. 26 2547 Π. 10-11: ο Ιοδενί φάρμα-[κον αίτηθείς θανάσ]μον.			
10.				
In his notes to this p	sapyrus, Hermann Diels stated πλημμελείν as a possible synonym for ποιείν.			
12-				
ό δριστος χειρουργό	c is a new reading by Wouters who doubts the reading of the editio princeps which would be the hapax legomenon aprovogrupoupyog.			
Editorial History	All History: (detailed)			
Linked Data	An maxety, (MERRINEN)			
80E/09L Turthe NETriples ISSN Graph_Measlight				

A sample medical papyrus on DCLP

(http://dclp.github.io/dclpxsltbox/output/dclp/61/60184.html).

9 The Shape of Things to Come (Not A Conclusion)

Come gather around people Wherever you roam And admit that the waters Around you have grown And accept it that soon You'll be drenched to the bone And if your breath to you is worth saving Then you better start swimming or you'll sink like a stone For the times they are a-changing...

Bob Dylan, The Times They Are A-changing

"The shape of things to come" was the subtitle of a conference held at the University of Virginia in March 2010, and since its proceedings¹ are published under Creative Commons license, I feel free to borrow it for its strong evoking flavour. The title of that conference was "Online Humanities Scholarship", and this is the point we reached so far: all resources we explored in their historical development and in their current shape, in their mutual interconnections and in their future expectations, concur to sketch a new model of scholarship. Boundaries have never been so blurred. If the seeming dichotomy between the two different ways of intending the papyrologist's work – the strict definition of papyrology as philological examination and editing of texts vs "the wider use of those editions [... i.e. their] historical exploitation"² – had to be migrated to Digital Papyrology, then we could consider the textual databases, as well as all the work around what I called 'virtual papyrology', and maybe the catalogues of collections too, as representative of the former, and the comprehensive metadata catalogues à la Trismegistos as stemming from the latter. The tight integration of both groups in the new generation of resources massively represented by *Papyri.info* is quite significant of the rise of a new papyrological realm, which is, unavoidably, virtual, and preferably online:

Einzelideen und -projekten größere, miteinander verbundene Projekte und Tools entstehen können, die sukzessive aufeinander aufbauen und zu nützlichen und unentbehrlichen Werkzeugen werden. Anfang des 20. Jahrhunderts hätte sich Ulrich Wilcken ein derartiges Netz an Möglichkeiten wahrscheinlich nicht vorstellen können, obwohl er schon damals mit der Herausgabe des 'Archivs für Papyrusforschung und verwandte Gebiete' auf seine Art und Weise die WissenschaftlerInnen über die Landesgrenzen hinaus zusammenführte³.

¹ McGann 2012.

² KEENAN 2009, 73; see the Introduction above (§ 1.1).

³ QUENOUILLE 2016, 21.

"Online Humanities Scholarship" was, in turn, borrowed by the late Isabella Andorlini as part of the title of her successful project for the creation of a digital *corpus* of the Greek medical papyri, which received a three-year Advanced Grant from the European Research Council and which is now fully merging into the ongoing DCLP (see above and below, § 8.7 and Appendix 2). It is not for narrow-minded parochialism, nor only for a due celebration of Professor Andorlini's tragically interrupted efforts, that I persist in mentioning the Parma project, but because it has been pathbreaking, in a sense, in overcoming papyrological boundaries. In 2010, at the 26th International Congress of Papyrology in Geneva, before Josh Sosin presented the public release of the *Papyrological Editor*, Roger Bagnall (then President of the AIP) greeted the participants with a "protreptic"⁴ talk focused on "the *amicitia papyrologorum* in a globalized world of learning". He addressed such topics as the "willingness to share resources, to open to others and welcome them"⁵, which substantiates into the generous sharing of online resources: catalogues of collections, first of all, but also the then very fresh collaborative endeavour of *Papyri.info*. And noticed:

One major advance that this system will make possible is the widening of the *Databank* to end its artificial restriction to documents, a category never fully defined and increasingly indefensible in an era when all of our texts have come to be recognized as artifacts of everyday writing. Isabella Andorlini realized this possibility at once and just three months ago raised the idea of entering the medical papyri using the new editor, as a kind of test project for literary texts. We are optimistic that additional functionality to support this work will be added to the editor in the coming year, and I hope that this kind of active *amicitia* will spread widely⁶.

His words were echoed by Professor Andorlini herself, who presented the first attempts of her digital project in the following terms:

L'ipotesi di lavoro è intellettualmente, e non solo operativamente, molto ambiziosa, una vera sfida dell'*amicitia papyrologorum* adattata alle urgenze del mondo globalizzato [...], dove mettere in comune risorse (anche elettroniche) e competenze appare la sola strada davvero competitiva per la sopravvivenza degli studi classici e dei loro valori [...,] con rinnovato spirito pionieristico, quello della papirologia del XXI secolo [...]⁷.

Thus, the web-based resources finally allow gaining that longed utopia of universal integration and international collaboration in Papyrology and among the various 'papyrologies'⁸ – the wider sense of the *amicitia papyrologorum* – on a new, advanced level. Comparison and confrontation, as we saw, have been the primary

⁴ BAGNALL 2012b, 5.

⁵ BAGNALL 2012b, 2.

⁶ BAGNALL 2012b, 4.

⁷ From the opening speech of the workshop "Editing Papyri On Line", Parma, April 20, 2011.

⁸ "There are nearly as many papyrologies as there are papyrologists, and they are numerous" (BINGEN 1977, quoted by KEENAN 2009, 72). See also above (§ 1.2).

purpose, if not the *raison d'être*, of Digital Papyrology since its very beginnings. Initially a sharing of data, then of resources, Digital Papyrology is now nurturing a sharing of knowledge. That is precisely – by the way – why largely shared standards in developing the resources are so important, as already Aristide Calderini, *mutatis mutandis*, foresaw.

I would like now to come back to Calderini himself and his methodological suggestions, just to present a quick overview of how Digital Papyrology contributed to the fulfilment of most of his claims, following the arrangement of my previous discussion and in comparison with the achievements of 'traditional' Papyrology:

1) Bibliographies and Standards

- *Effective bibliographical management*. Calderini praised the forthcoming *BP* and indeed it remained a fundamental instrument, now doubled by the two electronic versions (offline files and online databases).
- *Standardization of papyrological abbreviations*. This is probably the most striking issue: universally shared conventions have never been achieved and the main electronic resources do utilize partially idiosyncratic abbreviations, despite the renewed online *Checklist*. Nevertheless, digital progress now offers somewhat different ways of referring to documents, and though the permanent URLs of *Papyri.info* are still affected by divergent idiosyncrasies, TM numbers gained a standardizing power, though less human-friendly than traditional *sigla*.

2) Metadata Catalogues

- *General directory of documentary papyri*. This has never been fulfilled by traditional instruments, at least on the "documentary" side, on which Calderini focused (literary papyri are somehow easier to deal with, see above § 3.2, except for the elusive paraliterary category). Only computers have made it possible to collect a general, comprehensive, up-to-date repository of documentary papyri (but also of literary papyri and, with *Trismegistos*, of all types of papyri and other ancient documents as well). Partial printed catalogues did appear, but with big problems of update.
- *Effective consideration of the broader context*. Comprehensive resources like *Trismegistos* (and some other thematic catalogues) helped collecting broader context information and managing it in a centralized way, with new trends of extending their interests beyond proper Papyrology.

3) Word Indexes

- *Effective redaction of dictionaries (and related tools).* Many printed resources have appeared indeed (and already existed at Calderini's date), but

the main problem remains that of update. Digital tools provide an easy and quick way of coping with that⁹. Prosopographical and geographical databases have enhanced very much the fruition of printed prosopographies and geographical dictionaries: "Electronic tools will eventually supersede the main papyrological reference books"¹⁰.

4) Imaging

- Widespread and systematic presence of facsimile reproductions. Seider's project of a photographic collection of dated documents (which seems the most direct heir to Calderini's and Montevecchi's outlines) evolved into HGV without producing any printed outcome. Palaeographical instruments like *PapPal* and above all the widespread practice of digital imaging and the publication of online collection catalogues have probably overcome even Calderini's more optimistic dreams¹¹, creating a network of virtual objects that contribute to reshape the concept itself of papyrological research and scholarship.

5) Mass Communication

- *Effective dissemination*. Almost needless to say is how much digital communications improved dissemination of papyrological studies among both academics and laymen, certainly more than 'traditional' ways of diffusion. Crowdsourcing projects like *Ancient Lives* made dissemination interactive, which is a pathbreaking development of the issue.

6) Textual Databases and Digital Editions

- A unique and common papyrological corpus. Never achieved in print but for limited types of documents (with the usual updating problems: see above, § 8), this corpus could be built only thanks to digital resources, and is still maintained and updated the same way¹². Literary papyri are now following the same pathway.
- *A standard method of editing papyri*. The Leiden conventions did much, as Calderini admitted, but "tale intesa non esaurisce affatto il compito assai più complesso e vario di un accordo che ogni giorno più appare necessario ed urgente"¹³. He broadened the discourse to editorial formats and layouts. Now, the electronic *corpus* does follow standard and uniform conventions

⁹ Cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 62.

¹⁰SCHUBERT 2009, 199.

¹¹ Digital palaeographical resources are being developed on the literary side too, where for the moment paper resources still are the only means.

¹² Cf. Schubert 2009, 212.

¹³ CALDERINI 1936, 352.

as regards not only editorial markup, but also general layout and format. I observed how strict computational encoding is: it forces digital editors to follow standard guidelines, whether one deals with the digitization of published material, with revisions, or with born digital editions. On the AIP recommendations for standard guidelines stemming from the digital perspectives see above, § 1.2.

- *Effective management of corrections, revisions, and re-editions.* Calderini blamed the delays in the *BL* releases, and indeed, much later on, Bagnall strongly claimed for an electronic conversion of this fundamental reference tool¹⁴. Here things have been somehow slower than elsewhere, but the coming years will be decisive for the complete computerization of BL too. In the meantime, corrections, revisions, even re-editions are (or can be) managed and tracked effectively within the collaborative system of *Papyri.info*.
- *Standardization of 'titles', i.e. typological categories of documents.* This is still largely a *desideratum,* with neither HGV nor TM adopting strict and shared conventions for categorizing documents. But the potentials of automated text mining for topic analysis (as supported by the eAQUA platform) made it necessary to produce a reference list of standard typologies, and probably Calderini would have appreciated this very much.

It clearly appears that the methodological contribution brought by Digital Papyrology to Papyrology is deep and substantial. In some cases, digital techniques not only provide support, but do play an (inter)active role in research, even revolutionizing Calderini's methodological outlines: just think of computer-aided treatment of images and texts, as stressed above in the appropriate chapter. The most remarkable instance is indeed the ultimate digital outcome of the *amicitia papyrologorum*, which Calderini saw as international collaboration around a centralized coordination and which is now reinterpreted in a dynamically decentralized way by the collaborative model.

Moreover, we are witnessing another, quite intriguing large-scale phenomenon. Digital Papyrology means dealing with computerized information about papyri, but this produced a dematerialization of its object of study. Papyrologists – as hinted at by Bagnall in the passage quoted above – get more and more interested in papyri as material artefacts: today the archaeological context, the writing surface, and the scribal phenomenology are as important as the very text. Yet dealing with digital information has become so common a fixed habit that perhaps most of them do not notice that very often they deal with *virtual representations* of the physical object rather than its material substance. This is of course an advanced opportunity: digital pictures can be scaled, manipulated, enhanced, restored, even modelled in three

¹⁴ BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 63.

(virtual) dimensions and displayed in wavelengths that are invisible to human eyes. Context or paratext information, usually implicitly contained in the very object, are objectified and expressed as separate entities (metadata) in the fields of catalogues and databases, or embedded within the very text (annotation). Texts themselves are dissolved in their digital encoding. In an age of fashionable superheroes, papyrologists are endowed with superpowers to face their supervillain, the overwhelming papyrus data¹⁵: more and more sophisticated and automated instruments to query and analyse texts, even to reconstruct them, and to put them in correlation with contexts and metadata. The papyrological workflow itself is being cloned by computerized routines: the automated processes for virtual restorations, for querying texts, for reconstructing lost fragments are themselves digital reproductions of the methodologies utilized by "the papyrologist at work"¹⁶.

These are undoubted advantages. Nonetheless, the bottom line remains that Digital Papyrology deals with *digital* papyri. Electronic images are graphical *avatars* of the physical papyri, and digital networks of data and metadata are the virtual transcoding of the real texts: both are puzzles of pixels that tend to reproduce reality in a virtual world, where there is scope for doing enhanced research. Overcoming a somewhat old-fashioned concept of textual database as a mere store of textual strings, digital editions themselves tend to reproduce an augmented reality, by developing ways for aligning text to image, for displaying the diplomatic format of the papyrus, for interconnecting metadata. Consequently, texts themselves change into **meta-texts**, in the terms already envisaged by Traianos Gagos as early as 1998: "In this new era of papyrological research, we cannot speak of a collection of papyri alone, but also of a collection of electronic files, data, metadata and digital images"¹⁷. It may be worth quoting him in full, from a section of his contribution that introduces the new notion of "meta-text":

¹⁵ Cf. VAN MINNEN 1994, 41. CALDERINI 1936, 355 used a military metaphor to describe the growing number of edited papyri: "E in realtà il gran numero di papiri che continuamente escono, possono paragonarsi ad un esercito di piccole unità".

¹⁶ See the stylus tablets case discussed earlier, where the papyrologysts' work has been monitored and then "translated" into automated algorithms: "[t]his tool [...] model[s] the tacit knowledge and working processes of papyrologists as well as learn from their behavior in order to expedite their daily work and make suggestions in future work" (BABEU 2011, 154; cf. *ibid.*, 154–5). In a sense, also the "raw material" collected and stored by *Ancient Lives* (cf. BRUSUELAS 2016, 200) is nothing else than the first stage of the papyrological workflow.

¹⁷ GAGOS 2001, 516. LAMÉ 2014 has described this idea (with reference to ancient epigraphs) through Foucault's philosophical concept of *dispositive*: the message of the text-bearing object can be completely understood in relation with a complex network of many other heterogeneous pieces of information. The ultimate purpose is "to digitize also the network that connected those information systems, instead of digitizing each individually".

The availability of huge amounts of information in fully searchable textual form with accompanying images through these new media is altering drastically the definition of what constitutes a 'text', the way we experience reading it and, ultimately, the plurality of messages a text can offer to one or more readers. The new methods of presenting text with marked up images and the simultaneous availability of a variety of other research tools within the same electronic environment give us new ways of visualizing and approaching a given text. An edited text is no more a static, isolated object, but a growing and changeable amalgam: the image allows the user to look critically at the 'established' text and to challenge continuously the authoritative readings and interpretation of its first or subsequent editors.

Furthermore, the simultaneous access to and study of thousands of texts and their images that could be as far apart as a millennium, in a single search and through the same medium, has the potential to challenge our established notions of the 'messages' a text carries within itself, its textuality and intertextuality [...]. As Roland Barth [sic] explains: 'Any text is an intertext; other texts are present in it, at varying levels, in more or less recognizable forms: the texts of the previous and surrounding cultures. Any text is a new tissue of past citations. Bits of codes, formulae, rhythmic models, fragments of social languages, etc. pass into the text and are redistributed within it, for there is always language before and around the text¹⁸. In one or another way, papyrologists have always recognized the "intertextuality" of the Greek papyri from Egypt, because of the multicultural and multi-ethnic environment in which these texts were born. The development of the new electronic media in our field and the capability to establish these cross-links – or these intertextual signifiers, so to speak – on the linguistic, cultural and historical level through the interaction of multiple texts, images and a variety of related tools places the notions of textuality, intertextuality and metatextuality on a new (electronic) platform which, in turn, becomes part of these notions as the 'carrier', 'interpreter' and 'distributor' of these texts19.

Gagos' words conceal a basic consideration: the new electronic medium *does form an integral part* of this new papyrological scholarship. This may scare quite a few people, afraid of the limits of technological resources and the risks of relying exclusively on them. Instances of reliability and trustworthiness, and praises for the reassuring materiality of printed material²⁰, are very often raised, in the threatening shade of the "mostro di Irvine", 'Irvine's monster', as Enzo Degani notoriously called TLG in an evergreen contribution that pinpointed the main limits of electronic databanks²¹. It goes without saying that crying monster must be a way of warning

¹⁸ BARTHES 1981, 39. GAGOS 2001, 515 n. 8 noted that "[t]his 'intertextuality' of the text is what G. Genette would call 'transtextuality' [GENETTE 1992]. It is not, perhaps, accidental that postmodern theories on language and 'text' developed more or less at the same time with the spread of the electronic media".

¹⁹ GAGOS 2001, 514–6.

²⁰ Cf. WARWICK 2014.

²¹ DEGANI 1992; cf. MAGNANI 2008, 135–7. For a fair (and early!) comparison between print and electronic tools, cf. BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 59–62. The objections against computerization of the resources have been outlined (and effectively answered) by BAGNALL 2012a, 8 ff.: they involve not only quality and quality control, but also branding and possessiveness, and economic considera-

against excesses, or of encouraging to improve the tools, not of totally distrusting technology as a whole. We are (or should be) all aware of the limits of current databases: Willis and Oates themselves were, as is proved by their careful disclaimers that the *Duke Databank* was not designed to replace printed editions. From this standpoint, electronic resources are necessarily to be considered as mere *Hilfsmittel* for "traditional" Papyrology; a great advantage in terms of speed and convenience, but for exhaustive and complete reference one is always redirected to paper tools and human skills:

les machines peuvent aider les spécialistes dans leurs recherches en leur fournissant rapidement des données sûres ; elles sont incapables de résoudre les vrais problèmes. Il faut encore d'excellents papyrologues²².

We want to stress that we do not in the least expect that some time the scholar shall be replaced by the computer. On the contrary, as far as we can see, what now happens is that the scholar gets access to new aids and has been freed from many unnecessary burdens. He has, one could say, got a sort of magic lexicon in addition to the traditional reference books. In this magic lexicon there are endless possibilities of sorting and arranging text material in a minimum of time. But no other than the scholar is able to *use* that lexicon. Wilhelm Crönert once emphasized that there are three cardinal virtues for the papyrologist: *Sehkraft, Sprachgefühl, Sachkunde.* These virtues are today as relevant as ever they were, and can never be replaced by any computer²³.

Accordingly, as to scientific validity, or reliability, I think that everyone should agree that it does not depend on the supporting media, but on the credibility of the editors/developers. Shortcomings and inconsistencies are immanent in the human world, and we do find them equally distributed between electronic and printed resources²⁴. There are certain fields in which computational procedures need to be taken with extreme carefulness and critical discretion, like quantitative analyses of statistical data. But even a seemingly simple task such as 'fragment siting', the search for attested parallels of the text fragments in papyri (see above, §§ 5.4 and 7.1), whether manually performed against electronic databank or automatically processed by querying algorithms, must be handled with caution. I think the warn-

tions. Sustainability is the key concept advanced by Bagnall to face all concerns, and the current technological infrastructure offered by *Papyri.info* seems to satisfy that requirement.

²² BODSON 1970, 44.

²³ KLEVE – FONNES 1981, 165 (recovering KLEVE 1975, 202–3, and citing CRÖNERT 1930, 144).

²⁴ One naturally may blame that the *Duke Databank* is not always consistent in treating misspellings and consequent 'regularizations', but should not expect absolute exactness from printed editions. I recently dealt with the case of iotacism in the word *hermen(e)ia*: I found several inconsistencies in the online databank (and subsequently submitted fixing proposals), but similar inconsistencies are to be found in the printed editions as well, which after all are the ultimate sources of the digital collection (cf. REGGIANI 2017d and 2018e). The same applies, for example, to the shortcomings in digital metadata recently pinpointed by CASANOVA 2015.

ing expressed by Mario Capasso in the final paragraph of his Introduction to Papyrology ("del cattivo uso del computer") very remarkable: one should not force the results to site a fragment at any cost²⁵. However, as it is clear, after all the responsibility falls into the *use* of the machine, in methodological terms, not in the machine itself. On the other hand, also thanks to the new collaborative platforms, digital resources can very often prove much more updated, and therefore correct, than the printed ones: think only of the increasing number of original emendations directly published online only, via PE, which are not to be found in any printed resource. Electronic tools, in conclusion, are not substitutes nor evil twins of more 'traditional' *instrumenta*, but just different companions.

Coming back to Gagos' words, they conceal another fundamental point.

It is clear that these media, when used within a wider intellectual perspective as a cognitive tool for research and instruction and not only as a pragmatic medium that can 'do certain things for us', can challenge and redefine notions of 'text' and textuality. Needless to say, although computers indeed challenge the idea of the 'authority' of the editor, they do create at the same time a new much more complex form of 'authority'²⁶.

The concept that Digital Papyrology redefines the notion of 'text' is embedded in the consideration that electronic technologies offer a completely new room to scholarly research. Let us think it like walking on the Moon: a total change of environmental parameters subverts all rules known before. The digital space does totally change scholarly parameters²⁷. We do not deal with texts any more: we deal with meta-texts, hypertexts, multi-layer annotated texts enriched by metadata, whether outer (i.e. the connected fields of information) or inner (i.e. any kind of textual tagging), and deploying embedded apparatuses; with virtual entities that are subject to quick – which does not mean arbitrary – updates, to a constant renovation, to a continuous scholarly labour, as the protean metaphor sketched at Oxford (see above, §§ 8.6–7, and below) iconically depicts. Thence, an unavoidable fact: "We need to move in the direction of digitally conceived and initiated types of information and away from mopping up information from print sources"²⁸.

²⁵ CAPASSO 2005, 235–6.

²⁶ GAGOS 2001, 515 n. 8.

²⁷ Cf. BOLTER 1991 for striking observations about hypertext as a new writing space, to which one has to adapt the text.

²⁸ BAGNALL – GAGOS 2007, 74. Similarly PURPURA 2001, 5 ("i problemi sono oggi connessi alla difficoltà di abbandonare rapidamente radicati atteggiamenti connessi all'opera cartacea") and ROMA-NELLO – BERTI – BOSCHETTI – BABEU – CRANE 2009, 165 ("Once we are able to overcome the physical limits of printed editions by joining together variants and conjectures referring to the same texts, it also becomes possible to look at the texts from a new and broader perspective, with possible consequences for our knowledge and comprehension of them"). See also CAYLESS 2010, 148: "perhaps emphasis on technology that faithfully replicates the printed appearance of documents is misplaced".

In defining what is 'data' for humanists (for papyrologists, 'data' comprises the papyrological information network of text, object and context), Trevor Owens has recently argued that they are at the same time constructed artefacts, being created by people, and interpretable texts, and they "can hold the same potential evidentiary value as any other kind of artifacts"²⁹. We have, therefore, sets of digital papyrological data that can *themselves* bear the same scientific value as real papyrological artefacts and that circulate in an enhanced environment that dissolves the plain concept of 'text' in a metatextual kaleidoscope. This suggests that perhaps we should completely revise the sense, the methodology, the epistemology of Digital Papyrology. Papyrology, in its more essential core, is all about providing trustable critical editions (and discussions) of papyrus texts. It is intimately a philological discipline³⁰, though projected towards the historical (in its broader meaning) evaluation of the textual data. At any rate, no one can deny that without texts there would exist no Papyrology. Yet it is a very peculiar philological discipline, since it is well aware of the fluidity of its objects of study³¹: texts are continuously published, updated, collected, revised, corrected, emended, and there is hunger for resources that can help handling an overwhelming amount of primary data. The online environment is the most suitable place to set this 'liquid' philology³², and collaborative platforms are (to date) the most suitable incarnation of this concept³³. A nice description of this is given by the *Proteus* project web page:

Why Proteus? In Greek mythology Proteus was known to change shape in order to elude capture; only to those who caught him would he foretell the future. Literary and subliterary fragments, due to constant re-editing, also continue to change shape over time. Our system has designed a way to capture that change, or at least confine it within a digital ecosystem that allows a user to engage this mutability³⁴.

The proposed solution, however, looks like a passive adaptation to an existing situation: the mutability of the text has been recognized, but the "digital ecosystem" tends to mimic existing paper-based editorial practices, though transferred into an

²⁹ OWENS 2011.

³⁰ Cf. HANSON 2002, 196; SCHUBERT 2009, 197.

³¹ Cf. HANSON 2002, passim; SCHUBERT 2009, 212-3.

³² The concepts of "liquid modernity" and "liquid society" have been theorized by Zygmunt Bauman, emphasizing the fact of change in the modern times (cf. e.g. BAUMAN 2000; 2007; 2011).

³³ If we imagine papyrological ongoing research as a river stream, we must admit that what in the past took ages to flow from – say – the *editio princeps* to the *Sammelbuch*, then to scholarly discussion and perhaps some emendation to be recorded in the *Berichtigungsliste*, and then eventually to a possible new edition of the text, now takes just the time of submitting the updated SoSOL file to the PE editorial board and waiting for their judgement.

³⁴ http://www.papyrology.ox.ac.uk/ProteusProject.

enhanced environment³⁵. Indeed, the quoted description seems to refer mostly to modern editorial work, while the apparatus information (at least, from the preview screenshots) shows a very traditional structure. *Papyri.info* itself still owes much to printed critical editions as well: post-PN editorial interventions are recorded in a history log, and the apparatus is dynamically open to emendations, but the rest of the format is pretty traditional³⁶. Accordingly, it is an editorial practice founded and trained on traditional, fixed, linear texts. Conversely, the ultimate (at least for now) challenge would be, in my opinion, the reshaping of the digital edition in accordance with what we highlighted above: the nature of the papyrological digital data as autonomous intellectual objects, and the possibilities offered by the electronic meta-space. There is a momentous chance to see the digital document not as the mere, more or less complete reproduction of a printed critical edition, an archetypical object expressing a scholarly viewpoint, which relegates the variant (or deviant) evidence, whether modern or ancient, in a finalized apparatus, but as a quantum particle of a fluid universe of text transmission.

In conceptualizing digital editions, there is an increasing uneasiness towards traditional textual criticism³⁷. Representations of texts can range from the diplomatic transcription of the extant item (which was a main concern of the earliest databases, later abandoned) to a 'hybrid' edition that tries to save the *constitutio textus* (the restitution of a text as close as possible to the original) alongside the recording of variant readings (for example, the old-style DDbDP, with the 'normalized' or corrected words in the text and the 'variant' forms, as written on the original papyrus, adjoined with special markup). The solution adopted by Papyri.info - original reading in the text, normalization/correction in the apparatus – is fair as regards the rendering of the original text, but is still indebted with an editorial criticism that regards the 'variant' as a deviation to be normalized, not only graphically, displaying l(ege) before the "normalization", but also semantically, using the XML <reg(ularization)> tag. While this may be fine for outright scribal mistakes (but what is a mistake?), it is somehow uncomfortable for spelling (linguistic) variants, which are increasingly regarded as important phenomenological factors rather than deviations from a theoretical norm³⁸. When turning to literary and paraliterary papyri, the

³⁵ *Mutatis mutandis*, this is what LAMÉ 2014, 3 calls "paper browsers", i.e. digital dispositives that allow one "to mimic the work of the reader in a library". Such digital editorial platforms as PE and *Proteus* essentially mimic the traditional philological work. I am of course eager of testing the working Oxford platform, but at any rate the preview screenshots do show a very traditional structure featuring text, apparatus criticus, etc.

