

Nicola Reggiani

A corpus of literary papyri online

The pilot project of the medical texts via *SoSOL*

Since a long time, the study of ancient Greek papyri, with its fundamental outcomes in every historical discipline, has developed a wide, more and more sophisticated network of electronic tools, useful – not to say unavoidable – for the analysis and publication of the multifarious data that such documents offer to the scholars. From digital graphics to the integrated data banks, the scenario of the so-called “digital papyrology” is always in progress¹.

The recent developments of the project “Integrating Digital Papyrology”², which through the *Papyrological Navigator* is moving towards a more and more comprehensive database of Graeco-Roman texts written on papyrus, pottery, wood/waxed tablets, parchment (both documentary and literary pieces: see below), have offered the best frame for the project “*Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina [CPGM] Online*” [“*Corpus of the Greek Medical Papyri Online*”], started in December 2011 at the University of Parma (Italy) by a team led by Prof. Isabella Andorlini with the aim of creating a textual database of ancient Greek texts on papyrus dealing with medical topics³. The encounter of Prof. Andorlini’s long-lasting interest in ancient medical texts⁴ with the new, powerful, yet easy potentialities provided by the *SoSOL* platform on which the *Papyrological Editor* is based (see below) has been the starting point of this project, together with the awareness that a textual database of Greek medical papyri currently does not exist.

Due to their mainly “borderline” nature, most medical texts on papyrus are indeed included neither in literary nor in documentary databanks: and not even in repositories such as the *Catalogue of Paraliterary Papyri*⁵, which shows – after a search for the key-string “medical” – no more than five results. Yet medical papyri form a *corpus* of about 300 (published) items of different size and complexity, the study of which as a whole is of the utmost importance to shed light on many aspects of the ancient cultures – and of our own world. They spread from the category of documentary texts (anything written without literary purposes, such as private letters

1 Cf. ANDORLINI 2012, 131–134; REGGIANI 2012b.

2 Cf. BAGNALL 2010.

3 For an overview on Greek medical papyri cf. e.g. MARGANNE 1981; HANSON 1985; ANDORLINI MARCONE 1993; MARGANNE 1995. For a general bibliography on this subject, see the web page *Medici et Medica* edited by M.-H. MARGANNE at the address <http://promethee.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/Bibliographies/Medica.htm>.

4 Cf. ANDORLINI MARCONE 1993; ANDORLINI 1997a; ANDORLINI 2001; ANDORLINI 2004; ANDORLINI 2009. On the previous project “*Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina*” see ANDORLINI 1997b.

5 <http://cpp.arts.kuleuven.be>, ed. by M. Huys and Collaborators.

discussing medical matters, annotations by doctors, and above all recipes or collections of recipes – the so-called *receptaria*⁶) to that of literary works (chiefly medical treatises, of different subject – e.g. anatomy, pathology, surgery, pharmacology – and structure, both by known authors and *adespota*⁷), through that of para-literary or subliterate pieces, mostly didactic texts like technical-practical handbooks, herbals, catechisms, school-books⁸. A systematic and annotated tool for this kind of documents will therefore be necessary and useful.

The framework architecture of the project is that offered by the *Papyrological Editor*, the powerful collaborative, peer-reviewed editing interface lying behind the *Papyrological Navigator*, a tool which is already well-known to any papyrologist⁹. In the *Papyrological Editor*, the digital text of any papyrus (either taken from the previous *Duke Data Bank of Documentary Papyri* or entered directly in the Editor itself) is linked to the metadata provided by the *Heidelberger Gesamtverzeichnis der griechischen Papyrusurkunden Ägyptens* (HGV) and *Trismegistos* (TM), and often also by the *Advanced Papyrological Information System* (APIS), and possibly to a commentary and/or a translation, in order to provide an essential “digital edition” of the document. As is known, the structure of such digital editions is based on the TEI/EPIDOC XML standard, which marks any meaningful papyrological feature of the text (lines, gaps, supplements, errors, etc.) with particular machine-readable tags; the contribution of anyone is made possible and easier thanks to the *SoSOL* platform, which deploys the special markup language “Leiden+”, much closer to the usual way in which papyri are published in print editions.

As already mentioned above, the CPGM project relies upon the *SoSOL* framework: thanks to Prof. Josh Sosin, one of the leaders of the *SoSOL* project, we have been provided with a special environment (“ParmaMed Community”) in which it is possible to conduct the work of editing medical papyri via *SoSOL*, submitting them to our own editorial board, and managing the first step of the *Papyrological Editor* peer-reviewed process: its dashboard currently displays 29 fully verified and refined texts. Since *SoSOL* platform was initially designed for documentary texts only, more complex textual features such as, e.g., critical and diacritical signs (see below) cannot be fully represented through the actual Leiden+ markup tags, though being extremely relevant for the comprehension of the texts. Enlarging the database to literary papyri in general, and medical texts in particular, means considering some improvements in Leiden+, as well as in the metadata (the latter easily obtainable from literary databanks such as the *Leuven Database of Ancient Books* – LDAB – and *Trismegistos*)¹⁰.

⁶ On the recipes see e.g. GAZZA 1955; ANDORLINI 1981; HARRAUER – SIJPESTEIJN 1981; ANDORLINI 2007. On other kinds of documentary medical texts see MARGANNE 2006.

⁷ Cf. e.g. ANDORLINI 1984; MARGANNE 2008.

⁸ Cf. ANDORLINI 1999b.

⁹ On these new electronic resources see SOSIN 2010; ANDORLINI 2012, 134–138; BAGNALL 2012; REGGIANI 2012b, 98–101.

¹⁰ Cf. REGGIANI 2012a, 142.

SoSoL is currently developing to include also (para)literary texts¹¹, and one task of our pilot project is to point out which categories may be useful to take in consideration in order to integrate the actual markup.

Thus the construction of the CPGM Online requires both the digitisation of the texts and a re-thinking of the whole architecture of the database, in order to fit the requirements of medical papyri as technical texts. The latter task may be performed by means of the tools provided by corpus linguistics, that is the study of language as expressed in (electronic) corpora (or samples) of texts¹². Integrating SoSoL with corpus-specific information means adding more annotation levels as (XML?) tagging layers, where an annotation (that is the application of metadata to a text) is intended as an interpretative enrichment of the available data (in this case, the papyrus texts) through categories¹³. So far, the following categories have been pointed out as potentially useful sources of information concerning Greek medical papyri: *Parts of speech*; *Kinds of errors*; *Technical terms*; *Abbreviations*; *(Dia)critical marks and punctuation*; *Marginalia*; *Formulaic features*; *Cross-references*; *Quotations* (for a sample case see at the end of the article).

Parts of speech (PoS) and Syntactic annotation

This annotation layer is very common in corpus linguistics and marks the syntactical value of each word in the texts. It is helpful to perform basic syntactical and lexical analysis of the entire corpus¹⁴. Building a syntactically annotated corpus (a so-called *treebank*) would be very useful to a deep analysis of the textual content of medical papyri.

¹¹ Cf. SOSIN 2010; ANDORLINI 2012, 138–139; BAGNALL 2012, 4.

¹² This might arise some problems, such as the question whether medical papyri can be considered as a corpus or not. According to corpus linguistics, a corpus is “a collection of pieces of language that are selected and ordered according to explicit linguistic criteria in order to be used as a sample of the language” itself (SINCLAIR 1996). It should be a body of texts carefully sampled to be representative of a language, or of a language variety: in other words, a corpus is used as a sample of a larger population to do statements on it. To fulfil this task, the sample should not be random (producing wrong generalisations) nor stratified (based on the knowledge of the variables that influence the population), but representative, based on the known percentage of each variant of the population (e.g., in the corpus “surviving classical Greek texts”, we know the percentage of each literary genre: cf. BIBER 1993). As many historical corpora, medical papyri have survived randomly, thus forbidding any authoritative generalisations – yet from the perspective of their whole they can be considered not as a “random sample”, but rather as the whole “population” of the (extant) texts with which we are dealing. Therefore they are not taken as a representative sample of a larger group (such as literary or paraliterary texts), but as a population itself, that we use as data for our research questions. In general, on corpus linguistics, see LÜDELING – KYTÖ 2008–2009.

¹³ Cf. LÜDELING 2011.

¹⁴ On part-of-speech tagging (and tokenization) see SCHMID 2008.

Kinds of errors

Errors in writing are a very common feature of many Greek papyri, of both literary and documentary type, mainly due to the influence of the spoken language on the scribe. We may distinguish *vowel exchanges* (e.g. $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \eta$ or $\alpha\iota$, $\iota \leftrightarrow \upsilon$ or $\epsilon\iota$, $\omega \leftrightarrow \omicron$ or $\omicron\upsilon$), *consonant exchanges* like $\tau \leftrightarrow \delta$, $\kappa \leftrightarrow \gamma$, or $\lambda \leftrightarrow \rho$; *broken diminutives* such as $-\iota$ for $-\iota\omicron\nu$; *grammatical mistakes* consisting in wrongly declined nouns or wrongly conjugated verbs; *missing letters*. A good example, among many others, can be provided by GMP II 10, a letter requesting medical tools of the 6th–7th century AD¹⁵. After having defined a **Normalization** layer (i.e. the correct word spellings), this level of annotation may provide plenty of information about many relevant aspects of the writing habits or of the cultural environment in which medical texts were produced. However, error annotation is problematic, because the definition of an error itself is problematic. First of all, it is clear that an error can only be annotated if a “correct” version of the utterance is assumed, and it is often difficult to state which may be the “correct” form of a variant. Then, error annotation may lead to define multiple layers, on the basis of multiple kinds of errors – which means further databanking issues¹⁶.

Technical terms

After having defined a *Lemmatization* layer (that is the reduction of each word to its lemma), this kind of annotation will pinpoint the technical terms recurring in Greek medical papyri, to be distinguished among the main branches of medicine: *anatomy* (parts of the body, both internal and external); *botanics* (mostly plants used as ingredients¹⁷); *mineral items* (used as ingredients as well); *pathology* (diseases and

15 As an example of error annotation (GMP II 10, 1–5): the first row is the *Tokenization* layer (which divides the single words); the second row is the *Normalization* layer (correct word spelling); the third row is the (multiple) *Kinds of error* layer [VE = vowel exchange; BD = broken diminutive; ML = missing letter] (see also below). On the features of this document see the edition by C. FISCHER BOVET, in ANDORLINI 2009, 157–165.