³⁶ Cf. http://digitalpapyrology.blogspot.it/2011/03/new-in-ddbdp.html: "we have taken the first major steps toward bringing the DDbDP's apparatus criticus conventions more closely into line with current practice" (see also above, § 8.4).

³⁷ Cf. BODARD – GARCÉS 2009, 92–6.

³⁸ Cf. REGGIANI 2017d; STOLK 2017; see above (§ 7.1).

issue is even more complex, because we systematically find philological variants, and we must state whether the papyrus reading is paralleled by manuscript readings or if it represents a total innovation, or we may even find scribal variants, where the scribe himself noted two different versions of the same word³⁹. In a traditional apparatus format, we should decide what text to consider as 'regular' or 'normal', and what a secondary reading⁴⁰. This is not merely theoretical: in a digital environment, it affects the searching functions, since to date the *Papyrological Navigator* cannot perform proximity queries involving words in the apparatus.

In such small technical *corpora* as medical papyri, the circumstances are even more problematic. Because of the mainly oral transmission of medical knowledge, and the mainly practical application of such a knowledge, which needs to be adapted and modified according to contingent needs and experiences, we find that a supposed 'archetype' (say, a prescription) often evolves in several different declinations: quotations, commentaries, summaries, revisions, personal re-interpretations, contingent variants⁴¹. An extremely fluid situation that somehow resembles that of Homeric poetry, for which part of the traditional criticism tends to consider impossible to reconstruct an 'original' text beyond an extraordinarily rich oral tradition⁴². This interpretation has been digitally translated into the Homer Multitext *Project* (HMT), a project of the Center for Hellenic Studies that aims at producing a new digital representation of the textual tradition of the Homeric poems, including papyri⁴³. The project concept results from the statement that the Homeric textual evidence does not comply with the traditional philological view of textual variants stemming from one archetype, since a true original Homeric text never existed⁴⁴: a somehow "agnostic"⁴⁵ environment where all witnesses are transcribed and juxtaposed, without preference for any of them⁴⁶.

There is a diffused feeling that the hypertext is challenging the *Urtext* model⁴⁷, though responses differ from each other. Multitext is a "method to track multiple versions of a text across time"⁴⁸. The theoretical model recently proposed by Gabriel Bodard and Juan Garcés envisages "a more holistic notion", where the user can

³⁹ Cf. REGGIANI 2017d, 2018d, and 2018e.

⁴⁰ For further theoretical and practical problems involved by a full digital apparatus criticus cf. DAMON 2016.

⁴¹ Cf. Reggiani 2017e.

⁴² Cf. WEST 1998.

⁴³ Cf. Dué – Ebbott 2009; Dué 2010; Nagy 2010; Smith 2010; Babeu 2011, 36–9.

⁴⁴ Cf. BIRD 2010.

⁴⁵ BODARD – GARCÉS 2009, 96 n. 31.

⁴⁶ On the multiversion document model see also SCHMIDT 2010.

⁴⁷ The expression is borrowed from BOLTER 1991. It is worth recalling the interesting observation by CAYLESS 2010, 162, that traditional commentary is a hypertext in print (see also *ibid.*, 170).

⁴⁸ BABEU 2011, 214.

access "not only [...] a presentational publication layer but also by allowing access to the underlying encoding of the repository or database beneath", a "critical edition, with sources fully incorporated, [which] would potentially provide an interactive resource that assists the user in creating virtual research environments", and which would relieve an editor from making "any authoritative decisions that supersede all alternative readings if all possibilities can be unambiguously reconstructed from the base manuscript data"⁴⁹. The model that better describes this ideal condition is probably the ontology design⁵⁰ described by Matteo Romanello, Monica Berti, Federico Boschetti, Alison Babeu, and Gregory Crane as a digital representation of collections of fragmentary texts⁵¹. As the authors state, indeed,

an ontology is the most suitable solution to represent critical editions of ancient texts for two main reasons: first, we want to be able to link different kinds of resources [...] that have in common the possibility of being referred to via URIs, which is one of the principles of the Semantic Web; second, information contained in critical editions constitutes a layer of interpretation and a description of relations about texts that is important to keep clearly distinct from the texts themselves. Indeed, the use of stand-off metadata encoded within ontology allows us to express an open-ended number of interpretations, whereas a markup-based solution would not make this possible due to obvious reasons of overlapping hierarchies⁵².

Ancient fragments, to which the proposed ontology refers, are characterized by a high level of textual complexity, in the relationships among the actual text, its critical edition (interpretation), the original source (attribution), the quoting source (witness), etc., which can parallel – *mutatis mutandis* – that of the most complex papyrological sources (medical texts, Herculaneum papyri, and so on) and can therefore easily suit even simpler cases⁵³. The mentioned authors' baseline theoretical assumption is particularly striking:

[...] fragments do not actually exist outside of scholars' interpretations. [...] Fragments are always scholarly reconstructions and interpretations of the content and structure of lost works⁵⁴.

As I pinpointed above, digital papyrus editions are precisely (also) a critical work on previous printed editions, on the scholars' preceding interpretations – a way of effectively representing conjectures without pretending they are the actual text as

⁴⁹ BODARD – GARCÉS 2009, 96.

⁵⁰ An ontology is a formal definition of types, properties, and interrelationships of the entities belonging to a certain domain of knowledge. In other words, it compartmentalizes the variables needed for some set of computations and establishes the relationships between them.

⁵¹ ROMANELLO – BERTI – BOSCHETTI – BABEU – CRANE 2009.

⁵² ROMANELLO – BERTI – BOSCHETTI – BABEU – CRANE 2009, 158.

⁵³ On the analogy between literary fragments and papyrological fragments see REGGIANI 2015b, 347, and 2016c, 3.

⁵⁴ ROMANELLO – BERTI – BOSCHETTI – BABEU – CRANE 2009, 160 and 162.

the ancient author wrote it. This solution would involve several annotated layers, which should register any possible textual, linguistic, and editorial stage of the document⁵⁵. This deeply annotated meta-text could also be connected to parallel passages, whether in other papyri or in literature, displaying in some way the various degrees of relation among the texts (intertextuality). Another important contribution can come from the XML annotation of genetic criticism phenomena recently developed by Elena Pierazzo⁵⁶: Raffaella Cribiore has recently showed how genetic criticism can be successfully applied to papyrological texts⁵⁷.

All these sketches are no more than practical hints, suggestions of which ways would be convenient to travel in order to solve the editorial shortcomings described in the preceding paragraphs; I will not deal with them in details here⁵⁸. For now, all I care about is to stress the theoretical, methodological, and even epistemological bottom line: the digital papyrus is a different entity than the 'traditional' papyrus, has its own ontology that can produce a completely different textual criticism, thanks to the new virtual medium where it is represented. The need of reconstructing and printing some 'canonical' text, which is ultimately connected to a paper-like way of thinking, simply dissolves in the multi-dimensional, meta-dimensional, and

⁵⁵ For annotation layers of linguistic variants see above (§ 7.1), apropos of *Sematia*. BozzI 2006 establishes a fundamental distinction between simple electronic publishing and "computational digital philology", which defines a system involving "the strict interaction among data, information tools and personal competence of the editor" (p. 11), capable of storing all relevant information (text, apparatus, digital pictures), arranging it in a network of modules, including dynamic indexes and concordances, possible alignments between text and image, as well as variant fields structured as "an omni-comprehensive apparatus [...] for the creation of a positive apparatus where all the witness readings, including those he himself has accepted in the critical text, will be contained" (p. 15). Such a system might produce a large variety of critical editions, from the traditional printed ones to online hypertexts, depending on the way in which the different modules are arranged and interconnected. Experiments have been conducted also to apply this "digital philology system" (DIPHILOS) to the edition of papyrus texts: see Bozzi 2003; Bozzi – RAGGIOLI 2004; Bozzi 2009. Cf. recently Bozzi 2014.

⁵⁶ Cf. http://www.tei-c.org/Activities/Council/Working/tcw19.html; PIERAZZO 2008.

⁵⁷ CRIBIORE 2017: see in particular the case of the medical *Anonymus Londinensis*. From the computational viewpoint, cf. MACÉ – BARET – BOZZI – CIGNONI 2006 (in particular, PASSAROTTI 2006). Genetic criticism can be applied to some documentary categories which show a certain complexity of textual composition. One may recall, just for instance, the legal documents of Ammon's archive, produced in multiple versions (P.Ammon II), the mostly neglected cases of duplicates recently 'rediscovered' by Malcolm Choat and Rachel Yuen-Collingridge (YUEN-COLLINGRIDGE – CHOAT 2012), and Raffaele Luiselli's considerations about authorial revisions in Roman letters and petitions (LUISELLI 2010). Giuditta Mirizio (Bologna) is currently working on this topic also from the perspective of digital encoding and XML annotation.

⁵⁸ REGGIANI 2017b will be a more detailed insight on the proposed topics.

tabular digital space⁵⁹. The digital document is no more a product of philological interpretation, but a new, enhanced *avatar* of the original document and of all its metatextual and intertextual connections and networks – all its dispositive, in foucaldian terms, or also what has recently been referred to the notion of 'multimodality'⁶⁰. It is a **meta-papyrus** in a new virtual materiality, fruit of a digital interpretation, and the digital critical edition positions itself, beyond the apparatus, as a further step in text transmission⁶¹.

⁵⁹ For the non-linearity of the digital space see above, Introduction, and BOLTER 1993, 163.

⁶⁰ Klaas Bentein (Ghent) has recently applied the concept of 'multimodality' as the integration of different modes of variation (e.g. VAN LEEUWEN 2005) to the analysis of the social meaning of documentary papyri, on occasion of the workshop "Act of the Scribe: Interfaces Between Scribal Work and Language Use" (Athens, 6–8 April 2017; proceedings forthcoming).

⁶¹ Cf. REGGIANI 2018e. BODARD - GARCÉS 2009 argue that a major advantage of digital editions (namely the papyrological ones) is the possibility to get back to the materiality of texts, avoiding the philological necessity of reconstructing an archetype and focusing on text transmission instead. "[A]ttention would be better focused on how to present a text with multiple manuscript witnesses to a reader in a digital environment" (BABEU 2011, 36): "Digital editions may stimulate our critical engagement with such crucial textual debate. They may push the classic definition of the 'edition' by not only offering a presentational publication layer but also by allowing access to the underlying encoding of the repository or database beneath. Indeed, an editor need not make any authoritative decisions that supersede all alternative readings if all possibilities can be unambiguously reconstructed from the base manuscript data, although most would in practice probably want to privilege their favoured readings in some way. The critical edition, with sources fully incorporated, would potentially provide an interactive resource that assists the user in creating virtual research environments" (BODARD - GARCÉS 2009, 96). "Thus, the authors hop[e] that digital or virtual research environments would support the creation of 'ideal' digital editions where the editor does not have to decide on a 'best text' since all editorial decisions could be linked to their base data (e.g., manuscript images, diplomatic transcriptions)" (BABEU 2011, 36). Similarly, NICHOLS 2009 states that the ideal of the archetype text and textual criticism is an "artefact of analogue scholarship" consequent to the limitations of the printed pages. Conversely, "[t]he Internet has altered the equation by making possible the study of literary works in their original configurations. We can now understand that manuscripts designed and produced by scribes and artists - often long after the death of the original poet – have a life of their own. It was not that scribes were 'incapable' of copying texts word-forword, but rather that this was not what their culture demanded of them. [...]. [I]t requires rethinking concepts as fundamental as authorship, for example. Confronted with over 150 versions of the work, no two quite alike, what becomes of the concept of authorial control? And how can one assert with certainty which of the 150 or so versions is the 'correct' one, or even whether such a concept even makes sense in a pre-print culture" (NICHOLS 2009). "Thus, the digitization of manuscripts and the creation of digital critical editions have not only provided new opportunities for textual criticism but also might even be viewed as enabling a type of criticism that better respects the traditions of the texts or objects of analysis themselves" (BABEU 2011, 39). Consider also the reflections of CAYLESS 2010 about the prominence of the transmission of content on its external appearance, already mentioned above (§ 8.5): "[p]agination is a relatively fragile construct in the digital age", and textual "accretions" like commentaries, glosses and marginal notes, progressively gathered around the main text in its historical transmission, can be effectively encoded and represented in digital editions that not simply replicate print technologies (*ibid.*, 148). Quite interestingly, Cayless' picture

Where do we find, then, criticism in all of this? Of course, we do not have to think that digital editions should be uncritical as – in a sense – TLG-like textual databanks. We must recall the abovementioned concept that digital data are a product of creation. Essentially, "encoding a text is an interpretive act"⁶² by itself: and this is even truer if we consider that the encoder (the digital papyrologist) must employ as much criticism and careful discernment as possible in order to give the papyrological object its correct digital representation⁶³. We already noticed that encoding means adapting the printed conventions to the new digital medium, following strict computational standards. Digital criticism seems to mean interpreting both the papyrological data (the object, its text, its context) and the printed critical edition(s) in order to produce a digital representation of the papyrus as a metatextual and multimodal dispositive, i.e. an interconnected and multidimensional network of text, intertexts, inner and outer metadata, image, and so on⁶⁴. This provides help to 'traditional' Papyrology, but is also something different that - in a patently open non-conclusion – requires its own methodology and its own epistemological foundations:

[f]or us, the men and women of today, the challenge lies not in doing our predecessors' part, but [...] in doing our very own part⁶⁵.

exactly parallels the arguments brought by HANSON 1997 apropos of the transmission of ancient medical fragmentary texts, and the "accretive model of composition" (e.g. p. 305) that she envisages to overcome the limits and rigidities of stemmatological interpretations.

⁶² OWENS 2011; cf. TARTE 2011c, 1. On the critical outcome of computational tools see also the notion of "algorithmic criticism" as outlined by RAMSAY 2011.

⁶³ We should stress the not secondary feature of open access of the papyrological source data (for this feature of *Papyri.info* see above, §§ 8.4–5), in the light of the striking opinion expressed by BODARD – GARCÉS 2009, that a digital edition is 'critical' also thanks to the openness of its data, so that the editorial background is always accessible and checkable by anyone. In Papyrology, openness means (also) collaboration: "[w]e do not really know what the future of digital papyrology holds. But if we want to move ahead intelligently and carefully, I think there are a few measures that we can take. Especially in an age of flagging institutional support: We must collaborate. We must share the workload. We must use common technical standards. We must do our work in the full sunlight of the web, and not in the black box of anonymity. We must leverage the strength of our community's distinguishing spirit of collegiality" (SOSIN 2010). Open collaboration makes all interpretative decisions both transparent and accessible, according to the system deployed by *Papyri.info* and DCLP and described above (§§ 8.5–6). For general statements about collaboration in digital editions see ROBINSON 2010; on openness cf. also MONELLA 2008.

⁶⁴ On network as an interpretive help cf. BOLTER 1993, 163-4.

⁶⁵ VAN MINNEN 1994, 41.

Appendix 1. Clarysse's software





Appendix 2. The DIGMEDTEXT Project

I tempi sono maturi per un approccio collettivo degli studiosi alla nuova prospettiva e per la gestione di ulteriori sfide alla ricerca di lacune da colmare, se anche l'artificiosa barriera disciplinare tra papiri documentari e letterari è sul punto d'infrangersi e l'uso parallelo di libri e documenti produrrà importanti risultati scientifici, perché si potranno collegare e studiare *dossier* coerenti sul piano della provenienza e della cronologia, e aprirà stimolanti prospettive alle ricerche sulla lingua e sui lessici specialistici.

Isabella Andorlini¹

Stemming from Isabella Andorlini's life-long research interest in the Greek medical papyri², the idea of creating a commented *corpus* of all published Graeco-Roman papyri dealing with medicine, including the due updates and the edition of unpublished pieces, started as a traditional, printed project³. At the 21st International Congress of Papyrology (Berlin, 1995), she sketched such a *corpus* as a complete compendium of the extant medical texts preserved on papyrus, on the wake of the late antique encyclopaedism:

Intorno alla metà del IV secolo della nostra era, in una fase ancora fertile della cultura letteraria greca tardoantica, i nuovi intellettuali della 'memoria selettiva' fissavano i fondamenti ideologici e le basi tecnico-metodologiche del συναγεγεῖν i prodotti della classicità nella forma 'compendiosa' di generi letterari nuovi. La prestigiosa e copiosa produzione dei classici greci della medicina, e delle discipline filosofico-scientifiche ad essa collegate, è pronta per essere liberamente compendiata, forse talvolta saccheggiata, dalla nuova ed esperta arte epitomatrice degli scrittori-medici bizantini.

Sull'opportunità di procedere ad una sorta di bilancio generale della scienza medica racconta di essersi intrattenuto Oribasio, il medico personale di Giuliano, durante l'itinerario di viaggio al seguito dell'imperatore sulla rotta di Antiochia. Alla scrittura di questa enciclopedia si era accinto già durante il viaggio in Gallia (nel 361). Così, nella premessa-investitura al primo libro delle *latrikai synagogai*, Oribasio accenna ai principi ispiratori cui vuole attenersi: quello del 'meglio' (πάντων τῶν ἀρίστων ἰατρῶν ἀναζητήσαντά με τὰ καιριώτατα συναγεγείν, *Coll*. I 2 = CMG VI 1,1, p. 4,7-8 Raeder), quello del''utile' per le finalità proprie della scienza medica (καὶ πάντα ὅσα χρησιμεύει πρὸς τὸ αὐτὸ τὸ τέλος τῆς ἱατρικῆς, rr. 8-9), quello dell'aver sempre pre-

¹ ANDORLINI – REGGIANI 2012, 139.

² Cf. ANDORLINI 1995 and 2017b; REGGIANI 2017f and 2017g.

³ Cf. ANDORLINI 1997. At the end of 1997, a digital archive of texts and pictures on CD-ROM (in collaboration with the TLG editors) was envisaged to be appended to the printed volumes of the *Corpus*. In an unpublished early presentation of the project, which I very recently happened to find among her papers, Professor Andorlini wrote: "nella prospettiva di realizzazione di questo progetto [...] si prevede di corredare la pubblicazione dei volumi del Corpus dei Papiri Medici di un supporto CD dove possano essere registrati sia un archivio di testi (Ann Hanson è in contatto a questo scopo con i curatori del CD della letteratura greca oggi in uso), sia un archivio di immagini dei papiri pubblicati nei volumi".

sente ciò che può essere di 'giovamento' alla salute di chi ne ha bisogno (χρησιμοτάτην ύπολαμβάνων ἔσεσθαι τὴν τοιαύτην συναγωγήν, τῶν ἐντυγχανόντων ἐτοίμως ἐξευρισκόντων τὸ ἑκάστοτε τοῖς δεομένοις, rr. 10–12).

Chi ha avuto modo di utilizzare, e di indagare filologicamente, i libri superstiti delle Συναγωγαὶ ἰατρικαί (così come quelli dei manuali Σύνοψις e Εὐπόριστα che, tradotti anche in lingua latina, ebbero una ben più larga diffusione fin dalla tarda antichità) ha potuto verificare l'efficacia dell'articolato lavoro svolto da Oribasio e ha apprezzato l'utilità delle scelte operate sui contenuti ai fini della trasmissione dei testi.

Anche noi in qualche modo persuasi della bontà di questi propositi antichi e dell'utilità di mettere a disposizione delle opere di sintesi di testi, ci accingiamo ad una sorta di $\sigma\nu\nu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma'_{\eta}$, quando decidiamo di realizzare una raccolta, oggi necessariamente esaustiva, di quanto direttamente si è conservato dei libri di medicina che, nel naufragio della letteratura medica antica, il tempo e gli uomini hanno già significativamente selezionato⁴.

Pushed by such compelling intents, she outlined the main routes of development of the project: (1) a papyrological perspective, aimed at providing reliable editions of the texts; (2) a philological-critical perspective, devoted to clarify the contribution of the medical papyri to the history of texts and authors of medical literature; (3) a historical-scientific perspective, interested in describing the acquisitions in the field of the history of ancient medical science; (4) a linguistic perspective, focused on the study of the special technical vocabulary of the medical papyri⁵.

The project soon materialized in a couple of international workshops (*Specimina per il Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina*, Florence, 28–29 March 1996; *Testi medici su papiro*, Florence, 3–4 June 2002) with the related proceedings, and just as many printed volumes of the series *Greek Medical Papyri* (with a third one in progress), forming the core of the *corpus* itself⁶. The quick development of the digital tools for managing papyrus texts soon captured her attention: as we have already seen above (§ 9) she has been pathbreaking in envisaging the application of the SoSOL editing platform to literary and paraliterary texts. In 2010 she attended one of the first SoSOL training session at the Duke University (Durham, NC) and within a few years she experimented the digitization of complex documentary texts (the Ammon archive) and of the first medical papyri (the Michigan Medical Codex and a significant selection of other texts), together with her collaborators and students at the University of Parma.

At the time, digitizations took place in the *Papyrological Editor*, in a special community called "ParmaMed", which avoided the phase of submitting the edited texts to the *Papyri.info* board; when the DCLP challenge started, the Parma medical project became one of the earliest partners to contribute content and to discuss

⁴ ANDORLINI 1997, 19–20.

⁵ Cf. ANDORLINI 1997, 20-4.

⁶ Andorlini 1997; 2001; 2004; 2009; Andorlini – Hanson 2017.

methodologies and technical improvements (see above, § 8.7). The mass encoding of the entire medical papyrus *corpus* started in 2014 under an Advanced Grant of the European Research Council (agreement no. 339828), with a project titled "Online Humanities Scholarship: A Digital Medical Library Based on Ancient Texts" (also known with its acronym DIGMEDTEXT)⁷. The technical features and issues of such an effort have already been described above (§ 8.7); from the methodological and epistemological viewpoint, it is extremely noteworthy to highlight how the digital tools allowed for a full and enhanced fulfillment of the original research questions as outlined by Professor Andorlini as early as 1995.

To resume the aforementioned points: (1) from the papyrological perspective, the digital text stems from the comparison and the 'collation' of all available printed editions, thus providing the most updated and reliable version; moreover, the digital platform allows for a constant updating of the texts and provides a rigid standard for the critical editions; (2) from the philological-critical perspective, the commentaries provide the basic textual, philological and literary coordinates to frame the texts themselves and their relevance as to our knowledge of ancient literature and medical science; (3) from the historical-scientific and linguistic perspectives, the textual database is interconnected with the online lexical database of medical technical terms, *Medicalia Online* (see above, $\S 4.3$), which provides a wide linguistic, archaeological, and historical-medical overview of the studied items. All is openaccess and available online for any scholar or enthusiast of the relevant research fields, and represents a powerful resource for reconsidering, updating, improving, and enhancing the studies in papyrology and ancient medicine – just as the late antique compendiasts claimed.

Over three years, 285 medical fragments – from the shortest labels to the longest treatises – have been encoded, and most of them are already accessible through the DCLP platform (see details at https://goo.gl/ZBbHkp). Some significant lacks (e.g. the *Anonymus Londiniensis*, the Ärztekammer Nordrhein papyri, the P.Oxy. LXXX pieces) show that the work is necessarily still in progress, also because of the live technical transformations and evolutions of the supporting infrastructures; we wish to be able (and we plan) to go on effectively, exploring further possible enhancements, like a deep linguistic annotation of the *corpus* (see above, § 7.1) and a thorough consideration of paratext and of the multifarious aspects of materiality. We would like that the *Digital Corpus of the Greek Medical Papyri* (as this challenge might be called) continue to be a groundbreaking crown jewel of Digital Papyrology.

⁷ Main reference website: http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/ERC.

Bibliography

The papyri are cited throughout according to the abbreviations of the online *Checklist* (see above, § 2.3). In the present bibliography, beside the traditional journal abbreviations complying with *L'Année Philologique* (http://www.annee-philologique.com/files/sigles_fr.pdf), the following recurring conventions are used: DHQ = "Digital Humanities Quarterly"; LLC = "Literary and Linguistic Computing"; OLin = "Open Linguistics"; RELO = "Revue de l'Organisation Internationale pour l'Etude des Langues Anciennes par Ordinateur". All links are checked and updated to May 31, 2017.

- AA.VV. (1992), L'Istituto Papirologico "G. Vitelli" di Firenze, Firenze.
- AGNESINI, A. (2008), Banche dati di testi latini, in TAMMARO SANTORO 2008, 89-114.
- ALEXOPOULOU, A.A. KAMINARI, A.-A. PANAGOPOULOS, A. PÖHLMANN, E. (2013), Multispectral Documentation and Image Processing Analysis of the Papyrus of Tomb II at Daphne, Greece, "Journal of Archaeological Science" 40, 1242–9.
- ALLEGRA, D. CILIBERTO, E. CILIBERTO, P. MILOTTA, F.L.M. PETRILLO, G. STANCO, F. TROMBATORE, C. (2015), Virtual Unrolling Using X-Ray Computed Tomography, in Proceedings of the 23rd European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2914–8, URL: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ document/7362908.
- ALLEGRA, D. CILIBERTO, E. CILIBERTO, P. PETRILLO, G. STANCO, F. TROMBATORE, C. (2016), X-ray Computed Tomography for Virtually Unrolling Damaged Papyri, "Applied Physics A" 122:256, URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00339-016-9796-1.
- ALMAS, B. BEAULIEU, M.-C. (2016), *The Perseids Platform: Scholarship for All!*, in BODARD ROMANELLO 2016, 171–86.
- ANDORLINI, I. (1995), Trattato di medicina su papiro, Firenze.
- ANDORLINI, I. (1997), cur., 'Specimina' per il Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina. Atti dell'incontro di studio (Firenze, 28–29 marzo 1996), Firenze.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2001), ed., Greek Medical Papyri I, Florence.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2004), cur., Testi medici su papiro. Atti dell'incontro di studio (Firenze, 3–4 giugno 2002), Firenze.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2008), *Ricongiungere virtualmente archivi papiracei dispersi: le carte di Ammon,* advocatus, in TAMMARO – SANTORO 2008, 167–76.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2009), ed., Greek Medical Papyri II, Florence.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2016), Le borse terapeutiche a vapore nella medicina antica, in Medica-Papyrologica. Specimina di ricerca presentati al convegno "Parlare la medicina" (Parma, 5–7 Settembre 2016), a cura di N. Reggiani, Parma, 9–14.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2017a), Il corpus dei papiri medici online: la piattaforma editoriale, in Atti del VII Colloquio Internazionale sull'Ecdotica dei testi medici greci (Procida, 11–13 giugno 2013), a cura di A. Roselli, Napoli, in press.
- ANDORLINI, I. (2017b), πολλὰ ἰατρῶν ἐcτι cuγγράμματα, I (Scritti sui papiri e la medicina antica) II (Edizioni di papiri medici greci), a cura di N. Reggiani, Firenze.
- ANDORLINI, I. BASTIANINI, G. MANFREDI, M. MENCI, G. (2003), L'Istituto Papirologico "G. Vitelli". Storia, scavi e collezioni / History, Excavations and Collections, Firenze (CD ROM).
- ANDORLINI, I. HANSON, A.E. (2017), eds., Greek Medical Papyri III, Florence.
- ANDORLINI, I. LUCARELLI, F. MANDÒ, P.A. (2001), Particle-Induced X Ray-Emission for the Analysis of Writing and Painting Materials on Papyri and Textiles from Graeco-Roman Egypt, in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze 1998), a cura di I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci, Firenze, I, 52–64.