πέμψόν	μου	τῷ	ὀθόνι	μου	καὶ	τῷ	κιάθι	μ[ου]	καὶ	τῷ	τρωχ[όεν]	σφραεδι	μο[υ]
μοι	τῷ	ὀθόνιον		τῷ	κιάθιον		τῷ					σφραγίδιον	
VE	VE	BD		VE	VE+BD		VE					ML+VE+BD	

16 On this topic see REZNICEK – LÜDELING – HIRSCHMANN 2013. I am very grateful to Prof. A. Lüdeling for having kindly given me advice and reference about this topic on the occasion of the Summer Institute “Working with Text in a Digital Age” (Tufts University, Medford, MA, July–August 2012).

17 For a general bibliography about ingredients in Greek-Egyptian pharmacology see the web page *Pharmacopoea Aegyptia et Graeco-Aegyptia* by M.-H. MARGANNE and P. KOEMOTH, at the address

symptoms); *pharmacology* or *materia medica*¹⁸ (*pharmaka*, that is remedies); *regimen* (terms related to diet); *surgery* (instruments and other terms related to surgical operations¹⁹); *containers*; *instruments*²⁰; *quantity* (units of measure or terms related to the quantity of the ingredients)²¹. The study of the technical terms in medical papyri is part of the related project *Medicalia Online*, coordinated by Prof. Anastasia Maravela at the University of Oslo, and will be developed also as an online interactive glossary connected to the main database²².

Abbreviations

This layer is thought to provide a specific categorization of the texts with relation to the use of abbreviations or sigla, in the framework of a classification proposed by two members of our group²³. Abbreviating a word or a phrase – that is reducing it to a conventional grapheme – is a very common feature in Greek medical papyri (see also below), and it would be very interesting to state if regular patterns are followed. Abbreviations mostly pertain to the final letters of a word, because they could be inferred by the context, but we have instances of words abbreviated in the middle, or just of symbols. While the semantics of the word(s) involved in the abbreviative phenomena may be retrieved by performing a combined search within the *Abbreviations* and the *Technical terms* or *PoS* layers, the former will be devoted to distinguish the different kinds of abbreviation, and in specific: *suspension* (omission of one or more letters at the end, without any marks); *horizontal stroke* (omission of one or more letters at the end, marked by a horizontal stroke above the word); *vertical stroke* (omission of one or more letters at the end, marked by a vertical stroke at the end of the word itself), *slanting stroke* (omission of one or more letters at the end, marked

<http://promethee.philo.ulg.ac.be/cedopal/Bibliographies!/PHARMEG.htm> . On botanical vocabulary, in particular, see FAUSTI 1997.

18 On *materia medica* in Graeco-Roman Egypt see e.g. ARNOLD 1986.

19 On this topic see MARGANNE 1998; some *specimina* of surgical vocabulary can be found in GHIRETTI 2010.

20 Some *specimina* of instrumental vocabulary can be found in GHIRETTI 2010.

21 The difference between containers and units of measure is sometimes very small, in particular as regards liquid capacities (cf. REGGIANI, forthcoming): this might arise some further issues in annotating such specific cases.

22 This part of the project has been presented by Prof. Maravela at the 7th Papyrology Workshop “Papyracea Nova Reperta” at the University of Parma (May 22nd, 2013) and by Dr. Isabella Bonati (who is working on a PhD dissertation about technical terms in Greek medical papyri, at the University of Parma) at the 27th International Congress of Papyrology (Warsaw, July–August 2013). See the web page <http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/ERC/medicalia.html> .

23 Centenari - Iori, forthcoming. In general on abbreviations in Greek papyri see BLANCHARD 1974 (documentary texts) and McNAMEE 1981 (literary texts).

by a diagonal stroke at the end of the word itself); *sinusoid* (omission of one or more letters at the end, marked by an S-shaped sign); *superscription* (omission of one or more letters at the end, marked by one letter written above the end of the word itself); *discontinuous abbreviations* (omission of one or more letters not – or not only – at the end of the word); *monograms* (superimposition of two or more letters, showing various degrees of overlapping or merging); *symbols* (graphic mark in which there are no recognizable letters); *brachigraphy* (very common, non-technical words, such as conjunctions or articles, abbreviated by short marks).

(Dia)critical marks and punctuation

Critical and diacritical signs are defined as graphic markers that enhance the comprehension of the text; generally speaking they can be compared with punctuation, the purpose of which is to divide words or parts in a text²⁴. To be tagged within this layer there may be the *paragraphos* (a horizontal bar, usually put between two lines, dividing two different sections of a text), the *stigma* (a dot, usually marking the beginning of a new sentence), the *dicolon* (double dot), the *diple* (arrow-shaped sign marking relevant features in the text), the *filler mark* (usually a horizontal stroke, put at the end of a line in order to fill blank spaces and to keep the line aligned to the right), the *diaeresis* (often used over a ι or a υ to mark the beginning of a word), the *supraline* (very often used to mark figures expressed by alphabetic characters, e.g. η̄ for 8 – non to be confused with the abbreviations marked by a horizontal stroke: see above). We can include in the critical marks also *ekthesis* (outdented line) and *eisthesis* (indented line) and even *blank spaces*, since they are all graphical devices used to highlight particular lines or parts of a text (e.g. the first line of a recipe, or the question in the *erotapokrisis* catechisms – a good example of this is P.Ross.Georg. I 20, 2nd century AD)²⁵. The layout of the document itself, together with any other kind of graphical devices such as marks and abbreviations (see above), is an essential part of the medical text as a technical work²⁶: whence the need to have one or more annotation layers devoted to this.

²⁴ On punctuation and (dia)critics in Greek papyri, both documentary and literary, see TURNER 1987, 7–13. This particular issue will be developed also within a research plan about punctuation in ancient documents (inscriptions, papyri, manuscripts) recently started by the author of this article together with Prof. Michèle Brunet (Université Lumière - Lyon 2) and Dr. Giuseppe G.A. Celano (Università degli Studi di Pavia).

²⁵ On the issue of indentations and blank spaces as punctuation see TURNER 1987, 8; CRIBIATORE 1996, 83. For the *erotapokrisis* or question-and-answer format see ZALATEO 1964 and IERACI BIO 1995.

²⁶ Cf. ANDORLINI 2006, 159–167.

Marginalia. Formulaic features

Marginalia are letters, words or sentences written in the margins of a papyrus, *i.e.* outside the regular text layout. There could be lots of marginal notes, with very different meanings (*scholia*, annotations, etc.)²⁷; a very interesting instance is that of the numbers written in the middle of the top margin, which can refer to the “page” (in a codex, or in a parchment notebook such as, *e.g.*, PSI VI 718 = SB XXVI 16458, 4th century AD) or the column (in a papyrus roll).

Another important trait of medical papyri is that of the *formulaic expressions*, well known and attested in particular – but not only – in the prescriptions. Typical headings of recipes, recurrent verbs or expressions (*e.g.* λάβε” παῦσαι, πίνειν, δός...), the question-and-answer format in the *erotapokrisis* catechisms (*e.g.* Πρὸς τί κτλ...), are all possible instances of “formulas” in medical papyri, that tend to acquire the status of technical stamp of that specific genre²⁸.

Cross-references. Quotations

An annotation layer about cross-references can help to pinpoint references to parallel texts in other papyri or even in literary medical texts (prescriptions, instructions for medicines, and so on), which are a very common feature in both documentary and literary medical works²⁹, being *e.g.* also a possible tool to further investigate the theme of doctor’s literacy³⁰.

Unlike cross-references, quotations are exact recalls of passages taken from other texts, usually of literary kind³¹. They are to be distinguished between possible citations from medical authors (either known or unknown) in other (para)literary texts (even *adespota*) and “non-voluntary quotations”, consisting in the fragmentary status of most of the documents³², which very often preserve just excerpts of a (literary) work. Such accidental “quotations” are however very important, since they may attest interesting variants: this is the case, for example, of P.Aberd. I 24 (= GMP I 1), preserving a fragment of the Hippocratic treatise *De fracturis* (§ 37), which attests readings divergent from codices (ll. 4–5 and 11–12) as well as the “original” use of ionic dialectal forms (l. 14).

²⁷ See *e.g.* McNAMEE 1992.

²⁸ Cf. ANDORLINI 2006, part. 142–152.

²⁹ See *e.g.* ANDORLINI 1999a.

³⁰ On which see HANSON 2010; cf. also ANDORLINI 2006, 153–158.

³¹ On quotations in medical texts see *e.g.* MARGANNE 2010.

³² On fragmentation and the medical papyri see HANSON 1997. On the issues of representing fragments in digital editions see BERTI – ROMANELLO – BABEU – CRANE 2009 and BERTI 2011, and also the web site “Fragmentary Texts” (<http://www.fragmentarytexts.org>) edited by M. BERTI.

These introductory notes show all the complexity, but also the potentialities, of a deeply annotated textual database of Greek papyri. This reflects complexity and potentialities of the medical texts themselves, which make the CPGM Online project a strong test case for the forthcoming developments of the *Papyrological Editor & Navigator*: as Prof. Sosin said, “this is an exciting and somewhat scary new step for the field”³³. Some questions remain still open for the moment: for example, what to do with “broken” words such as not supplied words, or words with alternative supplements; or whether *SoSOL* will be able to support multiple tagging / annotation layers or not. But the first stage will be of course the basic digitisation of the texts, to be included – together with translations and short commentaries – in the main database of the *Papyrological Navigator*. Linked resources such as the interactive glossary *Medicalia Online* and a thematic bibliography will follow, with the hope of providing a real “digital library” of ancient texts in the field of the studies on ancient medicine.