- ANDORLINI, I. MENCI, G. BERTANI, D. CETICA, M. POGGI, P. (1993), Use of CCD Cameras and Redextended Photographic Film for Inspection and Recording of Dark Papyri, "Science and Technology for Cultural Heritage" 2, 115–22.
- ANDORLINI, I. REGGIANI, N. (2012), Edizione e ricostruzione digitale dei testi papiracei, in Diritto romano e scienze antichistiche nell'era digitale. Convegno di studio (Firenze, 12–13 settembre 2011), a cura di N. Palazzolo, Torino, 131–46.

ANTELL, K. – FOOTE, J.S. – BALES FOOTE, J. (2016), Scholarly Publishing's Evolving Landscape. Impact Metrics, Electronic-Only Journals, and Open Access in Journalism and Communication Research, "Journalism & Mass Communication Educator" 71, 309–28, URL: http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1077695816668864.

AST, R. – ESSLER, H. (2017), *The Digital Corpus of Literary Papyrology*, in REGGIANI 2017b, forthcoming. AZZARELLO, G. (2008), *Alla ricerca della 'mano' di Epagathos*, APF 54, 179–202.

BABEU, A. (2011), "Rome Wasn't Digitized in a Day": Building a Cyberinfrastructure for Digital Classics, Washington (DC).

- BAGNALL, R.S. (1995a), Reading Papyri, Writing Ancient History, London New York.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (1995b), Digital Imaging of Papyri. A Report to the Commission on Preservation and Access, September 1995, Washington (DC).
- BAGNALL, R.S. (1997), Imaging Papyri: A Strategic View, LLC 12, 153-4.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (1998), Papyrus and Preservation, CW 91 (1997–1998), 543–52.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (2009a), Introduction, in BAGNALL 2009b, xvii-xxi.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (2009b), ed., The Oxford Handbook of Papyrology, Oxford New York.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (2012a), *Integrating Digital Papyrology, in Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come*, ed. by J. McGann, Houston (TX), URL: http://hdl.handle.net/2451/29592.
- BAGNALL, R.S. (2012b), The Amicitia Papyrologorum in a Globalized World of Learning, in Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie (Genève 2010), éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 1–5.
- BAGNALL, R.S. GAGOS, T. (2007), The Advanced Papyrological Information System: Past, Present, and Future, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, I, 59–74

BAGNALL, R. – JAKUBS, D. – SOSIN, J. (2007), Integrating Digital Papyrology, URL: https://web.archive. org/web/20141129023032/http://people.duke.edu/~jds15/DDbDP-APIS-HGV_propRedacted.pdf.

- BAMMAN, D. CRANE, G. (2009), *Computational Linguistics and Classical Lexicography*, DHQ 3, URL: http://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/3/1/000033.html.
- BAMMAN, D. CRANE, G. (2010), Corpus Linguistics, Treebanks and the Reinvention of Philology, in INFORMATIK 2010. Service Science – Neue Perspektiven für die Informatik, hrsg. von K.-P. Fähnrich und B. Franczyk, II, Bonn, 542–51, URL: http://subs.emis.de/LNI/Proceedings/ Proceedings176/558.pdf.
- BAND, J. (2006), The Google Library Project: Both Sides of the Story, Ann Arbor, URL: http://hdl. handle.net/2027/spo.5240451.0001.002.
- BARABÁSI, A.-L. (2002), Linked: The Science of Networks, Cambridge (MA).
- BARTHES, R. (1981), Untying the Text: A Post-Structuralist Reader, London.
- BAUMAN Z. (2000), Liquid Modernity, Malden (MA).
- BAUMAN, Z. (2007), Liquid Times. Living in an Age of Uncertainty, Malden (MA).
- BAUMAN, Z. (2011), Culture in a Liquid Modern World, Malden (MA).
- BAUMANN, R. (2011), The Son of Suda Online: Collaborative Editing and Workflow Tool, in BAUMANN BODARD – CAYLESS – SOSIN – VIGLIANTI 2011, 34–6.
- BAUMANN, R. (2013), The Son of Suda On-Line, in The Digital Classics 2013, ed. by S. Dunn and S. Mahony, London, 91–106.
- BAUMANN, R. BODARD, G. CAYLESS, H. SOSIN, J. VIGLIANTI, R. (2011), Integrating Digital Papyrology, in Digital Humanities 2011 (Stanford, June 19–22, 2011). Conference Abstracts, Stanford 2011, 28–

36, URL: https://dh2011.stanford.edu/wp-content/uploads/2011/05/DH2011_BookOfAbs.pdf [HTML version at URL: http://dh2011abstracts.stanford.edu/xtf/view?docld=tei/ab-193.xml].

- BAUMANN, R. PORTER, D.C. SEALES, W.B. (2008), The Use of Micro-CT in the Study of Archaeological Artifacts, in ART 2008. 9th International Conference on NDT of Art (Jerusalem, 25–30 May 2008), URL: http://www.ndt.net/article/art2008/papers/244Seales.pdf.
- BAUMANN, R. SEALES, B.W. (2009), Robust Registration of Manuscript Images, in Proceedings of the 2009 Joint International Conference on Digital Libraries, New York, 263–6, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1555400.1555443.
- BAY, S. MACFARLANE, R. WAYMENT, T. BEARMAN, G. (2010), Multi-Spectral Imaging vs. Monospectral Infrared Imaging, ZPE 173, 211–7.
- BÉGUIN, D. (1995), L'interrogation des CD-ROM de grec et de latin sur Macintosh, RHT 25, 281–90.
- BENAISSA, A. (2012), Rural Settlements of the Oxyrhynchite Nome. A Papyrological Survey, Köln Leuven² [2009¹], URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=13.
- BERKES, L. (2017), Perspectives and Limitations in Editing Documentary Papyri Online: A Report on Born-Digital Editions at Papyri.info, in REGGIANI 2017b, forthcoming.
- BERKOWITZ, L. (1993), Ancilla to the Thesaurus Linguae Graecae: The TLG Canon, in SOLOMON 1993, 34–61.

BERTANI, D. (2001), *Nota tecnica*, in *Posidippo di Pella: epigrammi*,a cura di G. Bastianini e C. Gallazzi, Milano, II, vii-viii.

BERTANI, D. (2002), Indagine ad alta definizione del papiro di Posidippo, in Un poeta ritrovato: Posidippo di Pella, Milano, 15–18.

BERTANI, D. – CONSOLANDI, L. (2016), High Resolution Imaging in the Near Infrared, in Digital Heritage. Applying Digital Imaging to Cultural Heritage, ed. by L. MacDonald, Amsterdam – Boston – Heidelberg, 211–38.

- BERTI, M. NAETHER, F. (2016), eds., Altertumswissenschaften in a Digital Age: Egyptology, Papyrology and beyond. Proceedings of a Conference and Workshop in Leipzig (November 4–6, 2015), Leipzig, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201500.
- BERTONAZZI, F. (2017a), La trapanazione cranica nell'Antichità: alcuni casi nella letteratura medica e (forse) in un papiro greco, in Parlare la medicina: fra lingue e culture, nello spazio e nel tempo. Atti del Convegno Internazionale (Parma, 5–7 Settembre 2016), ed. by N. Reggiani and F. Bertonazzi, Firenze, forthcoming.
- BERTONAZZI, F. (2017b), L'uso del καυτήρ nei papiri medici e nelle testimonianze letterarie, in Greek Medical Papyri – Text, Context, Hypertext. Proceedings of the DIGMEDTEXT International Conference (Parma, 2–4 November 2016), ed. by N. Reggiani, Berlin – Boston, forthcoming.

BETRÒ, M.C. (1990), Informatica e Demotico I. Presentazione, "Geo-Archeologia" 1, 93-4.

BINGEN, J. (1968a), Introduction, in BINGEN – TOMSIN – BODSON – DENOOZ – DUPONT – EVRARD 1968, s.p.

BINGEN, J. (1968b), Notes critiques à un « Choix des papyrus grecs », CE 85, 379–88.

- BINGEN, J. (1977), La Papyrologie grecque et latine: Problèmes de fond et problèmes d'organisation, in Aspects des études classiques: Actes du colloque associé à la XVIe Assemblée Générale de la Fédération Internationale des Associations d'Etudes Classiques, éd. par J. Bingen et G. Cambier, Brussels, 33–44.
- BINGEN, J. TOMSIN, A. BODSON, A. DENOOZ, J. DUPONT, J.C. EVRARD, E. (1968), édd., *Choix des papyrus grecs. Essai de traitement automatique*, Liège.
- BIRD, G.D. (2010), Multitextuality in the Homeric Iliad. The Witness of the Ptolemaic Papyri, Cambridge (MA) – London.
- BLASCHEK, S. QUENOUILLE, N. (2016), *Das Papyrus und Ostraka Projekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig*, in SCHOLL 2016, 35–59.
- BODARD, G. (2007), *"Can Computers Ever Read Ancient Texts?"*, "Current Epigraphy" (August 4, 2007), URL: http://www.currentepigraphy.org/2007/08/04/can-computers-ever-read-ancient-texts.
- BODARD, G. (2010), EpiDoc: Epigraphic Documents in XML for Publication and Interchange, in Latin On Stone: Epigraphic Research and Electronic Archives, ed. by F. Feraudi-Gruénais, Idaho Falls (ID), 101–18.
- BODARD, G. GARCÉS, J. (2009), *Open Source Critical Editions: A Rationale*, in *Text Editing, Print, and the Digital World*, ed. by M. Deegan and K. Sutherland, Farnham Burlington (VT), 84–98.

BODARD, G. – MAHONY, S. (2010), eds., *Digital Research in the Study of Classical Antiquity*, Farnham – Burlington (VT).

- BODARD, G. ROMANELLO, M. (2016), eds., Digital Classics Outside the Echo-Chamber: Teaching, Knowledge Exchange and Public Engagement, London.
- BODARD, G. SOSIN, J.D. (2011), Lessons from the Conversion of the Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri from Legacy Formats into EpiDoc TEI XML, in BAUMANN – BODARD – CAYLESS – SOSIN – VIGLIANTI 2011, 29–31.
- BODSON, A. (1970), Exploitation automatique de la documentation papyrologique. Application à un corpus, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology, ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 41–4.
- BOLTER, J.D. (1991), The Computer, Hypertext, and Classical Studies, AJP 112, 541–5.
- BOLTER, J.D. (1993), Hypertext as Classical Commentary, in SOLOMON 1993, 157–71.
- BONATI, I. (2016), Il lessico dei vasi e dei contenitori greci nei papiri. Specimina per un repertorio lessicale degli angionimi greci, Berlin – New York.
- BONATI, I. (2017a), Tra composti, suffissi e neologismi nella microlingua della medicina: alcuni specimina tratti dai papiri, in Parlare la medicina: fra lingue e culture, nello spazio e nel tempo. Atti del Convegno Internazionale (Parma, 5–7 Settembre 2016), a cura di N. Reggiani e F. Bertonazzi, Firenze, forthcoming.
- BONATI, I. (2017b), La parola delle cose: nuove voci dal passato dei papiri, in Papiri, medicina antica e cultura materiale. Contributi in ricordo di Isabella Andorlini, a cura di N. Reggiani, Parma, forthcoming.
- BONATI, I. (2017c), *Tra* verba e res: alcuni contenitori d'uso medico nei papiri greci d'Egitto, "Aegyptus", forthcoming.
- BONATI, I. (2017d), The Experience of a Digital Lexicographical Database: Medicalia Online, in REGGIANI 2017b, forthcoming.
- BONATI, I. (2017e), Medicalia Online: tecnicismi medici tra passato e presente, in Greek Medical Papyri – Text, Context, Hypertext. Proceedings of the DIGMEDTEXT International Conference (Parma, 2–4 November 2016), ed. by N. Reggiani, Berlin – New York, forthcoming.
- BONATI, I. MARAVELA, A. (2018), eds., *Medicalia. Studies of Medical Technical Words from the Greek Papyri to Modern Medicine*, Leiden – Boston, forthcoming.
- BOORAS, S.W. SEELY, D.R. (1999), Multispectral Imaging of the Herculaneum Papyri, CErc 29, 95–100.
- BOSCHETTI, F. (2007), Methods to Extend Greek and Latin Corpora with Variants and Conjectures: Mapping Critical Apparatuses onto Reference Text, in Proceedings of the Corpus Linguistics Conference (Birmingham, July 2007), URL: http://ucrel.lancs.ac.uk/publications/CL2007/ paper/150_Paper.pdf.
- BOSCHETTI, F. (2008), Dal corpus al treebank, in TAMMARO SANTORO 2008, 115–26.
- BOTTI, G. (1964), I cimeli egizi del Museo di Antichità di Parma, Firenze.
- BOTTI, M. (2010), Dal Monte Rosa alla Terra dei Faraoni. Giuseppe Botti, una vita per i papiri dell'antico Egitto: l'avventura umana e culturale del primo demotista italiano, Parma, URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1889/1511.
- Вотп, M. (2017), Isabella Andorlini e Giuseppe Botti, in Papiri, medicina antica e cultura materiale. Contributi in ricordo di Isabella Andorlini, a cura di N. Reggiani, Parma, forthcoming.

BOUQUIAUX-SIMON, O. (1991), Le CD-ROM version C du Thesaurus Linguae Graecae et Ibycus S.C.: à propos d'une procédure d'interrogation spécifique dans le domaine papyrologique, "Revue. Informatique et Statistique dans les Sciences Humaines" 27, 39–56.

BOUQUIAUX-SIMON, O. (1992), Additamenta pour une anthologie mutilée (P.Berol. inv. 21312 + P.Schubart 27), in Proceedings of the XIXth International Congress of Papyrology (Cairo, 2–9 September 1989), ed. by A.A.H. El-Mosallamy, Cairo, I, 461–80.

BOUQUIAUX-SIMON, O. (2004), Les livres dans le monde gréco-romain, Liège.

BOUQUIAUX-SIMON, O. – MERTENS, P. (1991), Les papyrus de Thucydide, CE 66, 198–210.

BOUQUIAUX-SIMON, O. – MERTENS, P. (1992), Les témoignages papyrologiques d'Euripide: liste sommaire arrêtée au 1/6/1990, in Papiri letterari greci e latini, a cura di M. Capasso, PapLup 1, 95–107.

BOWMAN, A.K. (2001), Imaging Incised Documents, in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze, 23–29 agosto 1998), a cura di I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci, Firenze, I, 147–50.

BOWMAN, A.K. – BRADY, J.M. – TOMLIN, R.S.O. (1997), Imaging Incised Documents, LLC 12, 169–76.

BOWMAN, A.K. – CROWTHER, C.V. – KIRKHAM, R. – PYBUS, J. (2008), Virtual Research Environment for the Study of Documents and Manuscripts, paper presented at the conference "Oxford eResearch 2008" (Oxford, 11–13 September 2008), Oxford, URL: https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/ uuid:d7f250e0-9a95-4193-b476-8666ce5c3347.

BOWMAN, A.K. – CROWTHER, C.V. – KIRKHAM, R. – PYBUS, J. (2010), A Virtual Research Environment for the Study of Documents and Manuscripts, in BODARD – MAHONY 2010, 87–104.

BOWMAN, A.K. – DEEGAN, M. (1997), Introduction, LLC 12, 151–2.

BOZZI, A. (2003), Digital Documents and Computational Philology: The Digital Philology System (DIPHILOS), in Informatica e scienze umane. Mezzo secolo di studi e ricerche, a cura di M. Veneziani, Firenze 2003, 175–82.

BOZZI, A. (2006), *Electronic Publishing and Computational Philology*, in MACÉ – BARET – BOZZI – CIGNONI 2006, 3–23.

BOZZI, A. (2009), L'archiviazione, lo studio, l'edizione e l'interrogazione di documenti digitali: Pinakes Text, in Metodologie informatiche per le scienze umane. Tavola rotunda (Reggio Calabria, 5 dicembre 2008), a cura di N. Palazzolo, Roma, 19-34.

BOZZI, A. (2014), Computer-Assisted Scholarly Editing of Manuscript Sources, in New Publication Cultures in the Humanities. Exploring the Paradigm Shift, ed. by P. Dávidházi, Amsterdam, 99–115.

BOZZI, A. – BRESCIANI, E. – MENCHETTI, A. – RUFFOLO, P. – EISINBERG, A. – FEDELE, G. – CORRARELLO, G. (2002), Computational Philology System for Demotic Texts on Ostraka, in Proceedings of the 14th Table Ronde Informatique et Egyptologie, Pisa (CD-ROM).

BOZZI, A. – RAGGIOLI, A. (2004), DiPhiloS. Un sistema di filologia computazionale applicato a referti medici greci su papiro, in Testi medici su papiro. Atti del seminario di studio (Firenze, 3–4 giugno 2002), a cura di I. Andorlini, Firenze, 171–4.

BRESCIANI, E. – MENCHETTI, A. (2004), L'application des technologies numériques aux textes non alphabétiques de l'ancienne Egypte, "Linguistica Computazionale" 20–21, 67–78.

BRESCIANI, E. – MENCHETTI, A. – BOZZI, A. – FEDELE, G. (2004), Sistema di filologia computazionale per testi demotici, "Archeologia e Calcolatori" 15, 267–86.

BROUX, Y. (2015a), Double Names and Elite Strategy in Roman Egypt, Leuven – Paris – Bristol.

BROUX, Y. (2015b), *Double Names in Roman Egypt: A Prosopography*, Leuven, URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=16.

BROUX, Y. (2015c), Graeco-Egyptian Naming Practices: A Network Perspective, GRBS 55, 706–20.

BROUX, Y. (2016a), Detecting Settlement Communities in Graeco-Roman Egypt, BASP 53, 295–313.

Broux, Y. (2016b), Locating Ancient Site with the Help of Network Analysis, AncSoc 46, 27–38

BROUX, Y. (2016c), Towards a Universal Facebook of the Ancient World, in Digital and Traditional Epigraphy in Context. Proceedings of the Second EAGLE 2016 International Conference (Rome, 27–29 January 2016), ed. by P.M. Liuzzo, F. Mambrini, S. Orlandi, and R. Santucci, Roma, 303– 12, URL: https://www.eagle-network.eu/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/EAGLE%20D2.6_EAGLE %20Second%20International%20Conference%20Proceedings.pdf.

- BROUX, Y. (2017), Egyptian Names and Networks in Trismegistos (800 BC AD 800), in Proceedings of the 11th International Congress of Egyptologists (Florence, 24–29 August 2015), forthcoming.
- BROUX, Y. COUSSEMENT, S. (2014), Double Names as Indicators of Social Relations in Graeco-Roman Egypt, in Legal Documents in Ancient Societies III: Identifiers and Identification Methods in the Ancient World, ed. by. M. Depauw and S. Coussement, Leuven, 119–39.
- BROUX, Y. DEPAUW, M. (2015a), The Maternal Line in Greek Identification. Signalling Social Status in Roman Egypt, "Historia" 64, 467–78.
- BROUX, Y. DEPAUW, M. (2015b), Developing Onomastic Gazetteers and Prosopographies for the Ancient World Through Named Entity Recognition and Graph Visualization: Some Examples from Trismegistos People, in Social Informatics. SocInfo 2014 International Workshops (Barcelona, November 11, 2014), Revised Selected Papers, ed. by L.M. Aiello and D. McFarland, Berlin, 304–13.
- BROUX, Y. VANBESELAERE, S. (2016), Spaghetti Monsters Al Dente. An Introduction to Network Analysis for Historians, Leuven (Trismegistos Online Publications 9), forthcoming.
- BRUNNER, T. (1984), Two Papyri of Appian from Dura-Europus, GRBS 25, 171–5.
- BRUNNER, T. (1986), Computer-Früchte, ZPE 66, 293-6.
- BRUNNER, T. (1988), P. Köln I, 25 Frr. k-o: Iliad or not Iliad?, CE 63, 305–7.
- BRUNNER, T. (1993a), *Classics and the Computer: The History of a Relationship*, in SOLOMON 1993, 10–33.
- BRUNNER, T. (1993b), P. Amst. I 24: A Romanus Melodus Papyrus, ZPE 96, 185–9.
- BRUNNER, T. (1994), The Thesaurus Linguae Graecae: A Unifying Force, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 1994), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 604–8.
- BRUSUELAS, J. (2016), Engaging Greek: Ancient Lives, in BODARD ROMANELLO 2016, 187–204.
- BÜCHLER, M. HEYER, G. GRÜNDER, S. (2008), eAQUA: Bringing Modern Text Mining Approaches to Two Thousand Years Old Ancient Texts, in Proceedings of the 4th IEEE International Conference on e-Science, URL: http://asv.informatik.uni-leipzig.de/publication/file/123/IEEE09.pdf.
- BÜCHLER, M. KRUSE, S. ECKART, T. (2012), Bringing Modern Spell Checking Approaches to Ancient Texts – Automated Suggestions for Incomplete Words, in Proceedings of Digital Humanities 2012 (Hamburg).
- BÜLOW-JACOBSEN, A. (2008), Infra-Red Photography of Ostraca and Papyri, ZPE 165, 175–85.
- BUKREEVA, I. MITTONE, A. BRAVIN, A. FESTA, G. ALESSANDRELLI, M. COAN, P. FORMOSO, V. –
 AGOSTINO, R.G. GIOCONDO, M. CIUCHI, F. FRATINI, M. MASSIMI, L. LAMARRA, A. ANDREANI, C. –
 BARTOLINO, R. GIGLI, G. RANOCCHIA, G. CEDOLA, A. (2016), Enhanced X-ray-phase-contrast-tomography Brings New Clarity to the 2000-year-old 'Voice' of Epicurean Philosopher Philodemus, arXiv:1602.08071, URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.08071.
- CALDERINI, A. (1935 ff.), Dizionario dei nomi geografici e topografici dell'Egitto greco-romano, I-V + Suppl. 1–2 (1935–96), Milano + Supplements 3–5 (2003–10), ed. by S. Daris, Pisa.
- CALDERINI, A. (1936), Problemi di organizzazione e di divulgazione della Papirologia, in Atti del IV Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze, 28 aprile-2 maggio 1935), Milano, 351–8.
- CALDERINI, A. (1951), Proposta di un catalogo sistematico dei papiri documentari, "Aegyptus" 31, 48– 54.
- CALDERINI, A. (1956), Proposta di un repertorio generale dei papiri documentari, in Akten des VIII. Internationalen Kongresses für Papyrologie (Wien 1955), Wien, 43–5.

- CALLAGHAN, S. (2014), Datasets: From Creation to Publication, in Theory and Practice of Digital Libraries
 TPDL 2013 Selected Workshops, ed. by L. Bolikowksi, V. Casarosa, P. Goodale, N. Houssos, P. Manghi, and J. Schirrwagen, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London, 3–9.
- CAMPAGNOLO, A. GIACOMETTI, A. MACDONALD, L. MAHONY, S. TERRAS, M. GIBSON, A. (2016), Cultural Heritage Destruction: Experiments with Parchment and Multispectral Imaging, in BODARD – ROMANELLO 2016, 121–46.
- CANFORA, L. (2004), La Bibliothèque d'Alexandrie et l'histoire des textes, Liège.
- CAPASSO, M. (2005), *Introduzione alla Papirologia*, Bologna.
- CASANOVA, G. (2015), *"Fidarsi è bene ma..."*, "Aegyptus" 95, 61–3.
- CAYLESS, H.A. (2010), Ktêma es aiei: Digital Permanence from an Ancient Perspective, in BODARD MAHONY 2010, 139–50.
- CAYLESS, H. (2011), The Papyrological Navigator: Project Integration with RDF, in BAUMANN BODARD CAYLESS – SOSIN – VIGLIANTI 2011, 31–4.
- CAYLESS, H. (2013), *The Trouble with Triples*, "DC3", URL: http://blogs.library.duke.edu/dcthree/ 2013/07/27/the-trouble-with-triples.
- CELANO, G.G.A. (2017), Treebanking and the Papyri, in REGGIANI 2017b, forthcoming.
- CELANO, G.G.A. CRANE, G. MAJIDI, S. (2016), Part of Speech Tagging for Ancient Greek, OLin 2, 393– 9, URL: https://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/opli.2016.2.issue-1/opli-2016-0020/opli-2016-0020.pdf.
- CHABRIES, D.M. BOORAS, S.W. (2001), *The Petra Scrolls*, in *Proceedings of Image Processing, Image Quality, Image Capture Systems Conference (PICS-01)*, Montreal Québec, 198–295.
- CHABRIES, D.M. BOORAS, S.W. BEARMAN, G.H. (2003), Imaging the Past: Recent Applications of Multispectral Imaging Technology to Deciphering Manuscripts, "Antiquity" 77, 359–72,
- CHAMPION, C. (1999), review of O'DONNELL 1998, "Histos" 3, 133–9.
- CIAMPI, C. (1980), *In ricordo di Enrico Maretti, un ingegnere tra gli umanisti,* "Informatica e Diritto" 2–3, v-xviii.
- CIVIL, M. (2002), *Mesopotamian Lexicography*, Leiden Boston.
- CLARYSSE, W. (2001), The Leuven Data-base of Ancient Books (LDAB), in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze, 23–29 agosto 1998), a cura di I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci, Firenze, I, 237–49.
- CLARYSSE, W. (2003), "Tomoi Synkollesimoi", in Ancient Archives and Archival Traditions: Concepts of Record-keeping in the Ancient World, ed. by M. Brosius, Oxford, 339–44.
- CLARYSSE, W. (2005), Tebtynis and Soknopaiou Nesos: The Papyrological Documentation through the Centuries, in LIPPERT – SCHENTULEIT 2005, 19–27.
- CLARYSSE, W. PAGANINI, M.C.D. (2009), Theophoric Personal Names in Graeco-Roman Egypt. The Case of Sarapis, APF 55, 68–89.
- CLARYSSE, W. VANDORPE, K. (2008), Information Technologies: Writing, Book Production, and the Role of Literacy, in The Oxford Handbook of Engineering and Technology in the Classical World, ed. by J.P. Oleson, Oxford, 715–39.
- CLARYSSE, W. VERRETH, H. (2000), eds., *Papyrus Collections World Wide*, 9–10 March 2000 (Brussels Leuven), Brussels.
- CLINE, D.H. CLINE, E.H. (2015), Text Messages, Tablets, and Social Networks in the Late Bronze Age Eastern Mediterranean: The Small World of the Amarna Letters, in Egypt and the Near East: Crossroads II. Proceedings of an International Conference on the Relations of Egypt and the Near East in the Bronze Age, ed. by J. Myrnarova et. al., Prague, 17–44.
- COCKLE, W.E.H. (1974), Taking Photographs of Papyri, in Akten des XIII. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Marburg/Lahn, 2–6 August 1971), hrsg. von E. Kießling und H.-A. Rupprecht, München, 83–8.
- COLES, R. (1970), New Literary and Sub-Literary Fragments from the Fayum, ZPE 6, 247–66.

COLLART, P. (1933), Bulletin papyrologique XII (1932), REG 46, 443–67.