The CPGM Online Project

Web Site

<http://www.papirologia.unipr.it/CPGM>

Scientific Editor, Coordinator of the Project

Prof. Isabella Andorlini (Università degli Studi di Parma)

Technical Editor

Dr. Nicola Reggiani (Università degli Studi di Parma / Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg)

Team Members

Dr. Andrea Bernini (Università degli Studi di Parma / Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg)

Dr. Isabella Bonati (Università degli Studi di Parma / Universitetet i Oslo)

Dr. Margherita Centenari (Università degli Studi di Parma)

Dr. Francesca Corazza (Università degli Studi di Parma / Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin)

Dr. Giulia Ghiretti (Università degli Studi di Parma)

Dr. Luca Iori (Università degli Studi di Parma)

Partners

Dr. Rodney Ast (Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg)

Prof. Roger S. Bagnall (Institute for the Study of the Ancient World, New York)

Dr. James Cowey (Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg)

Prof. Ann E. Hanson (Yale University, New Haven, CT)

³³ SOSIN 2010.

Dr. David Leith (University of Cambridge, UK)
 Prof. Anastasia Maravela (Universitetet i Oslo)
 Prof. Josh D. Sosin (Duke University, Durham, NC)

Bibliography

- ANDORLINI 1981: I. ANDORLINI, Ricette mediche nei papiri, *Atti e Memorie dell'Accademia Toscana di Scienze e Lettere "La Colombaria"* 46, 1981, 33–80.
- ANDORLINI 1984: I. ANDORLINI, L'apporto dei papiri alla conoscenza dei medici pregalenici, in: *Atti del XVII Congresso Internazionale di Papirologia, Napoli 1984*, II, 351–355.
- ANDORLINI 1997a: I. ANDORLINI (a cura di), "Specimina" per il Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina, Firenze 1997.
- ANDORLINI 1997b: I. ANDORLINI, Progetto per il Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina, in B. KRAMER – W. LUPPE – H. MAEHLER – G. POETHKE (Hgg.), *Akten des 21. Internationalen Papyrologenkongress (Berlin, 13. – 19.8.1995)* (= *Archiv für Papyrusforschung, Beiheft 3*), Stuttgart – Leipzig 1997, I, 17–24.
- ANDORLINI 1999a: I. ANDORLINI, Riflessi e applicazioni della terapia ippocratica nella testimonianza dei papiri, in: I. GAROFALO – A. LAMI – D. MANETTI – A. ROSELLI (a cura di), *Aspetti della terapia nel Corpus Hippocraticum. Atti del IX Colloque International Hippocratique (Pisa 25–29 settembre 1996)*, Firenze 1999, 431–446.
- ANDORLINI 1999b: I. ANDORLINI, Testi medici per la scuola: raccolte di definizioni e questionari nei papiri, in A. GARZYA – J. JOUANNA (a cura di), *I testi medici greci. Tradizione e ecdotica. Atti del III Convegno Internazionale (Napoli 15–18 ottobre 1997)*, Napoli 1999, 7–15.
- ANDORLINI 2001: I. ANDORLINI (ed.), *Greek Medical Papyri I* (= *Progetto Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina 1*), Firenze 2001.
- ANDORLINI 2004: I. ANDORLINI (a cura di), *Testi medici su papiro. Atti dell'incontro di studio (Firenze 2002)* (= *Progetto Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina 2*), Firenze 2004.
- ANDORLINI 2006: I. ANDORLINI, Il "gergo" grafico ed espressivo della ricetta medica antica, in: A. MARCONE (a cura di), *Medicina e società nel mondo antico. Atti del convegno di Udine (4–5 ottobre 2005)* (= *Studi Udinesi sul Mondo Antico 4*), Firenze 2006, 142–167.
- ANDORLINI 2007: I. ANDORLINI, Prescription and Practice in Greek Medical Papyri from Egypt, in: H. FROSCHAUER – C.E. RÖMER (Hgg.), *Zwischen Magie und Wissenschaft. Ärzte und Heilkunst in den Papyri aus Ägypten* (= *Nilus 13*), Wien 2007, 23–33.
- ANDORLINI 2009: I. ANDORLINI (ed.), *Greek Medical Papyri II* (= *Progetto Corpus dei Papiri Greci di Medicina 3*), Firenze 2009.
- ANDORLINI 2012: I. ANDORLINI, Edizione e ricostruzione digitale dei testi papiracei, con appendice di N. Reggiani, in N. PALAZZOLO (a cura di), *Diritto romano e scienze antichistiche nell'era digitale* (= *Collectanea Graeco-Romana 10*), Torino 2012, 131–146.
- ANDORLINI MARCONE 1993: I. ANDORLINI MARCONE, L'apporto dei papiri alla conoscenza della scienza medica antica, in: W. HAASE (Hg.), *Aufstieg und Niedergang der römischen Welt [ANRW]*, II, 37.1, Berlin - New York 1993, 458–562.
- ARNOLD 1986: H.J. ARNOLD, Preliminary Report on a Study of the *Materia Medica* of the Greco-Roman Period in Egypt, *Bulletin of the Center of Papyrological Studies* 3, 1986, 81–125.
- BAGNALL 2010: R.S. BAGNALL, Integrating Digital Papyrology, in: *Online Humanities Scholarship. The Shape of Things to Come (March 26–28, 2010)*, <http://shapeofthings.org/papers/RBagnall.doc>.