- CONSOLANDI, L. (2006), Papiri e nuove tecnologie: la digitalizzazione del Papiro di Artemidoro, in Le tre vite del Papiro di Artemidoro: voci e sguardi dall'Egitto, a cura di C. Gallazzi e S. Settis, Torino, 74–7.
- COUSSEMENT, S. (2016), "Because I am Greek": Polyonymy as an Expression of Ethnicity in Ptolemaic Egypt, Leuven – Paris – Bristol.
- COWEY, J.M.S. (1994), Heidelberg Documentary Papyri Project, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 23–29 August, 1992), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 609–12.
- CRANE, G. (1998), New Technologies for Reading: The Lexicon and the Digital Library, CW 91, 471–501.
- CRANE, G. (2004), *Classics and the Computer: An End of the History*, in Schreibman Siemens UNSWORTH 2004, 46–55.
- CRIBIORE, R. (2017), Genetic Criticism and the Papyri: Some Suggestions, in Greek Medical Papyri Text, Context, Hypertext. Proceedings of the DIGMEDTEXT International Conference (Parma, 2–4 November 2016), ed. by N. Reggiani, Berlin – New York, forthcoming.
- CRISCI, E. (2007), CD-ROM dei papiri letterari della Biblioteca Medicea Laurenziana di Firenze, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologen-Kongresses (Wien, 22.–28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 131–40.
- CRISTOFORI, A. (2000), Internet e la ricerca scientifica nelle discipline antichistiche, "Memoria e Ricerca" 5, 180, URL: http://www.fondazionecasadioriani.it/modules.php?name=MR&op= body&id=113.
- CRÖNERT, W. (1930), review of Stoicorum Veterum Fragmenta, "Gnomon" 6, 142–57.
- D'ALESSIO, G.B. (2009), On the "Artemidorus" Papyrus, ZPE 171, 27–43.
- DAMON, C. (2016), Beyond Variants: Some Digital Desiderata for the Critical Apparatus of Ancient Greek and Latin Texts, in Digital Scholarly Editing. Theories and Practices, ed. by M.J. Driscoll and E. Pierazzo, Cambridge (UK), 201–18.
- DARIS, S. (1968), Spoglio lessicale papirologico, I-III, Milano.
- DE HARO SANCHEZ, M. (2014), *Catalogue des papyrus iatromagiques grecs*, in *Papiri e ostraka greci*, a cura di M. Capasso, PapLup 13, 37–60.
- DE LA FLOR, G. LUFF, P. JIROTKA, M. PYBUS, J. KIRKHAM, J. CARUSI, A. (2010). The Case of the Disappearing Ox: Seeing Through Digital Images to an Analysis of Ancient Texts, in CHI '10: Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, New York, 473–82.
- DE LUCA, C.D. (2007), Il libro greco e latino del Fayyum: aspetti paleografici e bibliologici, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologen-Kongresses (Wien, 22.–28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 159–60.
- DEGANI, E. (1992), Il mostro di Irvine, "Eikasmòs" 3, 277-8.
- DEL CORSO, L. (2007), Il progetto PSI On-Line: applicazioni informatiche per una filologia materiale dei testi papiracei, in Digital Philology and Medieval Texts. Proceedings of the International Seminar (Arezzo, 19–21 Gennaio 2006), ed. by A. Ciurla and F. Stella, Firenze, 165–74.
- DEL MASTRO, G. (2012), Il Thesaurus Herculanensium Voluminum, in Actes du 26e Congrès international de papyrologie (Genève, 16–21 août 2010), éd. Par P. Schubert, Genève, 175–82.
- Delatte, L. (1968), Préface, in Bingen Tomsin Bodson Denooz Dupont Evrard 1968, s.p.
- DELATTRE, D. (2007), Une revolution dans la papyrologie d'Herculaneum: l'apport de l'imagerie multispectrale à l'édition des Commentaires sur la musique (livre IV) de Philodème, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Wien, 22.-28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 179– 85.
- DELATTRE, A. HEILPORN, P. (2014), Electronic Resources for Graeco-Roman and Christian Egypt: A Review of the State of the Net (March 2014), BiOr 71, 308–31.

DELL'ORSO, F. (1999), Software per archivi bibliografici. ProCite nella nuova versione 4.03 per Macintosh e Windows, "Biblioteche Oggi", gennaio-febbraio 1999, 28–38 (URL: http://www. bibliotecheoggi.it/1999/19990102801.pdf).

- DENOOZ, J. (2007), *Langues classiques et informatique: les premiers travaux*, "Journal of Latin Linguistics" 9, 11–20.
- DEPAUW, M. (fthc.), ed., Multiplicity of Language and Script in Graeco-Roman Egypt. Studies on the Basis of the Interdisciplinary Platform "Trismegistos", Leuven, forthcoming.
- DEPAUW, M. ARLT, C. ELEBAUT, M. et alii (2008), A Chronological Survey of Precisely Dated Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Sources, Köln – Leuven (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=4).
- DEPAUW, M. BROUX, Y. (2016), Editions and Editors of Greek Papyrological Texts 1708–2015, ZPE 198, 202–10.
- DEPAUW, M. CLARYSSE, W. (2013), How Christian was Fourth Century Egypt? Onomastic Perspectives on Conversion, VChr 67, 407–35.
- DEPAUW, M. GHELDOF, T. (2014), Trismegistos. An interdisciplinary Platform for Ancient World Texts and Related Information, in Theory and Practice of Digital Libraries. TPDL 2013 Selected Workshops, ed. by Ł. Bolikowski, V. Casarosa, P. Goodale, N. Houssos, P. Manghi, and J. Schirrwagen, Berlin, 40–52.

DEPAUW, M. – STOLK, J. (2015), Linguistic Variation in Greek Papyri: Towards a New Tool for Quantitative Study, GRBS 55, 196–220.

- DEPAUW, M. VAN BEEK, B. (2009), People in Greek Documentary Papyri: First Results of a Research Project, JJP 39, 31–47.
- DIETHART, J. (2014), Onomastische Lesefrüchte aus PSIonline, ZPE 192, 192.
- DOGAER, N. (2015), Egyptian Names Derived from Foreign Elements: Innovation in Egyptian Onomastic Practice after the Roman Conquest, CE 180, 360–70.
- DOGAER, N. (fth.), Greek Names with the Ending $-i\alpha\nu\delta\gamma$ -ianus in Roman Egypt, JJP, forthcoming.
- DOGAER, N. DEPAUW, M. (2017), Horion & Co. Greek Hybrid Names and Their Value for the Study of Intercultural Contacts in Graeco-Roman Egypt, "Historia" 66, 193–215.
- DREYLING, H. KALOK, L. (2001), Papyrusdigitalisierung in Gießen, "ABI-Technik" 21, 327–39.
- Duć, C. (2010), Homeric Papyri and the Homer Multitext, "The Homer Multitext" (URL: (http:// homermultitext.blogspot.de/2010/07/homeric-papyri-and-homer-multitext.html).
- DUÉ, C. EBBOTT, M. (2009), *Digital Criticism: Editorial Standards for the Homer Multitext*, DHQ 3.1, URL: http://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/3/1/000029/000029.html.
- EARL, G. BASFORD, P. BISCHOFF, A. BOWMAN, A. CROWTHER, C. DAHL, J. HODGSON, M. ISAKSEN, L. KOTOULA, E. – MARTINEZ, K. – PAGI, H. – PIQUETTE, K.E. (2011), *Reflectance Transformation Imaging* Systems for Ancient Documentary Artefacts, in EVA London 2011: Electronic Visualisation & the Arts. Proceedings of a conference held in London 6–8 July, ed. by S. Dunn, J. Bowen, and K. Ng, London, 147–54, URL: http://ewic.bcs.org/upload/pdf/ewic_ev11_s8paper3.pdf.
- EDMONDS, J.M. (1952), The Cairensis of Menander by Infra-Red, in Studies in Honor of G. Norwood, Toronto, 127–32.
- EMPEREUR, J.-Y. (2000), Alexandrie (Égypte), BCH 124, 595–619.
- ESSLER, H. (2008), Rekonstruktion von Papyrusrollen auf mathematischer Grundlage, CErc 38, 273– 307.
- ESSLER, H. DAMIANI, V. (2016), Anagnosis. Automatisierte Buchstabenverknüpfung von Transkript und Papyrusabbildung, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz: 15-qucosa-201561.
- ESSLER, H. RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS, D. (2016), 'Aristarchus X' and Philodemus: Digital Linguistic Analysis of a Herculanean Text Corpus, in Proceedings of the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Warsaw, 29 July – 3 August 2013), ed. by J. Urbanik, T. Derda, and A. Łajtar, Warsaw, I, 491–501.
- EVANS, T.V. OBBINK, D.D. (2010), eds., *The Language of the Papyri*, Oxford.

- EVRARD, E. (1967), La papyrologie au L.A.S.L.A.: realisations et projets, RELO 3, 87–96.
- Evrard, E. (1968), La critique des textes et son automatisation. A propos d'un livre récent, RELO 4, 69–81.
- EVRARD, E. (1970), Exploitation automatique de la documentation papyrologique: description d'un traitement en ordinateur, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology
 - (Ann Arbor, 13-17 August 1968), ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 121-6.
- Evrard, E. (1971), L'automatisation de l'étude des textes grecs au LASLA, RELO 7, 89–95.
- FIORILLO, M. (2015), Il progetto "Digital Prosopography of Roman Egypt", "Aegyptus" 95, 135–56.
- FISCHER, F. FRITZE, C. VOGELER, G. (2010), eds., Kodikologie und Paläographie im digitalen Zeitalter 2 / Codicology and Palaeography in the Digital Age 2, Norderstedt.
- FORABOSCHI, D. (1967–1971), Onomasticon alterum papyrologicum, I-IV, Milano.
- FORTUNA, S. BINDI, R. BOZZI, A. (1987), Nuovi frammenti di P. Oxy. 2181 (Platone, Fedone) identificati con il ricorso all'archivio computerizzato (TLG), SCO 37, 191–203.
- FRANCE, J. (1999), Theadelpheia and Euhemereia. Village History in Graeco-Roman Egypt, Leuven, URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=11.
- FREITAG, S. GERHARDT, M. KUPFERSCHMIDT, J. SCHOLL, R. (2009), Das Papyrusportal, APF 55, 121–34.
- FREITAG, S. GERHARDT, M. KUPFERSCHMIDT, J. SCHOLL, R. (2016a), Organa Papyrologica. Umsetzung einer Portallösung für alle Papyrussammlungen in Deutschland, version 3.1, Leipzig, URL: http://www.organapapyrologica.net/OrganaPapyrologica_Dokumentation.pdf.
- FREITAG, S. GERHARDT, M. KUPFERSCHMIDT, J. SCHOLL, R. (2016b), *Das Papyrusportal*, in SCHOLL 2016, 60–77.
- FRÖSÉN, J. (2009), Conservation of Ancient Papyrus Materials, in BAGNALL 2009b, 79–100.
- GAD, U. (2016), *The Digital Challenges and Chances: The Case of Papyri and Papyrology in Egypt*, in BERTI NAETHER 2016, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201593.
- GAGOS, T. (1996), Scanning the Past: A Modern Approach to Ancient Culture, with sidebars by P. Daub, A. Loftus, and S. Zachary, "Library Hi Tech" 14, 11–22.
- GAGOS, T. (1997), Advanced Papyrological Information System (APIS): The Michigan Experience, LLC 12, 155–7.
- GAGOS, T. (2001), The University of Michigan Papyrus Collection: Current Trends and Future Perspectives, in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze, 23–29 agosto 1998), a cura di I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci, Firenze, II, 511–37.
- GALANTI, M. (2005), Papiri, CD e ologrammi, "WebDieci.com" (URL: http://www.webdieci.com/ docs/innovazione/65_Innovazione_tecnologica_e_telecomunicazioni/Papiri_CD_ologrammi_1 82.asp).
- GALIANO, M.F. (1962), Información científica, EClás 36, 558–608.
- GALLAZZI, C. KRAMER, B. SETTIS, S. (2008), Il Papiro di Artemidoro (P.Artemid.), Torino.
- GEENS, K. (2014), Panopolis, a Nome Capital in Egypt in the Roman and Byzantine Period (ca. AD 200–600), Leuven (Trismegistos Online Publications Special Series 1), URL: http://www. trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=17.
- GENETTE, G. (1992), The Architext: An Introduction, Berkeley (CA) [Introduction à l'architexte, Paris 1979].
- GENETTE, G. (1997), Palimpsests: Literature in the Second Degree, Lincoln [Palimpsestes: la littérature au second degré, Paris 1982].
- GHELDOF, T. (2016), Trismegistos. Identifying and Aggregating Metadata of Ancient World Texts, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, ch. 1.4 (URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201617).
- GHIRETTI, G. (2010), Luoghi e strumenti della professione medica antica. La testimonianza dei papiri greci d'Egitto, Parma, URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1889/1493.
- GIANI, A. (2016), Image Resizing The Limits of Image Processing, in Digital Heritage. Applying Digital Imaging to Cultural Heritage, ed. by L. MacDonald, Amsterdam – Boston – Heidelberg, 239–57.

GIANNOTTI, S. – GORINI, C. (2006), Due esempi di ricerca e schedatura attraverso il database Demos, EVO 29, 101–11.

GIGANTE, M. – CAPASSO, M. (1990), *Papirologia e computer*, in *Rediscovering Pompeii*, Roma, 55–60. GIRGIS, V.A. (1938), *Prosopografia e Aphroditopolis*, Pisa – Berlin.

GLICKMAN, R.J. (1970), The Computer and Research in Papyrology: Some Basic Considerations in System Planning, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, 13–17 August 1968), ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 153–68.

GONIS, N. (2001), review of W. Clarysse, *CD-LDAB 1998. Leuven Database of Ancient Books*, Leuven 1998, CR 51, 421–2.

GONIS, N. (2006), Mommsen, Grenfell, and the 'Century of Papyrology', ZPE 156, 195–6.

GORMAN, V.B. – GORMAN, R.J. (2016), Approaching Questions of Text Reuse in Ancient Greek Using Computational Syntactic Stylometry, OLin 2, 500–10, URL: https://www.degruyter.com/ downloadpdf/j/opli.2016.2.issue-1/opli-2016-0026/opli-2016-0026.pdf.

GRAHAM, S. – RUFFINI, G. (2007), *Network Analysis and Greco-Roman Prosopography*, in *Prosopography: Approaches and Applications*, ed. by K.S.B. Keats-Rohan, Oxford, 325–36.

HAGEDORN, D. (1994), Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens, in Datenbanken in der Alten Geschichte, hrsg. von M. Fell, C. Schäfer und L. Wierschowski, St. Katharinen, 226–31.

HAGEDORN, D. – POETHKE, G. (2002), Ein Rettichöl-Darlehen in Hamburg und Berlin, APF 48, 143–6.

HAGEDORN, D. – WORP, K.A. (2001), Papyri aus der Sammlung Gradenwitz im Kloster Beuron, ZPE 134, 163–78.

HAJIČ, J. (2004), Linguistics Meets Exact Sciences, in SCHREIBMAN – SIEMENS – UNSWORTH 2004, 79–87.

HAMMERSTAEDT, J. – SCHOLL, R. (2007), Gemeinsame Erschließung der Hallenser, Jenaer und Leipziger Papyrussammlungen, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Wien, 22.-28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 255–60.

HANSON, A.E. (1997), Fragmentation and the Greek Medical Writers, in Collecting Fragments / Fragmente Sammeln, ed. by G.W. Most, Göttingen, 289–314.

HANSON, A.E. (2002), *Papyrology: A Discipline in Flux*, in *Disciplining Classics / Altertumwissenschaft* als Beruf, ed. by G.W. Most, Göttingen, 191–206.

HARLEY, D. – ACORD, S.K. – EARL-NOVELL, S. – LAWRENCE, S. – KING, C.J. (2010), eScholarship: Assessing the Future Landscape of Scholarly Communication, Berkeley (CA), URL: https://escholarship. org/uc/item/15x7385g.

HASSNER, T. – SABLATING, R. – STUTZMANN, D. – TARTE, S. (2014), Digital Palaeography: New Machines and Old Texts, "Dagstuhl Reports" 4.7, 112–34, URL: http://drops.dagstuhl.de/opus/volltexte/ 2014/4793/.

HOBSON, D. (1988), Towards a Broader Context for the Study of Greco-Roman Egypt, EMC 32, 353–63.

HOCKEY, S. (2004), The History of Humanities Computing, in SCHREIBMAN - SIEMENS - UNSWORTH 2004, 3-19.

HOFFMAN, P. – KLIN, B. (2006), Careful with That Computer. On Creating Maps by Multidimensional

Scaling of Papyri in Katja Mueller's Recent Studies on the Topography of the Fayum, JJP 36, 7–90. HOFFMANN, F. (2009), Ein demotistisches EDVWerkzeug: die Demotische Wortliste (DWL), in Actes du

IXe congrès international des études démotiques (Paris, 31 août – 3 septembre 2005), éd. par G. Widmer et D. Devauchelle, Le Caire, 144–55.

HOMBERT, M. (1932), Projets de bibliographie papyrologique, CE 7, 227–36.

HOMBERT, M. – NACHTERGAEL, G. (1977), La Bibliographie Papyrologique, CE 52, 156–63.

HOMBERT, M. – PRÉAUX, C. (1952), Recherches sur le recensement dans l'Egypte romaine, Leiden.

HUNT, A.S. (1932), A Note on the Transliteration of Papyri, CE 7, 272-4.

Huys, M. (1999), review of W. Clarysse, *CD-LDAB 1998. Leuven Database of Ancient Books*, Leuven 1998, CE 74, 375–7.

- HUYS, M. NODAR, A. (2007), A Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri (CPP): Presentation of the Project, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, I, 453–61.
- HUYS, M. SCHMIDT, T. (2007), A Catalogue of Mythographic Papyri (CMP): Presentation of the Electronic Database, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Wien, 22.-28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 299–305.
- IDE, N. (2004), *Preparation and Analysis of Linguistic Corpora*, in SCHREIBMAN SIEMENS UNSWORTH 2004, 289–305.
- IERANÒ, G. (2009) Papiri e mass-media, in Il Papiro di Artemidoro. Convegno internazionale di studio (Rovereto 2009), a cura di L. Canfora, Rovereto, 193–203.
- JENNES, G. DEPAUW M. (2012), Hellenization and Onomastic Change. The Case of Egyptian P3-dỉ / Πετε-Names, CE 87, 109–32.
- JOHNSON, J.H. (1994). Computers, Graphics, and Papyrology, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 23–29 August 1992), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 618–20.
- JOHNSON, W.A (2007), review of TERRAS 2006a, BASP 44, 245-7.

 KEEFE, J.E. (1981), A Computerised Corpus of Documentary Texts from Oxyrhynchus, in Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Congress of Papyrology (New York, 24–31 July 1980), Chico, 685–90.
 KEENAN, J.G. (1991), The "New Papyrology" and Ancient Social History, AHB 5, 159–69.

- KEENAN, J.G. (2009), The History of the Discipline, in BAGNALL 2009b, 59–78.
- KING, K.L. (2014), "Jesus said to them, 'My wife...": A New Coptic Papyrus Fragment, HThR 107, 131–59.
- KLEVE, K. (1975), New Methods in the Study of Greek Papyri from Herculaneum, in Proceedings of the XIV International Congress of Papyrologists (Oxford, 24–31 July 1974), London, 201–3.
- KLEVE, K. (1981), Text Reconstruction, in Actes du Congrès international informatique et sciences humaines, Liège, 517–23.
- KLEVE, K. FONNES, I. (1981), Lacunology: On the Use of Computer Methods in Papyrology, SO 56, 157–70.
- KLEVE, K. ORE, E.S. (1984), A Computer Aid of Restoring Letters in Papyri, in Atti del XVII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Napoli, I, 155–6.
- KLEVE, K. ORE, E.S. FONNES, I. CAPASSO, M. JENSEN, R. BERGERSEN, K. (1990), Informatica papyrologica, in Miscellanea Papyrologica in occasione del bicentenario dell'edizione della Charta Borgiana, a cura di M. Capasso, G. Messeri Savorelli e R. Pintaudi, Firenze, I, 77–100.
- KLEVE, K. ORE, E.S. JENSEN, R. (1987), Literalogy: On the Use of Computer Graphics and Photography in Papyrology, SO 62 (1987), 109–12.
- KLING, R. MCKIM, G. (1999), Scholarly Communication and the Continuum of Electronic Publishing, "Journal of the American Society for Information Science" 50, 890–906, URL: https:// arxiv.org/abs/cs/9903015.
- KOTOULA, E. EARL, G. (2015), Digital Research Strategies for Ancient Papyri: A Case Study on Mounted Fragments of the Derveni Papyrus, in CAA2014 – 21st Century Archaeology: Concepts, Methods and Tools. Proceedings of the 42nd Annual Conference on Computer Applications and Quantitative Methods in Archaeology (Paris, 22–25 April 2014), ed. by F. Giligny, F. Djindjian, L. Costa, P. Moscati, and S. Robert, Oxford, 145–54.
- KOUREMENOS, T. PARÁSSOGLOU, G.M. TSANTSANOGLOU, K. (2006), eds., The Derveni Papyrus, Firenze.
- KRAFT, R. (1992), introduction to "Offline" 39, URL: http://ccat.sas.upenn.edu/gopher/other/ journals/offline/Offline%2039%20(Sept%201992).

KRAMER, J. (2004), Elektronische Hilfsmittel in der Klassischen Philologie, Epigraphik und Papyrologie, in Romanistik und neue Medien. Romanistisches Kolloquium XVI, hrsg. von W. Dahmen, G. Holtus, J. Kramer, M. Metzeltin, W. Schweickard und O. Winkelmann, Tübingen, 23–35.

KRETSCHMER, P. – LOCKER, E. (1977), Rückläufiges Wörterbuch der griechischen Sprache, Göttingen³.

KULNEFF-ERIKSSON, K. (2005), *Catalogue of Greek papyri at the University Library of Lund*, URL: http://www.rj.se/GlobalAssets/Slutredovisningar/2005/karin_kulneff_eng.pdf.

- KUPFERSCHMIDT, J. (2016), Die Entwicklungsgeschichte von MyCoRe an der Universität Leipzig, in Scholl 2016, 30–4.
- LAMBERT, S. ROPIEQUET, S. (1986), CD ROM: The New Papyrus. The Current and Future State of the Art, Redmond (WA).
- LAMÉ, M. (2014), Primary Sources of Information, Digitization Processes and Dispositive Analysis, in Proceedings of the Third AIUCD Annual Conference on Humanities and Their Methods in the Digital Ecosystem (Bologna, 18–19 September 2014), URL: https://doi.org/10.1145/2802612.2802645.
- LANCELLOTTI, M.G. (2000), Problèmes méthodologiques dans la constitution d'un corpus des gemmes magiques, in La magie. Actes du colloque international (Montpellier, 25–27 mars 1999), éd. par A. Moreau et J.-C. Turpin, Montpellier, II, 153–66.
- LANDFESTER, M. (2003), Gießen und Ägypten. Der Beitrag der Gießener Papyrussammlungen als Quellen für die Geschichte Ägyptens und ihre Digitalisierung, "Spiegel der Forschung" 20, 137–45 (URL: http://geb.uni-giessen.de/geb/volltexte/2004/1413).
- LAUE, A. (2004), How the Computer Works, in Schreibman Siemens UNSWORTH 2004, 145–60.

LECLERCQ, H. (1980), review of OATES – BAGNALL – WILLIS 1978, BiOr 37, 52.

- LENAERTS, J. MERTENS, P. (1989), Les papyrus d'Isocrate, CE 64, 216–30.
- LENGER, M.-T. (1980), Bibliographie des travaux de Claire Préaux, Bruxelles.
- LEONE, G. (2009), Papiri e papirologia a Napoli, A&R 3, 217–28.
- LEVISON, M. (1965), The Siting of Fragments, "Computer Journal" 7, 275–7.
- LIPPERT, S. SCHENTULEIT, M. (2005), Hrsgg., Tebtynis und Soknopaiou Nesos: Leben im römerzeitlichen Fajum. Akten des Internationalen Symposions vom 11. bis 13. Dezember 2003 in Sommerhausen bei Würzburg, Wiesbaden.
- LÖVESTAM, N.E.G. (1994), Ion Beam Analysis as a Tool for Reconstruction of Missing Characters on Papyrus Documents, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, and E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, 613–7.
- LÖVESTAM, N.E.G. SWIETLICKI, E. (1990), *PIXE Analysis and Imaging of Papyrus Documents*, "Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics / Section B" 45, 307–10.
- LONGO AURICCHIO, F. (2010), Su alcuni desiderata della Papirologia Ercolanese, in Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, July 29 August 4, 2007), ed. by T. Gagos, Ann Arbor, 441–8.
- LUISELLI, R. (2010), Authorial Revision of Linguistic Style in Greek Papyrus Letters and Petitions (AD I-IV), in EVANS – OBBINK 2010, 88–94.
- LUNDON, J. (1999), Lexeis from the Scholia Minora in Homerum, ZPE 124, 25–52.
- LUNDON, J. (2012), *The* Scholia Minora in Homerum. *An Alphabetical List*, Leuven (Trismegistos Online Publications 7), URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=14.
- Macé, C. BARET, P. BOZZI, A. CIGNONI, L. (2006), eds., The Evolution of Texts: Confronting Stemmatological and Genetical Methods. Proceedings of the International Workshop (Louvainla-Neuve, 1–2 September 2004), Pisa – Roma.
- MACFARLANE, R.T. (2010), P.Herc. 817 from Facsimiles to MSI: A Case for Practical Verification, in Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 2007), ed. by T. Gagos, Ann Arbor, 455–62.
- MACFARLANE, R.T. BOORAS, S.W. (2007), *Toward the Electronic Publication of the Herculaneum Papyri*, in *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Wien, 22.–28. Juli 2001*), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 421–6.
- MACRAKIS, S.M. (1996), Character Codes for Greek: Problems and Modern Solutions, in Greek Letters: From Tablets to Pixels, ed. by S.M. Macrakis, New Castle (DE), 265–80.

MADERNA-SIEBEN, C. – WESPI, F. – KORTE, J. (2016), *Deciphering Demotic Digitally*, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201668.

MAGNANI, M. (2008), "Sapere ex indicibus", in TAMMARO - SANTORO 2008, 127-38.

- MAHONEY, A. (2009), *Tachypaedia Byzantina: The Suda On Line as Collaborative Encyclopedia*, DHQ 3.1, URL: http://digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/3/1/000025/000025.html.
- MAMBRINI, F. (2016), The Ancient Greek Dependency Treebank: Linguistic Annotation in a Teaching Environment, in BODARD – ROMANELLO 2016, 83–100.
- MARA, H. SÄNGER, P. (2013), Präzise Bestimmung von Materialstrukturen bei Papyri mit 3D-Messtechnik, ZPE 185, 195–9.
- MARCOTTE, D. MERTENS, P. (1990), *Les papyrus de Callimaque*, in *Miscellanea Papyrologica in occasione del bicentenario dell'edizione della Charta Borgiana*, a cura di M. Capasso, G. Messeri Savorelli e R. Pintaudi, Firenze, II, 409–27.
- MARCOTTE, D. MERTENS, P. (1994), Catalogue des Femmes et Grandes Éoées d'Hésiode. Liste, description et bibliographie fondamentale des fragments papyrologiques (= MP³ 508–531.2), in Storia, poesia e pensiero nel mondo antico. Studi in onore di Marcello Gigante, Napoli, 407–23.
- MARETTI, E. ZARRI, G.P. (1970), Papyrology as an Investigation Field of Algorithmic Linguistics, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, 13–17 August 1968), ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 279–300.
- MARETTI, E. ZARRI, G.P. (1971), Prospettive d'impiego dei calcolatori nelle ricerche linguistiche e di papirologia, RIL 105, 3–20.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2000), Compléments au fichier MP3 pour les papyrus médicaux littéraires (État au 1er novembre 2000), AnPap 12, 151–61.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2007a), L'Université de Liège et la papyrologie, in Papyrus et papyrologie à l'Université de Liège, éd. par M.-H. Marganne, Liège, 9–20.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2007b), La base de données et les publications numériques du CEDOPAL, in Papyrus et papyrologie à l'Université de Liège, éd. par M.-H. Marganne, Liège, 63–79.
- Marganne, M.-H. (2007c), Les Archives Photographiques de Papyrologie Littéraire du CEDOPAL, in Papyrus et papyrologie à l'Université de Liège, éd. par M.-H. Marganne, Liège, 80–8.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2007d), Le fichier Mertens-Pack³ des papyrus littéraires grecs et latins, in Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologen-Kongresses (Wien, 22.-28. Juli 2001), hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 427–33.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2007e), La numérisation des archives photographiques de papyrologie littéraire du Cedopal, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, and E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, 651–9.

MARGANNE, M.-H. (2012), Les extensions du fichier Mertens-Pack³ du CEDOPAL, in Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie (Genève 2010), éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 481–5.

- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2013), Le CEDOPLA et les papyrus latins: pour une mise à jour du Corpus Papyrorum Latinarum de Robert Cavenaile, in Bilinguisme et digraphisme dans le monde gréco-romain: l'apport des papyrus latins. Actes de la Table Ronde internationale (Liège, 12–13 mai 2011), éd. par M.-H. Marganne et B. Rochette, Liège, 21–30.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. (2016), Du texte littéraire au document: les connexions entre les papyrus littéraires et documentaires grecs et latins, in Proceedings of the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Warsaw, 29 July – 3 August 2013), ed. by J. Urbanik, T. Derda, and A. Łajtar, Warsaw, II, 767–76.
- MARGANNE, M.-H. MERTENS, P. (1986), Medici et Medica. Extraits du prochain Catalogue des Papyrus littéraires grecs et latins (= Mertens-Pack³) présentés par M.-H. M. et P.M. et diffusés à l'occasion du XVIIIe Congrès International de Papyrologie, Athènes, 25–31 mai 1986, Liège.

MARGANNE, M.-H. – MERTENS, P. (1988), Medici et Medica. Catalogue des Papyrus littéraires grecs et latins (= Mertens-Pack³), in Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology (Athens, 25–31 May 1986), ed. by B.G. Mandilaras, Athens, I, 105–46.

MARGANNE, M.-H. – MERTENS, P. (1996), Medici et Medica. 2e édition. État au 15 mars 1996 du fichier MP3 pour les papyrus médicaux littéraires, Liège.

MARGANNE, M.-H. – MERTENS, P. (1997), Medici et Medica. 2e édition (État au 15 janvier 1997 du fichier MP³ pour les papyrus médicaux littéraires), in 'Specimina' per il Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina. Atti dell'Incontro di studio (Firenze, 28–29 marzo 1996), a cura di I. Andorlini, Firenze, 3–71.

MARTHOT-SANTANIELLO, I. (2016), Crowd-sourcing papyri fragments: The ANCIENT LIVES Project, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, URL: http://www.qucosa.de/fileadmin/data/qucosa/documents/20150/ 7.2.%20DHEgypt15%20-%20Marthot%20et%20al.,%20Ancient%20Lives.pdf.

MARTIN, A. (2000), 'Das Jahrhundert der Papyrologie?', APF 46, 1–2.

MARTIN, A. (2009), La papyrologie à Florence et ailleurs: bilan du siècle passé et premières perspectives pour le siècle à venir, in 100 anni di istituzioni fiorentine per la papirologia. Atti del convegno internazionale di studi (Firenze, 12–13 giugno 2008), a cura di G. Bastianini e A. Casanova, Firenze, 209–16.

MARTIN, A. (2010), 75 ans de Bibliographie Papyrologique (1932–2007), in Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, July 29 – August 4, 2007), ed. by T. Gagos, Ann Arbor, 509–20, URL: http://www.aere-egke.be/bilan.pdf.

MARZULLO, B. (1961), Il "Cairense" di Menandro agli infrarossi, RhM 104, 224-9.

McGANN, J. (2004), *Marking Texts of Many Dimensions*, in Schreibman – Siemens – Unsworth 2004, 198–217.

McGANN, J. (2012), Introduction, in Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come, ed. by J. McGann, Houston (TX), 1–4.

MELAGRAKIS, E.E. (1996), The Contemporary Tower of Babel: A Heretical View. Coding of Character Sets for Computers and Telecommunications, in Greek Letters: From Tablets to Pixels, ed. by S.M. Macrakis, New Castle (DE), 259–64.

MENCI, G. (1994), Per una schedatura computerizzata dei sillabari tachigrafici, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 23–29 August, 1992), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 621–7.

MENCI, G. (2012), Utilità di un database di alfabeti per lo studio della scrittura greca dei papiri, in Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie (Genève 2010), éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 525–8.

MERTENS, P. (1961), Archives photographiques de papyrologie littéraire, CE 36, 428–30.

MERTENS, P. (1964), Création d'un centre de documentation photographique des papyrus littéraires. Résumé du projet exposé le 4/9/1961, in Actes du Xe Congrès International de Papyrologues (Varsovie-Cracovie, 3–9 septembre 1961), Wroclaw – Varsovie – Cracovie, 65–7.

MERTENS, P. (1968a), Recherches de Papyrologie Littéraire I. Concordances, Bruxelles.

MERTENS, P. (1968b), Papyrus littéraires, BASP 5, 73-4.

MERTENS, P. (1970), Papyrus littéraires grecs et latins, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, 13–17 August 1968), ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 301–7.

MERTENS, P. (1975), Résultats d'une enquête sur la survivance et l'accessibilité des papyrus littéraires répertoriés dans Pack², in Proceedings of the XIV International Congress of Papyrologists (Oxford, 14–31 July 1974), ed. by R.A. Coles, P.J. Parsons, J.R. Rea, and E.G. Turner, London, 221–6.

MERTENS, P. (1981), Papyrus et parchemins d'origine égyptienne après la dissertation de B. Hausmann, in P. Hausmann, Demosthenis fragmenta in papyris et membranis servata (Diss. Leipzig 1921), Firenze, 135–45.

MERTENS, P. (1985), Vingt années de papyrologie odysséenne, CE 60, 191–203.

MERTENS, P. (1987), Les papyrus littéraires latins d'auteurs classiques durant les deux dernières décennies, in Miscel.lània Papirològica Ramon Roca-Puig, Barcelona, 189–204.

MERTENS, P. (1992), Les témoins papyrologiques de Ménandre. Essai de classement rationnel et esquisse d'étude bibliologique, in Serta Leodiensia secunda. Mélanges publiés par les Classiques de Liège à l'occasion du 175e anniversaire de l'Université, Liège, 331–56.

- MERTENS, P. (1996), Le papyrus d'Aristophane. Actualisation des données bibliologiques, in ΟΔΟΙ ΔΙΖΗΣΙΟΣ: le vie della ricerca. Studi in onore di Francesco Adorno, Firenze, 335–43.
- MERTENS, P. STRAUS, J.A. (1992), Les papyrus d'Hérodote, ASNP 22, 969–78.

MICHAELSON, S. – MOIR, I.A. – MORTON, A.Q. (1975), Search the Scriptures: The Use of Computers for Fragment Location, ZPE 17, 119–24.

MOCELLA, V. – BRUN, E. – FERRERO, C. – DELATTRE, D. (2015), Revealing Letters in Rolled Herculaneum Papyri by X-ray Phase-contrast Imaging, "Nature Communications" 6, 1–6, URL: https://www.nature.com/articles/ncomms6895.

MONELLA, P. (2008), Towards a Digital Model to Edit the Different Paratextuality Levels within a Textual Tradition, "Digital Medievalist" 4, http://doi.org/10.16995/dm.62.

MONTANARI, F. (2012), La papirologia omerica: temi, problemi, prospettive, in I papiri omerici. Atti del convegno internazionale di studi (Firenze, 9–10 giugno 2011), a cura di G. Bastianini e A. Casanova, Firenze, 1–16.

MONTEVECCHI, O. (1966), Aspetti e problemi di organizzazione negli studi papirologici, in Atti dell'XI Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Milano, 2–8 Settembre 1965), Milano, 40–8. MONTEVECCHI, O. (1998), La papirologia, Milano [Torino 1973¹].

- MOOREN, L. (2001), The Automatization of the Prosopographia Ptolemaica, in Atti del XXII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Firenze, 23–29 agosto 1998), a cura di I. Andorlini, G. Bastianini, M. Manfredi e G. Menci, Firenze, II, 995–1007.
- MORGAN, T. (2003a), Tragedy in the Papyri: An Experiment in Extracting Cultural History from the Leuven Database, CE 78, 187–201.
- MORGAN, T. (2003b), Literary Culture in Sixth-Century Egypt, in Learned Antiquity. Scholarship and Society in the Near East, the Greco-Roman World, and the Early Medieval West, ed. by A.A. MacDonald, M.W. Twomey, and G.J. Reinink, Leuven, 147–61.
- MUELLER, K. (2002), Ptolemaic Settlements in Space. Settlement Size and Hierarchy in the Fayum, APF 48, 107–25.
- MUELLER, K. (2003a), Places and Spaces in the Themistou Meris (Fayum / Graeco-Roman Egypt). Locating Settlements by Multidimensional Scaling of Papyri, AncSoc 33, 103–25.
- MUELLER, K. (2003b), Mastering Matrices and Clusters. Locating Graeco-Roman Settlements in the Meris of Herakleides (Fayum/Egypt) by Monte-Carlo-Simulation, APF 49, 218–54.
- MUELLER, K. (2004), What's Your Position? Using Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) for Locating Ancient Settlements in the Meris of Polemon/Graeco-Roman Fayum, APF 50, 199–214.
- MUELLER, K. (2005a), Redistricting the Ptolemaic Fayum, Egypt. From Nomarchies and Toparchies to Weighted Voronoi Tessellation, APF 51, 112–26.
- MUELLER, K. (2005b), Geographical Information Systems (GIS) in Papyrology. Mapping Fragmentation and Migration Flow to Hellenistic Egypt, BASP 42, 63–92.
- MUÑOZ DELGADO, L. (2001), Diccionario Griego-Español, Anejo V: Léxico de magia y religión en los papiros mágicos griegos, Madrid.
- NACHTERGAEL, G. (2002), review of OATES BAGNALL CLACKSON O'BRIEN SOSIN WILFONG WORP 2001, CE 77, 334–5.
- NACHTERGAEL, G. BAGNALL, R.S (1979), Problèmes et projet de Bibliographie Papyrologique, in Actes du XVe Congrès International de Papyrologie, III, Bruxelles, 7–19.
- NACHTERGAEL, G. MARTIN, A. (2008), Informatisation de la Bibliographie Papyrologique, in Subsidia Papyrologica 3.0, Bruxelles (CD ROM), URL: https://web.archive.org/web/20141006074800/ http://www.ulb.ac.be/philo/cpeg/informatisation.htm.

- NAGY, G. (2010), Homer Multitext Project, in Online Humanities Scholarship: The Shape of Things to Come, ed. by J. McGann, Houston (TX), 53–66.
- NEUGEBAUER, O. VAN HOESEN, H.B. (1957), Greek Horoscopes, Philadelphia (PA).

NICHOLS, S.G. (2009), *Time to Change Our Thinking: Dismantling the Silo Model of Digital* Scholarship, "Ariadne" 58 (January 2009), URL: http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue58/nichols.

- NIEDDU, G.F. (1984), La metafora della memoria come scrittura e l'immagine dell'animo come deltos, QS 19, 213–5.
- NIEMEIJER, R. (1973), Some Applications of the Notion of Density to Network Analysis, in Network Analysis: Studies in Human Interaction, ed. by J. Boissevain and J.C. Mitchell, The Hague, 45– 64.
- O'DONNELL, J.J. (1998), Avatars of the Word: From Papyrus to Cyberspace, Cambridge (MA) London.
- OATES, J.F. (1993), The Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, in SOLOMON 1993, 62-72.
- OATES, J.F. BAGNALL, R.S. WILLIS, W.H. (1974), Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca, BASP 11, 1–35.
- OATES, J.F. BAGNALL, R.S. WILLIS, W.H. (1978), Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca. Second Edition, Missoula (MT).
- OATES, J.F. BAGNALL, R.S. WILLIS, W.H. WORP, K.A. (1984), *Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca. Third Edition*, Missoula (MT).
- OATES, J.F. BAGNALL, R.S. WILLIS, W.H. WORP, K.A. (1984), Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri and Ostraca. Fourth Edition, Atlanta (GA).
- OATES, J.F. BAGNALL, R.S. CLACKSON, S.J. O'BRIEN, A. SOSIN, J.D. WILFONG, T.G. WORP, K.A. (2001), *Checklist of Editions of Greek, Latin, Demotic and Coptic Papyri, Ostraca and Tablets. Fifth Edition*, Oakville.
- OATES, J.F. WEINBERG, R.J. SOSIN, J.D. JOHNSON, P.B. (1999), *Reading Invisible Ink: Digital Imaging* of P.Duk.Inv. 716, ZPE 127, 127–30.
- OBBINK, D.D. (1997), Imaging the Carbonized Papyri from Herculaneum, LLC 12, 159-61.
- OBBINK, D.D. (2003), Imaging Oxyrhynchus, "Egyptian Archaeology" 22 (Spring 2003), 3-6.
- ORE, E.S. (1988), Information Technology and Papyrology, in Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology (Athens 1986), ed. by B.G. Mandilaras, Athens, 27–9.
- OTRANTO, R. (2007), Studi papirologici 1990–2006: una rassegna, QS 33, 443–68.

OWENS, T. (2011), Defining Data for Humanists: Text, Artifact, Information or Evidence?, "Journal of Digital Humanities" 1.1, URL: http://journalofdigitalhumanities.org/1-1/defining-data-forhumanists-by-trevor-owens.

PACK, R.A. (1965), The Greek and Latin Literary Texts from Greco-Roman Egypt, Ann Arbor² [1952¹].

PAL, K. – TERRAS, M. – WEYRICH, T. (2013), 3D Reconstruction for Damaged Documents: Imaging of The Great Parchment Book, in Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Historical Document Imaging and Processing, Washington (DC), 14–21.

PALME, B. (2009), The Range of Documentary Texts: Types and Categories, in BAGNALL 2009b, 358–94.

- PAPATHOMAS, A. (2003), Scholien auf literarischen Papyri als Zeugnisse für philologische Tâtigkeit in der Peripherie der griechisch-römischen Welt, C&M 54, 255–86.
- PASSAROTTI, M. (2006), *Towards Textual Drift Modelling in Computational Philology*, in Macé Baret BOZZI – CIGNONI 2006, 63–88.
- PEREMANS, W. (1946), Prosopographia Ptolemaica, CE 21, 267–9.

PEREMANS, W. (1950 ff.), Prosopographia Ptolemaica, I-IX (1950-56) + subsequent volumes, Leuven.

PERRY, S. – BEALE, N. (2015), The Social Web and Archaeology's Restructuring: Impact, Exploitation, Disciplinary Change, "Open Archaeology" 1, 153–65, URL: https://www.degruyter. com/downloadpdf/j/opar.2014.1.issue-1/opar-2015-0009/opar-2015-0009.pdf.

- PIERAZZO, E. (2008), Digital Genetic Editions: The Encoding of Time in Manuscript Transcription, in Text Editing, Print and the Digital World. Digital Research in the Arts and Humanities, ed. by M. Deegan and K. Sutherland, Aldershot, 169–86.
- PIQUETTE, K. (2011), *Reflectance Transformation Imaging (RTI) and Ancient Egyptian Material Culture*, "Damqatum: The CEHAO Newsletter" 7, 16–20, URL: http://bibliotecadigital.uca.edu.ar/ repositorio/revistas/ancient-egyptian-material-culture-piquette.pdf.
- PÖHLMANN, E. WEST, M.L. (2012), The Oldest Greek Papyrus and Writing Tablets. Fifth-Century Documents from the "Tomb of the Musician" in Attica, ZPE 180, 1–16.
- PONTE, D. MIERZEJEWSKA, B.I. KLEIN, S. (2017), *The Transformation of the Academic Publishing Market: Multiple Perspectives on Innovation*, "Electronic Markets" 27, 97–100, URL: http://rdcu.be/s8hZ.
- PREISIGKE, F. (1915), Fachwörter des öffentlichen Verwaltungsdienstes Ägyptens in den griechischen Papyrusurkunden der ptolemäisch-römischen Zeit, Göttingen.
- PREISIGKE, F. (1922), Namenbuch: enthaltend alle griechischen, lateinischen, ägyptischen, hebräischen, arabischen und sonstigen semitischen und nichtsemitischen Menschennamen, soweit sie in griechischen Urkunden (Papyri, Ostraka, Inschriften, Mumienschildern usw.) Ägyptens sich vorfinden, Heidelberg.
- PREISIGKE, F. (1925–31), *Wörterbuch der griechischen Papyrusurkunden*, I-III, with updates (IV, 2000) and Supplements (I-III, 1971–2000), Wiesbaden.
- PRUNETI, P. (1981), I centri abitati dell'Ossirinchite. Repertorio toponomastico, Firenze.
- PUNZALAN, R.L. (2014), Understanding Virtual Reunification, "Library Quarterly" 84.3, 294–323.
- PURPURA, G. (2001), Le nuove tecnologie informatiche applicate alla ricerca e allo studio del diritto romano e dei diritti dell'antichità, RDI 1, 1–10.

QUENOUILLE, N. (2016), *Abgestaubt und eingescannt – Papyrologie Goes Internet*, in SCHOLL 2016, 5–29. RAMSAY, S. (2004), *Databases*, in SCHREIBMAN – SIEMENS – UNSWORTH 2004, 177–97.

- RAMSAY, S. (2011), Reading Machines. Toward an Algorithmic Criticism, Urbana Chicago Springfield (IL).
- REGGIANI, N. (2012), Le tavolette della mente. Risorse digitali e Antichistica: il caso della Papirologia, in Umanisti e risorse digitali, a cura di A.M. Tammaro, Parma, 88–110.
- REGGIANI, N. (2014), Tax Receipt from the Praktores Argyrikon of Soknopaiou Nesos, APF 60, 173–82.
- REGGIANI, N. (2015a), *Le unità di misura dei liquidi nei papiri: questioni di varietà, astrazione, uso,* MBAH 33, 131–56.
- REGGIANI, N. (2015b), A Corpus of Literary Papyri Online: the Pilot Project of the Medical Texts via SoSOL, in Antike Lebenswelten Althistorische und papyrologische Studien, hrsg. von R. Lafer und K. Strobel, Berlin – New York, 341–52.
- REGGIANI, N. (2016a), Data Processing and State Management in Late Ptolemaic and Roman Egypt: The Project 'Synopsis' and the Archive of Menches, in Proceedings of the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Warsaw 29 July – 3 August 2013), ed. by. T. Derda, A. Łajtar, and J. Urbanik, Warsaw, III, 1415–44.
- REGGIANI, N. (2016b), Tra "sapere" e "saper fare": il problema della standardizzazione delle unità di misura dei liquidi nella testimonianza dei papiri greci d'Egitto, in Medica-papyrologica. Specimina di ricerca presentati al convegno 'Parlare la medicina' (Parma, 5–7 Settembre 2016), a cura di N. Reggiani, Parma, 107–46.
- REGGIANI, N. (2016c), *The Corpus of Greek Medical Papyri and Digital Papyrology: New Perspectives from an Ongoing Project*, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn: nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201726.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017a), Digital Papyrology I: Methods, Tools and Trends, Berlin New York.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017b), ed., *Digital Papyrology II. New Methods for the Digital Edition of Ancient Papyri* and Related Sources, Berlin – New York, forthcoming.

- REGGIANI, N. (2017c), Prescrizioni mediche e supporti materiali nell'Antichità, in Parlare la medicina: fra lingue e culture, nello spazio e nel tempo. Atti del Convegno Internazionale (Parma, 5–7 Settembre 2016), a cura di N. Reggiani e F. Bertonazzi, Firenze, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017d), Varianti filologiche e linguistiche nei papiri: riconsiderazioni alla luce della digitalizzazione dei papiri greci di medicina, in Greek Medical Papyri – Text, Context, Hypertext. Proceedings of the DIGMEDTEXT International Conference (Parma, 2–4 November 2016), ed. by N. Reggiani, Berlin – New York, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017e), Ancient Doctors' Literacies and the Digital Edition of Papyri of Medical Content, "Classics@", forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017f), Isabella Andorlini, la papirologia medica e il progetto DIGMEDTEXT, in Papiri, medicina antica e cultura materiale. Contributi in ricordo di Isabella Andorlini, a cura di N. Reggiani, Parma, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2017g), In ricordo di Isabella Andorlini, "Aegyptus", forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2018a), I papiri greci di medicina come fonti storiche: il caso dei rapporti dei medici pubblici nell'Egitto greco-romano, in Actes du Colloque International "Retour aux Sources: les Anciens des Antiques, les Antiques des Modernes" (Besançon 26–28 September 2013), éd. par M.-R. Guefucci, Besançon, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2018b), Transmission of Recipes and Receptaria in Greek Medical Writings on Papyrus Between Ancient Text Production and Modern Digital Representation, in "Cupis volitare per auras": Books, Libraries and Textual Transmission from the Ancient to the Medieval World. Proceedings of the First Postgraduate Conference (Bari, 27–28 October 2016), ed. by E. Barile, R. Berardi, N. Bruno, M. Filosa, and L. Fizzarotti, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2018c), Digitizing Medical Papyri in Question-and-Answer Format, in "Where Does It Hurt?" Ancient Medicine in Questions and Answers, ed. by E. Gielen and M. Meeusen, Leiden – Boston, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2018d), The Corpus of Greek Medical Papyri Online and the Digital Edition of Ancient Documents, in Proceedings of the 28th International Congress of Papyrology (Barcelona, 1–6 August 2016), ed. by S. Torallas Tovar and A. Nodar, Barcelona, forthcoming.
- REGGIANI, N. (2018e), The Digital Edition of Ancient Sources as a Further Step in the Textual Transmission, in Proceedings of the Workshop "Digital Classics III: Re-thinking Text Analysis" (Heidelberg, 11–13 May 2017), ed. by A. Novokhatko, S. Chronopoulos, and F.K. Maier, forthcoming.
- REHBEIN, M. SAHLE, P. SCHABAN, T. (2009), eds., Kodikologie und Paläographie im digitalen Zeitalter / Codicology and Palaeography in the Digital Age, Norderstedt.
- RENARD, D. (2000), La base de données expérimentale Mertens-Pack³, "Cahiers de la documentation" 54.4, 83-9.
- RENEAR, A.H. (2004), Text Encoding, in Schreibman Siemens Unsworth 2004, 218–39.
- RENNER, T. (2009), Papyrology and Ancient Literature, in BAGNALL 2009b, 282–302.

RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS, D. (1997), Análisis y etiquetado sintáctico del corpus de los textos clásicos: Modelos y perspectivas, "Studia Iranica, Mesopotamica & Anatolica" 3, 107–29.

- RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS, D. (2006), El complemento directo en griego antiguo, Madrid.
- RIAÑO RUFILANCHAS, D. (2014), The "Grammatically Annotated Philodemus" Project, CErc 44, 155–65.
- ROBINSON, P. (2010), *Editing Without Walls*, "Literature Compass" 7, 57–61, URL: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1741-4113.2009.00676.x.
- ROMANELLO, M. BERTI, M. BOSCHETTI, F. BABEU, A. CRANE, G. (2009), Rethinking Critical Editions of Fragmentary Texts by Ontologies, in Rethinking Electronic Publishing: Innovation in Communication Paradigms and Technologies. Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Electronic Publishing (Milano, 2009), Milano, 155–74, URL: https://elpub.architexturez.net/ doc/oai-elpub-id-158-elpub2009.

- ROUED-CUNLIFFE, H. (2009), Textual Analysis Using XML: Understanding Ancient Textual Corpora, in 5th IEEE Conference on e-Science 2009, URL: http://esad.classics.ox.ac.uk/ IEEE_Paper_HRa19b.pdf_%3b%20modification-date%3d_Thu%2c%2007%20Jul%202011%201 3_19_56%20%2b0000_%3b%20size%3d1625627%3b?option=com_docman&task=doc_down load&gid=30&Itemid=78.
- ROUED-CUNLIFFE, H. (2010), Towards a Decision Support System for Reading Ancient Documents, LLC 25, 365–79, URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqq020.
- RUDOLF, P. (2006), Principles and Evolution of Digital Cameras, in Digital Heritage. Applying Digital Imaging to Cultural Heritage, ed. by L. MacDonald, Amsterdam – Boston – Heidelberg, 177–210.
- RÜCKER, M. (2010), Die Möglichkeiten der automatischen Textergänzung auf Papyri, in Das Portal eAQUA – Neue Methoden in der geisteswissenschaftlichen Forschung I, hrsg. von C. Schubert und G. Heyer, Leipzig, 91–100, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.11588/ea.2010.0.11556.
- RÜCKER, M. (2011), eAQUA: Text als "Wissensrohstoff?" / eAQUA: Text as a Resource of Knowledge?, in Das Portal eAQUA: Neue Methoden in der geisteswissenschaftlichen Forschung II, hrsg. von C. Schubert, Leipzig, 54–63, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.11588/ea.2011.0.11568.
- RUFFING, K. (2000), Elektronische Ressourcen in der Papyrologie, in La rete di Arachne. Contributi su nuove tecnologie, didattica ed antichità classica nell'ambito del progetto "Telemaco" / Arachnes Netz. Beiträge zu Antike, EDV und Internet im Rahmen des Projekts "Telemachos", hrsg. von A. Cristofori, C. Salvaterra und U. Schmitzer, Stuttgart, 167–83.
- RUFFINI, G. (2007), New Approaches to Oxyrhynchite Topography, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, II, 965–78.
- RUFFINI, G.R. (2008), Social Networks in Byzantine Egypt, Cambridge (UK).
- RUPPRECHT, H.-A. (1994), Kleine Einführung in die Papyruskunde, Darmstadt.
- SANDERSON, C. GUENTER, S. (2006), Short Text Authorship Attribution via Sequence Kernels, Markov Chains and Author Unmasking: An Investigation, in Proceedings of the 2006 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (Sydney, 22–23 July 2006), ed. by D. Jurafsky and E. Gaussier, Stroudsburg (PA), 482–91, URL: http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1610142.
- SCHÄFER, C. (1993), Computer und antike Texte: Wortrecherche, Konkordanz- und Indexerstellung mit Volltextdatenbanken, St. Katharinen.
- SCHÄFER, C. MEIER, A. (2003), Computer und antike Texte: Wortrecherche, Konkordanz- und Indexerstellung mit Volltextdatenbanken. Zweite überarbeitete und erweiterte Auflage, St. Katharinen.

SCHAUDER, D. (1993), Electronic Publishing of Professional Articles: Attitudes of Academics and Implications for the Scholarly Communication Industry, in Technological University Libraries in the Nineties. Proceedings of the 15th Biennial IATUL Conference (Hamburg-Harburg, 19–23 July 1993), ed. by D. Brandes and E. Tornudd, Helsinki, 31–5, URL: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/ iatul/1993/papers/3/.