- BAGNALL 2012: R.S. BAGNALL, *The Amicitia Papyrologorum* in a Globalized World of Learning, in: P. SCHUBERT (éd.), *Actes du 26^e Congrès International de Papyrologie* (Genève, 16–21 août 2010) (= *Recherches et Rencontres* 30), Genève 2012, 1–5.
- BERTI 2011: M. BERTI, *Fragmentary Texts and Digital Libraries*, in: G. CRANE – A. LÜDELING – M. BERTI (eds.), *Philology in the Age of Corpus and Computational Linguistics*, CHS Publication 2011 (forthcoming).
- BERTI – ROMANELLO – BABEU – CRANE 2009: M. BERTI – M. ROMANELLO – A. BABEU – G. CRANE, *Collecting Fragmentary Authors in a Digital Library*, in: JCDL 09. *Proceedings of the 2009 Joint International Conference on Digital Libraries*, New York 2009, 259–262.
- BIBER 1993: D. BIBER, *Representativeness in Corpus Design*, *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 8, 1993, 243–257.
- BLANCHARD 1974: A. BLANCHARD, *Sigles et abbreviations dans les papyrus documentaires grecs*. *Recherches de paléographie* (= *Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies, Supplement* 30), London 1974.
- CENTENARI - IORI forthcoming: M. CENTENARI - L. IORI, *Il sistema delle abbreviazioni nei papiri di medicina*, in: I. ANDORLINI (a cura di), *Testi tecnici e lessici speciali nei papiri greci d'Egitto: classificazioni testuali e questioni lessicali*. *Atti della 4^a e 5^a Giornata di Studio di Papirologia* (Parma, 15.04.2010 e 20–21.04.2011) (= *Papyrotheke* 3), Parma, forthcoming.
- CRIBIORE 1996: R. CRIBIORE, *Writing, Teachers, and Students in Graeco-Roman Egypt* (= *American Studies in Papyrology* 36), Atlanta, GA, 1996.
- FAUSTI 1997: D. FAUSTI, *Ricerche sul lessico botanico dei papiri medici*, in: Andorlini 1997a, 83–108.
- GAZZA 1955: V. GAZZA, *Prescrizioni mediche nei papiri dell'Egitto greco-romano*, *Aegyptus* 35, 1955, 86–110.
- GHIRETTI 2010: G. GHIRETTI, *Luoghi e strumenti della professione medica antica. La testimonianza dei papiri greci d'Egitto* (= *Papyrotheke* 1), Parma 2010, <http://hdl.handle.net/1889/1493>.
- HANSON 1985: A.E. HANSON, *Papyri of Medical Content*, *Yale Classical Studies* 28, 1985, 25–47.
- HANSON 1997: A.E. HANSON, *Fragmentation and the Greek Medical Writers*, in: G.W. MOST (ed.), *Collecting Fragments / Fragmente sammeln* (= *Aporemata* 1), Göttingen 1997, 289–314.
- HANSON 2010: A.E. HANSON, *Doctor's Literacy and Papyri of Medical Content*, *Studies in Ancient Medicine* 35, 2010, 187–204.
- H. HARRAUER – P.J. SIJPESTEIJN 1981: H. HARRAUER – P.J. SIJPESTEIJN, *Medizinische Rezepte und Verwandtes* (= *Mitteilungen aus der Papyrussammlung der österreichischen Nationalbibliothek in Wien, Neue Serie* 13), Wien 1981.
- IERACI BIO 1995: A.M. IERACI BIO, *L'erôtapokrisis nella letteratura medica*, in: C. MORESCHINI (a cura di), *Esegesi, parafrasi e compilazione in età tardoantica*, Napoli 1995, 187–207.
- LÜDELING 2011: A. LÜDELING, *Corpora in Linguistics: Sampling and Annotation*, in: K. GRANDIN (ed.), *Going Digital. Evolutionary and Revolutionary Aspects of Digitization* (= *Nobel Symposium* 147), New York 2011, 220–243.
- LÜDELING – KYTÖ 2008–2009: A. LÜDELING – M. KYTÖ (eds.), *Corpus Linguistics. An International Handbook*, I–II, Berlin 2008–2009.
- MARGANNE 1981: M.-H. MARGANNE, *Inventaire analytique des papyrus grecs de médecine* (= *Hautes Études du Monde Gréco-Romain* 12), Genève 1981.
- MARGANNE 1995: M.-H. MARGANNE, *L'apport des papyrus grecs à l'histoire de la médecine antique*, in: D. GOUREVITCH (éd.), *Histoire de la médecine. Leçons méthodologiques*, Paris 1995, 74–82.
- MARGANNE 1998: M.-H. MARGANNE, *La chirurgie dans l'Égypte gréco-romaine d'après les papyrus littéraires grecs* (= *Studies in Ancient Medicine* 17), Leiden – Boston 1998.
- MARGANNE 2006: M.-H. MARGANNE, *Étiquettes de médicaments, listes de drogues, prescriptions et réceptaires dans l'Égypte gréco-romaine et byzantine*, in: F. COLLARD – É. SAMAMA (éds.), *Pharmacopoles et apothicaires. Les "pharmaciens" de l'Antiquité au Grand Siècle*, Paris 2006, 59–73.

- MARGANNE 2008: M.-H. MARGANNE, L'apporto dei papiri letterari greci alla storia della medicina antica, Atene & Roma 3–4, 2008, 238–259.
- MARGANNE 2010: M.-H. MARGANNE, Le signalement des auteurs et des oeuvres dans les papyrus littéraires grecs de médecine, in: T. GAGOS – A. HYATT (eds.), Proceedings of the 25th International Congress of Papyrology (Ann Arbor, July 29 – August 4, 2007) (= American Studies in Papyrology, Special Edition), Ann Arbor 2010, 493–508.
- McNAMEE 1981: K. McNAMEE, Abbreviations in Greek Literary Papyri and Ostraca (= Bulletin of the American Society of Papyrologists, Supplements 3), Ann Arbor, MI, 1981.
- McNAMEE 1992: K. McNAMEE, Sigla and Select Marginalia in Greek Literary Papyri (= Papyrologica Bruxellensia 26), Bruxelles 1992.
- REGGIANI 2012a: N. Reggiani, Progetti digitali “in corso” all’Università di Parma, appendice ad Andorlini 2012, 141–142.
- REGGIANI 2012b: N. Reggiani, Le tavolette della mente. Risorse digitali e Antichistica: il caso della Papirologia, in: A.M. TAMMARO (a cura di), Umanisti e risorse digitali, Parma 2012, 88–110.
- REGGIANI forthcoming: N. REGGIANI, Tra “sapere” e “saper fare”: il problema della standardizzazione delle unità di misura dei liquidi nella testimonianza dei papiri greci d’Egitto, in: Quaderni di Ricerca in Didattica / Mathematics 22.2, forthcoming.
- REZNICEK – LÜDELING – HIRSCHMANN 2013: M. REZNICEK – A. LÜDELING – H. HIRSCHMANN, Competing Target Hypotheses in the Falko Corpus: A Flexible Multi-Layer Corpus Architecture, in: N. BALLIER – A. DIAZ-NEGRILLO – P. THOMPSON (eds.), Automatic Treatment and Analysis of Learner Corpus Data, Amsterdam 2013, in press.
- SCHMID 2008: H. SCHMID, Tokenizing and Part-of-Speech Tagging, in: Lüdeling – Kytö 2008–2009I, 527–551.
- SINCLAIR 1996: J. SINCLAIR, EAGLES [Expert Advisory Group on Language Engineering Standards]: Preliminary Recommendations on Corpus Typology, <http://www.ilc.cnr.it/EAGLES96/corpusstyp/corpusstyp.html>.
- SOSIN 2010: J.D. SOSIN, Digital Papyrology: A New Platform for Collaborative Control of DdbDP, HGV, and APIS Data (paper presented to the 26th International Congress of Papyrology, Geneva, August 19th, 2010), <http://www.stoa.org/archives/1263>.
- TURNER 1987: E.G. TURNER, Greek Manuscripts of the Ancient World, second edition revised and enlarged, edited by P. Parsons (= Bulletin of the Institute of Classical Studies, Supplement 46), London 1987.
- ZALATEO 1964: G. ZALATEO, Papiri di argomento medico redatti in forma di domanda e risposta, Aegyptus 44, 1964, 52–57.

A very tentative example of annotation of a medical papyrus: GMP II 10

ID = Identifier; **T** = Tokenization; **PoS** = Part of Speech; **H** = Syntactic head; **R** = Syntactic relation [**H** + **R** + **ID** = Syntactical annotation]; **N** = Normalization; **E** = Kinds of errors [VE = vowel exchange; BD = broken diminutive; ML = missing letter; EC = error corrected; CE = consonant exchange]; **L** = Lemmatization; **TT** = Technical terms; **A** = Abbreviations; **M** = (Dia)critical marks and punctuation [The other levels of annotation do not apply to this instance. Note that PoS and syntactical taggings are simplified for

reason of space]. I am very grateful to Dr. Giuseppe G.A. Celano for his essential help in the grammatical/linguistic annotation.

ID	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
T	πέμψιόν	μου	τῷ	ὀθόνι	μου	καὶ	τῷ	κιάθι	μ[ου]	καὶ	τῷ	τροχ[όνει]	σφραεῖδι	μ[ου]
PoS	verb	pron.	art.	noun	pron.	conj.	art.	noun	pron.	conj.	art.	adj.	noun	pron.
H	0	24	4	24	4	24	8	24	8	24	13	13	24	13
R	PRED	ATR	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR	AuxY	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR	AuxY	ATR	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR
N		μοι	τῷ	ὀθόνιον			τῷ	κιάθιον			τῷ		σφραγι(ῶν)	
E		VE	VE	BD			VE	VE+BD			VE		AS+VE+BD	
L				ὀθόνιον				κιάθος					σφραγίς	
TT				instrument				container					instrument	
A														
M														
ID	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	
T	καὶ	τήν	πήραν	τοῦ	[·]ἱατροῦ	καὶ	τήν	τιμίαν	Μου	καὶ	τῷ	[X]κοχλίστ(ρον)	ξηλακ/(όν)	
PoS	conj.	art.	noun	art.	noun	conj.	art.	noun	pron.	conj.	art.	noun	adj.	
H	24	17	24	19	17	24	22	24	22	1	26	24	26	
R	AuxY	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR	ATR	AuxY	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR	AuxY	ATR	OBJ_CO	ATR	
N				τοῦ	ἱατροῦ			σιμῆλην			τῷ	κοχλίστριον	Ξυλακόν	
E					EC(?)			CE+ML+VE			VE	EC(CE)	VE	
L			πήρα		ἱατρός			σιμῆλη				κοχλίστριον		
TT			instrument		gen.term			surgery				container		
A				superscr.	superscr.			slanting stroke				slanting stroke		
M					diaeresis								slant.stroke	