- SCHEIDEL, W. (1999), The Death Declarations of Roman Egypt: A Re-appraisal, BASP 36, 53–70.
- SCHENTULEIT, M. (2006), Dime online. Eine Datenbank zur Prosopographie des Fajumortes Soknopaiu Nesos, GM 209, 107–11.
- SCHENTULEIT, M. LIEDTKE, C. (2008), Dime online: Eine prosopographische und topographische Datenbank zu Soknopaiu Nesos, in Graeco-Roman Fayum: Texts and Archaeology. Proceedings of the Third International Fayum Symposion (Freudenstadt, May 29–June 1, 2007), ed. by S. Lippert and M. Schentuleit, Wiesbaden, 217–22.
- SCHMIDT, D. (2010), *The Inadequacy of Embedded Markup for Cultural Heritage Texts*, LLC 25, 337–56, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqq007.

- SCHOLL, R. (2008), Das Papyrusprojekt Halle-Jena-Leipzig, in Ägypten lesbar machen: die klassische Konservierung/Restaurierung von Papyri und neuere Verfahren. Beiträge des 1. Internationalen Workshops der Papyrusrestauratoren (Leipzig, 7.–9. September 2006), hrsg. von J. Graf und M. Krutzsch, Berlin – New York, 28–33.
- SCHOLL, R. (2012), Text Mining und Papyri, in Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie (Genève 2010), éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 695–9.
- SCHOLL, R. (2016), Hrsg., *Papyrologica Digitalia Lipsiensia*, "Digital Classics Online" 2.2, URL: http://journals.ub.uni-heidelberg.de/index.php/dco/issue/view/3036.
- SCHOLL, R. KUPFERSCHMIDT, J. WERMKE, A. KÖRNER, E. ZIMMERMANN, T. (2017), Papyrus Projekt. Umsetzung digitaler Papyrus-Sammlungen auf Basis der Content Management Anwendung des MyCoRe Arbeitskreises, version 5.2, URL: https://papyri.uni-leipzig.de/Papyri_Konzept.pdf.
- SCHOLL, R. WEILBACH, C. (2016), Mehrsprachiges online-Wörterbuch zum Fachwortschatz der Verwaltungssprache des griechisch-römisch-byzantinischen Ägypten: das neue Fachwörterbuch (nFWB), in SCHOLL 2016, 78–91.
- SCHREIBMAN, S. SIEMENS, R. UNSWORTH, J. (2004), eds., *A Companion to Digital Humanities*, Malden (MA) Oxford Victoria.
- SCHUBERT, P. (2009), Editing a Papyrus, in BAGNALL 2009b, 197-215.
- SCHUBERT, C. (2011), Detailed Description of eAQUA Search Portal, in Das Portal eAQUA: Neue Methoden in der geisteswissenschaftlichen Forschung II, hrsg. von C. Schubert, Leipzig, 33–53, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.11588/ea.2011.0.11567.
- SCHULZ, M.H.O. (2016), What Remains Behind On the Virtual Reconstruction of Dismembered Manuscripts, in BERTI – NAETHER 2016, URL: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201759.
- SEIDER, R. (1967–90), Paläographie der griechischen Papyri, I-III.1, Stuttgart.
- SEIDER, R. (1972–81), Paläographie der lateinischen Papyri, I-II, Stuttgart.
- SEALES, W.B (2011), Analysis of Herculaneum Papyri with X-Ray Computed Tomography, URL: http://www.ndt.net/article/art2011/papers/FIELD%20-%20M%2014.pdf.
- SEALES, W.B. PARKER, C.S. SEGAL, M. TOV, E. SHOR, P. PORATH, Y. (2016), From Damage to Discovery via Virtual Unwrapping: Reading the Scroll from En-Gedi, "Science Advances" 2.9, URL: http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/2/9/e1601247.
- SHOLOMON, D. DAVID, O.E. NETANYAHU, N.S. (2014), A Generalized Genetic Algorithm-Based Solver for Very Large Jigsaw Puzzles of Complex Types, in Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Palo Alto (CA), 2839–45 (URL: https://www.aaai.org/ ocs/index.php/AAAI/AAAI14/paper/view/8650).
- SIJPESTEIJN, P.M. OATES, J.F. KAPLONY, A. (2005), *Checklist of Arabic Papyri. Beta Version*, BASP 42, 127-66.
- SMALL, J.P. (1997), Wax Tablets of the Mind. Cognitive Studies of Memory and Literacy in Classical Antiquity, London – New York.
- SMITH, A. (2004), Preservation, in Schreibman Siemens Unsworth 2004, 576–91.
- SMITH, D.N. (2010), *Digital Infrastructure and the Homer Multitext Project*, in Bodard MAHONY 2010, 121–37.
- SOLOMON, J. (1993), ed., Accessing Antiquity. The Computerization of Classical Studies, Tucson (AZ) London.
- SOSIN, J.D. (2010), *Digital Papyrology*, "The Stoa Consortium", URL: http://www.stoa.org/archives/1263.
- SPARAVIGNA, A. (2009), Digital Restoration of Ancient Papyri, URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.5045.
- STEPP, R.A. WARE, G. (2010), Application of Astronomical Imaging Techniques to P.Herc. 118, in Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor 2007), ed. by T. Gagos, Ann Arbor, 733–46.

- STOKES, P.A. (2009), Computer-Aided Palaeography, Present and Future, in Digital Humanities 2009 Conference Abstracts (June 2009), 266–8, URL: https://www.academia.edu/206405/ Computer-Aided_Palaeography_Present_and_Future.
- STOLK, J. (2017), *Encoding Linguistic Variation in the Digital Edition of Papyri*, in REGGIANI 2017b, forthcoming.
- STRASSI, S. (2015), Premessa, "Aegyptus" 95, 115-7.
- STRAUS, J.A. (1983), Une nouvelle édition du Catalogue de Roger A. Pack "The Greek and Latin Literary Texts from Greco-Roman Egypt", in Das römisch-byzantinische Ägypten. Akten des internationalen Symposions (Trier, 26.–30. September 1978), hrsg. von G. Grimm, H. Heinen und E. Winter, Mainz, 31–4.
- TAIT, W.J. (2002), *Papyri, Digitisation, and the Web: Accessibility and Management of Collections*, "Manuscripta Orientalia" 8.2, 61–72.
- TAMMARO, A.M. SANTORO, S. (2008), curr., *Scienze umane e cultura digitale. Atti della XVI Settimana della Cultura Scientifica (Parma, 16–17 maggio 2006)*, Fiesole.
- TARTE, S. (2011a), Papyrological Investigations: Transferring Perception and Interpretation into the Digital World, LLC 26, 233–47, URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqr010.
- TARTE, S. (2011b), Digitizing the Act of Papyrological Interpretation: Negotiating Spurious Exactitude and Genuine Uncertainty, LLC 26, 349–58, URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqr015.
- TARTE, S. (2011c), Digital Visual Representations in Papyrology: Implications on the Nature of Digital Artefacts, working paper, URL: https://www.academia.edu/776645/Digital_Visual_ Representations_in_Papyrology_Implications_on_the_Nature_of_Digital_Artefacts.
- TARTE, S. (2012), The Digital Existence of Words and Pictures: the Case of the Artemidorus Papyrus, "Historia" 61, 325–36.
- TARTE, S. (2016), Of Features and Models: A Reflexive Account of Interdisciplinarity across Image Processing, Papyrology, and Trauma Surgery, in BODARD – ROMANELLO 2016, 103–20.
- TARTE, S. M. BRADY, M. BOWMAN, A. TERRAS, M. (2011), Image Capture and Processing for Enhancing the Legibility of Incised Texts, in Eikonopoiia: Digital Imaging of Ancient Textual Heritage. Proceedings of the International Conference (Helsinki, 28–29 November 2010), ed. by V. Vahtikari, M. Hakkarainen, and A. Nurminen, Helsinki, 173–88.
- TARTE, S.M. WALLOM, D. HU, P. TANG, K. MA, T. (2009), An Image Processing Portal and Web-Service for the Study of Ancient Documents, in 5th IEEE Conference on e-Science (December 2009), 14–19 (URL: https://doi.org/10.1109/e-Science.2009.10).
- TERRAS, M. (2000), Towards a Reading of the Vindolanda Stylus Tablets: Engineering Science and the Papyrologist, "HumanIT" 5, 255–72 (URL: https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f5e8/ 025190acc239cd8a39818b50f57c09427b57.pdf).
- TERRAS, M. (2005), Reading the Readers: Modelling Complex Humanities Processes to Build Cognitive Systems, LLC 20, 41–59 (URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqh042).
- TERRAS, M.M. (2006a), Image to Interpretation. An Intelligent System to Aid Historians in Reading the Vindolanda Texts, Oxford.
- TERRAS, M. (2006b), Interpreting the Image: Using Advanced Computational Techniques to Read the Vindolanda Texts, "Aslib Proceedings" 58, 102–17.
- TERRAS, M.M. (2010), *The Digital Classicist: Disciplinary Focus and Interdisciplinary Vision*, in BODARD MAHONY 2010, 171–90.
- TERRAS, M.M. (2011), Artefacts and Errors: Acknowledging Issues of Representation in the Digital Imaging of Ancient Texts, in FISCHER – FRITZE – VOGELER 2011, 43–61.
- TERRAS, M. ROBERTSON, P. (2004), Downs and Acrosses, Textual Markup on a Stroke Based Level, LLC 19, 397–414 (URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/llc/19.3.397).
- TERRAS, M. ROBERTSON, P. (2005), Image and Interpretation: Using Artificial Intelligence To Read Ancient Roman Texts, "HumanIT" 7, 1–38 (URL: https://humanit.hb.se/article/view/123).

THIEDE, C.P. – MASUCH, G. (1997), Neue mikroskopische Verfahren zum Lesen und zur Schadensbestimmung von Papyrushandschriften, in Akten des 21. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Berlin 1995), Berlin – Boston, 1102–12.

THOMAS, R. (2000), review of SMALL 1997, CPh 95, 486-90.

- THOMPSON, D.J. (2007), *The Promise of Papyrology*, in *Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004)*, ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, I, 35–42.
- TIBILETTI, G. (1988), La computerizzazione della "Bibliografia metodica" e dei "Testi recentemente pubblicati" di "Aegyptus", in Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology (Athens, 25–31 May 1986), ed. by B.G. Mandilaras, Athens, II, 21–5.
- TOMSIN, A. (1966), Projet de prosopographie de l'Egypte romaine, in Atti dell'XI Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Milano 2–8 Settembre 1965), Milano, 195–208.
- TOMSIN, A. (1970a), Les papyrologues et le travail papyrologique par ordinateur, in Proceedings of the Twelfth International Congress of Papyrology, ed. by D.H. Samuel, Toronto, 471–84.
- TOMSIN, A. (1970b), L'utilisation de l'ordinateur en papyrologie, BASP 6, 61-4.
- TOMSIN, A. (1970c), Etablissement d'un programme d'analyse automatique des textes papyrologiques documentaires grecs, RELO 6, 57–69.
- TOMSIN, A. DENOOZ, J. (1974), Application à un groupe de textes papyrologiques grecs relatifs aux ousiai d'un programme d'analyse automatique sur ordinateur, in Akten des XIII. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Marburg/Lahn, 2–6. August 1971), hrsg. von E. Kiessling und H.-A. Rupprecht, München, 405–20.
- TOUFEXIS, N. (2010), One Era's Nonsense, Another's Norm: Diachronic Study of Greek and the Computer, in BODARD – MAHONY 2010, 105–18
- TRACY, S.V. PAPAODYSSEUS, C. (2009), The Study of Hands on Greek Inscriptions: The Need for a Digital Approach, AJA 113, 99–102.
- TUPMAN, C. (2010), Contextual Epigraphy and XML: Digital Publication and Its Application to the Study of Inscribed Funerary Monuments, in BODARD MAHONY 2010, 73–86.
- TURNER, E.G. (1973), The Papyrologist at Work, Durham (NC).
- TURNER, E.G. (1980), Greek Papyri. An Introduction, Oxford² [1968¹].
- VAN BEEK, B. (2007), Ancient Archives and Modern Collections. The Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Archives and Collections, in Proceedings of the 24th International Congress of Papyrology (Helsinki, 1–7 August 2004), ed. by J. Frösén, T. Purola, E. Salmenkivi, Helsinki, II, 1033–44.
- VAN GRONINGEN, B.A. (1932), Projet d'unification des systèmes de signes critiques, CE 7, 262–9.
- VAN HAELST, J. (1976), Catalogue des papyrus juifs et chrétiens, Paris.
- VAN LEEUWEN, T. (2005), Introducing Social Semiotics, Oxford.
- VAN MINNEN, P. (1993), The Century of Papyrology (1892–1992), BASP 30, 5–18.
- VAN MINNEN, P. (1994), The Origin and Future of Papyrology. From Mommsen and Wilamowitz to the Present, from Altertumwissenschaft to Cultural Studies, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 23–29 August, 1992), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 35–41.
- VAN MINNEN, P. (1995), *The Duke Papyri on the Internet*, URL: http://library.duke.edu/rubenstein/ scriptorium/papyrus/texts/internet.html.
- VAN MINNEN, P. (2007), *The Millennium of Papyrology (2001–?)*, in *Akten des 23. Internationalen Papyrologenkongresses (Wien 2001)*, hrsg. von B. Palme, Wien, 703–14.
- VAN MINNEN, P. (2009), The Future of Papyrology, in BAGNALL 2009b, 644-60.
- VAN'T DACK, E. (1992), Prosopographia Ptolemaica. Sa place dans le système prosopographique, problèmes de méthode, in Proceedings of the XIXth International Congress of Papyrology (Cairo, 2–9 September 1989), ed. A.H.S. El-Mosallamy, Cairo, I, 41–8.

- VANDENDORPE, C. (1999), Du papyrus à l'hypertexte. Essai sur les mutations du texte et de la lecture, Montréal – Paris.
- VANDORPE, K. (1988), *Egyptische geografische elementen in Griekse transcriptie*, Leuven, URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=9.
- VANDORPE, K. (1997), Seals in and on the Papyri of Greco-Roman and Byzantine Egypt, in Archives et Sceaux du monde hellénistique, éd. par M.-F. Boussac et A. Invernizzi, Paris, 231–91.
- VANDORPE, K. CLARYSSE, W. VERRETH, H. (2015), *Graeco-Roman Archives from the Fayum*, Leuven Paris Bristol.
- VANDORPE, K. VAN BEEK, B. (2012), "Non signat Aegyptus"? Seals and stamps in the multicultural society of Greco-Roman Egypt, in Seals and Sealing Practices in the Near East. Developments in Administration and Magic from Prehistory to the Islamic Period, ed. by I. Regulski, K. Duistermaat, and P. Verkinderen, Leuven – Paris – Walpole (MA), 81–98.
- VANDORPE, K. WAEBENS, S. (2010), *Reconstructing Pathyris' Archives*. A Multicultural Community in *Hellenistic Egypt*, Brussels.
- VANNINI, L. (2016), Virtual Reunification of Papyrus Fragments, in BERTI NAETHER 2016, URL: http:// nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:bsz:15-qucosa-201780.
- VELDHUIS, N. (2014), History of the Cuneiform Lexical Tradition, Münster.
- VERRETH, H. (2006), *The Northern Sinai from the 7th Century BC till the 7th Century AD. A Guide to the Sources*, Leuven (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/sinai/index.html).
- VERRETH, H. (2009), *The Provenance of Egyptian Documents from the 8th Century BC till the 8th Century AD*, Köln Leuven (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=7).
- VERRETH, H. (2011), Toponyms in Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Texts from the 8th Century BC till the 5th Century AD, Köln Leuven (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=10).
- VERRETH, H. (2012), *Topography of Egypt Online*, in *Actes du 26e Congrès International de Papyrologie (Genève 2010)*, éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 807.
- VERRETH, H. (2013), A Survey of Toponyms in Egypt in the Graeco-Roman Period, Köln Leuven² [2008¹] (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=15).
- VIERROS, M. HENRIKSSON, E. (2016), Preprocessing Greek Papyri for Linguistic Annotation, "Journal of Data Mining and Digital Humanities", forthcoming, URL: https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01279493.
- VITALE, V. (2016), Transparent, Multivocal, Cross-disciplinary: The Use of Linked Open Data and a Community-developed RDF Ontology to Document and Enrich 3D Visualisation for Cultural Heritage, in BODARD – ROMANELLO 2016, 147–68.
- VOGELER, G. (2009), Der Computer und die Handschriften. Zwischen digitaler Reproduktion und maschinengestützter Forschung, in REHBEIN SAHLE SCHABAN 2009, xv-xxiv.
- VOEGLER, J. BORNSCHEIN, J. WEBER, G. (2014), Markdown A Simple Syntax for Transcription of Accessible Study Materials, in Computers Helping People with Special Needs. 14th International Conference, ICCHP 2014 (Paris, July 9–11, 2014), Proceedings, Part I, ed. by K. Miesenberger, D. Fels, D. Archambault, P. Peňáz, and W. Zagler, Cham – Heidelberg – New York – Dordrecht – London, 545–8, URL: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-08596-8_85.
- VOLPI, S. (1990), Informatica e Demotico II. Supporto al trattamento dei testi demotici, "Geo-Archeologia" 1, 95–8.
- VOLPI S. SANSEVERINO S. (1994), Gli Ostraka demotici pisani con l'aiuto dell'informatica, in Acta Demotica. Acts of Fifth International Conference for Demotists (Pisa, 4th-8th September 1993), Pisa, 319.
- WAGNER, G. (1987), Les Oasis d'Égypte, Cairo.
- WALL, E.W. (1981), Small-Format Photography of Carbonized Papyri, in Proceedings of the XVI International Congress of Papyrology, Chico, 677–80.

WARWICK, C. (2014), *Print Scholarship and Digital Resources*, in Schreibman – Siemens – UNSWORTH 2004, 366–82.

WEST, M.L. (1998), ed., Homeri Ilias, I, Stuttgart.

WILLIAMS, A.C. – CARROLL, H.D. – WALLIN, J.F. – BRUSUELAS, J. – FORTSON, L. – LAMBLIN, A.-F. – YU, H. (2014), Identification of Ancient Greek Papyrus Fragments Using Genetic Sequence Alignment Algorithms, in Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on eScience, URL: http://acw.io/pubs/escience2014.pdf.

WILLIAMS, A.C. – WALLIN, J.F. – YU, H. – PERALE, M. – CARROLL, H.D. – LAMBLIN, A.-F. – FORTSON, L. – OBBINK, D. – LINTOTT, C.J. – BRUSUELAS, J. (2014), A Computational Pipeline for Crowdsourced Transcriptions of Ancient Greek Papyrus Fragments, in Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE International Conference on Big Data, 100–5, URL: https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData.2014.7004460.

WILLIAMS, A. – SANTARSIERO, A. – MECCARIELLO, C. – VERHASSELT, G. – CARROLL, H. – WALLIN, J. – OBBINK, D. –
 BRUSUELAS, J. (2015), Proteus – A Platform for Born Digital Critical Editions of Literary and Subliterary
 Papyri, in 2015 Digital Heritage International Congress (Granada, 28 September – 2 October 2015), II
 (Analysis & Interpretation; Theory, Methodologies, Preservation & Standards; Digital Heritage
 Projects & Applications), ed. by G. Guidi, R. Scopigno, J.C. Torres, and H. Graf, 441–4.

WILLIS, W.H. (1984a), Identifying and Editing a Papyrus of Achilles Tatius by Computer, in Atti del XVII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Napoli), Napoli, I, 163–6.

WILLIS, W.H. (1984b), The Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, in Atti del XVII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia (Napoli), Napoli, I, 167–73.

WILLIS, W.H. (1988), The Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, in Proceedings of the XVIII International Congress of Papyrology (Athens, 25–31 May 1986), ed. by B.G. Mandilaras, Athens, II, 15–20.

 WILLIS, W.H. (1992), The New Mode of Access to the Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri, in Proceedings of the XIXth International Congress of Papyrology (Cairo, 2–9 September 1989), ed.
 by A.A.H. El-Mosallamy, Cairo, I, 125–32.

WILLIS, W.H. (1994), The New Compact Disk of Documentary Papyri, in Proceedings of the 20th International Congress of Papyrologists (Copenhagen, 1994), ed. by A. Bülow-Jacobsen, Copenhagen, 628–31.

WIPSZYCKA, E. – DERDA, T. – MARKIEWICZ, T. – URBANIK, J. (2000), *Papyri and Ostraca Kept in the Department of Papyrology of Warsaw University*, JJP 30, 265–70.

WORP, K.A. (2012), A New Survey of Greek, Coptic, Demotic and Latin Tabulae Preserved from Classical Antiquity, Leiden – Leuven (URL: http://www.trismegistos.org/dl.php?id=12).

WORP, K.A. (2017²), Greek, Greek/Demotic and Demotic Mummy Labels: A Survey, Version 2.0, Madrid, URL: http://deathonthenile.upf.edu/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/K-A-Worp-Mummylabel-list-20172.pdf [2013¹, URL: http://www.proyectos.cchs.csic.es/death/sites/default/ files/Greek%2C%20GreekDemotic%20and%20Demotic%20Mummy%20Labels%20A%20Surv ey.%20K.%20WORP_0.pdf].

WOUTER, C. – VAN KEER, E. (2014), Les ressources numériques pour l'Egyptologie, BiOr 71, 297–306.

YIFTACH, U. (2015), Metepigraphê: Ptolemaic and Roman Policies on the Alienation of Allotment Land, in Sale and Community: Documents from the Ancient World. Individuals' Autonomy and State Interference in the Ancient World. Proceedings of a Colloquium supported by the University of Szeged (Budapest, 5–8.10.2012), ed. by É. Jakab, Trieste, 133–51.

YOUTIE, H.C. (1963), *The Papyrologist: Artificer of Fact*, GRBS 4, 19–32 [reprinted in *Scriptiunculae*, Amsterdam 1973, I, 9–23].

YOUTIE, H.C. (1966), Text and Context in Transcribing Papyri, GRBS 7, 251-8.

YOUTIE, H.C. (1974), The Textual Criticism of Documentary Papyri. Prolegomena, London² [1958].

- YUEN-COLLINGRIDGE, R. CHOAT, M. (2012), The Copyist at Work: Scribal Practice in Duplicate Documents, in Actes du 26e Congrès international de papyrologie (Genève, 16–21 août 2010), éd. par P. Schubert, Genève, 827–34.
- ZARRI, G.P. (1967), Une expérience pour l'automatisation des recherches en papyrologie, RELO 3, 55– 85.
- ZELDES, A. SCHROEDER, C. (2015), Computational Methods for Coptic: Developing and Using Part-of-Speech Tagging for Digital Scholarship in the Humanities, "Digital Scholarship in the Humanities" 30, 164–76, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/llc/fqv043.

Indices

I. Individuals and Institutions

Almas, B. 180 Aly, M. 198, 200 American Philological Association (APA) 3, 203-4,211 American Society of Papyrologists (ASP) 24, 30, 94, 163, 174 Andorlini, I. v-vi, 129, 146, 170, 177, 218, 233, 253, 256, 273, 275 Arzt-Grabner, P. 31, 44, 57 Association / Fondation Égyptologique Reine Élisabeth (AERE / EGKE) 14–15, 20, 163 Association Internationale de Papyrologues (AIP) 3, 6, 24, 31, 104, 135, 162, 170, 177, 241, 256, 259 Ast, R. v, 28, 136, 151, 223, 233, 241, 251 Astori, D. v, 177 Babeu, A. 267 Bagnall, R. 15, 24-9, 41, 44, 75, 94, 114, 134, 137-9, 176, 198, 199, 222, 233, 251, 256, 259.261-2 Barthes, R. 261 Bauer, G. 129 Bauman, Z. 264 Baumann, R. 251 Bay, S.M. 143 Bennett, C. 199-200 Bentein, K. 269 Bergau, J. v Berkes, L. v, 136, 241 Bernabé, A. 247 Bernini, A. 248 Berti, M. v, 176, 267 Bertonazzi, F. v, 129 Bilabel, F. 134 Bingen, J. 24 Bodard 13, 266, 282-3, 290, 297-300 Bonati, I. v, 129 Bounta, S. v Borghesi, P. 116 Boschetti, F. 267 Botti, G. 112, 170 Botti, M. 170 Bowman, A.K. 144-5, 201, 242, 298

Brady, J.M. 145 Breccia, E. 170 Bresciani, E. 158 Broux, Y. 193, 195 Brunner, T.F. 210-2 Brusuelas, J. 152 Buchet, A. 15 Bukreeva, I. 149 Bülow-Jacobsen, A. 105, 144, 171 Busa. R. 178 Calderini, A. 5-6, 12, 24, 29, 202, 257-9 Capasso, M. 263 Carlig, N. 35, 50 Cayless, H. 223, 251, 283 Cedola, A. 149 Celano, G.G.A. 180 Center for Hellenic Studies (CHS) 85, 169, 246,266 Center for the Tebtunis Papyri (CTP) 103, 164, 169 Centre de Documentation de Papyrologie Littéraire (CEDOPAL) 33–5, 47–8, 50–1, 137, 139, 165, 169 Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents (CSAD) 105, 140, 159, 165, 242 Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri Ercolanesi "Marcello Gigante" (CISPE) 111, 164 Choat, M. 268 Clackson, S.J. 26 Clarysse, J. 69, 117, 168, 287, 300 Clarysse, W. 7, 10-11, 51, 65, 68-70, 79, 117, 168, 175, 198-200, 233, 271, 287, 300 Cowey, J.M.S. v, 27-8, 39, 44, 102, 136, 222-3, 233, 241, 251 Crane, G. 2, 180, 222, 267 Cribiore, R. 83, 268 Cristofori, A. 170 Crönert, W. 262 Crum, W.E. 123 Cuvigny, H. 233 Cuypers, M. 32

Daix, D.-A. 204 Damiani, V. 154 Daris, S. 118 Degani, E. 261 De Haro Sachez, M. 34, 50 Deknudt, A. 15 Delatte, L. 47, 131, 208–9 Delattre, A. 15, 28, 58, 228 Delattre, D. 148 Del Corso, L. 98, 106, 115 Del Mastro, G. 111, 245 De Luca, C.D. 79 Depauw, M. 27-8, 56, 58, 65, 175, 185 Didderen, J-C. 34 Dogaer, N. 195 Duke Collaboratory for Classics Computing (DC3) 251 Elliott, T. 12, 251 Erichsen, W. 123 Erler, M. 154 Essler, H. 154, 181, 245, 253 Evrard, E. 183 Evrard1 202 Eyckmans, C. 68 Ferrari, F. 247 Foraboschi, D. 69 Fournet, J.-L. 115 Fusi, D. 204 Gad, U. 172 Gagos, T. 94, 114, 118, 174, 260-1, 263 Gagos, T. 37 Garcés, J. 266 Gascou, J. 115 Gaudard, F. 90 Geißel, P. v Genette, G. 203, 261 Gerhardt, M. 100 Gigante, M. 38, 83, 246 Glickman, R.J 202 Gonis 197, 233 Gonis 13 Grenfell, B.P. 169 Grieshaber, F. 200 Hagedorn, D. 31, 39, 119, 121, 168, 233 Hagel, S. 169

Hanson, A.E. 4, 233, 273 Hanson, K.C. 92 Hasitzka, M. 133 Hauben, H. 65 Heilporn, P. 15, 28, 59, 233 Henriksson, E. 182 Heslin, P.J. 219 Hickey, T.M. 233 Hoffmann, F. 124 Hombert, M. 14, 23-4, 29, 166 Hoogendijk, F.A.J. 31, 134-5, 177, 233 Hugot, C. 32 Hunt, A.S. 169 Huys, M. 83 Institute for the Study of the Ancient World (ISAW) 173, 251 Instone-Brewer, D. 81 Istasse, N. 34 Johnson, J. 123, 157 Johnson, W.A. 32, 168, 211 Jones, B. 171 Jones, M. 86 Jördens, A. v, 79, 233 Kaplony, A. 26 Keenan, J.G. 233 Kleve, K. 156-7, 185, 188, 206, 245 Koemoth, P. 34 Koenen, L. 215 Korte, J. 158 Kouremenos, T. 246 Kraft, R. 112, 172, 218 Kühn, C.A. 169 Laboratoire d'Analyse Statistique des Langues Anciennes (LASLA) 47, 131, 180, 207-8, 212, 216, 240 Lancellotti, M.G. 79 Leitz, C. 91 Lenger, M.-T. 33 Levison, M. 188 Lippert, S. 91 Litinas, N. 133 Longo Auricchio, F. 148 López García, A. 116 Luiselli, R. 268 Lundon, J. 86, 122

Owens, T. 264

Maderna-Sieben, C. 158 Magnani, M. 189 Maltomini, F. 124 Mara, H. 145 Maravela, A. 129 Maretti, E. 179, 185, 207 Marganne, M.-H. 34, 38, 51 Marmai, S. v Martin, A. 15, 59 Martinez, D. 167 Mascellari, R. 127 Mastronarde, D. 203-4 Maxwell, R. 28 Mazza, R. 171 McDonald, M. 210 McNamee, K. 241 Melaerts, H. 15 Menchetti, A. 158 Menci, G. 79, 152 Mertens, P. 34, 47-8, 137 Michaelson, S. 188 Mirizio, G. 197, 268 Mitteis, L. 5, 10 Moir, I.A. 188 Moisello, L. 36 Mommsen, T. 10 Montevecchi, O. 5, 29, 39, 258 Mooren, L. 65 Morgan, T. 56 Morton, A.Q. 188 Muccigrosso, J.D. 170 Mueller, K. 69, 190 Muñoz Delgado, L. 124 Muratore, D. 86 Nachtergael, G. 15, 23, 29 Naether, F. 58, 176 Nagy, G. 85, 266 Nielsen, B.E. 122 Nobbs, A.M. 87 Nocchi Macedo, G. 35, 50 Nodar, A. 98 Norsa, M. 170 Nurminen, A. 141, 298 Oates, J.F. 24-6, 94, 214, 222, 262 Obbink, D.D. 152 Oriental Institute, Chicago 117, 174 O'Brien, A.A. 26, 293 O'Donnell, J. 11 Pack, R.A. 25, 47-8, 83 Packard, D.W. 205, 210, 212, 214, 217 Packard Humanities Institute (PHI) 25-6, 123, 217, 219, 222, 247 Paganini, M.C.D. 79 Pantelia, M. 222 Papadopoulou, I. 246 Papathomas, A. 56 Parássoglou, G.M. 246 Parsons, P. 209 Pearce, J. 242 Peremans, W. 65 Pestman, P.W. 33 Piano, V. 247 Pierazzo, E. 268 Pintaudi, R. 98, 116 Piquette, K. 161 Potthast, D. 26 Préaux, C. 33, 78, 170 Preisendanz, K. 48, 124–5 Preisigke, F. 68, 118, 123, 125-7, 134 Quenouille, N. 167 Ranocchia, G. 149, 176 Rathbone, D. 116, 132 Reiter, F. v, 10 Renard, D. 48 Riaño Rufilanchas, D. 181, 219 Richter, T.S. 129 Rochette, B. 35 Romanello, M. 267 Römer, C.E. 92 Roued-Cunliffe, H. 243 Ruffini, G. 176, 190-1, 195, 197, 287 Salomons, R.P. 132 Samuel, A.B. 3 Samuel, A.E. 24 Sänger, P. 145 Scaife, R. 232 Scappaticcio, M.C. 247 Schentuleit, M. 89 Schmidt, T. 83 Scholl, R. 99-100, 125, 186

Schulz, M. 158 Schütze, A. 59 Schwendner, G.W. 171 Seales, B. 148 Seider, R. 39, 151, 258 Sijpesteijn, P.M. 26 Small, J.P. 1 Songstad, J. 245 Sosin, J.D. 26–8, 222, 233, 251, 256 Stoa Consortium 86 Stolk, J.V. 185 Sutton, D. 83, 85

Tammaro, A.M. v Tarte, S. 149, 160, 287 Terras, M.M. 159–60 Thissen, H.–J. 23, 57 Thompson, D.J. 140 Tomsin, A. 3, 66, 131–2, 183, 207, 209 Torallas Tovar, S. 90, 98 Tsantsanoglou, K. 246 Turner, E.G. 24, 30

Van Beek, B. 65 Vanbeselaere, S. 193

Vandoni, M. 207 Vandorpe, K. 7, 65, 69, 88, 117 Van Haelst, J. 51, 83 van Minnen, P. 32, 124, 166 Vannini, L. 13 Van 't Dack, E. 65 Verreth, H. 69, 70 Vierros, M. 182, 185 Wagner, G. 133 Wespi, F. 158 Wilcken, U. 5, 24, 255 Wilfong, T.G. 26–7 Willis, W.H. 24-5, 187-8, 211, 214, 216, 217, 262 Willker, W. 92 Worp, K.A. 25-7, 90, 122, 132, 233 Yiftach, U. 79–80 Youssef-Grob, E.M. 26 Youtie, H.C. 9

Zarri, G.P. 179, 185

Youtie, L.C. 78, 211

Yuen–Collingridge, R. 268

II. Digital Resources

Aberystwyth Library, Oxyrhynchus papyri 103 Accademia Fiorentina di Papirologia 116, 164, 169-70 Act of the Scribe 184 Advanced Papyrological Information System (APIS) 4, 11, 58, 69, 75, 94–5, 98–100, 102-6, 108, 110-2, 114, 138-40, 160, 168, 171, 201, 223-4, 227, 229, 233 Aegyptus 175 Aggregator 224 Alexandria Docta 34 Ancient Greek Music on Papyrus 168 Ancient Greek Written Sources 165 Ancient Libraries 32 Ancient Lives (AL) 152-4, 167, 169, 172, 189, 258, 260, 282, 291 Ancient World Digital Library (AWDL) 174 Ancient World On Line (AWOL) 171

Année Philologique (APh) 36 Antinoupolis Papyri (P.Ant.) 111 APIS Berkeley Database 103 APIS UM (Michigan) 110 APPELLO 186, 243 Arabic Papyrology Database (APD) 58, 79 Arabic Papyrology School 58 Aramaic Texts from Egypt (ATE) 59, 79 Archiv für Papyrusforschung (APF) 175 Archiv für Papyrusforschung (APF) Beihefte 174 Aristarchus portal 35, 86, 122, 127 AristarchusX 181 Association Egyptologique Reine Elisabeth (AERE / EGKE) 163 Association Internationale de Papyrologues (AIP) 162, 170

Banque des images des papyrus de l'Aphrodite byzantine (BIPAB) 115–6 Bayerische Staatsbibliothek, Sammlungen: Papyri 110 Berichtigungsliste der Griechischen Papyrusurkunden aus Ägypten (BL) 30–1, 40-1, 106-8, 113, 116, 134-6, 174, 177, 223, 236-7, 259, 264 Berliner Papyrusdatenbank (BerlPap) 104, 164 Bibliographie Papyrologique (BP) 6, 14–17, 20-4, 28-31, 33, 70, 193, 228, 257 Bibliographie Papyrologique (BP) en ligne 20 - 2, 28Bibliography of P.W. Pestman 33 Bibliotheca Classica Selecta (BCS) 32 Bonner Papyrus–sammlung 104 Books of Herculaneum 33 Born-digital critical editions of papyri 136, 175, 241, 251 Bremer Papyri 104 British Library Collections: papyri 109 Brussels Coptic Database (BCD) 58, 79, 228 Bruxelles, Centre de Papyrologie et d'Epigraphie Grecque (CPEG) 164 Bulletin de l'Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale (BIFAO) 174 Bulletin of Online Emendations to Papyri (BOEP) 136 Cartonnage and carbonized papyri, Helsinki 168 Catalogue of Mythographic Papyri (CMP) 83, 212 Catalogue of New Testament Papyri & Codices 92 Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri (CPP) 52, 78, 83, 85, 212, 242, 248, 288 CEDOPAL bibliographies 34–5, 51 Centre d'Études Alexandrines (CEAlex) 164 Centre for the Study of Ancient Documents (CSAD) 165 Centro Internazionale per lo Studio dei Papiri Ercolanesi "Marcello Gigante" (CISPE) 164 Chapel Hill Epigraphic Text-Converter (CHET-C) 223 Chartae Latinae Antiquiores Online 91 Chartes 33, 111, 246 Checklist of Arabic Documents 26, 163

Checklist of Editions of Greek Papyri 23-8, 30-1, 33, 36, 38, 44, 94, 115, 122, 135, 217, 257 Chester Beatty collection catalogue 105 Chicago Demotic Dictionary (CDD) 123, 157, 174 Choix de papyrus documentaires : Essai de traitement automatiaue 208-9 Chronique d'Egypte (CE) 175 Chronological Tables 199 Claire Préaux memorial website 33, 170 Codex Sinaiticus Project 147, 150, 155, 244 Complete List of Greek NT Papyri 92 Concordance to Philodemos 245 Coptic Dictionary Online 123 Coptic Palaeographical Database 158 Critical Syntax (CSYN) Markdown 251 Critical Syntax for Papyri (CSYN-P) 250 Crum, Coptic Dictionary 123 Database and Dictionary of Greek Loanwords in Coptic (DDGLC) 129 Database of New Literary Texts 87 DateConverter 168 Date Converter for Ancient Egypt 200

Death on the Nile 33, 90, 176 Death on the Nile Online Publications 176 Demos 158 Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Texts (DAHT) 56, 58–9, 61, 63, 79 **Demotic Palaeographical Database Project** (DPDP) 158 Demotic Texts Published on the WWW 117, 166 Demotische Wortliste (DWL) 124 Demotistische Literaturübersicht (DemLü / DL) 22-3, 70-1, 193 Derveni Papyrus Online 246, 248 Diccionario Griego-Español (DGE) 123 Didymus Papyrus, BYU 113 Digital Corpus of Literary Papyrology (DCLP) 130, 155, 246, 251, 253-4, 256, 270, 274-5 Digital Corpus of the Greek Medical Papyri (DCGMP) 78, 164, 256, 275 Digital Editor for Classical Philology (DELPHI) 248 Digitalisierte Publikationen zu den Gießener Papyrussammlungen 174 Digital Papyri at Houghton Library 108 Digital Papyrology blog 171

DIGMEDTEXT v, 129, 174, 273, 275

Dime Online 89, 132 Diogenes 219, 221 Dioscorus of Aphrodito 169 Ductus 98, 110, 241 Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri (DDbDP) 11, 25–6, 44, 74–5, 81, 94, 109, 113, 130, 134-5, 139, 180, 186-7, 201, 205-6, 210, 212, 216-9, 222-4, 227-9, 232-3, 240-1, 248, 252, 265 Duke Papyrus Archive (DPA) 32, 94, 106, 164, 166 eBay Image Archive 173 Egitto: gli archivi della memoria 169 Egypt Exploration Society (EES) 163 Electronic guide of the archives of Dioscorus of Aphrodito 116 Electronic Guide to the Heroninos Archive 116 Enhanced Digital Unwrapping for Conservation and Exploration (EDUCE) 148 e-Science and Ancient Documents (eSAD) 159.296 Extraktion von strukturiertem Wissen aus Antiken Quellen für die Altertumswissenschaft (eAQUA) 186, 198, 259 Fayum Project 69, 79 Fondation Martin Bodmer 165, 169 Friends of Herculaneum Society 33, 148, 163 Gazetteer of Papyri in British Collections (GPBC) 104 Geographical Advanced Papyrological Information System (GAPIS) 69 Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens (HGV) 11, 31, 39-41, 43-5, 47, 52, 56-8, 63, 69, 71, 74, 75, 78, 80, 93, 102, 104–5, 109, 123–4, 134, 151, 222-5, 227, 229, 233, 252, 258-9 Ghostbuster 69, 168 Giessener Papyri– und Ostrakadatenbank 107 Giuseppe Botti memorial website 170 Glossary, Papyrus Projekt 123 Grammatically Annotated Philodemus 181 Graz Papyri 107 Greek, Roman and Byzantine Studies (GRBS) 174 Greek Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (Greek-BLAST) 189

GreekKeys 203 Greek Law in Roman Times (GLRT) 80 Greek Number Converter 223 Greek Papyrus Fragments from Oxyrhynchus in Glasgow University Library's Special Collections Department (MS Gen 1026) 107 Hawara Papyri (P.Hawara) 109 Heidelberg, Institut für Papyrologie 165 Heidelberger Papyrussammlung 108 Hellenistic Bibliography 32 Herculaneum papyri at the Bodleian Library (P.Herc.) 111-2 Herculaneum Project, BYU 143 HGV Glossary Tool 123-4 HGV Metadata Crosswalk 224 HGV Translation Crosswalk 224 Hieroglyphic and Hieratic Papyri (HHP) 56, 58.79 Historical Dataninjas blog 193 Homer & the Papyri 85–6 Homer Multitext Project (HMT) 266 Ibycus Personal Scholarly Computer (PSC) 217 Ibycus System 188, 205-6, 212-5, 217-8, 281 ILIESI Digitale / Edizioni critiche 176 Index to Works of Philodemus 245 Inscriptions Grecques et Latines d'Egypte (IGLE) 59 Institut Français d'Archéologie Orientale (IFAO) 164 Integrating Digital Papyrology (IDP) 11, 17, 28, 198, 206, 223-4, 227, 232, 241, 250 International Association for Coptic Studies (IACS) 163 International Society of Arabic Papyrology (ISAP) 26,163 Isabella Andorlini memorial website 170 Istituto Papirologico "G. Vitelli" 163 Journal of Juristic Papyrology (JJP) 175 Judaica et Christiana 35 Katalog der Internetressourcen für die Klassische Philologie aus Berlin (KIRKE):

Papyrologie 170

Kochrezepte auf Papyrus 168

Köln, Arbeitsstelle für Papyrologie, Epigraphik, Numismatik 165 Kölner Papyrussammlung 102, 109 KonträrIndex (KI) 120-1 Lacunology 185, 186, 188, 206, 245 Lecce, Centro di Studi Papirologici 164 Lecce, Museo Papirologico 109 Leiden, Papyrologisch Instituut 165, 197, 203, 207-8, 223-4, 234-40, 250-2, 258, 280, 283, 287, 295, 301 Leiden+ markup language 165, 208, 234–40, 250 - 2Les livres dans le monde gréco-romain 169 Leuven Database of Ancient Books (LDAB) 47, 49-60, 69, 70, 74, 78, 83, 102, 106, 108, 111, 113-4, 152, 197, 252, 283 Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Archives (LHPA) 65.69 Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Collections (LHPC) 70 LEX 210 Léxico de magia y religión en los papiros mágicos griegos (LMPG) online 124–5 Liber Antiquus 34 Liddell-Scott-Jones (LSJ) / Middle Liddel 123, 219, 222 Lille, Institut de Papyrologie et d'Égyptologie 165 Literalogy 156-7, 185, 206, 245, 288 Macquarie University, Papyrology 165 Marriage & Divorce Papyri 81 Materiale Textkulturen 151, 169 Materiali papirologici al Museo Archeologico di Parma 112, 147 Medicalia Online 129, 130, 275 Medici et Medica 34 Mertens-Pack³ (M-P³) 33-5, 47-53, 56-7, 74, 93, 102, 112, 175 Michigan Papyrus Collection 110, 157, 168, 169 Milano, Centro di Papirologia "Achille Vogliano" 165 Muhlenberg College, papyrus catalogue 103 Multi-Spectral Imaging and the Oxyrhynchus Papyri 143 Mummy Label Database (MLD) 90, 132

Namen in koptischen vorwiegend dokumentarischen Texten 133 Neues Fachwörterbuch (nFWB) 125, 166 New Athena Unicode (NAU) 204-5 New Sappho blog 168 New Testament Virtual Manuscript Room (NTVMR) 92 Online Database of Papyrology 167 Onomasticon Hibiticum 133 Onomasticon Mothiticum 133 Onomasticon Oasiticum 132-3 Organa Papyrologica 126, 166 Oslo Papyri Electronic System (OPES) 111, 169 Ostraka from Trimithis 176 Oxyrhynchus: A City and Its Texts 169 Oxyrhynchus Computer Project 209, 212, 214 Oxyrhynchus Online 111, 143 P.Euphrate 112, 115 P.NYU II Index 122 Pandora 218-20 Papiri letterari della Biblioteca Laurenziana 106 PapyLab 198 Papy-list 43, 171 Papyri.info 17-21, 27-8, 30-1, 44, 63, 65, 71, 75, 80, 90, 95, 102-7, 111, 120, 126-7, 130, 134, 136, 151, 155, 167, 170-1, 180, 182, 185-6, 197, 206, 216, 225-9, 232-4, 237, 241, 248, 250-3, 255-7, 259, 262, 265, 270, 274, 279 Papyri and LAtin Texts: INsights and Updated Methodologies (PLATINUM) 247 Papyri and Related Materials at the University of Pennsylvania 112, 165 Papyri at Bridwell Library 105 Papyri aus der Sammlung Gradenwitz 108 Papyri Bononienses 104 Papyri from the Rise of Christianity in Egypt (PCE) 87 Papyri Latinae 34 Papyri On Line (POL) 171 Papyri Pages 166 Papyrologica digitalia Lipsiensia 177 Papyrological Editor (PE) 19, 136, 209, 232-3, 236, 241, 246, 248, 251-3, 256, 263-5, 274 Papyrological Navigator (PN) 103, 222-3, 223,

227, 237, 241, 251, 266, 283

Papyrology / Palaeography (PapPal) 151–2, 258 Papyrology at Oxford 165 Papyrology Home Page 170 Papyrology in Finland 165 Papyrotheke 177 Papyrus Carlsberg Collection 105, 164 Papyrus Collection, SAXO Institute (P.Haun.) 105 Papyrus de la bibliothèque de Genève 106 Papyrus Portal 99, 102, 104, 126, 166, 241 Papyrus Projekt 93, 100, 103–4, 107, 109, 139-40, 166 Parma, Corso di Papirologia 164, 170-1 Pharmacopoea Aegyptia et Graeco-Aegyptia 34 PHI CD-ROM 25-6, 123, 217, 219, 222 Photographic Archive of Papyri in the Cairo Museum 104–5, 139 Photographic Archives of Literary Papyrology, CEDOPAL 137 Pinax (The Imaging Papyri Project) 111 Pisa, Laboratorio di Papirologia 165 PLAURonline 106, 139 Poorly Attested Words in Ancient Greek (PAWAG) 127-8 PPadonline 106 Princeton Papyri Collections 112 Proceedings of Altertumswissenschaften in a Digital Age: Egyptology, Papyrology and Beyond (Leipzig 2015) 176 Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology 15, 28, 174 Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online (ProsPtol) 57, 65-6, 69, 73, 131-2, 190, 193 Prosopography of the Cynopolite Nome 133 Proteus 248-51, 264-5 Proteus Search Interface 248 PSIonline 98, 106, 115, 132, 139, 152, 285 Ptolemaica : Une bibliographie sur l'Egypte lagide 32 Rassegna degli strumenti informatici per lo studio dell'Antichità classica: fonti papiracee 170 Reading the Papyri 166 Reception of Greek Literature 87, 168 Repertorio Bibliográfico de la Lexicografía Griega (RBLG) 123

Rylands Papyri Collection 110 Salzburg, Papyrologie 165 Scholia Minora in Homerum 86–7, 122 Scholia Minora in Homerum: An Alphabetical List 86, 122 Schøyen Collection: Papyri & Ostraca 113 Seals and Stamps 88 Select Papyri (Loeb) 174 Sematia 182-4, 198, 268 SNS Greek & Latin 218 Son of Suda On Line (SoSOL) 18, 167, 197, 232-3, 239, 241, 251, 264, 274, 294 Sorbonne, Institut de Papyrologie 112, 165 Strasbourg, Institut de papyrologie & Institut d'égyptologie 165 Studi di Egittologia e Papirologia (SEP) 175 Subsidia Papyrologica 15, 39 Supplementum Magicum (Suppl.Mag.) 111 Synallagma: Greek Contracts in Context 80 Thesaurus Herculanensium Voluminum (THV) 181, 245 Thesaurus Linguae Graecae (TLG) 11, 123, 125, 187-8, 205, 210-7, 219, 222, 224, 236, 241, 245, 248, 261, 273 TM ID / number 44, 53, 57-8, 74-5, 90-1, 107, 109, 114, 122, 224, 227, 257 Transcoder 223 TraPassato(e)Futuro 177 Trier, Fach Papyrologie 165 Trierer Papyrussammlung 113 Trieste, Centro Papirologico "Medea Norsa" 165.170 Trismegistos (TM) 22, 23, 31, 44, 49-53, 56-9, 62-6, 68-71, 73-5, 78-9, 83, 88-91, 93, 102, 104, 106-7, 109, 114, 116, 122, 126, 131-2, 134, 171, 175-6, 184-5, 189, 193-6, 198-200, 211, 224-5, 227, 229, 251-2, 255, 257, 259, 279, 281, 282, 285, 286, 289, 300, 301 Trismegistos (TM) Archives 65, 79 Trismegistos (TM) Authors 51, 70, 79 Trismegistos (TM) Calendar 70, 199–200 Trismegistos (TM) Collections 69, 79, 93 Trismegistos (TM) Editors 22, 70, 74, 79, 132, 195 Trismegistos (TM) Ghostnames 68 Trismegistos (TM) Latin Abbreviations 73

Trismegistos (TM) Magic 58–9, 62, 78 Trismegistos (TM) Networks 70, 193 Trismegistos (TM) People 65–6, 70, 79, 131–2, 184, 193 Trismegistos (TM) Places 51, 69, 79, 126, 199 Trismegistos (TM) Text Irregularities 70, 185 Trismegistos (TM) Texts 22, 23, 59, 69–70, 89 Trismegistos Bibliography (TM Bib) 22–3, 71 Trismegistos One–Mode Generator (TOMATOR) 193 Trismegistos Online Publications (TOP) / Special Series (TOPSS) 71, 122, 175, 176 Turning the Pages 150, 244 Tyche. Beiträge zur Alten Geschichte, Papyrologie und Epigraphik 175

UCL Classics: Papyrology 165 Utah, Marriott Library: Arabic Papyrus, Parchment, and Paper 113

Vindolanda Tablets Online (VTO) 201, 242–3 Vindolanda Tablets Online II (VTO2) 243, 245 Virtualia (Sorbonne) 147 Virtual Workspace for the Study of Ancient Documents (VWSAD) 201
Warsaw, Department of Papyrology 92, 164
Warsaw, Papyri in the Department of Papyrology 114
Wien, Institut für Alte Geschichte und Altertumskunde: Papyrologie und Epigraphik 165
Wien, Papyrussammlung 114
Wikipedia: Papyrology 167
Words in Progress (WiP) 127–8
Workshop of Papyrology and Epigraphy, Crete (ERPE) 164
Works of Philodemus 245
WörterListen (WL) 31, 119–22

XSugar 234

Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik (ZPE) 175 Zenon Presentation 117, 168

III. Conspectus Siglorum

AGRE = Agriculture in Graeco-Roman Egypt AL = Ancient Lives ALPHA = Alphabet Letters in Papyri Handwriting APD = Arabic Papyrology Database APIS = Advanced Papyrological Information System ATE = Aramaic Texts from Egypt BCD = Brussels Coptic Database BerlPap = Berliner Papyrusdatebank BIPAB = Banque des images des papyrus de l'Aphrodite byzantine BOEP = Bulletin of Online Emendations to Papyri BP = Bibliographie Papyrologique CDD = Chicago Demotic Dictionary CMP = Catalogue of Mythographic Papyri CPP = Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri CSYN-P = Critical Syntax for Papyri DAHT = Demotic and Abnormal Hieratic Texts DCGMP = Digital Corpus of the Greek Medical Papyri DCLP = Digital Corpus of Literary Papyrology DDbDP = Duke Databank of Documentary Papyri DDGLC = Database and Dictionary of Greek Loanwords in Coptic

DELPHI = Digital Editor for Classical Philology DemLü = Demotistische Literaturübersicht DIGMEDTEXT = Online Humanities Scholarship: A Digital Medical Library Based on Ancient Texts DL = Demotistische Literaturübersicht DPA = Duke Papyrus Archive DPDP = Demotic Palaeographical Database Project DWL = Demotische Wortliste EDUCE = Enhanced Digital Unwrapping for Conservation and Exploration eAQUA = Extraktion von strukturiertem Wissen aus Antiken Quellen für die Altertumswissenschaft eSAD = e-Science and Ancient Documents GAPIS = Geographical Advanced Papyrological Information System GLRT = Greek Law in Roman Times GPBC = Gazetteer of Papyri in British Collections Greek-BLAST = Greek Basic Local Alignment Search Tool HGV = Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis HHP = Hieroglyphic and Hieratic Papyri IGLE = Inscriptions Grecques et Latines d'Egypte IDP = Integrating Digital Papyrology KI = KonträrIndex LDAB = Leuven Database of Ancient Books LHPA = Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Archives LHPC = Leuven Homepage of Papyrus Collections LMPG = Léxico de magia y religión en los papiros mágicos griegos en linea MLD = Mummy Label Database M-P³ (often MP³) = Mertens-Pack³ NAU = New Athena Unicode nFWB = Neues Fachwörterbuch NTVMR = New Testament Virtual Manuscript Room OPES = Oslo Papyri Electronic System PapPal = Papyrology / Palaeography PCE = Papyri from the Rise of Christianity in Egypt PE = Papyrological Editor PLATINUM = Papyri and LAtin Texts: INsights and Updated Methodologies PN = Papyrological Navigator POL = Papyri On Line ProsPtol = Prosopographia Ptolemaica Online SoSOL = Son of Suda On Line THV = Thesaurus Herculanensium Voluminum TLG = Thesaurus Linguae Graecae TM = Trismegistos TM Bib = Trismegistos Bibliography TOP = Trismegistos Online Publications TOPSS = Trismegistos Online Publications Special Series VTO = Vindolanda Tablets Online VTO2 = Vindolanda Tablets Online II VWSAD = Virtual Workspace for the Study of Ancient Documents WiP = Words in Progress

WL = WörterListen

IV. General Keywords

Frequent terms (e.g. papyrus, text, digital, etc.) are excluded.