“Send me my bandage, and my ladle, and my round (?) seal, and the doctor’s bag, and my scalpel, and the wooden spoon”

Renate Lafer, Karl Strobel (Hrsg.)
Antike Lebenswelten

Antike Lebenswelten

Althistorische und papyrologische Studien

Herausgegeben von
Renate Lafer und Karl Strobel

DE GRUYTER

Veröffentlicht mit Unterstützung des Forschungsrates der Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt aus den Förderungsmitteln der Privatstiftung Kärntner Sparkasse sowie mit Unterstützung durch die Fakultät für Kulturwissenschaften der Alpen-Adria-Universität Klagenfurt



Altertumswissenschaftliche Studien Klagenfurt (ASK)
Band 4

ISBN 978-3-11-035941-1
e-ISBN (PDF) 978-3-11-035951-0
e-ISBN (EPUB) 978-3-11-038683-7

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

A CIP catalog record for this book has been applied for at the Library of Congress.

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.dnb.de> abrufbar.

© 2015 Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin/Boston
Umschlagbild: P.Vindob. G 41392 (Copyright Österreichische Nationalbibliothek)
Satz: Michael Peschke, Berlin
Druck und Bindung: Hubert & Co. GmbH & Co. KG, Göttingen
☺ Gedruckt auf säurefreiem Papier
Printed in Germany

www.degruyter.com

Inhalt

Vorwort — IX

Abkürzungsverzeichnis — X

I Wissenschaftsgeschichte und -theorie

Kai Ruffing

Von der primitivistischen Orthodoxie zum römischen Basar

Die Wirtschaft des Römischen Reiches in der Forschung des ausgehenden 20. und des beginnenden 21. Jahrhunderts — 3

Karl Strobel

Das frühe Stammesreich der keltischen Noriker in Kärnten – Ein Konstrukt der Wissenschaftsgeschichte

Zur Geschichte der Träger der latènezeitlichen Mokronog-Kultur beiderseits der Karawanken — 28

Hubert Szemethy

Ausgewählte Objekte aus der Sammlung des Instituts für Alte Geschichte und Altertumskunde, Papyrologie und Epigraphik der Universität Wien — 153

II Geschichte der antiken Welt

Caroline Dürauer

Die Visviki-Magula, eine neolithische Siedlung in Südostthessalien

Schnitte A und B — 195

Anna Lang

Aristeides der ‚Gerechte‘ – sein Archontat und seine Rolle bei Marathon — 212

Patrick Sänger

Migration, Ethnizität, Identität, Vereinigung und Gemeinde

Überlegungen zur sozio-politischen Einordnung der ethnischen *politeumata* — 223

Susanne Lamm

Der *Cetius Mons* ist nicht der Wienerwald

Die Berichtigung eines populären Irrtums — 238

VI — Inhalt

Peter Emberger

Tierquälerei in der griechisch-römischen Antike — 253

III Literarische Studien

Robert Lichtensteiner

Namensnennungen in Lykurgs Rede gegen Leokrates — 267

Jonas Scherr

Die Jünglinge von Osca

Bemerkungen zu Plutarch, Sertorius 14,1–4 — **282**

Lukas Egger

Die Schlacht um Placentia oder die ‚Erfindung‘ eines Tages

Überlegungen zu Plut. Otho 5–6 und Tac. Hist. II,17–22 — **292**

Martina Krall

Die Darstellung der Hunnen in der Hagiographie — 320

IV Papyrologie und Geschichte

Herbert Graßl

Von Noricum nach Ägypten

Eine Neulesung von BGU VII 1689 = ChLA X 422 — **335**

Nicola Reggiani

A corpus of literary papyri online

The pilot project of the medical texts via *SoSOL* — **341**

Giuseppina Azzarello

Auf der Suche nach verborgenen Quellen

Zur Rekonstruktion des Dossiers der Apionenfamilie — **353**

V Numismatische Beiträge

Ursula Schachinger

Zu Methoden und Ergebnissen der Fundmünzenauswertung

Gedanken und Impulse — **363**

Giovanni Gorini

Preroman and Roman Coinage in North Eastern Italy (II–I cent. B.C.) — 386

VI Poster

Katharina Reinstadler

Dissertationskonzept: Das Schicksal von Findel- und Waisenkindern in alten Kulturen

Ägypten, Griechenland und Rom im Vergleich — 397