- 3D imaging / modelling 107, 140, 144-5, 148-50, 160, 293, 296, 300
- Abbreviations 5, 14–15, 21, 23–31, 39, 50, 57, 73, 119, 127, 129, 130, 133, 182, 208, 215–6, 235, 253, 240–1, 257, 277

Academia.edu 175

- Access / accessing / accessibility 1, 3–4, 7–8, 10–11, 25–6, 47, 49, 63, 71, 79–80, 88, 93– 5, 100, 111–2, 114, 127, 137–9, 146–7, 150– 1, 153, 168–9, 172–3, 175, 177, 180, 201, 206, 210, 216, 223, 227, 241, 244, 246, 261–2, 267, 269–70, 275, 278, 297–8, 300–
- Alignment / aligning 154–6, 188–9, 245, 260, 268, 301
- Alpha Code 12, 203, 212
- Amicitia papyrologorum 4, 11, 70, 162, 167, 171, 195, 232, 248, 256, 259
- Ammon papyri 146, 268, 274
- Ancient Greek and Latin Dependency Treebank (AGLDT) 180
- Annotation / annotating 8, 41, 83, 107–8, 110, 113–4, 159, 180–2, 185, 198, 207, 209, 216, 241, 246–7, 253, 260, 268, 275
- Apparatus 58, 123, 211–2, 215, 224, 236, 240–3, 247–9, 253, 263, 265–6, 268–9, 280, 284
- Arabic 3, 26, 41, 58, 73, 92, 105–6, 108, 112– 3, 125, 163, 172, 208, 216, 223, 228–9, 241, 297
- Aramaic 59, 73, 206
- Archetype 265–6, 269
- Arethusa 180, 183
- Artemidorus papyrus 140-2, 149, 284, 298
- ASCII 12, 203–4, 212
- Beta Code / Betacode 12, 83, 112, 186, 203, 205, 213–5, 217, 222–3, 227, 240, 242
- Bibliography / bibliographies 2, 8, 9, 11, 14– 15, 22–3, 29, 32–5, 37, 47, 50–1, 58, 65–6, 69, 81, 83, 86–90, 92, 103–17, 120, 124, 129, 130, 132–3, 163, 166, 170, 195, 237, 257, 277

Blogs 171, 184–5, 283 Books 7, 11, 22, 24, 32–4, 38, 47, 51–2, 74, 79, 83, 86, 135, 145, 150, 163, 173–5, 178, 187, 198, 211, 258, 262, 283, 287, 293, 295

- Cameras 138, 141, 143, 278, 296
- Carbonized papyri 141, 143, 145, 147–8, 168, 245, 253, 293, 300
- Catalogues / cataloguing 5, 9, 11, 14, 33–5, 37–9, 44, 47–8, 50–2, 56, 58–9, 63, 69–70, 74–5, 78–80, 83, 85–7, 92–5, 98–100, 102– 15, 118, 122, 137–9, 151, 154–5, 163–4, 166, 172, 175, 200, 212, 216, 241–2, 245–6, 255– 8, 260, 284, 288–91, 298–9
- Categorization 5, 12, 14–15, 24, 29, 41, 51, 58–9, 75, 78, 80, 83, 106, 110, 115–7, 120, 125, 129, 151, 158, 170–1, 179, 180, 186, 193, 216, 237, 241, 243–4, 248, 256–7, 259, 268, 293
- CD(-ROM) 8, 10, 11, 15, 25–7, 40, 52, 94, 98, 106, 111, 123, 134–5, 140, 142, 164, 197, 206, 217–9, 222, 273, 277, 279, 281, 284, 286, 289, 292
- Center for the Tebtunis Papyri (CTP) 103, 164 Christian papyri 35, 48, 50, 87, 91–2, 284–5 Circulation 4, 251
- Circulation 4, 25
- Classics 2, 32–3, 73, 159, 162–3, 165, 177–8, 198, 212, 223, 225, 251, 278, 280, 282, 284, 287, 295–7
- Collaboration 3, 11, 48, 79–80, 90, 93, 95, 98, 127, 129, 132, 134, 135, 139, 170, 182, 197, 201, 206, 208, 222, 232, 241, 245, 251, 256, 259, 270, 273
- Collaborative resources 4, 11, 19, 28, 95, 127, 138, 147, 167, 182, 198, 232, 246-8, 256, 259, 263-4, 278, 290
- Collections 3, 5, 24, 31–2, 38, 49, 58, 65, 69– 71, 75, 79–80, 86, 89, 91–5, 98–100, 102– 18, 122, 137–40, 143, 146–7, 157, 162–9, 171, 174, 176, 211, 241–3, 255–6, 258, 260, 262, 267, 277, 283, 286, 298–9
- Commentary 90, 106, 112–3, 129–30, 169, 171, 243–4, 253, 266, 280

- Communication 8, 11, 69, 98, 162, 171–2, 203, 258, 278, 287, 288, 295–6
- Comparison / comparing 3-4, 8, 16, 38, 52, 56, 93, 118, 129, 139, 144, 151-2, 155, 158-9, 179, 185-6, 188, 206, 210-2, 218, 242, 256-7, 261, 275
- Computers / computing 2–3, 7, 10, 25, 39, 94, 140, 144, 152, 156–7, 159, 178, 185, 188, 190, 197, 203, 205–7, 209–10, 212, 214, 217–8, 225, 251–2, 257, 262–3, 277, 279– 80, 282, 284, 287–9, 291–2, 296, 299–301
- Concordances / concordancing 15, 21, 25, 30– 1, 41, 47, 100, 105, 118, 123, 178–9, 188, 208–9, 216, 219, 241, 243–5, 268, 291
- Context 3, 9, 47, 74, 79–80, 118, 129, 133, 139, 161, 169, 185–6, 210, 228, 243, 245, 250, 257, 259–60, 264, 270, 279–81, 284, 287, 295, 301
- Conventions 5, 44, 57, 137, 153, 202–3, 207– 8, 212–3, 215–7, 223–4, 227, 235, 238, 251, 257–9, 265, 270, 277
- Coptic 26-7, 35, 38, 58, 66, 71, 73, 92, 105-6, 108, 112-3, 123, 129, 133, 136, 158, 162, 163, 181, 228-9, 288, 293, 301-2
- Corpus / corpora 5, 24–5, 27, 29, 34, 48, 78– 9, 87, 114–5, 125, 155, 158–9, 164, 169, 180, 186, 188, 195, 201, 207–10, 216, 222, 241, 244–5, 248, 251–3, 256, 258, 266, 273–5, 277–8, 280, 285, 288–91, 294–6
- Corrections 6, 25, 41, 68, 103, 110–1, 113, 116, 127, 134–6, 185, 208–9, 215, 236–8, 245, 253, 259, 265
- Creative Commons license 227, 243, 251, 255
- Criticism 227, 248, 265–6, 268–70, 284–5, 294, 301
- Crowdsourcing 167, 258

Daphne papyrus 143

- Data 1, 3, 4, 7–10, 12, 17, 22, 25–6, 33, 37–8, 44, 56, 63, 69, 71, 73–4, 80, 89, 94–5, 100, 102, 124, 131, 134, 138, 140, 144, 153, 159, 172, 175, 178, 180–1, 184–5, 189–98, 201– 3, 206, 209–13, 215–6, 218, 222, 227, 232– 4, 245, 248, 251, 257, 260, 262, 264–5, 267–70, 291, 293–4, 300–1
- Databanks / databases 9, 11–12, 15, 17, 22–3, 25, 28, 31, 34, 36, 39, 44, 47, 51–2, 56–9, 63, 65–6, 68–71, 73–5, 78–80, 86–91, 93– 5, 100, 102–3, 111, 114, 116, 118–9, 123, 125,

127, 129, 132, 134–5, 139, 152, 155, 157–8, 167, 175, 185–90, 193, 198–200, 202, 205– 9, 211–2, 217–9, 223–4, 228, 232–3, 236, 241, 243, 245–8, 253, 255, 257–8, 260, 262, 265, 267, 269–70, 275, 280, 283, 287–8, 291–2, 294

- Datasets 39, 71, 79, 158, 190, 197, 222, 232, 283
- Dating 39-41, 51, 91, 93, 109, 116, 132, 151-2, 158, 178, 198
- Dead Sea Scrolls 143, 188
- Demotic 22, 26–7, 38, 56–8, 66, 68, 71, 74, 89–91, 105–6, 108, 112–3, 117, 123–4, 140, 157–8, 166, 174, 195, 223, 228, 281, 285, 290, 293, 300–1
- Derveni papyrus 246, 248
- Diacriticals 203–5, 207–8, 212, 228, 238, 242, 248, 252–3
- Dictionaries 6, 32, 118, 123–5, 127, 129–30, 157, 174, 221, 223, 244, 257–8
- Dispositive 260, 265, 269-70, 289
- Dissemination 6, 162, 167, 258
- Documentary papyri 3, 5, 25, 39, 44, 47–8, 58, 63, 65, 73, 78–9, 81, 83, 87–8, 91, 94, 109, 112, 130, 132, 134, 147, 151, 166, 180–1, 185, 187, 189, 205, 209–12, 214, 216–7, 222, 225, 228, 243, 250–53, 257, 268–9, 274, 279–80, 284–5, 288, 293, 301 DVD 140, 142, 246
- . .
- eBay 173
- Editions / editing 4–5, 9, 10, 12, 14, 19, 23– 30, 32–3, 36–8, 40–1, 44, 47, 50–3, 58, 68, 70, 78, 80–1, 83, 85–7, 90–2, 94–5, 104–5, 109, 112, 114–6, 119, 122, 127, 133–7, 140, 142, 146–7, 150, 153, 155, 163, 173–4, 176, 179, 182–3, 188, 198, 201–3, 207–9, 211–3, 215–6, 218–9, 223–4, 226–8, 232–9, 241– 51, 253, 255–6, 258–60, 262–5, 267–70, 273–5, 278–81, 284–5, 293–5, 297–8, 301
- Egyptian 3, 56–8, 65–6, 73, 176, 279, 293
- E-mails 43, 171
- Emendations 31, 134, 136, 179, 182, 207–8, 216, 232, 246–8, 251, 263–5
- Encoding 12, 18–19, 75, 81, 119, 127, 131–2, 136, 145, 155, 178–80, 202–9, 211, 213, 215–6, 223, 228, 235–8, 242–5, 247, 250, 252–3, 259–60, 267–70, 275, 294–5, 298

EpiDOC 12, 155, 182, 223-4, 226, 236-8, 240, 243, 250-2, 280 Epigraphy 10, 16, 57, 73, 144, 152, 164, 223, 247, 250, 279-81, 299 Epistemology 3, 5, 9–10, 13, 78, 149, 210, 264, 268, 270, 275 Facebook 168, 196, 281 FileMaker 15, 17, 20, 39, 43, 52, 65, 69, 79, 83, 113, 116, 224 Floppy disks 8, 15 Fonts 8, 12, 91, 116, 127-8, 203-4, 219, 245-7 Formulas / formulaic features 3, 41–2, 80, 179, 187, 195, 261 Fragments 3–5, 41, 56, 70, 75, 78, 83, 86–7, 92-3, 99, 103, 105, 107, 115, 118, 121, 124, 137, 146-7, 149, 152-3, 158, 160, 162, 167, 169, 172, 176, 179, 181-2, 187-9, 192, 210-2, 214, 247, 260-4, 267, 270, 275, 283, 287-92, 295, 300-1 Fragment siting 147, 158, 187, 262, 289 Genetic criticism 268 Genres 34, 38, 47, 49, 51, 65, 78, 83, 103, 106, 108, 110-3 Geography 3, 66, 69, 71, 106, 111, 119, 121, 126, 132, 186, 189-90, 195, 198-9, 209, 258, 292 Geographic Information System (GIS) 69, 190 Gephi 193, 195 Git / GitHub 180, 232, 252, 254 Glossaries 86, 123–4, 130 Google Maps 69 Gothenburg papyri 241 Grammatical analysis / annotation 66, 83, 123, 129, 179-82, 184 Graphs 52, 666, 69, 71, 189-95, 282 Graphics 8, 11, 139, 155-8, 180, 185, 218, 238, 252, 260, 265, 288 GreekKeys 203 Handwriting 3, 151-3, 184, 206 Hathi Trust 173, 175 Herculaneum papyri 33, 35, 48, 50, 111-2, 139, 143, 147-9, 154, 156, 163, 176, 181, 245-6, 253, 267, 280, 288-9, 292-3, 297 Homeric papyri 85–6, 122, 266 HTML 17, 32-3, 81, 120-1, 132, 166, 175, 199, 224, 236, 238, 242, 251-2

Humanities 1–2, 11, 13, 25, 93, 139, 156, 201, 212, 217, 255–6, 275, 277–8, 281–2, 287, 289, 291, 293–4, 297–8, 300, 302

HyperCard 117, 168, 199, 218-9

Hypertext 7, 10, 26–8, 85, 92, 103–14, 123, 206, 219, 222, 232, 245, 263, 266, 268, 279–80, 284–5

latromagical papyri 34, 50

- Identifiers 12, 16, 19, 49, 53, 57, 70–1, 74, 102, 132, 224, 227, 233, 282
- Images / imaging 3, 9, 39, 44, 92–5, 98, 102– 15, 117, 123, 137–41, 143–9, 151–2, 154–5, 157–61, 167, 173–4, 198, 201–2, 223–4, 229, 244–5, 248, 258–61, 268–70, 277–81, 283–6, 289, 292–4, 296–8
- Indexes / indexing 6, 15, 19, 21–2, 29, 33, 35, 68, 86, 91, 93, 103, 106–7, 111–2, 117–9, 121–3, 129, 131, 133–4, 136, 143, 148, 156, 164–5, 171, 175, 177–9, 186, 188, 191, 207, 209, 213, 214, 217, 219, 228, 241, 243, 245, 247, 257, 268,297, 300
- Information 1, 4, 6–9, 12–13, 15–17, 19, 22, 25, 27, 32–3, 37–9, 41, 44, 47–8, 51, 56, 59, 65–6, 68–71, 74, 79, 83, 87–95, 100, 102– 16, 118–9, 131, 133, 135, 139, 159, 162–4, 166–7, 169, 171–2, 178, 180, 183–6, 189– 91, 195, 197–8, 202, 205, 207, 209, 213, 222–3, 232, 241–3, 248, 251–3, 257, 259– 61, 263–5, 267–8, 278, 283, 285–6, 288–9, 292–3
- Infrared 140-5, 147, 279
- Integration / integrationg 2, 11, 12, 17, 21–3, 28, 31, 38, 44, 52, 57–9, 74–5, 78, 95, 100, 102–3, 126, 130, 135, 138, 144, 151, 155, 166, 177–8, 196–201, 206, 209, 223–4, 228–9, 232, 241, 243–6, 248, 251, 255–6, 269, 278, 283
- Interconnection 21, 44, 69, 118, 120, 172, 192, 196, 248, 255, 268, 270, 275 Interdisciplinarity 56, 73, 129, 285, 298

JStor 175

Knowledge 1, 8, 80, 118, 123–4, 137, 172, 178, 184, 248, 257, 260, 263, 266–7, 275, 280, 296

LaserGreek 203
- Latin 3, 25, 27, 34–5, 38, 44, 50–1, 56–7, 59, 65–6, 71, 73, 79, 91, 105–6, 112, 119, 127, 129, 166, 180, 186, 196, 205, 208, 212–4, 216–8, 222, 229, 243, 247–8, 251, 279–80, 284–5, 293, 298, 301
- Layout 12, 38, 41, 188, 252-3, 258-9
- Leiden conventions 5, 203, 207, 223-4, 234-5, 238, 240, 251
- Lemmatization 58, 179, 180, 207, 209, 227, 244, 253
- Lexica / lexicography 58, 68, 118–9, 123–5, 127, 129–30, 158, 178–9, 201, 210, 212, 216, 222, 232, 262, 275, 280, 284, 300
- Linearity 7, 118–9, 265
- Linguistics 8–9, 73, 118–9, 129, 131, 155, 159, 177–85, 198, 202, 207, 212, 216, 218, 236, 246, 261, 265, 268, 274, 275, 277–8, 280, 285, 287–90, 298, 300
- Linguistic variation 184–5, 212, 236, 268, 285, 298
- Literary papyri 5, 6, 25, 32–5, 38, 47, 49–52, 56, 58, 65, 78–9, 83, 87, 106, 112, 129–30, 137, 147, 151–2, 155, 166, 169, 180–1, 185, 187–9, 210–2, 215, 217, 241, 245, 248, 250– 3, 256–8, 264–5, 267, 269, 274–5, 277–8, 283, 292–4, 298, 301
- Mac(intosh) 117, 134, 199, 218-9, 279, 285
- Magical papyri 48, 59, 79, 124, 213
- Magnetic tapes 205-6, 213-6
- Markdown 249, 251, 300
- Markup 12, 222-4, 234-8, 240, 249-50, 253, 259, 265, 296, 298
- Media 6-7, 10, 13, 33, 114, 132, 161-2, 168, 197, 206, 261-3
- Medical papyri 10, 31, 34, 50, 78, 129–30, 150, 164, 211, 253–4, 256, 266–8, 270, 273–5, 277, 279–80, 284, 287, 294–5
- Memory 1–2, 92, 137, 169, 170, 187, 206–7, 217, 297
- Metadata 3, 9, 11, 12, 14, 33, 37–9, 41, 56–9, 63, 70–1, 74–5, 79–81, 83, 90–1, 93, 95, 99–100, 102–16, 118, 132, 134, 138, 159, 168, 172, 178, 189, 193, 198–200, 202–3, 209, 212, 216, 223–4, 227, 233, 241–3, 245, 248, 252, 255, 257, 260, 262–3, 267, 270, 286 Meta–papyrus 269
- Meta-text 260, 263, 268

- Methodology 4–6, 8–10, 13, 23–4, 39, 78, 127, 129, 132, 138, 141, 144, 149–50, 160, 171, 175, 178, 190–1, 196–7, 202, 208, 210, 247, 257, 259–60, 263–4, 268, 270, 275, 301
- Morphological analysis 180–1, 185–6, 219, 221–2, 224, 227–8, 237
- Multimodality 269–70
- Multispectral analysis 143–4, 147, 160, 176, 277, 280, 283 Multitext 85, 266, 285, 293, 297
- Mummy labels 33, 90–1, 132
- MyCoRe 100, 289, 297

MySQL 71, 246

- Mythographic papyri 83, 212, 288
- Named entities 65, 131, 186, 194, 282
- Networks 4, 10–11, 58, 65–6, 70–1, 73, 94, 98, 102, 175, 189–97, 248, 258, 260, 264, 268–70, 278, 281–3, 287, 293, 296 Nuclear magnetic resonance 148

Onomastica / onomastics 68–9, 79, 131–3, 186, 193–4, 286 Ontology 73, 267, 268, 295, 300

- Open Journal System (OJS) 175
- Open resources 6, 10–11, 28, 41, 73, 79–80, 94–5, 126–7, 135, 147, 153, 167–8, 172, 175, 182, 197–8, 204, 206, 222, 227, 232, 241, 256, 265, 270, 277–8, 280, 293, 300
- Open access 123–4, 129, 169, 176, 275
- Open source 100, 193, 204, 219, 251

Optical Character Recognition (OCR) 158, 215 ostracon 74

- Ostraka 26, 27, 29, 36, 44, 74, 103, 107, 109, 112–3, 123, 140–2, 144, 147, 158, 176, 214, 247, 279, 281, 284, 294, 300
- Oxyrhynchus papyri 103, 107, 111, 139, 143, 147, 152, 163, 167, 169, 189, 191, 200, 209, 212, 214, 217, 288, 293
- Palaeography 3-4, 9, 24, 32, 39, 50-1, 83, 103, 105-6, 109, 111-3, 151-2, 154-5, 158-9, 166, 216, 252, 258, 286-7, 295, 298
- Paper 3, 6, 8, 10–11, 15–16, 25–7, 31, 33, 35, 39, 44, 47, 50–1, 80, 83, 92, 100, 108, 113, 119, 131, 135–6, 143–4, 162, 166, 169, 172– 4, 201, 205, 248, 258, 262, 265, 280–1, 296–8

- Paraliterary papyri 52, 78, 83, 130, 212, 241– 2, 251–3, 257, 265, 274, 288
- Paratext 159, 202–3, 252–3, 260, 275
- Particle–Induced X–ray Emission (PIXE) 144 PC 218
- perishability 92
- Perseus 81, 110, 123, 180, 201, 206, 218-9, 222-3, 227-8, 241, 244
- Petra papyri 103, 143, 283
- Philology 3-4, 51, 131, 133, 164, 189, 203-4, 210-3, 216, 238, 242, 248, 250, 255, 264-6, 268-9, 274-5, 278, 281, 284, 293
- Photography 39, 41, 86, 94, 104–5, 115, 137– 41, 143, 146, 157–8, 162, 209, 215–6, 258, 278, 282–3, 288, 300
- Pictures 8–11, 17, 19, 27–8, 30, 39–41, 44, 47, 50, 52, 56, 59, 80–1, 86, 88–90, 92, 103–6, 108–9, 112, 115–6, 120, 122, 137–43, 145, 148, 150–2, 155, 157, 159–60, 166, 169, 173, 175, 180, 182, 186, 189, 191, 197, 199, 216, 223, 232, 243, 245, 259, 268–9, 273, 298
- Pleiades 73
- Posidippus papyrus 140-2, 146
- Print / printed resources 2, 15, 22, 25, 33–4, 44, 47, 48, 50–1, 68, 87, 91–2, 94, 98, 108, 112, 118, 126, 131, 133–4, 137, 149, 151, 173– 4, 176, 198, 203, 207–8, 215–6, 236–8, 241–3, 257–8, 261–3, 265, 267–70, 273–5
- Processing 8–9, 12, 38–9, 139–41, 144–5, 148, 150, 178, 180, 185, 189, 191, 193, 203, 206–7, 218, 262, 277, 283, 286, 293–4, 296, 298
- ProQuest 175
- Prosopography 3, 65–6, 69, 71, 89, 92, 116, 118–9, 131–3, 191, 194, 196, 207, 209, 223, 258, 281–2, 286–7
- Publishing 6, 8–10, 24, 38, 79, 91, 133, 138, 171, 173–4, 176–7, 268, 281, 288, 294–6
- Punched cards 131, 179-80, 205, 207
- Quantitative analysis 22, 70–1, 156, 178, 183, 186, 189–91, 193, 196–7, 209, 262, 285, 288
- Reflectance / Reflectance Transformation
- Imaging (RTI) 141, 145, 161
- Regular expressions 227–8
- Regularizations 185, 208, 215–6, 224, 236, 237, 253, 262
- Relational databases 65, 69, 71, 86, 89, 119, 180, 190, 193, 199 Restorations 9, 115, 145-7, 149, 209, 259-60, 288, 297 Reunifications 70, 146-7, 150, 158, 173, 244, 294.300 Reuse 51, 59, 74, 227, 253, 287 Revisions 4, 6, 26, 86, 132, 232, 241, 259, 264, 266, 268, 282, 289 Sammelbuch 5, 16, 78, 112, 264 Scholarship 2, 35, 44, 51, 56, 71, 78, 115, 139, 160, 162, 177, 195, 202, 232, 238, 241, 248, 253, 255-6, 258, 261, 269, 275, 277-8, 291-3, 301-2 Seals 88-9, 169, 300 Semantic analysis / semantics 12, 127, 179-181, 186, 207, 209, 223, 235, 236, 238, 265, 267 Semi–literary papyri 78 SGML 99, 222-3 Sharing 4-5, 11, 70, 74, 93, 103, 135, 137-8, 151, 172, 177, 179, 223, 248, 252, 256-7, 257, 259, 270 SIFT flow algorithm 155 Social media 168 Social Network Analysis (SNA) 65, 189–90, 193-7 SQL 71 Stamps 88-9 Standards / standardization 5-6, 12, 14, 21, 24, 26, 29-31, 36, 38, 41, 44, 57, 70, 78, 94-5, 98-9, 100, 123, 135, 138, 144, 152, 158, 160, 182, 186, 188, 203-4, 215, 223, 227-8, 232, 237-8, 243, 250-1, 257-9, 270, 275, 285, 301 Storage / storing 1, 8-9, 39, 57, 71, 74, 90, 92-3, 134, 136, 138, 153, 157-8, 179, 183, 188, 190, 195, 198, 205-7, 212-3, 222, 228, 247, 252, 260, 268 Stylometry 185 Stylus tablets 144, 159–60, 260, 298 Subliterary papyri 78, 83, 112, 248, 264, 301 Suda 232 SuperGreek 127, 203-4, 245 Tablets 1, 3, 6, 13, 25, 27, 29, 36, 38, 44, 144,
- Tablets
 1, 3, 6, 13, 25, 27, 29, 36, 38, 44, 144,

 157, 159–60, 169, 201, 205, 214, 242–3,

 260, 283, 289, 291, 293–4, 297–8

- Tabularity 7, 119, 206, 269
- Tachygraphic papyri 79
- Tags / tagging 12, 136, 147, 180, 182, 185, 208, 223, 225, 234–6, 238, 250, 252, 263, 265, 283, 302
- Text Encoding Initiative (TEI) 12, 17, 124, 155, 182, 223–4, 226, 235, 237, 240, 242, 243, 245, 250, 252, 279, 280
- Text mining 185-6, 198, 259, 282, 297, 300
- Text transmission 51, 110, 169, 206, 265–6, 269–70, 295
- Tokenization 179, 181, 182, 188
- Transcoding 12, 204, 223, 234–5, 260
- Transcriptions / transcribing 3, 5, 12, 37–8, 58, 63, 91–2, 105, 112, 122, 151–4, 166–7, 183, 188–9, 203, 216, 224, 228, 235, 241–2, 245, 247–8, 251, 265–6, 269, 294, 300–1 Treebanks 180–1, 183, 278, 280, 283, 290
- UCINET 191, 193
- Ultraviolet 143
- Unicode 12, 83, 125, 127, 129, 153, 176, 186, 203–5, 219, 223–4, 227–8, 234, 242, 246–7, 252
- Unrolling / unwrapping 147–50, 277, 297
- Update / updating 14–15, 20, 25–8, 31, 34, 44, 47–8, 51–2, 63, 68, 83, 89–90, 92, 95, 98, 110, 118–20, 123, 125–7, 133–6, 158, 168, 170–1, 198, 200, 217, 219, 222, 228,

232–3, 241–3, 245, 247–8, 257–8, 263–4, 273, 275, 277, 294 URL 27, 57, 108, 126, 227, 278

Variants 25, 58, 66, 68, 85–6, 129, 132–3, 148, 182, 185, 189, 209, 212, 215, 218, 224, 236, 242, 253, 263, 265, 266, 268, 280, 284 Variation 145, 148, 151, 182, 184–5, 195, 228, 269, 285, 298 Vindolanda tablets 159–60, 169, 186, 201, 242–3, 298 Virtual Research Environment (VRE) 201

Wayback Machine 92, 147
Web 4, 11, 26–7, 32–5, 47, 50–1, 74, 79, 81, 85, 91–2, 117, 145, 147, 163–6, 168–70, 175, 186, 201, 203, 206, 207, 222–3, 232, 243, 248, 256, 264, 267, 270, 278, 292, 293, 298
wiki 8, 13, 167, 204, 232, 252–3
Wikipedia 167

- Windows 134, 218-9
- XHTML 176
- XML 12, 17–19, 21, 93, 95, 99–100, 108, 120, 124, 147, 155, 159, 174, 182, 185, 222–7, 234–40, 242–3, 247, 249–52, 265, 268, 280, 296, 299
- X-ray 140, 144, 148-9, 277, 292, 297
- XSLT 12, 224, 251

Papyrology has always been in the vanguard of the application of information technology to its own scientific purposes. The volume represents the first attempt to deal monographically with the electronic resources for the papyrological research, tracing an epistemology, a historical sketch and an overview of the main trends in the developing network of the digital tools for the study of the ancient papyri.



www.degruyter.com ISBN 978-3-11-053851-9